# Assignment 2 (main part): A Boa Interpreter

#### Version 1.0

Due: Wednesday, September 22 at 20:00

The objective of this assignment is to gain practical experience with using monads to structure simple interpreters. Please read through the *entire* assignment text before you start working on it.

**Note** This assignment also includes a collection of simple warm-up exercises, as described on Absalon. For those, you are *only* asked to submit your working code, but not a separate design/implementation document, assessment, or evidence of testing. If you want to communicate anything extra about your solutions to the warm-up exercises, place your remarks as comments in the source code.

## 1 The Boa language

The language Boa is a tiny subset of Python 3, with the intent that valid Boa programs should normally give the same result as when run in Python. In this assignment, we will only work with the abstract syntax of Boa; next week, we will consider concrete syntax and parsing.

Boa works with a type of structured values, given by the following algebraic datatype:

```
data Value =
    NoneVal
    | TrueVal | FalseVal
    | IntVal Int
    | StringVal String
    | ListVal [Value]
```

That is, a Boa value is one of the three special atoms None, True, or False, an integer, a character string, or a (possibly empty) list of values.

A Boa expression has one of the following forms:

```
data Exp =
    Const Value
    | Var VName
    | Oper Op Exp Exp
    | Not Exp
    | Call FName [Exp]
    | List [Exp]
    | Compr Exp [CClause]

type VName = String
type FName = String
```

The intended semantics of expressions should be unsurprising, with the following notes:

- Const v evaluates to the value v.
- Var x evaluates to the value currently bound to the variable x. If x has no current binding, its evaluation signals an error.
- Oper o  $e_1$   $e_2$  applies the operator o to the values of  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  (which must be evaluated in that order). The meanings of the arithmetic operators (Plus, ..., Mod) are the obvious ones, with both arguments required to be integers (otherwise an error is signaled). An attempted division or modulo by 0 also signals an error.
  - The comparison operators (Eq. Less, Greater) return (the Boa atoms) True or False, depending on whether the corresponding relation holds between the arguments or not. Eq can be used to compare arbitrary values for structural equality, while the other two can only compare integers. Finally, the operator In requires its second argument value to be a list, and checks whether the first one occurs (as determined by an Eq-test) anywhere in that list.
- Not e returns the logical negation of the value of its argument. For this purpose, the atoms None and False, the integer 0, the empty string, and the empty list are considered to represent falsehood (and so their negation returns True), while all other values are considered to represent truth (and so return False when negated).
- Call f [ $e_1, ..., e_n$ ] (where  $n \ge 0$ ) evaluates the argument tuple ( $e_1, ..., e_n$ ) (from left to right) and then calls the built-in function f on the resulting values. Currently, there are only two such functions in Boa:
  - range may be called on between 1 and 3 integer arguments. The most general form, with arguments  $(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ , generates the list of integers from  $n_1$  to (but not including!)  $n_2$ , stepping by  $n_3$ . For example, taking  $n_1 = 3$ ,  $n_2 = 10$  (or 11), and  $n_3 = 2$  would generate the list value [3, 5, 7, 9]. The step size  $n_3$  may be positive or negative, but not 0. If  $n_1 \ge n_2$  (when  $n_3 > 0$ ) or  $n_1 \le n_2$  (when  $n_3 < 0$ ), the result list will be empty.
    - If only two arguments are supplied, they are used for  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , with  $n_3$  taken to be 1; and a single argument is used as the value of  $n_2$ , with  $n_1 = 0$  and  $n_3 = 1$ .
  - print may be called on any number of arguments, of any types. It prints all the arguments on a single line, separated by single spaces. Simple values (atoms and integers) are printed in the natural way. Strings are printed directly, without any outer quotes.<sup>1</sup> Lists are printed between "[" and "]", with the elements separated

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note that, in Python, when a string is not an *immediate* argument to **print**, but occurs deeper within a list or other data structure being printed, it is formatted in a style that can be easily parsed back in. Specifically, such strings are surrounded by single quotes ("'"), and any quote, backslash, or newline characters in the string are rendered as the two-character sequences "\'", "\\", and "\n", respectively. You are allowed, but *not* required, to implement this refined behavior in Boa.

by ", " (comma and space). The result of the call to print is always just the special atom None.

For example, a call to print where the arguments evaluate to:

```
[IntVal 42, StringVal "foo", ListVal [TrueVal, ListVal []], IntVal (-1)] should result in the following line of output (with no leading or trailing spaces):
```

```
42 foo [True, []] -1
```

Attempting to call any other function than the above two signals an error.

- List  $[e_1,...,e_n]$  (where  $n \geq 0$ ) simply evaluates the expressions  $e_1,...,e_n$  (from left to right) to values, and packages those up as a single list-value.
- Compr  $e_0$  [ $cc_1,...,cc_n$ ] (where  $n \ge 0$ ) is a list comprehension, essentially analogous to Haskell's [ $e_0 \mid q_1, ..., q_n$ ]. Here, a Boa for-clause CCFor x e corresponds to a Haskell generator  $x \leftarrow e$ . (If e does not evaluate to a list value, an error is signaled.) The binding for x may shadow any previous bindings for x, and is visible in all the following clauses, as well as in the body expression  $e_0$ .

The Boa if-clause CCIf e corresponds to a boolean guard in Haskell, where the value of e is interpreted as a truth value in the same way as for Not-expressions above, so that, e.g., CCIf (List []) would be considered a failing guard, while CCIf (ConstVal (IntVal 7)) would succeed.

Note that, in the concrete syntax of Boa, we will later require that  $n \geq 1$ , and that  $cc_1$  is a CCFor-clause (not CCIf). However, the semantics of the Boa abstract syntax, like Haskell, imposes no such restrictions.

Also, remember that all Boa expressions (including, but not limited to,  $e_0$ ) may print output as a side effect of evaluation.

Finally, a Boa program consists of a sequence of *statements*:

```
type Program = [Stmt]
data Stmt =
    SDef VName Exp
    | SExp Exp
```

A definition statement SDef x e evaluates e to a value, and binds x to that value for the remaining statements in the sequence. An expression statement SExp e just evaluates e and discards the result value. (But any printing and/or errors arising from evaluating e still take effect.)

Whenever an error is signaled, evaluation or execution stops immediately with an error message, and all current variable bindings are discarded. However, any lines of output successfully generated before the error occurred are still kept.

An example of a larger Boa program, in both concrete and abstract syntax, as well as the expected output, can be found in appendix A.

# 2 A Boa interpreter

The above definitions (with the usual deriving-clauses for the datatype declarations) can be found in module BoaAST. The interpreter itself lives in the module BoaInterp, and introduces the following types:

```
type Env = [(VName, Value)]
data RunError = EBadVar VName | EBadFun FName | EBadArg String
  deriving (Eq, Show)
```

We have chosen to represent environments as simple association lists (i.e., lists of the form  $[(x_1,v_1),\ldots,(x_n,v_n)]$ , where the first binding (if any) for a variable x in the list is taken as the current one.

We distinguish between three kinds of runtime errors:  $\mathtt{EBadVar}\ x$  represents an attempt to access the unbound variable x; and correspondingly,  $\mathtt{EBadFun}\ f$  means that we attempted to call the undefined function f. All other possible errors have to do with passing an invalid argument or argument list to a Boa construct or function, and are represented as  $\mathtt{EBadArg}\ s$ , where s is some informative, human-readable error message. All errors in interpreted Boa programs should be reported through  $\mathtt{RunError}$ , and never by calling the Haskell  $\mathtt{error}$  function. (Actual flaws in the  $interpreter\ itself$ , such as unhandled Boa features, or somehow reaching "impossible" cases, may – if relevant – still use  $\mathtt{error}$ .)

The main type constructor for Boa computations is then the following:

```
newtype Comp a = Comp {runComp :: Env -> (Either RunError a, [String])}
```

That is, computations have (read-only) access to the environment, and return either a runtime error or a result of the expected type, together with (in either case) a possibly empty list of output lines produced by the computation.

Make Comp an instance of Monad (and Functor and Applicative as well, but you can use the usual boilerplate code for that). In the report, briefly explain how your return and >>= 'functions work. Then, define the following associated operations for the monad:

```
abort :: RunError -> Comp a
look :: VName -> Comp Value
withBinding :: VName -> Value -> Comp a -> Comp a
output :: String -> Comp ()
```

Here, abort re is used for signaling the runtime error re. look x returns the current binding of the variable x (or signals an EBadVar x error if x is unbound). Conversely, the operation withBinding x v m runs the computation m with x bound to v, in addition to any other current bindings. Finally, output s appends the line s to the output list. s should not include a trailing newline character (unless the line arises from printing a string value that itself contains an embedded newline).

The rest of your code (except where explicitly indicated below) should *not* depend on the exact definition of the type Comp a; that is, it should neither directly use the value constructor Comp nor the projection runComp, but always go through one of the above functions.

Next, define the following helper functions:

```
truthy :: Value -> Bool
operate :: Op -> Value -> Value -> Either String Value
apply :: FName -> [Value] -> Comp Value
```

truthy v simply determines whether the value v represents truth or falsehood, as previously specified. operate o  $v_1$   $v_2$  applies the operator o to the arguments  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , returning either the resulting value, or an error message if one or both arguments are inappropriate for the operation. Similarly, apply f  $[v_1, \ldots, v_n]$  applies the built-in function f to the (already

evaluated) argument tuple  $v_1, ..., v_n$ , possibly signaling an error if f is not a valid function name (EBadFun), or if the arguments are not valid for the function (EBadArg).

Finally, define the main interpreter functions,

```
eval :: Exp -> Comp Value
exec :: Program -> Comp ()
execute :: Program -> ([String], Maybe RunError)
```

eval e is the computation that evaluates the expression e in the current environment and returns its value. Likewise, exec p is the computation arising from executing the program (or program fragment) p, with no nominal return value, but with any side effects in p still taking place in the computation. Finally, execute p explicitly returns the list of output lines, and the error message (if relevant) resulting from executing p in the initial environment, which contains no variable bindings. (For implementing execute (only), you are allowed to use the runComp projection of the monad type.) In the report, explain at least how you implemented Boa's list comprehensions.

\*\*\*

Hint: when implementing the eval for comprehensions, start by covering the cases with exactly one clause in the list, then try to incrementally generalize your code to other clause lists.

### Driver program

For your convenience, we have provided a simple stand-alone wrapper for your interpreter: stack build will create the executable boa, which you can then run as stack run boa -- -i program.ast, where program.ast is the abstract syntax tree of the program. (Some examples are provided in the directory examples/). In particular, this will show you the printed output of the interpreted program in the form a Boa user would see it.

The rest of this document is essentially identical to that for Assignment 1, but is repeated here for easy reference. Any significant changes are highlighted in red.

### 3 What to hand in

#### 3.1 Code

Form To facilitate both human and automated feedback, it is very important that you closely follow the code-packaging instructions in this section. We provide skeleton/stub files for all the requested functionality in both the warm-up and the main part. These stub files are packaged in the handed-out code.zip. It contains a single directory code/, with a couple of subdirectories organized as Stack projects. You should edit the provided stub files as directed, and leave everything else unchanged.

It is crucial that you not change the provided types of any exported functions, as this will make your code incompatible with our testing framework. Also, *do not* remove the bindings for any functions you do not implement; just leave them as undefined.

When submitting the assignment, package your code up again as a single code.zip (not.rar,.tar.gz, or similar), with exactly the same structure as the original one. When rebuilding code.zip, please take care to include only the files that constitute your actual submission: your source code and supporting files (build configuration, tests, etc.), but not obsolete/experimental versions, backups, editor autosave files, revision-control metadata, .stack-work directories, and the like. If your final code.zip is substantially larger than the handed-out version, you probably included something that you shouldn't have.

For the warm-up part, just put your function definitions in code/part1/src/Warmup.hs, where indicated.

For the main part, your code must be placed in the file code/part2/src/BoaInterp.hs. It should only export the requested functionality. Any tests or examples should be put in a separate module under code/part2/tests/. For inspiration, we have provided a very minimalistic (and far from complete) test suite in code/part2/tests/Test.hs. If you are using Stack (and why wouldn't you be?), you can build and run the suite by stack test from the directory code/part2/.

The definitions for this assignment (e.g., type Exp) are available in file .../src/BoaAST.hs. You should only import this module, and not directly copy its contents into BoaInterp. And of course, do not modify anything in BoaAST.

Content As always, your code should be appropriately commented. In particular, try to give brief informal specifications for any auxiliary "helper" functions you define, whether locally or globally. On the other hand, avoid trivial comments that just rephrase in English what the code is already clearly saying in Haskell. Try to use a consistent indentation style, and avoid lines of over 80 characters, since those will typically be wrapped in printed listings (or if someone uses a narrower editor window than you), making them hard to read.

You may (but shouldn't need to, for this assignment) import additional functionality from the core GHC libraries only: your solution code should compile with a stack build issued from the directory code/partn/, using the provided package.yaml. (For your testing, you may use additional relevant packages from the course-mandated version of the Stack LTS distribution. We strongly recommend using Tasty for organizing your tests.

In your test suite, remember to also include any relevant *negative* test cases, i.e., tests verifying that your code correctly detects and reports error conditions. Also, if some functionality is known to be missing or wrong in your code, the corresponding test cases should still compare against the *correct* expected output for the given input (i.e., the test should *fail*), not against whatever incorrect result your code currently returns.

Your code should ideally give no warnings when compiled with ghc(i) -W; otherwise, add a comment explaining why any such warning is harmless or irrelevant in each particular instance. If some problem in your code prevents the whole file from compiling at all, be sure to comment out the offending part before submitting, or all the automated tests will fail.

#### 3.2 Report

In addition to the code, you must submit a short (normally 1–2 pages) report, covering the following two points, for the main (not warm-up) part only:

- Document any (non-trivial) design and implementation choices you made. This includes, but is not necessarily limited to, answering any questions explicitly asked in the assignment text (marked with \*\*\* in the margin, for extra emphasis). Focus on high-level aspects and ideas, and explain why you did something non-obvious, not only what you did. It is rarely appropriate to do a detailed function-by-function code walk-through in the report; technical remarks about how the functions work belong in the code as comments.
- Give an honest, justified assessment of the quality of your submitted code, and the degree to which it fulfills the requirements of the assignment (to the best of your understanding and knowledge), Be sure to clearly explain any known or suspected deficiencies.
  - It is very important that you document on what your assessment is based (e.g., wishful thinking, scattered examples, systematic tests, correctness proofs?). Include any auto-

mated tests you wrote with your source submission, make it clear how to run them, and *summarize* the results in the report. If there were some aspects or properties of your code that you couldn't easily test in an automated way, explain why.

We strongly suggest (and may later mandate) that you structure your assessment into the following subsections/paragraphs:

- Completeness Is all the asked-for (as well as optional) functionality implemented, at least in principle, even if not necessarily fully working? If not, do you have any concrete ideas for how to implement the missing parts?
- Correctness Does all implemented functionality work correctly, or are there known bugs or other limitations? In the latter case, do you have any ideas on how to potentially address those problems?
- **Efficiency** Does the runtime time and space usage of your code (as you would expect it to be executed by Haskell; you don't need to actually benchmark it) reasonably match, at least asymptotically, what one would naturally assume from a proper implementation? If not, do you have ideas on how to non-trivially improve the performance of your code?
- Robustness Where relevant (which might be nowhere), how does your code (including all exported functions, not just execute) behave when used out of spec, i.e., when given inputs that may be nominally type-correct, but are still illegal/invalid for some more complicated reason? By definition, there is no prescribed "correct" behavior for such cases, but it should preferably still be "reasonable": stopping with an informative error may be sensible; crashing out with a Haskell pattern-match error or similar is probably not. (Note that, for runtime errors caused by the interpreted Boa program (say, division by zero), the correct behavior of the interpreter is specified, namely to return the relevant RunError.)
- Maintainability Are common code snippets reasonably shared through parameterized auxiliary definitions, or is there a lot of code duplication in the form of copy-pasted segments with minor changes? (Note that this concern potentially also applies to your test suite!) Does your code respect the monadic abstraction by only expressing the required functionality through the associated accessor functions (abort, look, etc.) rather than relying directly on the implementation of Comp? Is the code otherwise in what you would consider in good shape (properly laid out, commented, etc.)?

Other Anything else you consider worth mentioning, both positive and negative.

The first two points should be substantiated by reference to the results of your formal testing. For the others, you should also justify your assessment by relevant examples or other evidence.

Your report submission should be a single PDF file named report.pdf, uploaded along with (not inside!) code.zip. The report should include a listing of your code and tests (but not the already provided auxiliary files) as an appendix.

#### 3.3 Timesheet

In this year's run of AP, we have particular focus on student workload in the course. To help us get a more detailed, complete, and timely picture than what's provided by the formal course evaluation at the end of the block, we ask you to fill in a short timesheet for each week, detailing how much time you spent on the various parts and aspects of the assignment, as well as on other course-related activities.

The timesheet template is located in a separate file, timesheet.txt, in the main code directory, and is meant to be machine-processed, so it's important that you fill it out properly. In particular, for each time category, you should report the time you spent in hours and/or minutes, in a natural format, e.g., "2 h", "15m", "120 m", "1h30m", etc. It is particularly important that you remember to include the units, as there is no default.

If you don't know or remember how much time you spent in a paricular category, give your best estimate. If you have no meaningful number for one or more categories, or prefer not to say, just write the time as a single "x".

You may include comments (starting with "#" and running till the end of the line) explaining or elaborating on your numbers, especially if you think they may be atypical. However, the timesheets are mainly intended for automated processing, so any textual comments may not get systematically registered. If you have any important points or observations that you want to bring to our attention, you should address them directly to a member of the teaching staff.

To help ensure a consistent interpretation of the categories, please use the following guidelines for accounting for the various course-related activities:

**Assignment** (Not an actual category. Leave as the number 1 for identification).

Installation Time spent on getting GHC/Stack installed and running on your platform. Do not include non-essential setup tweaks (such as getting syntax highlighting to work in your favorite editor, or similar.) This category will probably be zero for Assignment 2, assuming that you did the necessary installation and setup for Assignment 1.

**Reading** Time spent on the recommended readings for this week, even if not directly relevant for the assignment, as well as any supplementary reading (possibly from other than the suggested sources) that you did specifically to make progress on the assignment.

**Lectures** Time spent on attending/watching the lectures. This could be less than the nominal 4 hours/week, if you skipped (parts of) a lecture for whatever reason.

**Exploration** Time spent on Haskell programming in *non-mandatory* activities, whether based on the suggested exercises or your own experiments. This also includes work on the *optional* parts of the assignment, regardless of whether you ultimately hand it in for feedback.

Warmup Time spent on the warm-up part of the assignment.

**Development** Time spent on writing and debugging the code in the main part of the assignment, *including* any integrated or ad-hoc testing you did during development.

**Tests** Time, above and beyond the above development time, dedicated specifically to documenting your testing in the form of an automated test suite. Note that this could be (close to) zero, if you already wrote the relevant test cases before, or together with, the code.

**Report** Time for writing up your design/implementation decisions and assessment.

Other Any other course-related activities not properly covered by the above categories. If you report significant time here, it would helpful to include a brief explanatory note in a comment.

If you are working in a two-person group, please fill in the timesheet with the *averages* of your individual time usages in each category. If you believe that this would obscure something important in the data for a particular category, feel free to also include a comment with the two individual numbers.

If anything in the above directions is unclear (and would significantly affect the numbers you report), ask for clarification on the forum. Note that the categories and/or their descriptions may be adjusted for the later assignments, as needed.

Your timesheet numbers (or explicit lack thereof) will not affect your assignment grade, or be commented on by the TAs. But we hope that you will answer as accurately and completely as you can, to help us get a proper sense of what activities contribute significantly to the AP workload, and where specific corrective actions may be indicated for later assignments and/or future runs of the course.

You do not have to time yourself with a stopwatch or similar, but do try to account for any significant pauses or interruptions (e.g., lunch breaks) in blocks of time nominally dedicated to particular activities. You may find a dedicated time-tracking tool (such as clockify.me, or any number of free apps) useful and informative in general, not only for AP.

#### 3.4 General

Detailed upload instructions, in particular regarding the logistics of group submissions, can be found on the Absalon submission page.

We also *expect* to provide an automated system to give you preliminary feedback on your planned code submission, including matters of form, style, correctness, etc. You are **strongly advised** to take advantage of this opportunity to validate your submission, and – if necessary – fix or otherwise address (e.g., by documenting as known flaws) any legitimate problems it uncovers.

Note, however, that passing the automated tests is *not* a substitute for doing *and document-ing* your own testing. Your assessment must be able to stand alone, without leaning on the output from our tool.

### A A sample Boa program

Here is a larger example of a Boa program, in concrete syntax for readability (available in the handout as file code/part2/examples/misc.boa):

```
squares = [x*x for x in range(10)];
print([123, [squares, print(321)]]);
print('Odd squares:', [x for x in squares if x % 2 == 1]);
n = 5;
composites = [j for i in range(2, n) for j in range(i*2, n*n, i)];
print('Printing all primes below', n*n);
[print(x) for x in range(2, n*n) if x not in composites]
And here is the corresponding abstract syntax, as a Haskell value of type Program (file .../misc.ast):
[SDef "squares"
      (Compr (Oper Times (Var "x") (Var "x"))
             [CCFor "x" (Call "range" [Const (IntVal 10)])]),
 SExp (Call "print" [List [Const (IntVal 123),
                           List [Var "squares",
                                  Call "print" [Const (IntVal 321)]]]),
 SExp (Call "print" [Const (StringVal "Odd squares:"),
                     Compr (Var "x") [CCFor "x" (Var "squares"),
                                       CCIf (Oper Eq (Oper Mod (Var "x")
                                                                (Const (IntVal 2)))
                                                      (Const (IntVal 1)))]]),
 SDef "n" (Const (IntVal 5)),
 SDef "composites"
      (Compr (Var "j") [CCFor "i" (Call "range" [Const (IntVal 2), Var "n"]),
                        CCFor "j" (Call "range" [Oper Times (Var "i")
                                                              (Const (IntVal 2)),
                                                  Oper Times (Var "n") (Var "n"),
                                                  Var "i"])]),
 SExp (Call "print" [Const (StringVal "Printing all primes below"),
                     Oper Times (Var "n") (Var "n")]),
 SExp (Compr (Call "print" [Var "x"])
             [CCFor "x" (Call "range" [Const (IntVal 2),
                                        Oper Times (Var "n") (Var "n")]),
              CCIf (Not (Oper In (Var "x") (Var "composites")))])]
When run, this program should print the following lines, all without leading or trailing spaces
(file .../misc.out):
321
[123, [[0, 1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, 49, 64, 81], None]]
Odd squares: [1, 9, 25, 49, 81]
Printing all primes below 25
3
5
7
11
13
17
19
23
```