

# Floyd warshall deep reinforcement learning

## Abstract

Problem: Multi-goal navigation without mapping  
Model free deep reinforcement learning is to learn  $\chi(a|s)$  or  $Q(s, a)$

Model based deep reinforcement learning is to learn  $P_T(s_{t+1}|s_t, a_t)$  and  $V(s)$ . and planning on a graph to get the shortest path. Note that  $P_T(\cdot)$  is highly sparse and keeping a list of non-zero  $s_{t+1}$  is much better than keeping all the value of  $P_T(\cdot)$  for all  $s_{t+1} \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Floyd-Warshall deep reinforcement learning is to generalize model based DRL to directly learn the  $F(s_j|s_i, a_i)$  which is the cost of reaching state  $s_j$  starting from  $s_i$  when the first action taken is  $a_i$ . If we directly try to learn  $F(\cdot)$  we are likely to get conflicting results that do not obey FW identity  $F(s_j|s_i, a_i) = \min_{s_k} \min_a F(s_j|s_k, a) + F(s_k|s_i, a_i)$  It is expected that since we will be visiting nearby states more often, so the  $F(\cdot)$  will be consistent over small distances but will grow inconsistent over large distances. We can draw few samples from  $F(\cdot)$  to check for it's inconsistencies and then plan over graph over higher ranges.

## Claims

- Using Floyd Warshall value function leads to better generalization in case of static maps and random goals.
- Hypothesis: Multi-goal navigation is more common than we think. Does FW algo improves performance in attari games.

## Related work

### Navigation with mapping

(1) CMP from Saurabh Gupta: is metric, might not working in continuous spaces. (2) Semi-parametric Topological mapping: is not end to end. (3) Neural Map: Is actually not mapping

### Model free DRL

does not generalize to multi-goal environments.

### Model based DRL

Needs more exploration. Find the paper that shows that Model based DRL can actually compete with Model free DRL as long as it models uncertainty.

Copyright © 2018, Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (www.aaai.org). All rights reserved.

## Multi-goal navigation based papers

Mirowski 2017, 2018: No one shot map learning, does not generalizes to new maps.

## Method

See Alg ?? Over simplified. Ignoring the cost of going through the entire state space.

---

**Algorithm 1:** How to solve small windy grid world with randomized goals?

---

```

Data: Graph  $G_0 = (V, E)$ ;
Initialize  $F(s_i, a_i, s_j; \theta_F) = 100$  ;
Initialize  $Q(s_i, a_i; \theta_Q) = 1$  ;
Initialize  $\alpha_V = 0.1, \alpha_Q = 0.9$  ;
Let minimum path cost  $F_0 = 0.05$  ;
Observe  $z_0$  from environment ;
 $s_0 = \Phi_o(z_0; \theta_E)$  ;
for  $t \leftarrow 1$  to do
    Take action  $a_{t-1}$ ;
    Observe  $z_t, r_t$ ;
    Encode state  $s_t = \Phi_o(z_{1:t}; \theta_E)$ ;
    /* Initialize new FW values */
     $F(s, a, s_t) = \min\{F(s, a, s_t), F(s, a, s_{t-1}) + F_0\} \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, a \in \mathcal{A}$  ;
    /* Q-Value update */
     $Q(s_{t-1}, a_{t-1}) = (1 - \alpha_Q)(r_t + \gamma \max_{a_k} Q(s_t, a_k)) + \alpha_Q Q(s_{t-1}, a_{t-1})$ ;
    if  $s_t$  is visited the first time then
        for  $(s_i, s_k, a_k) \in (\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A})$  do
            /* Run the Floyd Warshall update */
             $F(s_k, a_k, s_i) = \min\{F(s_k, a_k, s_i), F(s_k, a_k, s_t) + \min_{a \in \mathcal{A}} F(s_t, a, s_i)\}$  ;
             $Q(s_k, a_k) = \max\{Q(s_k, a_k), \max_a Q(s_i, a) - F(s_k, a_k, s_i)\}$  ;

```

**Result:** To follow the shortest path  $s_i$  to  $s_j$ , follow the neighbors with highest  $Q$ ;

$\chi(s_k) = \arg \max_{a_k \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s_k, a_k)$ ;

---

## Experiments

- Grid world: Set up a random goal static maze scenario, compare with normal Q-learning.
- Deepmind Lab: Set up a random goal static maze scenario, compare with normal Q-learning.
- Atari games: Compare performance with normal Q-learning. Analyze games in which FW does better. Show that those games have dynamic goals rather than static.