Technical University of Denmark

01410 Cryptology 1

Homework 2

Authors:

Andreas Hallberg Kjeldsen
s092638@student.dtu.dk

Morten Chabert Eskesen
s133304@student.dtu.dk

April 7, 2014

Exercise 2.1

2.1.1

We have to show that $m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \bmod n$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.
The keys e and $\tilde{d}$ are chosen such that.

$$e\tilde{d} \equiv 1 \bmod \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{gcd(p-1, q-1)}$$

This means that for some positive integer k

$$e\tilde{d} = 1 + k\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{gcd(p-1, q-1)}$$

We can rewrite this expression and get

$$m^{e\tilde{d}} \bmod n = m^{1+k\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{gcd(p-1,q-1)}} \bmod n$$

for some integer k.

If two integers x and y are congruent modulo n then they are also congruent modulo p and modulo q because both p and q divide n. The Chinese Remainder Theorem tells us that the reverse implication is also true. This means that if x and y are congruent modulo p and congruent modulo q, then they are also congruent modulo n.

We want to show that $m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \bmod n$ so it will be sufficient to show that:

$$m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \bmod p \text{ and } m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \bmod q$$

First we will show that $m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \bmod p$. We therefore have two cases to consider:

1. p divides m
2. p does not divide m.

Case 1: If p divides m, then $m \equiv 0 \bmod p$, but also $m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv 0 \bmod p$, therefore $m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \bmod p$.

Case 2: If p does not divide m then $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. By Fermat's Little Theorem we have $m^{p-1} \equiv 1 \bmod p$. Since $e\tilde{d} \equiv 1 \bmod \psi(n)$, we have that $\psi(n)$ divides $e\tilde{d} - 1$.
This gives:

$$k\psi(n) = e\tilde{d} - 1, \text{ so } e\tilde{d} = k\psi(n) + 1 \text{ for some integer } k.$$

We therefore have:

$$m^{e\tilde{d}} = m^{k\psi(n)+1} = m * m^{k\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{gcd(p-1,q-1)}}$$

$$m^{e\tilde{d}} = m * (m^{p-1})^{k\frac{(q-1)}{gcd(p-1,q-1)}}$$

$$m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m * 1^{k\frac{(q-1)}{gcd(p-1,q-1)}} \bmod p$$

$$m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \bmod p$$

We can do similar calculations to show that $m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \bmod q$ by replacing p by q. Therefore we have now shown for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ that

$$m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \text{ and } p \text{ and } m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \bmod q$$

Hence we can concluce that $m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \bmod n$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.

2.1.2

Let $p = 881$, $q = 461$, and let $n = pq = 405141$. We have to show that $e = 3$ is an allowed encryption exponent for an RSA encryption system with modulus n. By the definition of RSA e must be chosen such that e and $\phi(n)$ are co-prime. Formally this means that $e \in \mathbb{Z}^*_{\phi(n)}$, where $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$.

$$gcd(3, (881-1)(461-1) = 1$$

This means that e and $\phi(n)$ are co-prime and therefore $e = 3$ is an allowed encryption exponent.

2.1.3

We have to find d_1 such that $ed_1 \equiv 1 \bmod \phi(n)$.

```
> p := 881; q := 461; e := 3;
d1 := mod(e^-1, (p-1)*(q-1))
```

Using the maple code above we find that $d_1 = 269867$

2.1.4

We have to find d_2 such that $ed_2 \equiv 1 \bmod \psi(n)$

```
> p := 881; q := 461; e := 3;
d2 := mod(e^-1, (p-1)*(q-1)/gcd(p-1, q-1))
```

Using the maple code above we find that $d_2 = 6747$.

2.1.5

Choosing $\psi(n)$ instead of $\phi(n)$ in the congruence for d means that the decryption becomes faster since

$$lcm(p-1, q-1) = \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{gcd(p-1, q-1)} \leq \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{2}$$

Because p and q are odd primes $gcd(p-1, q-1) \geq 2$.

Exercise 2.2

2.2.a

We have implemented trial division in maple with the following code:

```
> TrialDivision := proc (n::integer)
local i;
if n ≤ 1 then false
elif n = 2 then true
elif type(n, 'even') then false
else for i from 3 by 2 while i*i ≤ n do
if irem(n, i) = 0 then return false end if
end do;
true end if
end proc:
```

```
> result := 0;
for n from 25 to 25000 do
if TrialDivision(n) then result := result+1 end if
end do;
result;
```

Using this code we find that the number of primes s between 25 and 25000 is 2753.

$$s = 2753$$

2.2.b

We have implemented the Miller-Rabin algorithm with k iterations in maple with the following code:

```
> MillerRabin := proc (n::integer, k::integer)
local x, r, roll, s, d, i, a;
s := n-1; d := 0;
while mod(s, 2) = 0 do
s := (1/2)*s; d := d+1
end do;
```

```
for i to k do
roll := rand(2 .. n-1);
a := roll(); x := mod(a^s, n);
if x = 1 or x = n-1 then next end if;
for r to d-1 do x := mod(x^2, n);
if x = 1 then return false end if;
if x = n-1 then break end if
end do;
if x ≠ n-1 then return false end if
end do;
return true
end proc:
```

2.2.c

We use this maple code below and define $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ to find the smallest number of iterations needed such that we gets the correct answer s.

```
> result := 0;
for n from 25 to 25000 do
if MillerRabin(n, k) then result := result+1 end if
end do;
result;
```

This gives us the following table:

k	1	2	3	4
s	2792	2755	2754	2753

With $k = 4$ iterations we get the correct answer for s which is 2753.