## Theory of Computation, Fall 2023 Quiz 3 Solutions

Q1. In class, we have proved that  $EQ_{DFA}$  is recursive. Suppose Turing machine  $M_{EQ}$  decides

$$EQ_{DFA} = \{ M_1 M_2 : M_1 \text{ and } M_2 \text{ are two DFAs with } L(M_1) = L(M_2) \}.$$

To prove that S is recursive, it suffices to reduce S to  $EQ_{DFA}$ . We construct a Turing machine  $M_S$  that decides S as follows.

$$M_S = \text{ on input "}M":$$

- 1. construct a DFA  $M_R$  with  $L(M_R) = \{w^R : w \in L(M)\}\$
- 2. run  $M_{EQ}$  on "M"" $M_R$ "
- 3. return the result of  $M_{EQ}$

This completes the proof.

Q2. Let  $L = \{"M" : "M" \text{ is a Turing machine that halts on some input}\}$ . In class, we have proved that L is not recursive. To prove that A is not recursive, it suffices to reduce L to A. Suppose there is a Turing machine  $M_A$  decides A. Then we can construct a Turing machine  $M_L$  that decides L as follows.

$$M_L = \text{ on input "}M":$$

- 1. construct a Turing machine  $M_{all}$  that halts on every input
- 2. run  $M_A$  on "M"" $M_{all}$ "
- 3. return the result of  $M_A$

This completes the proof.

Q3. We show that A is recursively enumerable by presenting a Turing machine  $M_A$  to semidecides A. We label the strings in  $\Sigma^*$  as  $s_1, s_2, \cdots$  in increasing length.

$$M_A = \text{ on input "}M$$
":

- 1. for  $i = 2023, 2024, \cdots$
- 2. for  $s = s_1, s_2, \dots, s_i$
- 3. if s is a palindrome
- 4.  $\operatorname{run} M \text{ on } s \text{ for } i \text{ steps}$
- 5. if M halts on at least 2023 palindromes
- 6. halt

This completes the proof.

## Q4. Bonus

- (a) Firstly, we proved that if  $B \leq A$  then B is recursive. In class we have proved  $A_{CFG} = \{"G""w" : G \text{ is a CFG that generates } w\}$  is recursive. There is a CGF  $G_A$  generates A, so  $A \leq A_{CFG}$  by  $f(w) = "G_A""w"$ , thus A is recursive, then B is recursive.
- (b) Secondly, we proved that if B is recursive, then  $B \leq A$ . We can construct a reduction function f from B to A as follows. Here, B is recursive, so f(w) is computable.

If 
$$w \in B$$
,  $f(w) = 01 \in A$ ,  
If  $w \notin B$ ,  $f(w) = 00 \notin A$ .

Then  $w \in B$  iff  $f(w) \in A$ . Thus,  $B \leq A$ .