

TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF DENMARK

01410 Cryptology 1

Homework 2

Authors:

 $\begin{array}{c} Andreas\ Hallberg\ Kjeldsen\\ s092638@student.dtu.dk \end{array}$

Morten Chabert ESKESEN s133304@student.dtu.dk

Exercise 2.1

2.1.1

We have to show that $m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \mod n$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. The keys e and \tilde{d} are chosen such that.

$$e\tilde{d} \equiv 1 \mod \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{\gcd(p-1,q-1)}$$

This means that for some positive integer k

$$e\tilde{d} = 1 + k \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{\gcd(p-1, q-1)}$$

We can rewrite this expression and get

$$m^{e\tilde{d}} \mod n = m^{1+k\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{gcd(p-1,q-1)}} \mod n$$

for some integer k.

If two integers x and y are congruent modulo n then they are also congruent modulo p and modulo q because both p and q divide n. The Chinese Remainder Theorem tells us that the reverse implication is also true. This means that if x and y are congruent modulo p and congruent modulo q, then they are also congruent modulo q.

We want to show that $m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \mod n$ so it will be sufficient to show that:

$$m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \mod p \text{ and } m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \mod q$$

First we will show that $m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \mod p$. We therefore have two cases to consider:

- 1. p divides m
- 2. p does not divide m.
- Case 1: If p divides m, then $m \equiv 0 \mod p$, but also $m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv 0 \mod p$, therefore $m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \mod p$.
- Case 2: If p does not divide m then $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. By Fermat's Little Theorem we have $m^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p$. Since $e\tilde{d} \equiv 1 \mod \psi(n)$, we have that $\psi(n)$ divides $e\tilde{d} 1$. This gives:

$$k\psi(n) = e\tilde{d} - 1$$
, so $e\tilde{d} = k\psi(n) + 1$ for some integer k .

We therefore have:

$$m^{e\tilde{d}} = m^{k\psi(n)+1} = m * m^{k\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{gcd(p-1,q-1)}}$$

$$m^{e\tilde{d}} = m * (m^{p-1})^{k\frac{(q-1)}{gcd(p-1,q-1)}}$$

$$m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m * 1^{k\frac{(q-1)}{gcd(p-1,q-1)}} \bmod p$$

$$m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \bmod p$$

We can do similar calculations to show that $m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \mod q$ by replacing p by q. Therefore we have now shown for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ that

$$m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m$$
 and p and $m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \mod q$

Hence we can conclude that $m^{e\tilde{d}} \equiv m \mod n$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.

2.1.2

Let p = 881, q = 461, and let n = pq = 405141. We have to show that e = 3 is an allowed encryption exponent for an RSA encryption system with modulus n. By the definition of RSA e must be chosen such that e and $\phi(n)$ are co-prime. Formally this means that $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{\phi(n)}^*$, where $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$.

$$gcd(3, (881 - 1)(461 - 1) = 1$$

This means that e and $\phi(n)$ are co-prime and therefore e=3 is an allowed encryption exponent.

2.1.3

We have to find d_1 such that $ed_1 \equiv 1 \mod \phi(n)$.

```
> p := 881; q := 461; e := 3;
d1 := mod(e^{-1}, (p-1)*(q-1))
```

```
> p := 881; q := 461; e := 3;
d1 := mod(e^{-1}, (p-1)*(q-1))
```

Using the maple code above we find that $d_1 = 269867$

2.1.4

We have to find d_2 such that $ed_2 \equiv 1 \mod \psi(n)$

> p := 881; q := 461; e := 3;
d2 :=
$$mod(e^{-1}, (p-1)*(q-1)/gcd(p-1, q-1))$$

```
> p := 881; q := 461; e := 3;
d2 := mod(e^{-1}, (p-1)*(q-1)/gcd(p-1, q-1))
```

Using the maple code above we find that $d_2 = 6747$.

2.1.5

Choosing $\psi(n)$ instead of $\phi(n)$ in the congruence for d means that the decryption becomes faster since

$$lcm(p-1, q-1) = \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{gcd(p-1, q-1)} \le \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{2}$$

Because p and q are odd primes $gcd(p-1, q-1) \ge 2$.

Exercise 2.2

2.2.a

We have implemented trial division in maple with the following code:

```
> TrialDivision := proc (n::integer) local i; if n \le 1 then false elif n = 2 then true elif type(n, 'even') then false else for i from 3 by 2 while i*i \le n do if irem(n, i) = 0 then return false end if end do; true end if end proc:
```

```
> TrialDivision := proc (n::integer)
local i;
if n ≤ 1 then false
elif n = 2 then true
elif type(n, 'even') then false
else for i from 3 by 2 while i*i ≤ n do
if irem(n, i) = 0 then return false end if
end do;
true end if
end proc:
```

```
> result := 0;
for n from 25 to 25000 do
if TrialDivision(n) then result := result+1 end if
end do;
result;
```

```
> result := 0;
for n from 25 to 25000 do
if TrialDivision(n) then result := result+1 end if
end do;
result;
```

Using this code we find that the number of primes s between 25 and 25000 is 2753.

$$s = 2753$$

2.2.b

We have implemented the Miller-Rabin algorithm with k iterations in maple with the following code:

```
> MillerRabin := proc (n::integer, k::integer)
local x, r, roll, s, d, i, a;
s := n-1; d := 0;
while mod(s, 2) = 0 do
s := (1/2)*s; d := d+1
end do:
for i to k do
roll := rand(2 \dots n-1);
a := roll(); x := mod(a^s, n);
if x = 1 or x = n-1 then next end if;
for r to d-1 do x := mod(x^2, n);
if x = 1 then return false end if;
if x = n-1 then break end if
end do;
if x \neq n-1 then return false end if
end do;
return true
end proc:
```

```
> MillerRabin := proc (n::integer, k::integer)
local x, r, roll, s, d, i, a;
s := n-1; d := 0;
while mod(s, 2) = 0 do
s := (1/2)*s; d := d+1
end do;
for i to k do
roll := rand(2 .. n-1);
a := roll(); x := mod(a^s, n);
if x = 1 or x = n-1 then next end if;
for r to d-1 do x := mod(x^2, n);
if x = 1 then return false end if;
if x = n-1 then break end if
end do:
if x \neq n-1 then return false end if
end do;
return true
end proc:
```

2.2.c

We use this maple code below and define k = 1, 2, 3, ... to find the smallest number of iterations needed such that we gets the correct answer s.

```
> result := 0; for n from 25 to 25000 do if MillerRabin2(n, k) then result := result+1 end if end do; result;
```

```
> result := 0;
for n from 25 to 25000 do
if MillerRabin2(n, k) then result := result+1 end if
end do;
result;
```

This gives us the following table:

| k | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---|------|------|------|------|
| s | 2792 | 2755 | 2754 | 2753 |

With k = 4 iterations we get the correct answer for s which is 2753.