Link findings to N uptake and the whole system N budget.

Future directions: modeling work to scale up advection measurements to the whole system; use model to play design scenario games to possibly optimize arid land CTW efficiency.

Inform better CTW designs for arid land cities

Are low-magnitude velocity measurements made with dye studies in marshes robust? Bring in precision/error measurements here.

Discuss our advection vs. diffusion + dispersion findings; look in literature for other studies that have measured these in either field or wet lab/flume settings.

Compare our flow rates with those from the literature for other CTWs and other marshes in general; Compare our ET rates with those from the literature for other CTWs and other marshes in general;

Consider making an estimate of likely winter advection/flow rates based on the ET-based water residence time data.

Consider modeling different plant composition scenarios and how each affects flow rates and water residence times.

Advection measurements from both AM and PM experiments; includes maximum and average velocities and combination of measurements from different sampling points into a single whole-system velocity estimate; precision of values.

Estimates of rates of dispersion and diffusion; comparison of these rates with rates of advection; confirmation of directional water flow into the marsh.

Present full 4 years of biomass, ET, & water budget data; spin in better estimates of marsh water volume by discussing Dakota's wrack volume estimates; refined water budget-based residence times; make the jump from advection measurements to marsh water residence times and comparison to estimates from Sanchez et al. (2015).

Conclusions

Discussion

Results

Confirming a plantmediated "biological tide" in a arid land constructed treatment wetland (maybe submit to Ecol. Applications)

Methods

Introduction

CTWs & urban sustainability; turquoise infrastructure; arid land CTWs as potentially unique

The "biological tide" first identified with a whole-system water budget of T.R. (Sanchez et al. 2015); links to N uptake efficiency of the CTW.

Objective of this paper: Verify the ET-based water budget calculations by measuring actual water flow into the marsh; literature from other dye/tracer studies in marshes to measure water flow. Note that the scale of measurements (whole system vs. small) and scale of expected/actual velocities is important here.

Approach: methodological comparison (brief) and why a dye study was used here; low velocities limit methodological options. Also pull in literature on marsh flume studies and the importance of a flume to this research. Brief overview of our approach.

Briefly overview the ET-based water budget approach, citing Sanchez et al. (2015); explanation of why dye studies were done in July. Importance of good estimates of marsh water volume; wrack volume as an unknown and how we measured/estimated this (Dakota's work).

Briefly overview plant biomass measurements and importance of this to the "biol. tide", citing Weller et al. (2015). B/c T.R. is being dominated by Typha we did our experiments in Typha marsh.

Summer 2014 pilot dye study results and importance to refining approach used in Summer 2015). The need to constrict flow b/c of low velocities and high dispersion + diffusion/advection ratio; best time step to collect samples.

Experimental set-up: 1) location of flume & experiment; 2) flume dimensions & design specs; 3) sampling locations and time intervals + duration; 4) ran 2 experiments--one started in the AM and 1 started in the PM: 5) defend use of Rhodamine vs. Fluorescene or a dissolved conservative tracer.

Lab analyses: 1) sample prep: sample and standard dilutions; analyses done immediately; 2) type of fluorometer, wavelength chosen for analysis, calibration curves.

Data analysis: 1) baseline/background fluorescence accounted for; 2) highest velocity (breakthrough curve and first peak) and average velocity (area under curve); 3) estimates of dispersion and diffusion rates; 4) comparison/contrast of AM vs. PM start times; 5) resolve multiple velocity estimates from different sampling points.

Actual comparison of Sanchez et al. (2015) estimates to dye study velocity measurements.