## **FactBeer.sas and Annotated Output**

```
options pageno=min nodate options formdlim='-';
title 'Factor Analysis with Factor Scores Analyzed Within SAS'; run;
DATA BEER;
INPUT COST SIZE ALCOHOL REPUTAT COLOR AROMA TASTE ses group; CARDS;
90 80 70 20 50 70 60 2 1
75 95 100 50 55 40 65 1 1
10 15 20 85 40 30 50 4 2
100 70 50 30 75 60 80 3 2
20 10 25 35 30 35 45 4 1
50 100 100 30 90 75 100 3 1
5 15 15 75 20 10 25 2 1
65 30 35 80 80 60 90 6 2
95 95 100 0 80 70 95 2 1
85 80 70 40 60 50 65 2 1
0 0 20 30 80 90 100 8 2
10 25 10 100 50 40 60 5 2
80 70 50 50 40 20 50 1 1
25 35 30 40 45 30 65 3 2
5 10 15 65 50 65 85 7 2
20 5 10 40 60 50 95 7 2
70 60 70 75 10 15 25 0 1
50 15 20 50 10 5 50 2 1
75 50 95 40 0 0 40 0 1
15 10 25 30 95 80 100 8 2
80 90 100 50 20 . 40 0 1
Proc Factor METHOD=PRINIT REORDER ROTATE=VARIMAX
  PRIORS=SMC NFACTORS=2 RES;
  var cost size alcohol reputat color aroma taste; run;
DATA alpha; SET Beer; NegRep = -1*reputat;
Proc Corr nomiss nosimple nocorr alpha; Var color taste aroma negrep;
  Title 'Alpha for unit weighed AQ scale'; run;
Proc Corr nomiss nosimple nocorr alpha; Var color taste aroma;
  Title 'Alpha for AQ After Dumping the Reputation Variable'; run;
Proc Factor Data = Beer METHOD=PRINIT MSA REORDER ROTATE=VARIMAX
  PRIORS=SMC NFACTORS=2 RES OUT=DRINKME; var cost size alcohol color aroma
  Title 'Factor Analysis Without the Reputation Variable'; run;
Proc TTest; Class Group; Var Factor1 Factor2 SES;
   Title 'Comparing the Groups on the Factors'; run;
Proc Reg; Model SES = Factor1 Factor2 / stb;
   Title 'Predicting SES From the Factor Scores'; run;
Quit;
```

## The FACTOR Procedure Initial Factor Method: Iterated Principal Factor Analysis

#### Prior Communality Estimates: SMC

Initially the communality estimates are set equal to the  $\emph{R}^2$  between each variable and all others.

COST	SIZE	ALCOHOL	REPUTAT	COLOR	AROMA	TASTE
0.73827957	0.91237071	0.86624871	0.49900339	0.92159937	0.85659243	0.88110840
Strike 1 against the reputation variable.						

Preliminary Eigenvalues: Total = 5.67520258 Average = 0.81074323

Replacing the main diagonal of the correlation matrix with the SMCs eliminated 7-5.675 = 1.325 standardized units of unique variance.

	<mark>Eigenvalue</mark>	Difference	Proportion	Cumulative
1	<mark>3.13194493</mark>	0.66038240	0.5519	0.5519
2	<mark>2.47156254</mark>	2.27831311	0.4355	0.9874
3	0.19324943	0.15846194	0.0341	1.0214
4	0.03478749	0.03683286	0.0061	1.0275
5	00204537	0.06158917	-0.0004	1.0272
6	06363454	0.02702738	-0.0112	1.0160
7	09066191		-0.0160	1.0000

<sup>2</sup> factors will be retained by the NFACTOR criterion.

Now for some fine-tuning of the communalities. On the  $7^{th}$  iteration, the largest change in a communality is so small (.0008) that the iterative procedure stops.

Iteration	Change			C	ommunalitie	S		
1	0.0859	0.74071	0.91012	0.86478	0.41315	0.91020	0.87357	0.89097
2	0.0212	0.74328	0.91155	0.86557	0.39193	0.90384	0.88376	0.89671
3	0.0056	0.74455	0.91269	0.86595	0.38677	0.89948	0.88940	0.89958
4	0.0031	0.74508	0.91332	0.86599	0.38549	0.89651	0.89255	0.90101
5	0.0020	0.74528	0.91367	0.86592	0.38518	0.89454	0.89436	0.90175
6	0.0013	0.74536	0.91386	0.86583	0.38511	0.89328	0.89542	0.90214
7	<mark>0.0008</mark>	0.74539	0.91398	0.86575	<mark>0.38509</mark>	0.89249	0.89606	0.90236

If you sum .74539 through .90236 in the last row above, you get 5.601. Since we have seven variables, there are seven standardized units of variance with which to deal. We have eliminated the unique variance, leaving us with  $\frac{5.601}{1.000}$  units of common variance. The proportion of the variance which is common is  $\frac{5.601}{1.000}$  Notice the low communality for the reputation variable.

 ${\tt Convergence} \ {\tt criterion} \ {\tt satisfied}.$ 

Eigenvalues of	the I	Reduced Correla	tion Matrix:	Total = 5.601	Average = 0.80014261
		Eigenvalue	Difference	Proportion	Cumulative
	1	3.12341821	0.64572100	0.5577	0.5577

2	2.47769721	2.34512807	0.4424	1.0000
3	0.13256914	0.09183035	0.0237	1.0237
4	0.04073880	0.02115710	0.0073	1.0310
5	0.01958169	0.08869326	0.0035	1.0345
6	06911156	0.05478365	-0.0123	1.0221
7	12389521		-0.0221	1.0000

------

Factor Analysis with Factor Scores Analyzed Within SAS

2

## The FACTOR Procedure

Initial Factor Method: Iterated Principal Factor Analysis

#### Factor Pattern

These are the loadings prior to rotation. For each factor, if you square and then sum the loadings you obtain the eigenvalue for that factor.

	Factor1	Factor2
COLOR	0.78465	-0.52613
AROMA	0.75917	-0.56543
TASTE	0.73549	-0.60118
REPUTAT	-0.61434	-0.08763
ALCOHOL	0.59541	0.71501
COST	0.49364	0.70831
SIZE	0.64409	0.70649

This is prior to rotation. Factor 1 is everything else versus reputation. Factor 2 is Cheap Drunk versus Aesthetic Quality.

Variance Explained by Each Factor

Factor1 Factor2

3.1234182 2.4776972

 $3.123 + 2.478 = \frac{5.601}{0.000}$ . By retaining only two factors, we have captured 5.601 standardized units of the common variance, which is 100% of the common variance. We have captured 5.601/7 = 80% of the total variance.

Final Communality Estimates: Total = 5.601115

COST SIZE ALCOHOL REPUTAT COLOR AROMA TASTE

0.74538861 0.91397623 0.86575041 0.38509439 0.89248541 0.89605890 0.90236148

Notice the low communality of reputation. 1-.385 = 61.5% of its variance is unique.

#### Residual Correlations With Uniqueness on the Diagonal

	COST	SIZE	ALCOHOL	REPUTAT PROPERTY NAMED IN COLUMN TO A SECONDARY CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY NAMED IN COLUMN TO A SECONDARY COLU	COLOR	AROMA	TASTE
COST	0.25461	0.01350	-0.03295	-0.04022	0.00333	-0.02046	-0.00116
SIZE	0.01350	0.08602	0.01495	0.06527	0.04528	0.00810	-0.02321
ALCOHOL	-0.03295	0.01495	0.13425	-0.03471	-0.01884	-0.00354	0.00373
REPUTAT	-0.04022	0.06527	-0.03471	<mark>0.61491</mark>	0.06415	-0.02588	-0.04377
COLOR	0.00333	0.04528	-0.01884	0.06415	0.10751	0.01557	0.01003
AROMA	-0.02046	0.00810	-0.00354	-0.02588	0.01557	0.10394	-0.02806
TASTE	-0.00116	-0.02321	0.00373	-0.04377	0.01003	-0.02806	0.09764

From the factor solution, the original correlation matrix (among the variables) is reproduced. For a good solution the reproduced correlation matrix will be very similar to the original correlation matrix. The elements in the matrix above are the differences between the original correlation matrix and the reproduced correlation matrix. We want these to be small.

Root Mean Square Off-Diagonal Residuals: Overall = 0.03076137

COST SIZE ALCOHOL REPUTAT COLOR AROMA TASTE

0.02351006 0.03492867 0.02196769 0.04792968 0.03384833 0.01913133 0.02365473 These are averages of the off-diagonal elements for each variable. For cost, SQRT[(.0135<sup>2</sup> + .03295<sup>2</sup> + .04022<sup>2</sup> + .00333<sup>2</sup> + .02046<sup>2</sup> + .00116<sup>2</sup>)/6] = .0235.

Factor Analysis with Factor Scores Analyzed Within SAS

The FACTOR Procedure
Initial Factor Method: Iterated Principal Factor Analysis

## Partial Correlations Controlling Factors

	COST	SIZE	ALCOHOL	REPUTAT	COLOR	AROMA	TASTE
COST	1.00000	0.09122	-0.17820	-0.10165	0.02012	-0.12576	-0.00736
SIZE	0.09122	1.00000	0.13909	0.28379	0.47082	0.08563	-0.25321
ALCOHOL	-0.17820	0.13909	1.00000	-0.12082	-0.15684	-0.03001	0.03254
REPUTAT	-0.10165	0.28379	-0.12082	1.00000	0.24948	-0.10238	-0.17863
COLOR	0.02012	0.47082	-0.15684	0.24948	1.00000	0.14725	0.09793
AROMA	-0.12576	0.08563	-0.03001	-0.10238	0.14725	1.00000	-0.27849
TASTE	-0.00736	-0.25321	0.03254	-0.17863	0.09793	-0.27849	1.00000

Each of the off-diagonal elements shows the correlation between one variable and another after removing from each variable the variance captured by the two factors. Ideally all of the elements in this matrix will be small.

Root Mean Square Off-Diagonal Partials: Overall = 0.18443030

COST SIZE ALCOHOL REPUTAT COLOR AROMA TASTE

0.10542283 0.25862472 0.12400194 0.18712792 0.23811443 0.14931572 0.17525417 These are averages of the off-diagonal elements for each variable. For cost,  $SQRT[(.09122^2 + .1782^2 + .10165^2 + .02012^2 + .12576^2 + .00736^2)/6] = .1054$ .

------

3

## The FACTOR Procedure Rotation Method: Varimax

#### Orthogonal Transformation Matrix

	l	2
1	0.78851	0.61502
2	-0.61502	0.78851

#### Rotated Factor Pattern

These are the loadings after rotation.

	Factor1	Factor2
TASTE	0.94968	-0.02170
AROMA	<mark>0.94637</mark>	0.02106
COLOR	<mark>0.94228</mark>	0.06771
SIZE	0.07337	<mark>0.95320</mark>
ALCOHOL	0.02974	<mark>0.92998</mark>
COST	-0.04638	<mark>0.86211</mark>
REPUTAT	-0.43052	-0.44693

One generally is not happy when a variable loads moderately on two factors but not very well on either.

Variance Explained by Each Factor These are the sums of squared loadings after rotation.

Factor1 Factor2

2.8791761 2.7219393

Final Communality Estimates: Total = 5.601115 - same as before rotation

COST SIZE ALCOHOL REPUTAT COLOR AROMA TASTE

0.74538861 0.91397623 0.86575041 0.38509439 0.89248541 0.89605890 0.90236148

## Alpha for unit-weighed AQ scale

The CORR Procedure

4 Variables: COLOR TASTE AROMA NegRep

Cronbach Coefficient Alpha

Variables	Alpha
Raw	0.886401
Standardized	0.884389

Cronbach Coefficient Alpha with Deleted Variable

Standardized Variables

Raw Variables

Deleted Correlation Correlation Variable with Total with Total Alpha Alpha 0.859013 0.808945 COLOR 0.810187 0.855846 TASTE 0.879173 0.806773 0.873020 0.801949 AROMA 0.880661 0.802087 0.875726 0.800841 NegRep 0.433431 0.961259 0.434772 0.962033

The reputation variable is not well correlated with the total score produced by summing the scores on the other variables on the AQ scale. Deleting the reputation variable would increase Cronbach's alpha considerably (to .96). Strike 2 on the reputation variable.

5

The CORR Procedure

3 Variables: COLOR TASTE AROMA

#### Cronbach Coefficient Alpha

Variables	Alpha
Raw	0.961259
Standardized	0.962033

#### Cronbach Coefficient Alpha with Deleted Variable

Raw Variables St

Standardized Variables

Deleted	Correlation			
Variable	with Total	Alpha	with Total	Alpha
COLOR	0.937050	0.929449	0.936994	0.930616
TASTE	0.908118	0.951790	0.907786	0.952189
AROMA	0.912775	0.946335	0.911773	0.949267

.....

#### Factor Analysis Without the Reputation Variable

7

The FACTOR Procedure
Initial Factor Method: Iterated Principal Factor Analysis

## Partial Correlations Controlling all other Variables

	COST	SIZE	ALCOHOL	COLOR	AROMA	TASTE
COST	1.00000	0.53644	-0.05025	-0.16991	-0.06632	0.18330
<mark>SIZE</mark>	<mark>0.53644</mark>	1.00000	<mark>0.80662</mark>	<mark>0.53818</mark>	-0.09640	-0.48212
ALCOHOL	-0.05025	0.80662	1.00000	-0.45773	0.13447	0.39119
COLOR	-0.16991	0.53818	-0.45773	1.00000	0.54461	0.67350
AROMA	-0.06632	-0.09640	0.13447	0.54461	1.00000	0.17405
TASTE	0.18330	-0.48212	0.39119	0.67350	0.17405	1.00000

It is generally considered undesirable for one variable to be sharing variance with one other variable that is not shared with the remaining variables. Accordingly, we are pleased if the off-diagonal elements in this matrix are small. Look at the size variable. It has high partial correlations most of the other variables.

# Kaiser's Measure of Sampling Adequacy: Overall MSA = 0.62770401 This overall MSA is mediocre, but that is not surprising with only six variables for two factors.

COST	SIZE	ALCOHOL	COLOR	AROMA	TASTE			
0.78283560	0.51339471	0.57744290	0.56784302	0.81657477	0.63609944			
A low MSA indicates	that the cor	relations between	the variable and	d other variables	s are unique (not			
shared with the remaining variables). The low MSA for the size variable is expected given its								
large partial correlation in the matrix above.								

Prior Communality Est	timates:	SMC
-----------------------	----------	-----

TASTE	AROMA	COLOR	ALCOHOL	SIZE	COST
0.87267285	0.84370126	0.91716562	0.85902731	0.91132335	0.71987293

Preliminary Eigenvalues: Total = 5.12376332 Average = 0.85396055

	Eigenvalue	Difference	Proportion	Cumulative
	· ·		·	
1	<mark>2.74136033</mark>	0.29508813	0.5350	0.5350
2	<mark>2.44627220</mark>	2.41356026	0.4774	1.0125
3	0.03271194	0.02270857	0.0064	1.0188
4	0.01000337	0.04543235	0.0020	1.0208
5	03542898	0.03572656	-0.0069	1.0139
6	07115554		-0.0139	1.0000

<sup>2</sup> factors will be retained by the NFACTOR criterion.

Iteration	Change	Communalities					
1	0.0274	0.72267	0.93873	0.85347	0.93493	0.86112	0.87671
2	0.0169	0.72179	0.95559	0.84666	0.94270	0.86811	0.87510
3	0.0112	0.72026	0.96681	0.84082	0.94654	0.87120	0.87262
4	0.0078	0.71887	0.97460	0.83632	0.94868	0.87270	0.87052
5	0.0055	0.71779	0.98013	0.83299	0.94997	0.87348	0.86899
6	0.0040	0.71698	0.98411	0.83055	0.95079	0.87393	0.86794
7	0.0029	0.71638	0.98698	0.82879	0.95133	0.87418	0.86724

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### Factor Analysis Without the Reputation Variable

The FACTOR Procedure
Initial Factor Method: Iterated Principal Factor Analysis

Iteration	Change	nge Communalities					
8	0.0021	0.71594	0.98907	0.82751	0.95169	0.87433	0.86677
9	0.0015	0.71562	0.99058	0.82659	0.95193	0.87442	0.86647
10	0.0011	0.71538	0.99168	0.82593	0.95209	0.87448	0.86627
11	0.0008	0.71520	0.99248	0.82545	0.95220	0.87451	0.86614
	Convergence	criterion	satisfied.				

Eigenvalues of the Reduced Correlation Matrix: Total = 5.2258183 Average = 0.87096972

	Eigenvalue Difference		Proportion	Cumulative	
1	<mark>2.76329501</mark>	0.30061853	0.5288	0.5288	
2	<mark>2.46267648</mark>	2.42185259	0.4713	<mark>1.0000</mark>	
3	0.04082389	0.02391354	0.0078	1.0078	
4	0.01691035	0.03350888	0.0032	1.0111	
5	01659853	0.02469036	-0.0032	1.0079	
6	04128889		-0.0079	1.0000	

#### Factor Pattern

	Factor1	Factor2
COLOR	0.89387	-0.39140
AROMA	0.83105	-0.42879
TASTE	0.80519	-0.46669
SIZE	0.54426	0.83442
ALCOHOL	0.45128	0.78854
COST	0.35417	0.76796

## Variance Explained by Each Factor

Factor1 Factor2

2.7632950 2.4626765

#### Final Communality Estimates: Total = 5.225971

	COST	SIZE	ALCOHOL	COLOR	AROMA	TASTE
0.	71520334	0.99248194	0.82544545	0.95219560	0.87450687	0.86613828

-----

9

## Factor Analysis Without the Reputation Variable

The FACTOR Procedure
Initial Factor Method: Iterated Principal Factor Analysis

## Residual Correlations With Uniqueness on the Diagonal

	COST	SIZE	ALCOHOL	COLOR	AROMA	TASTE
COST	0.28480	-0.00170	0.00203	0.00200	-0.01123	0.00931
SIZE	-0.00170	0.00752	0.00000	0.01905	0.00308	-0.02304
ALCOHOL	0.00203	0.00000	0.17455	-0.02260	0.00727	0.01642
COLOR	0.00200	0.01905	-0.02260	0.04780	-0.00194	0.00103
AROMA	-0.01123	0.00308	0.00727	-0.00194	0.12549	0.00096
TASTE	0.00931	-0.02304	0.01642	0.00103	0.00096	0.13386

	COST	SIZE	ALCOH0L	C	OLOR	AROMA	TASTE			
0.0066	69149	0.01346044	0.01294145	0.0132	8375	0.00621624	0.01333566			
		Partial	Correlations	Controlli	ng Factors	3				
	CO	ST SI	ZE ALCO	OHOL	COLOR	AROMA	TASTE			
COST		1 -0.03678	53 0.00908	3576 0	.01714239	-0.0594178	0.04768832			
SIZE	-0.03678	53	1 0.00003	3907 1	.00466319	0.10042137	-0.7262125			
ALCOHOL	0.009085	76 0.000039	07	1 -	0.2473947	0.04910961	0.10744614			
COLOR	0.017142	39 <mark>1.004663</mark>	<mark>19</mark> -0.247	3947	1	-0.0250117	0.01289638			
AROMA	-0.05941	78 0.100421	37 0.04910	0961 -	0.0250117	1	0.00744472			
TASTE	0.047688	32 -0.72621	25 0.1074	4614 0	.01289638	0.00744472	1			
I	have been (	unable to figure	out how SAS	is coming	up with t	his $pr > 1$ .				
Root Mean Square Off-Diagonal Partials: Overall = 0.32968260										
	COST	SIZE	ALCOHOL	C	OLOR	AROMA	TASTE			
0.0388	31810	0.55644771	0.12267281	0.4629	5529	0.05780604	0.32906690			

Factor Analysis Without the Reputation Variable

10

The FACTOR Procedure Rotation Method: Varimax

## Orthogonal Transformation Matrix

	•	_
1	0.88200	0.47125
2	-0.47125	0.88200

#### Rotated Factor Pattern

	Factor1	Factor2
COLOR	<mark>0.97284</mark>	0.07602
AROMA	<mark>0.93505</mark>	0.01344
TASTE	<mark>0.93011</mark>	-0.03217
SIZE	0.08682	<mark>0.99244</mark>
ALCOHOL	0.02643	<mark>0.90816</mark>
COST	-0.04953	<mark>0.84425</mark>

Variance Explained by Each Factor

Factor1 Factor2

2.6965342 2.5294372

Final Communality Estimates: Total = 5.225971

COST	SIZE	ALCOHOL	COLOR	AROMA	TASTE
0.71520334	0.99248194	0.82544545	0.95219560	0.87450687	0.86613828

Factor Analysis Without the Reputation Variable

11

The FACTOR Procedure Rotation Method: Varimax

Scoring Coefficients Estimated by Regression

Squared Multiple Correlations of the Variables with Each Factor

Factor1 Factor2

0.9730618 1.0051832

#### Standardized Scoring Coefficients

	Factor1	Factor2
COLOR	0.68079002	-0.3791165
AROMA	0.21001162	0.01930102
TASTE	0.13616979	0.26405965
SIZE	-0.1661799	<mark>1.15418711</mark>
ALCOHOL	0.10650846	-0.1004969
COST	0.01312553	-0.0141647

Notice that when computing factor scores, color and size contribute a lot more than any of the other variables. These could serve as good substitutes for the factor scores.

------

#### Comparing the Groups on the Factors

12

The TTEST Procedure

		<u>/</u>					
	group	N	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err	Minimum	Maximum
	1 students*	11	-0.4130	1.0006	0.3017	-1.6324	1.2879
	<mark>2 faculty</mark>	9	0.5048	0.7338	0.2446	-0.4469	1.6294
	Diff (1-2)		-0.9178	0.8919	0.4009		
*undergra	duate						
group	Method		Mean	95% CL	_ Mean	Std Dev	95% CL Std Dev

1

-0.4130 -1.0852 0.2592 1.0006 0.6991 1.7559

2 Diff (1-2) Diff (1-2)	Pooled Satter	d rthwaite	0.5048 -0.9178 -0.9178		1.0688 -0.0756 -0.1013	0.7338 0.8919	0.4956 0.6739	1.4057 1.3190
	Met	thod	Varianc	es D	F t Value	Pr >  t		
	Pod	oled	Equal	1	8 -2.29	0.0344		
	<mark>Sat</mark>	tterthwaite	Unequal	17.83	5 -2.36	0.0297		
			Equal	ity of Vari	ances			
		Method	Num DF	Den DF	F Value	Pr > F		
		Folded F	10	8	1.86	0.3912		
			Var	iable: <mark>Fac</mark>	<mark>tor2 - Cheap</mark>	<u>Drunk</u>		
gro	oup	N	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err	Minimum	Maximum	
1		11	0.5412	0.9411	0.2837	-0.9991	1.5596	
2			-0.6615	0.6208	0.2069	-1.3704	0.6706	
	f (1-2)	9	1.2027	0.8144	0.3660	1.0704	0.0700	
DII	1 (1 2)		1.2027	0.0144	0.0000			
group	Method	t	Mean	95% CL	Mean	Std Dev	95% CL S	Std Dev
1			0.5412	-0.0910	1.1734	0.9411	0.6575	1.6515
2			-0.6615		-0.1843	0.6208	0.4193	1.1893
_ Diff (1-2)	Pooled	1	1.2027	0.4336	1.9717	0.8144	0.6154	1.2044
Diff (1-2)		- rthwaite	1.2027	0.4629	1.9425			
5111 (1 2)	outto	ciiii a co	112027	01.1020	110120			
	Met	thod	Varianc	es D	F t Value	Pr >  t		
	Pod	oled	Equal	1	8 3.29	0.0041		
	Sat	tterthwaite	Unequal	17.33	6 3.42	0.0032		
		Co	omparing th	e Groups on	the Factors			13
			The	TTEST Proce	dure			
			Var	iable: Fac	tor2			
			Equal	ity of Vari	ances			
		Method	Num DF	Den DF	F Value	Pr > F		
		Folded F	10	8	2.30	0.2510		

 group
 N
 Mean
 Std Dev
 Std Err
 Minimum
 Maximum

 1
 12
 1.5833
 1.2401
 0.3580
 0
 4.0000

Variable: <mark>ses</mark>

2 D	! Diff (1-	9	5.6667 -4.0833	2.0000 1.6045	0.6667 0.7075	3.0000	8.0000	
group	Me	ethod	Mean	95% CL	Mean	Std Dev	95% CL S	td Dev
1 2 Diff (1-2 Diff (1-2	-	ooled atterthwaite Method Pooled Satterthwaite	1.5833 5.6667 -4.0833 -4.0833 Variances Equal Unequal		2.3713 7.2040 -2.6024 -2.4422 OF t Value 9 -5.77	<.0001	0.8785 1.3509 1.2202	2.1056 3.8315 2.3436
		Method Folded F		ty of Vari Den DF 11		Pr > F 0.1440		

## Predicting SES From the Factor Scores

14

#### The REG Procedure

Number of Observations Read 21
Number of Observations Used 20
Number of Observations with Missing Values 1

## Descriptive Statistics

			Uncorrected		Standard
Variable	Sum	Mean	SS	Variance	Deviation
Intercept	20.00000	1.00000	20.00000	0	0
Factor1	-2.2204E-16	-1.1102E-17	18.48817	0.97306	0.98644
Factor2	1.11022E-15	5.55112E-17	19.09848	1.00518	1.00259
ses	70.00000	3.50000	368.00000	6.47368	2.54434

## Correlation

Variable	Factor1	Factor2	ses
Factor1	1.0000	-0.0070	0.6647
Factor2	-0.0070	1.0000	-0.7161
ses	0.6647	-0.7161	1.0000

Predicting SES From the Factor Scores

15

## Dependent Variable: ses

Number	of	Observations	Read			21
Number	of	Observations	Used			20
Number	of	<b>Observations</b>	with	Missing	Values	1

#### Analysis of Variance

			Sum of	Mean		
Source		DF	Squares	Square	F Value	Pr > F
Model		2	116.60150	58.30075	154.90	<.0001
Error		17	6.39850	0.37638		
Corrected T	otal	19	123.00000			
	Root MSE		0.61350	R-Square	0.9480	
	Dependent Me	ean	3.50000	Adj R-Sq	0.9419	
	Coeff Var		17.52857			

#### Parameter Estimates

		Parameter	Standard			Standardized
Variable	DF	Estimate	Error	t Value	Pr >  t	Estimate
Intercept	1	3.50000	0.13718	25.51	<.0001	0
Factor1	1	1.70171	0.14268	11.93	<.0001	0.65975
Factor2	1	-1.80546	0.14039	-12.86	<.0001	-0.71144

If you were interested in how the reputation variable is related to the groups or to SES, you could include it in the t tests or the multiple regression. You would find that it is not well related to either the grouping variable or SES.