This is the k-nearest neighbors workbook for ECE C147/C247 Assignment #2

Please follow the notebook linearly to implement k-nearest neighbors.

Please print out the workbook entirely when completed.

The goal of this workbook is to give you experience with the data, training and evaluating a simple classifier, k-fold cross validation, and as a Python refresher.

Import the appropriate libraries

```
In [1]:
         import numpy as np # for doing most of our calculations
         import matplotlib.pyplot as plt# for plotting
         from utils.data utils import load CIFAR10 # function to load the CIFAR-10 dataset.
         # Load matplotlib images inline
         %matplotlib inline
         # These are important for reloading any code you write in external .py files.
         # see http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1907993/autoreload-of-modules-in-ipython
         %load ext autoreload
         %autoreload 2
In [2]:
         # Set the path to the CIFAR-10 data
         cifar10 dir = './cifar-10-batches-py' # You need to update this line
         X train, y train, X test, y test = load CIFAR10(cifar10 dir)
         # As a sanity check, we print out the size of the training and test data.
         print('Training data shape: ', X train.shape)
         print('Training labels shape: ', y train.shape)
         print('Test data shape: ', X test.shape)
         print('Test labels shape: ', y test.shape)
        Training data shape: (50000, 32, 32, 3)
        Training labels shape: (50000,)
        Test data shape: (10000, 32, 32, 3)
        Test labels shape: (10000,)
In [3]:
         # Visualize some examples from the dataset.
         # We show a few examples of training images from each class.
         classes = ['plane', 'car', 'bird', 'cat', 'deer', 'dog', 'frog', 'horse', 'ship', 'truck']
         num classes = len(classes)
         samples per class = 7
         for y, cls in enumerate(classes):
             idxs = np.flatnonzero(y train == y)
             idxs = np.random.choice(idxs, samples per class, replace=False)
             for i, idx in enumerate(idxs):
                 plt idx = i * num classes + y + 1
                 plt.subplot(samples per class, num classes, plt idx)
                 plt.imshow(X train[idx].astype('uint8'))
                 plt.axis('off')
                 if i == 0:
                     plt.title(cls)
         plt.show()
```



```
In [4]:
# Subsample the data for more efficient code execution in this exercise
num_training = 5000
mask = list(range(num_training))
X_train = X_train[mask]
y_train = y_train[mask]

num_test = 500
mask = list(range(num_test))
X_test = X_test[mask]
y_test = y_test[mask]

# Reshape the image data into rows
X_train = np.reshape(X_train, (X_train.shape[0], -1))
X_test = np.reshape(X_test, (X_test.shape[0], -1))
print(X_train.shape, X_test.shape)
(5000, 3072) (500, 3072)
```

K-nearest neighbors

In the following cells, you will build a KNN classifier and choose hyperparameters via k-fold cross-validation.

```
In [5]:  # Import the KNN class
    from nndl import KNN

In [6]:  # Declare an instance of the knn class.
    knn = KNN()

    # Train the classifier.
    # We have implemented the training of the KNN classifier.
    # Look at the train function in the KNN class to see what this does.
    knn.train(X=X_train, y=y_train)
```

Questions

- (1) Describe what is going on in the function knn.train().
- (2) What are the pros and cons of this training step?

Answers

- (1) In the function knn.train(), we simply assign our training data and labels into the knn model.
- (2) Pros: It is simple and fast, only O(1) time complexity.

Frobenius norm of L2 distances: 7906696.077040902

Cons: 1. It is memory-intensive because we need to store all the input data. If there are huge amounts of data, it will cost lots of memory. 2. Making prediction will be inefficient, and it will cost much time on computation.

KNN prediction

In the following sections, you will implement the functions to calculate the distances of test points to training points, and from this information, predict the class of the KNN.

```
In [7]: # Implement the function compute_distances() in the KNN class.
# Do not worry about the input 'norm' for now; use the default definition of the norm
# in the code, which is the 2-norm.
# You should only have to fill out the clearly marked sections.

import time
time_start =time.time()

dists_L2 = knn.compute_distances(X=X_test)

print('Time to run code: {}'.format(time.time()-time_start))
print('Frobenius norm of L2 distances: {}'.format(np.linalg.norm(dists_L2, 'fro')))
Time to run code: 34.11346912384033
```

Really slow code

Note: This probably took a while. This is because we use two for loops. We could increase the speed via vectorization, removing the for loops.

If you implemented this correctly, evaluating np.linalg.norm(dists_L2, 'fro') should return: ~7906696

KNN vectorization

The above code took far too long to run. If we wanted to optimize hyperparameters, it would be time-expensive. Thus, we will speed up the code by vectorizing it, removing the for loops.

```
In [8]:
# Implement the function compute_L2_distances_vectorized() in the KNN class.
# In this function, you ought to achieve the same L2 distance but WITHOUT any for loops.
# Note, this is SPECIFIC for the L2 norm.

time_start =time.time()
dists_L2_vectorized = knn.compute_L2_distances_vectorized(X=X_test)
print('Time to run code: {}'.format(time.time()-time_start))
print('Difference in L2 distances between your KNN implementations (should be 0): {}'.form

Time to run code: 0.19804787635803223
```

Difference in L2 distances between your KNN implementations (should be 0): 0.0

Speedup

Depending on your computer speed, you should see a 10-100x speed up from vectorization. On our computer, the vectorized form took 0.36 seconds while the naive implementation took 38.3 seconds.

Implementing the prediction

Now that we have functions to calculate the distances from a test point to given training points, we now implement the function that will predict the test point labels.

```
In [9]:
      # Implement the function predict labels in the KNN class.
      # Calculate the training error (num incorrect / total samples)
      # from running knn.predict labels with k=1
      error = 1
      # YOUR CODE HERE:
       Calculate the error rate by calling predict labels on the test
       data with k = 1. Store the error rate in the variable error.
      # ----- #
      y pred = knn.predict labels(dists L2 vectorized, 1)
      num incorrect = 0
      for i in np.arange(num_test):
        if y pred[i] != y test[i]:
           num incorrect += 1
      error = num incorrect/num test
      # END YOUR CODE HERE
      print(error)
```

0.726

If you implemented this correctly, the error should be: 0.726.

This means that the k-nearest neighbors classifier is right 27.4% of the time, which is not great, considering that chance levels are 10%.

Optimizing KNN hyperparameters

In this section, we'll take the KNN classifier that you have constructed and perform cross-validation to choose a best value of k, as well as a best choice of norm.

Create training and validation folds


First, we will create the training and validation folds for use in k-fold cross validation.

Optimizing the number of nearest neighbors hyperparameter.

In this section, we select different numbers of nearest neighbors and assess which one has the lowest k-fold cross validation error.

```
In [11]:
         time start =time.time()
         ks = [1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30]
         # ------ #
         # YOUR CODE HERE:
           Calculate the cross-validation error for each k in ks, testing
         # the trained model on each of the 5 folds. Average these errors
         # together and make a plot of k vs. cross-validation error. Since
         # we are assuming L2 distance here, please use the vectorized code!
           Otherwise, you might be waiting a long time.
         # ------ #
         errors = []
         for k in ks:
            error = 0
             for i in np.arange(num folds):
                # Train
                knn = KNN()
                X train fold = np.concatenate(X train folds[:i] + X train folds[(i+1):])
                y train fold = np.concatenate(y train folds[:i] + y train folds[(i+1):])
                X test fold = X train folds[i]
                y test fold = y train folds[i]
                knn.train(X=X_train_fold, y=y_train_fold)
                # Distance
                dists L2 vectorized = knn.compute L2 distances vectorized(X test fold)
                # Predict
                y pred = knn.predict labels(dists L2 vectorized, k)
                num incorrect = 0
                num test fold = y test fold.shape[0]
                for j in np.arange(num test fold):
                    if y pred[j] != y test fold[j]:
                       num incorrect += 1
                error += num incorrect/num test fold
             errors.append(error/num folds)
         print("Errors: {}".format(errors))
         plt.scatter(ks, errors)
         plt.title("k vs. Cross-Validation Error")
         plt.xlabel("ks")
```

Errors: [0.7344, 0.762600000000002, 0.75040000000001, 0.72679999999999, 0.7256, 0.719 8, 0.725, 0.721, 0.7242, 0.7266]



Computation time: 25.91

Questions:

- (1) What value of k is best amongst the tested k's?
- (2) What is the cross-validation error for this value of k?


Answers:


- (1) k = 10
- (2) error = 0.7198

Optimizing the norm

Next, we test three different norms (the 1, 2, and infinity norms) and see which distance metric results in the best cross-validation performance.

```
Feel free to use the compute distances function. We're testing just
   three norms, but be advised that this could still take some time.
   You're welcome to write a vectorized form of the L1- and Linf- norms
   to speed this up, but it is not necessary.
k = 10
errors = []
for norm in norms:
   error = 0
   for i in np.arange(num folds):
       # Train
       knn = KNN()
       X train fold = np.concatenate(X train folds[:i] + X train folds[(i+1):])
       y train fold = np.concatenate(y train folds[:i] + y train folds[(i+1):])
       X test fold = X train folds[i]
       y test fold = y train folds[i]
       knn.train(X=X train fold, y=y train fold)
       # Distance
       dists = knn.compute distances(X=X test fold, norm=norm)
       # Predict
       y pred = knn.predict labels(dists, k)
       num incorrect = 0
       num test fold = y test fold.shape[0]
       for j in np.arange(num test fold):
          if y pred[j] != y test fold[j]:
              num incorrect += 1
       error += num incorrect/num test fold
   errors.append(error/num folds)
print("Errors: {}".format(errors))
norms names = ['L1 norm', 'L2 norm', 'Linf norm']
plt.scatter(norms names, errors)
plt.title("Norm Used vs. Cross-Validation Error")
plt.xlabel("norms")
plt.ylabel("Cross-Validation Errors")
plt.show()
# END YOUR CODE HERE
# ------ #
print('Computation time: %.2f'%(time.time()-time start))
```





Computation time: 699.10

Questions:

- (1) What norm has the best cross-validation error?
- (2) What is the cross-validation error for your given norm and k?

Answers:

- (1) L1_norm
- (2) error = 0.6886

Evaluating the model on the testing dataset.

Now, given the optimal k and norm you found in earlier parts, evaluate the testing error of the k-nearest neighbors model.

```
In [13]:
      error = 1
      # YOUR CODE HERE:
        Evaluate the testing error of the k-nearest neighbors classifier
      # for your optimal hyperparameters found by 5-fold cross-validation.
      k = 10
      num test = 500
      # Train
      knn = KNN()
      knn.train(X=X train, y=y train)
      dists = knn.compute distances(X=X test, norm=L1 norm)
      # Predict
      y pred = knn.predict labels(dists, k)
      num incorrect = 0
      for i in np.arange(num test):
         if y pred[i] != y test[i]:
            num incorrect += 1
      error = num incorrect/num test
      # END YOUR CODE HERE
      print('Error rate achieved: {}'.format(error))
```

Error rate achieved: 0.722

Question:

How much did your error improve by cross-validation over naively choosing k=1 and using the L2-norm?

Answer:

k=1 and using the L2-norm: 0.726

k=10 and using the L1-norm: 0.722

Improvement: 0.726 - 0.722 = 0.004