Wei Gao[1]

10/15/2024

[1] University of Denver

About Me

Currently

- Postdoctoral Research Fellow at the University of Denver, Denver, Colorado, USA.

Past

- Ph.D. at University of Denver, Denver, Colorado, USA, in 2023.
- M.S. at University of Denver, Denver, Colorado, USA, in 2019.
- B.S. Hebei University of Technology, Tianjin, China, in 2017.

Research Interest

- Microgrid control
- Renewable energy
- Power system dynamics
- Power system stability
- Power system economics and market
- Machine learning application in power system

Research and Project

- Distributed Energy Resources Manamegent System (DERMS)
- Adaptive Power System Protection with Inverter-based Resources (IBRs)
- Deep Reinforcement Learning based power system dynamic control
  - HVDC Oscillation Damping
  - DFIG Fault-Ride-Through (FRT)
  - Frequency Regulation

Distributed Energy Resources Management System (DERMS)

Why

- CYME lacks of OPF module (depreciated) as a power commercial distribution system analysis software

Goal

- Develop a distribution level OPF based strategy
- Work with Eaton research laboratory to develop a DERMS module for CYME software

Difficulties

- Unbalance and mixture of single-phase and three-phase (multi-phase) in distribution level
- Linearization of Original nonlinear and nonconvex OPF model in multi-phase system
- General and comprehensive devices support by CYME (overhead-lines, transformer, PV, BESS, regulator, capacitor, etc.)

Formulation of OPF model

$$\min \sum_{t=\Delta t..T} (c_{g,t} P_{inj,t} + \sum_{i=1..N} \alpha(|V_{i,t}| - |V_{i_{nom}}|)^2)$$

Subject to

powerflow equations

line and transformer constraints

bus voltage constraints

operational generation constraints

Constraints

- **Powerlow equations**:

$$P_{i,t} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} |V_{i,t}||V_{j,t}|(G_{ij} \cos \theta_{ij,t} + B_{ij} \sin \theta_{ij,t}) = P_{gi,t} - P_{di,t}$$

$$Q_{i,t} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} |V_{i,t}||V_{j,t}|(G_{ij} \sin \theta_{ij,t} - B_{ij} \cos \theta_{ij,t}) = Q_{gi,t} - Q_{di,t}$$

- **Line and transformer constraints**:

$$|S_{ij,t}| \leq S_{ij_{max}}$$

- **Bus voltage constraints**:

$$V_{i_{min}} \leq |V_{i,t}| \leq V_{i_{max}}$$

- **Operational generation constraints**:

$$0 \leq P_{k,t}^{PV} \leq P_{k_{t,max}}^{PV}$$

$$0 \leq P_{k,c,t}^{BESS} \leq P_{k,c,max}^{BESS}$$

$$0 \leq P_{k,d,t}^{BESS} \leq P_{k,d,max}^{BESS}$$

$$SOC_{k,t+1}^{BESS} = SOC_{k,t}^{BESS} + (\eta_c P_{k,c,t}^{BESS} \Delta t - \frac{P_{k,d,t}^{BESS}}{\eta_d} \Delta t)/E_k^{BESS}$$

Powerflow Equations

Branch-low model (BFM)

- Nonlinear and nonconvex
- Good for unbalanced distribution system to linearize (LinDistFlow model)

- Single-phase LinDistFlow model

$$P_{ij} + p_j = \sum_{k:j\to k} P_{jk} \; \forall j \in \mathrm{N}^+$$

$$Q_{ij} + q_j = \sum_{k:j\to k} Q_{jk} \; \forall j \in \mathrm{N}^+$$

$$w_j = w_i - 2r_{ij} P_{ij} - 2x_{ij} Q_{ij} \; \forall j \in \mathrm{N}^+$$

- Three-phase LinDistFlow model

$$P_{ij,\phi} + p_{j,\phi} = \sum_{k:j\to k} P_{jk,\phi} \; \forall j \in \mathrm{N}^+$$

$$Q_{ij,\phi} + q_{j,\phi} = \sum_{k:j\to k} Q_{jk,\phi} \; \forall j \in> \mathrm{N}^+$$

$$w_j = w_i + M_{P,ij} P_{ij} + M_{Q,ij} Q_{ij}$$

$$M_{P,ij} = \begin{bmatrix} -2r_{11} & r_{12} - \sqrt{3}x_{12} & r_{13} + \sqrt{3}x_{13} \\ r_{21} + \sqrt{3}x_{21} & -2r_{22} & r_{23} - \sqrt{3}x_{23} \\ r_{31} - \sqrt{3}x_{31} & r_{32} + \sqrt{3}x_{32} & -2r_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_{Q,ij} = \begin{bmatrix} -2x_{11} & x_{12} - \sqrt{3}r_{12} & x_{13} + \sqrt{3}r_{13} \\ x_{21} + \sqrt{3}r_{21} & -2x_{22} & x_{23} - \sqrt{3}r_{23} \\ x_{31} - \sqrt{3}r_{31} & x_{32} + \sqrt{3}r_{32} & -2x_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

Bus-injection model (BIM) (presented in the previous slide)

- Nonlinear and nonconvex
- Good for balanced three-phase transmission system

Implementation

- A python package to parse general CYME model
- A Pyomo (open-source optimization modeling language) based LinDistFlow model
- A general mixed-integer (with battery storage) nonlinear solver mindtpy (combined with GLPK and IPOPT)
- Communication between CYME and LinDistFlow OPF module via message passing interface (MPI)

Case Study (in progress)

- 4-Node-YY-Bal_dss
- IEEE-13 Node
- IEEE-34 Node
- IEEE-123 Node
- IEEE-123 Node with DERs
- IEEE-123 Node with DERs and BESSs
- Modified IEEE-123 Node from CYME

Case Study - Modified IEEE-123 Node from CYME

System Structure

- Mixture of single-phase and three-phase
- Unbalanced overhead lines
- Regulators
- Capacitors
- PVs
- BESSs

Optimization Period

- 24 hours with 1 hour resolution
- Online optimization with feedback from the real-time power system data

Preliminary Results

No DERs

Preliminary Results

No DERs



Preliminary Results

50% DERs

100% DERs

Adaptive Power System Protection with IBRs

Why

- Existing protection relay settings are heavily relied on the time-domain based simulation and analysis (difficult and time-consuming)
- Existing protection relay settings are not performant or working due to the decreasing inertia of the system with more inverter-based resources (IBRs), as well the uncertainty of the IBRs

Goal

- Develop a protection relay setting framework with IBRs via DRL, especially for out-of-step and power swing conditions
- Work with SEL to integrate the DRL based adaptive protection settings into the physical relay via hardware-in-the-loop (HIL) testing

Difficulties

- Recognize out-of-step and power swing conditions for the system
- Integrate the DRL based settings into the physical relay
- HIL testing using RTDS platform

Out-of-Step and Power Swing Conditions

A large disturbance to the system such as faults, leads to two results:

- Out-of-step
  - Loss of synchronism between two or more generators
  - Cascading outages and system blackouts

- Stable power swing
  - Oscillations between generators are stable
  - System returns to new equilibrium point

Existing Dual-blinder Protection

- Impedance seen by relay in complex plane
- Rate of change of impedance
  - Moves slow during stable power swing
  - Moves fast during out-of-step
- Principle of the dual-blinder relay
  - Monitor the time of impedance trajectory crossing the two blinders
- Setting of the dual-blinder relay
  - Zone 5: resistance and reactance thresholds
  - Zone 6: resistance and reactance thresholds
  - Crossing time threshold



Fig. 6. Dual-blinder characteristic.

Source: Fischer, Normann et al. "Tutorial on Power Swing Blocking and Out-of-Step Tripping." (2015)

Implementation (in progress)

- Power system simulation with synchronous generator and IBRs (PV and DFIG) in PSCAD/EMTDC

- DRL algorithm in Python with parallel computing in Ray framework

- HIL testing with RTDS platform

Deep Reinforcement Learning based power system dynamic control

Why

- Convertional control strategies usually require linearized model
- Fixed control parameters limit performance for various operating conditions
- DRL based algorithms
  - Data-driven, model-free
  - No linearization is required

Goal

- Develop a general DRL based control framework and platform for power system dynamic control

Difficulties

- Efficient training process
- Reliable action space
- Efficient reward function design

Reinforcement Learning and Meta Learning

Elements

- Agent - RL algorithm
- Environment - Physical or simulated power system
- States - Important system variables (differential and algebraic)
- Actions - Control actions (discrete or continuous)
- Reward - Measure the performance of the agent
- Policy - Function to generate action (linear or nonlinear such as neural network, i.e., DRL)



Goal

- Design an effective reward function to find the optimal policy in maximizing the expected cumulative rewards

Meta Learning

- Enhance DRL's capability even more by exposing to more diverse environments (tasks)
- Utilize the knowledge in one task to improve the performance in another task efficiently
- Safe and reliable action facing new environments (tasks)
- Apply to new environments (tasks) with little adaptation process

Meta-DRL Framework and Platform[1]

Framework



Robustness of Training Process



HVDC-based oscillation damping control using Meta-DRL [4]

Problem

- Inter-area oscillation threaten system stability
- HVDC modulates power between two areas to damp oscillation
- Conventional damping controller parameters are fixed and not performant for various operating conditions and faults

Conventional damping control

- Wide-area damping controller using PUM measurements
- Linearization of the system
- Fixed controller parameters

DRL-based damping control

- Adaptively regulate the reference signal of the exsiting controllers



Case Study 1 [4]

Revised minniWECC system with PDCI HVDC transmission

- Two dominant modes
  - 0.3 Hz Alberta mode
  - 0.65 Hz BC mode





Result of Revised minniWECC [4]

Loss of line (left), Single-phase fault (right)



(i) Results of *Scenario I* (ii) Results of *Scenario II*



(i) Results of *Scenario III* (ii) Results of *Scenario IV*

Case Study 2 [1]

Modified IEEE-39 bus system with HVDC



Result of Modified IEEE-39 bus system with HVDC [1]

Training example of the system dynamics



Adaptation example of the system dynamics



Reward of MES over GSES in percent



DFIG-FRT using DRL [5]

Problem

- DFIG generates maximum power using MPPT during normal operation
- DFIG rotor over-current and DC-link over-voltage due to terminal voltage dip during faults

Conventional FRT

- Additional hardware (e.g., crowbar)
- Rely on accurate mathematical model of the system

DRL-based FRT

- Adaptively regulate the reference signal of the DFIG active power and DC-link voltage
- Smooth transition to new steady-state

Case Study [5]



Grid-following-based DFIG



DFIG Rotor-side Converter

- Inner current loop
  - Rotor dq-axis currents i_{rd} and i_{rq}
- Outer power loop
  - Active power/rotating speed



DFIG Grid-side Converter

- Inner current loop
  - Grid dq-axis currents i_{gd} and i_{gq}
- Outer power loop
  - DC-link voltage and grid reactive power



Result of DFIG-FRT [5]

Three-phase fault with 50% voltage drop









Result of DFIG-FRT [5]

Three-phase fault with 100% voltage drop



Result of DFIG-FRT [5]

Metallic single-line-to-ground fault









Frequency Regulation using DRL [2, 3]

Problem

- Frequency regulation is done by synchronous generators (SG) due to the natural inertia
- Low system inertia due to the increasing penetration of inverter-based resources (IBRs)

Conventional frequency regulation

- Additional battery energy storage system (BESS)
- Pitch control of wind turbines system to regulate the power output and maintain the system frequency

DRL-based frequency regulation

- Adaptively adjust the reference signal of the exisiting pitch angle controller
- Stabilize system frequency during events
- Avoid unnecessary load shedding

Case Study [2, 3]

One SG replaced by DFIG in a modified IEEE-39 bus system



Loss of generator



Sudden increase of load



Sudden decrease of load



Publications

1. **Gao, W.**, Fan, R., Huang, Q., & Gao, W. (2024). A Meta-Strategy Approach to Inter-Area Oscillation Control. IEEE Transactions on Power Systems. (Submitted)
2. **Gao, W.**, Fan, R., Qiao, W., Wang, S., & Gao, W. (2023). Deep Reinforcement Learning Based Control of Wind Turbines for Fast Frequency Response. IEEE Industry Applications Society (IAS) Transactions. (Submitted)
3. **W. Gao**, R. Fan, W. Qiao, S. Wang and D. W. Gao, "Fast Frequency Response Using Reinforcement Learning-Controlled Wind Turbines," 2023 IEEE Industry Applications Society Annual Meeting (IAS), Nashville, TN, USA, 2023, pp. 1-7, doi: 10.1109/IAS54024.2023.10406378.
4. **Gao, W.**, Fan, R., Huang, R., Huang, Q., Gao, W., & Du, L. (2023). Augmented random search based inter-area oscillation damping using high voltage DC transmission. Electric Power Systems Research, 216, 109063.
5. **Gao, W.**, Fan, R., Huang, R., Huang, Q., Du, Y., Qiao, W., ..& Gao, D. W. (2022). Improving DFIG performance under fault scenarios through evolutionary reinforcement learning based control. IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution.
6. **Gao, W.** (2021, April). PV Array Fault Detection Based on Deep Neural Network. In 2021 IEEE Green Technologies Conference (GreenTech) (pp. 42-47). IEEE.
7. **Gao, W.** (2020, July). Microgrid control strategy based on battery energy storage system-virtual synchronous generator (BESS-VSG). In 2020 IEEE Kansas Power and Energy Conference (KPEC) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
8. Huang, R., **Gao, W.**, Fan, R., & Huang, Q. (2022). Damping inter-area oscillation using reinforcement learning controlled TCSC. IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution.
9. Huang, R., **Gao, W.**, Fan, R., & Huang, Q. (2022). A Guided Evolutionary Strategy Based Static Var Compensator Control Approach for Inter-area Oscillation Damping. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics.
10. Li, Y., **Gao, W.**, Huang, S., Wang, R., Yan, W., Gevorgian, V., & Gao, D. W. (2021). Data-driven optimal control strategy for virtual synchronous generator via deep reinforcement learning approach. Journal of Modern Power Systems and Clean Energy, 9(4), 919-929.
11. Q. Li, L. Cheng, **W. Gao**, and D. W. Gao, “Fully distributed state estimation for power system with information propagation algorithm,” Journal of Modern Power Systems and Clean Energy, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 627–635, 2020.
12. Y. Li, D. W. Gao, **W. Gao**, H. Zhang, and J. Zhou, “Double-mode energy management for multi-energy system via distributed dynamic event-triggered newton- raphson algorithm,” IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 5339– 5356, 2020.
13. T. Gao, **W. Gao**, J. J. Zhang, and W. D. Gao, “Small-scale microgrid energy market based on pilt-dao,” in 2019 North American Power Symposium (NAPS), IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–6.
14. W. Yan, X. Wang, **W. Gao**, and V. Gevorgian, “Electro-mechanical modeling of wind turbine and energy storage systems with enhanced inertial response,” Journal of Modern Power Systems and Clean Energy, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 820–830, 2020.
15. L. Yu-Shuai, L. Tian-Yi, **G. Wei**, and G. Wen-Zhong, “Distributed collaborative optimization operation approach for integrated energy system based on asynchronous and dynamic event-triggering communication strategy,” Acta Automatica Sinica, vol. 46, no. 9, pp. 1831–1843, 2020.
16. Y. Li, D. W. Gao, **W. Gao**, H. Zhang, and J. Zhou, “A distributed double-newton de- scent algorithm for cooperative energy management of multiple energy bodies in energy internet,” IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, vol. 17, no. 9, pp. 5993–6003, 2020.
17. W. Yan, W. Gao, D. **W. Gao**, and J. Momoh, “Stability-oriented optimization and consensus control for inverter-based microgrid,” in 2018 North American Power Symposium (NAPS), IEEE, 2018, pp. 1–6.
18. X. Guan, **W. Gao**, H. Peng, N. Shu, and D. W. Gao, “Image-based incipient fault classification of electrical substation equipment by transfer learning of deep convolutional neural network,” IEEE Canadian Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2021.
19. K. Yang, **W. Gao**, and R. Fan, “Optimal power flow estimation using one-dimensional convolutional neural network,” in 2021 North American Power Symposium (NAPS), IEEE, 2021, pp. 1–6.
20. K. Yang, **W. Gao**, R. Fan, T. Yin, and J. Lian, “Synthetic high impedance fault data through deep convolutional generated adversarial network,” in 2021 IEEE Green Technologies Conference (GreenTech), IEEE, 2021, pp. 339–343.
21. X. Xiangyu, S. Xue, H. Peng, N. Shu, **W. Gao**, and D. W. Gao, “Contact failure diagnosis for gis plug-in connector by magnetic field measurements and deep neural network classifiers diagnostic des d éfauts de contact du connecteursig bas ésur la mesure du champ magn étique et le classificateur du r éseau neuronal profond,” IEEE Canadian Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering, 2022.
22. W. Yan, **W. Gao**, T. Gao, D. W. Gao, S. Yan, and J. Wang, “Distributed cooperative control of virtual synchronous generator based microgrid,” in 2017 IEEE International Conference on Electro Information Technology (EIT), IEEE, 2017, pp. 506–511.
23. Y. Shen, **W. Gao**, D. W. Gao, and W. Yan, “Inverter controller design based on model predictive control in microgrid,” in 2017 IEEE International Conference on Electro Information Technology (EIT), IEEE, 2017, pp. 436–441.
24. W. Chen, J. Wang, **W. Gao**, D. W. Gao, B. Wang, and H. Wang, “Power optimization control of doubly fed induction generator based on active power reserve,” in 2016 North American Power Symposium (NAPS), IEEE, 2016, pp. 1–6.
25. V. Gevorgian et al., “Wgrid-49 gmlc project report: Understanding the role of short- term energy storage and large motor loads for active power controls by wind power,” National Renewable Energy Lab.(NREL), Golden, CO (United States), Tech. Rep., 2019.
26. W. Yan, L. Cheng, S. Yan, **W. Gao**, and D. W. Gao, “Enabling and evaluation of inertial control for pmsg-wtg using synchronverter with multiple virtual rotating masses in microgrid,” IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 1078–1088, 2019.
27. W. Yan, **W. Gao**, W. Gao, and V. Gevorgian, “Implementing inertial control for pmsg-wtg in region 2 using virtual synchronous generator with multiple virtual rotating masses,” in 2019 IEEE Power & Energy Society General Meeting (PESGM), IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–5.
28. X. Wang et al., “Implementations and evaluations of wind turbine inertial controls with fast and digital real-time simulations,” IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 1805–1814, 2018.
29. X. Guan et al., “Deterioration behavior analysis and lstm-based failure prediction of gib electrical contact inside various insulation gases,” IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 152 367–152 376, 2020.
30. Y. Huang, Q. Sun, Y. Li, **W. Gao**, and D. W. Gao, “A multi-rate dynamic energy flow analysis method for integrated electricity-gas-heat system with different time-scale,” IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, 2022.