

Graham (1982); Galil, Haber and Yung (1989); Goldberg, Goldberg and Paterson (2001); Goldstein and Waterman (1987); Grove (1995); Hochbaum (1997); Holyer (1981); Kannan and Warnow (1994); Kearney, Hayward and Meijer (1997); Lathrop (1994); Lent and Mahmoud (1996); Lipton (1995); Lyngso and Pedersen (2000); Ma, Li and Zhang (2000); Maier and Storer (1977); Pe'er and Shamir (1998); Pierce and Winfree (2002); Storer (1977); Thomassen (1997); Unger and Moult (1993) and Wareham (1995).



## Exercises

8.1 Determine whether the following statements are correct or not

- (1) If a problem is NP-complete, then it cannot be solved by any polynomial algorithm in worst cases.
- (2) If a problem is NP-complete, then we have not found any polynomial algorithm to solve it in worst cases.
- (3) If a problem is NP-complete, then it is unlikely that a polynomial algorithm can be found in the future to solve it in worst cases.
- (4) If a problem is NP-complete, then it is unlikely that we can find a polynomial algorithm to solve it in average cases.
- (5) If we can prove that the lower bound of an NP-complete problem is exponential, then we have proved that  $\text{NP} \neq \text{P}$ .

8.2 Determine the satisfiability of each of the following sets of clauses.

$$(1) \quad -X_1 \quad \vee \quad -X_2 \quad \vee \quad X_3$$

$$X_1$$

$$X_2 \quad \vee \quad X_3$$

$$-X_3$$

$$(2) \quad X_1 \quad \vee \quad X_2 \quad \vee \quad X_3$$

$$-X_1 \quad \vee \quad X_2 \quad \vee \quad X_3$$

$$X_1 \quad \vee \quad -X_2 \quad \vee \quad X_3$$

$$X_1 \quad \vee \quad X_2 \quad \vee \quad -X_3$$

$$-X_1 \quad \vee \quad -X_2 \quad \vee \quad X_3$$

8.3 W  
w  
8.4 C  
pr  
8.5 C  
de  
8.6 Co  
reti  
det  
Tra  
“Y]  
sati  
8.7 Ma  
com  
num  
dete  
k in  
is N

	$X_1$	$\vee$	$-X_2$	$\vee$	$-X_3$
	$-X_1$	$\vee$	$X_2$	$\vee$	$-X_3$
	$-X_1$	$\vee$	$-X_2$	$\vee$	$-X_3$
(3)	$-X_1$	$\vee$	$X_2$	$\vee$	$X_3$
	$X_1$	$\vee$	$X_2$		
		$X_3$			
(4)	$X_1$	$\vee$	$X_2$	$\vee$	$X_3$
	$X_1$				
	$X_2$				
(5)	$-X_1$	$\vee$	$X_2$		
	$-X_2$	$\vee$	$X_3$		
		$-X_3$			

- 8.3 We all know how to prove that a problem is NP-complete. How can we prove that a problem is not NP-complete?
- 8.4 Complete the proof of the NP-completeness of the exact cover problem as described in this chapter.
- 8.5 Complete the NP-completeness of the sum of subset problem as described in this chapter.
- 8.6 Consider the following problem. Given two input variables  $a$  and  $b$ , return “YES” if  $a > b$  and “NO” if otherwise. Design a non-deterministic polynomial algorithm to solve this problem. Transform it into a Boolean formula such that the algorithm returns “YES” if and only if the transformed Boolean formula is satisfiable.
- 8.7 Maximal clique decision problem: A maximal clique is a maximal complete subgraph of a graph. The size of a maximal clique is the number of vertices in it. The clique decision problem is to determine whether there is a maximal clique at least size  $k$  for some  $k$  in a graph or not. Show that the maximal clique decision problem is NP-complete by reducing the satisfiability problem to it.

- 8.8 Vertex cover decision problem: A set  $S$  of vertices of a graph is a vertex cover of this graph if and only if all edges of the graph are incident to at least one vertex in  $S$ . The vertex cover decision problem is to determine whether a graph has a vertex cover having at most  $k$  vertices. Show that the vertex cover decision problem is NP-complete.
- 8.9 Traveling salesperson decision problem: Show that the traveling salesperson decision problem is NP-complete by proving that the Hamiltonian cycle decision problem reduces polynomially to it. The definition of Hamiltonian cycle decision problem can be found in almost any textbook on algorithms.
- 8.10 Independent set decision problem: Given a graph  $G$  and an integer  $k$ , the independent set decision problem is to determine whether there exists a set  $S$  of  $k$  vertices such that no two vertices in  $S$  are connected by an edge. Show that the independent set problem is NP-complete.
- 8.11 Bottleneck traveling salesperson decision problem: Given a graph and a number  $M$ , the bottleneck traveling salesperson decision problem is to determine whether there exists a Hamiltonian cycle in this graph such that the longest edge of this cycle is less than  $M$ . Show that the bottleneck traveling salesperson decision problem is NP-complete.
- 8.12 Show that 3-coloring  $\propto$  4-coloring  $\propto$   $k$ -coloring.
- 8.13 Clause-monotone satisfiability problem: A formula is monotone if each clause of it contains either only positive variables or only negative variables. For instance
- $$F = (X_1 \vee X_2) \& (\neg X_3) \& (\neg X_2 \vee \neg X_3)$$
- is a monotone formula. Show that the problem of deciding whether a monotone formula is satisfiable or not is NP-complete.
- 8.14 Read Theorem 15.7 of Papadimitriou and Steiglitz (1982) for the NP-completeness of the 3-dimensional matching problem.

In previous cl  
We obviously  
solutions may  
producing opt

One com  
interpreted as  
on educated g  
will produce c

In this cha  
algorithms can  
by their non-o  
called approxii

### 9-1 AN AI

Given a graph (c  
is incident to so  
The node cover  
For example, {1  
is a node cover

The node co  
algorithm, Algo