Notations (common)

Each row is the recording for neuron i, $y_i = (y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT})'$, $i = 1, \dots N$. Denote the cluster index for neuron i as $z_i \in \{1, \dots\}$. The number of neurons in cluster j is $n_j = \sum_{i=1}^N I(z_i = j)$, and $\sum_{j=1,2,\dots} n_j = N$.

Model

Denote the latent vector in cluster j as $\mathbf{x}_t^{(j)} \in R^{p_j}$. For simplicity, assume all $p_j = p$. Each observation follows a Poisson distribution as follows:

$$\log \lambda_{it} = d_i + c_i' x_t^{(z_i)}$$

$$y_{it} \sim Poisson(\lambda_{it})$$

Where $c_i \in R^p$ and $x_t^{(z_i)} \in R^p$.

Denote all latent states as $x_t = (x_t^{(1)}, x_t^{(2)}, \dots)'$ and they evolve linearly with Gaussian noise:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_1 \sim N(\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{Q}_0)$$

$$x_{t+1}|x_t \sim N(Ax_t + b, Q)$$

To simplify, assume Q_0 is known (e.g. $Q_0 = I$).

If we assume block diagonal (as in Joshua et al., 2020) for process noise covariance, we can write things as:

$$x_{t+1}^{(j)}|x_t^{(1)},x_t^{(2)},...\sim N(\sum_{l=1,...}A_{j\leftarrow l}x_t^{(l)}+\boldsymbol{b}_j,\boldsymbol{Q}^{(j)})$$

Notice $\{A_{i\leftarrow l}\}$ forms the full transition matrix as:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1\leftarrow 1} & A_{1\leftarrow 2} & \dots \\ A_{2\leftarrow 1} & A_{2\leftarrow 2} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

If the j^{th} row block of \boldsymbol{A} is $\boldsymbol{A}_i = (\boldsymbol{A}_{j\leftarrow 1} \quad \boldsymbol{A}_{j\leftarrow 2} \quad \dots)$. Then, $\sum_{l=1,\dots} \boldsymbol{A}_{j\leftarrow l} \boldsymbol{x}_t^{(l)} + \boldsymbol{b}_i = \boldsymbol{A}_i \boldsymbol{x}_t + \boldsymbol{b}_i$.

If we further let Q be diagonal: denote the k^{th} row of x_t , A, b as x_{kt} , a_k , b_k . The corresponding process noise variance as q_k . Then:

$$x_{k,t+1}|x_{kt} \sim N(\boldsymbol{a}_k'\boldsymbol{x}_t + b_k, q_k)$$

The parameters need to estimate:

- (1) Latent vectors: x_t
- (2) Initials: x_0
- (3) Linear mapping for latent vectors: $\{d_i\}_{i=1}^N$ and $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^N$
- (4) Linear dynamics for latent vectors: ${m A}$ and ${m b}$
- (5) Process noise: Q

Since the progress noise is independent in the model, $f(y_i|\mathbf{\Theta}_j) = \prod_{t=1}^T P(y_{it}|\mathbf{\Theta}_j)$, where $P(\cdot)$ is the Poisson density and $\mathbf{\Theta}_i$ is the parameters in cluster j.

Conditional Priors

(1) Latent vectors $x_t^{(j)}$: the conditional prior is defined by (Assume there are I clusters)

$$\boldsymbol{x}_1 \sim N(\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{Q}_0)$$

$$x_{t+1}|x_t \sim N(Ax_t + b, Q)$$

(2) Initials x_0 :

(Assume there are *J* clusters)

$$x_0 \sim N(\mu_{x_{00}}, \Sigma_{x_{00}})$$

$$oldsymbol{\mu}_{oldsymbol{x}_{00}} = oldsymbol{0}_{Jp}$$
 and $oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{oldsymbol{x}_{00}} = 10^2 oldsymbol{I}_{Jp}$

(3) Linear mapping for latent vectors $\{d_i\}_{i=1}^N$ and $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^N$:

$$(d_i, \pmb{c}_i')' \sim N(\pmb{\mu}_{dc0}, \pmb{\Sigma}_{dc0})$$
 Where $\pmb{\mu}_{dc0} = \pmb{0}_{p+1}$ and $\pmb{\Sigma}_{dc0} = \pmb{I}_{p+1} \times 10^{-2}$

(4) Linear dynamics for latent vectors ${\pmb A}$ and ${\pmb b}$:

(if the number of cluster is *J*)

a. Assume **Q** be diagonal:

$$(b_k, \boldsymbol{a}_k')' \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{ba_k0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ba0})$$

Where
$$\pmb{\mu}_{ba_k0}=(0,\pmb{e}_k')'$$
 and $\pmb{\Sigma}_{ba0}=0.25\pmb{I}_{Jp+1}$

b. Assume $oldsymbol{Q}$ be block-diagonal:

Denote
$$\widetilde{A}_j = vec(A_j)$$

$$(\boldsymbol{b}'_j, \widetilde{A}'_j)' \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{bA_0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bA_0})$$

Where
$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{bA_0} = \left(\mathbf{0}_{p+(j-1)p^2}', vec(\boldsymbol{I}_p)', \mathbf{0}_{(j-j)p^2}'\right)'$$
 and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bA_0} = 0.25\boldsymbol{I}_{p(1+pJ)}$

c. No constraints on **Q**:

Denote $\widetilde{A} = vec(A)$

$$(\boldsymbol{b}', \widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}})' \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{BA_0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{BA_0})$$

Where
$$\mu_{BA_0} = \left(\mathbf{0}_{Jp}', vec(I_{Jp})'\right)'$$
 and $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{BA_0} = 0.25I_{Jp(1+Jp)}$

- (5) Process noise Q:
 - a. Assume $oldsymbol{Q}$ be diagonal:

$$q_k \sim IG(\frac{\nu_0}{2}, \frac{\nu_0 \sigma_0^2}{2})$$

Where
$$v_0=4$$
 and $\sigma_0^2=10^{-4}$

b. Assume **Q** be block-diagonal:

$$\boldsymbol{Q}^{(j)} \sim W^{-1}(\Psi_0, \nu_0)$$

Where
$$v_0 = p + 2$$
 and $\Psi_0 = I_p \times 10^{-4}$.

(To make the mean of ${m Q}^{(j)}$ loosely centered around ${m I}_p imes 10^{-4}$)

c. No constraints on Q:

(if the number of cluster is *J*)

$${\pmb Q} \sim W^{-1}(\Psi_{{\pmb Q}_0},\nu_{{\pmb Q}_0})$$
 Where $\nu_{{\pmb Q}_0}=Jp+2$ and $\Psi_{{\pmb Q}_0}=I_{Jp}\times 10^{-4}.$

MCMC iteration

(1) Update $x_t^{(j)}$:

use local Normal approximation at prior, i.e. update by adaptive smoothing.

Notice: adaptive filtering is not the "exact Laplace approximation". In Laplace approximation, we are evaluating at the mode/ maximum of posterior, but in adaptive filtering, it is evaluated at the prior...

An IMPROTANT drawback for adaptive filtering/ smoothing is that it don't give the covariance between different time steps. This will ruin the sampling and estimations for other parameters. But the mean estimations for adaptive filtering/ smoothing is perfect.

solution: Use the posterior mean from adaptive smoothing as a warm start and then pass to NR (the Exact Laplace Approximation) to refine the estimation if necessary. If too slow, just use adaptive smoother estimation.

THE EXACT LAPLACE APPROXIMATION

Denote t^{th} column of mean firing rate and observation as $\tilde{\lambda}_t = (\lambda_{1t}, ..., \lambda_{Nt})'$ and $\tilde{y}_t = (y_{1t}, ..., y_{Nt})'$. The linear mapping matrix for all observations is \boldsymbol{C} , such that $\log \tilde{\lambda}_t = \boldsymbol{d} + \boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{x}_t$. Let $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1', ..., x_T')'$ and $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \log P(\boldsymbol{x} | \{y_i\}_{i=1}^N, \boldsymbol{C}, \boldsymbol{Q}_0, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{Q}, ...)$

The first and second derivative with respect to x

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} = C'(\tilde{y}_{1} - \tilde{\lambda}_{1}) - Q_{0}^{-1}(x_{1} - x_{0}) + A'Q^{-1}(x_{2} - Ax_{1} - b)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{t}} = C'(\tilde{y}_{t} - \tilde{\lambda}_{t}) - Q^{-1}(x_{t} - Ax_{t-1} - b) + A'Q^{-1}(x_{t+1} - Ax_{t} - b)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{T}} = C'(\tilde{y}_{T} - \tilde{\lambda}_{T}) - Q^{-1}(x_{T} - Ax_{T-1} - b)$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1} \partial x'_{1}} = -C'Diag(\tilde{\lambda}_{1})C - Q_{0}^{-1} - A'Q^{-1}A$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{t} \partial x'_{t}} = -C'Diag(\tilde{\lambda}_{t})C - Q^{-1} - A'Q^{-1}A$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{t} \partial x'_{t-1}} = Q^{-1}A$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{t} \partial x'_{t-1}} = -C'Diag(\tilde{\lambda}_{T})C - Q^{-1}$$

So, the gradient is:

$$\nabla = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \left(\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \right)', \dots, \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_T} \right)' \right)'$$

And the block tri-diagonal Hessian:

$$H = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x} \partial \mathbf{x}'} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}_1 \partial \mathbf{x}'_1} & \mathbf{A}' \mathbf{Q}^{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{A} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}_2 \partial \mathbf{x}'_2} & \mathbf{A}' \mathbf{Q}^{-1} & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{A} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}_3 \partial \mathbf{x}'_3} & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}_T \partial \mathbf{x}'_T} \end{pmatrix}$$

Use Newton-Raphson to find $\mu_x = argmax_x(f(x))$ and $\Sigma_x = -\left[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial x'}|_{X=\mu_X}\right]^{-1}$ When using Newton-Raphson, $H \setminus V$ in MATLAB will make use of block tri-diagonal structure automatically.

See two examples in newtonTest.m.

To sample efficiently, use Cholesky decomposition of $\Sigma_x^{-1} = RR'$: sample $Z \sim N(R'\mu_x, I)$, then $x = (R')^{-1}Z \sim N(\mu_x, \Sigma_x)$.

(2) Update x_0 :

$$P(x_0|x_1, Q_0...) \propto N(x_1|x_0, Q_0)N(x_0|\mu_{x_{00}}, \Sigma_{x_{00}})$$

Because of independence, we can update element by element. To write things easily, just write in matrix form. By conjugacy, $x_0|x_1, Q_0 \dots \sim N(\mu_{x_0}, \Sigma_{x_0})$

$$\Sigma_{x_0} = \left[\Sigma_{x_{00}}^{-1} + Q_0^{-1}\right]^{-1}$$
$$\mu_{x_0} = \Sigma_{x_0} \left(\Sigma_{x_{00}}^{-1} \mu_{x_{00}} + Q_0^{-1} x_1\right)$$

(3) Update $\{d_i\}_{i=1}^N$ and $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^N$:

$$P\left(d_i, \boldsymbol{c}_i \middle| \boldsymbol{y}_i, \left\{\boldsymbol{x}_t^{(z_i)}\right\}_{t=1}^T, \dots\right) \propto \left[\prod_{t=1}^T P\left(y_{it} \middle| \boldsymbol{x}_t^{(z_i)}, d_i, \boldsymbol{c}_i\right)\right] P((d_i, \boldsymbol{c}_i')')$$

Notice $d_i + c_i' x_t^{(z_i)} = \left(1, x_t^{(z_i)'}\right) (d_i, c_i')'$. Then the problem is reduced to Bayesian Poisson regression. Denote $(d_i, c_i')' = \zeta_i \in R^{p+1}$ and $\left(1, x_t^{(z_i)'}\right) = \widetilde{x}_t^{(z_i)'}$. To get an efficient update, use the Laplace approximation: $P\left(\zeta_i \middle| y_i, \left\{x_t^{(z_i)}\right\}_{t=1}^T, \dots\right) = \exp f(\zeta_i) \approx N\left(\zeta_i \middle| \mu_{\zeta_i}, \Sigma_{\zeta_i}\right)$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i}} = \frac{\partial l}{\partial \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i}} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{dc0}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{dc0}) = \left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t}^{(z_{i})} \left(y_{it} - \lambda_{it} \right) \right] - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{dc_{0}}^{-1} \left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{dc_{0}} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\zeta}_i \partial \boldsymbol{\zeta}_i'} = \frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \boldsymbol{\zeta}_i \partial \boldsymbol{\zeta}_i'} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{dc0}^{-1} = -\left[\sum_{t=1}^T \lambda_{it} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_t^{(z_i)} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_t^{(z_i)'}\right] - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{dc0}^{-1}$$

Where l is Poisson log-likelihood.

Use Newton-Raphson to find $\mu_{\zeta_j} = argmax_{\zeta_j} \left(f(\zeta_j) \right)$ and $\Sigma_{\zeta_j} = -\left[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \zeta_j \partial \zeta_j'} |_{\zeta_j = \mu_{\zeta_j}} \right]^{-1}$

(4) Update A and b

a. Assume Q be diagonal:

$$P((b_k, \mathbf{a}_k')' | \{x_{kt}\}_{t=1}^T, q_k, \dots) \propto \left[\prod_{t=2}^T N(x_{kt} | \mathbf{a}_k' \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + b_k, q_k) \right] P((b_k, \mathbf{a}_k')')$$

Again, rewrite $\boldsymbol{a}_k'\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}+b_k=(1,\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}')(b_k,\boldsymbol{a}_k')'$. Then the problem is reduced to Bayesian linear regression. Denote $(b_k,\boldsymbol{a}_k')'=\boldsymbol{\gamma}_k\in R^{Jp+1}$ and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}=((1,\boldsymbol{x}_1')',\dots,(1,\boldsymbol{x}_{T-1}')')'$. Then by conjugacy,

$$P(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{k}|\{x_{kt}\}_{t=1}^{T}, q_{k}, \dots) = N(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{k}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{k}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{k}})$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{k}} = \left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ba0}^{-1} + \frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}'\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}}{q_{k}}\right]^{-1}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{k}} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{k}} (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ba0}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{ba0} + \widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}'(x_{k2}, \dots, x_{kT})'/q_{k})$$

b. Assume **Q** be block-diagonal:

$$P\left(\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{j}^{\prime},\widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\middle|\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{t}^{(j)}\right\}_{t=1}^{T},\boldsymbol{Q}^{(j)},...\right)\propto\left[\prod_{t=2}^{T}N\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}^{(j)}\middle|\boldsymbol{A}_{j}\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}+\boldsymbol{b}_{j},\boldsymbol{Q}^{(j)}\right)\right]P\left(\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{j}^{\prime},\widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)$$

Again, notice $A_j x_{t-1} + b_j = \left((1, x_{t-1}') \otimes I_p \right) \left(b_j', \widetilde{A}_j' \right)'$, then the problem is reduced to Bayesian linear regression. Denote $(1, x_{t-1}') \otimes I_p = \widetilde{X}_{t-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p(1+pJ)}$, $\widetilde{X} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left((1, x_{t-1})' \otimes I_p \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left((1, x_{t-1})' \otimes I_p \right)$

$$\left(\widetilde{X}_1',\ldots,\widetilde{X}_{T-1}'\right)'$$
 and $\left(m{b}_j',\widetilde{A}_j'\right)'=m{\gamma}_j\in R^{p(1+pJ)}.$ Then

$$\prod_{t=2}^{T} N\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}^{(j)} | \boldsymbol{A}_{j} \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{b}_{j}, \boldsymbol{Q}^{(j)}\right) = \prod_{t=2}^{T} N\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}^{(j)} | \widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{j}, \boldsymbol{Q}^{(j)}\right)$$

$$= N\left(\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}^{(j)'}, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_{T}^{(j)'}\right)' | \widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{j}, \boldsymbol{I}_{T-1} \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}^{(j)}\right)$$

By conjugacy:

$$P\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{j} \middle| \left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{t}^{(j)}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}, \boldsymbol{Q}^{(j)}, \dots\right) = N\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{j} \middle| \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{j}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{j}}\right)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{j}} = \left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bA_{0}}^{-1} + \widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}' \boldsymbol{I}_{T-1} \otimes \left(\boldsymbol{Q}^{(j)}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}\right]^{-1}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{j}} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{j}} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bA_{0}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{bA_{0}} + \widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}' \boldsymbol{I}_{T-1} \otimes \left(\boldsymbol{Q}^{(j)}\right)^{-1} \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}^{(j)'}, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_{T}^{(j)'}\right)\right)$$

c. No constraints on Q:

$$P\left(\left(\boldsymbol{b}',\widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}'\right)'\middle|\{\boldsymbol{x}_t\}_{t=1}^T,\boldsymbol{Q},\ldots\right) \propto \left[\prod_{t=2}^T N(\boldsymbol{x}_t|\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}+\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{Q})\right] P\left(\left(\boldsymbol{b}',\widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}'\right)'\right)$$
 Let $\left(\boldsymbol{b}',\widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}'\right)' = \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in R^{Jp(1+Jp)}, (1,\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}') \otimes \boldsymbol{I}_{Jp} = \widetilde{\boldsymbol{G}}_{t-1} \in R^{Jp \times Jp(1+pJ)} \text{ and } \widetilde{\boldsymbol{G}} = \left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{G}}'_1,\ldots,\widetilde{\boldsymbol{G}}'_{T-1}\right)'.$ By conjugacy, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\{\boldsymbol{x}_t\}_{t=1}^T,\boldsymbol{Q},\ldots \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}).$

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\gamma} &= \left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{BA_0}^{-1} + \widetilde{\boldsymbol{G}}' \boldsymbol{I}_{T-1} \otimes (\boldsymbol{Q})^{-1} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{G}}\right]^{-1} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\gamma} &= \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\gamma} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{BA_0}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{BA_0} + \widetilde{\boldsymbol{G}}' \boldsymbol{I}_{T-1} \otimes (\boldsymbol{Q})^{-1} (\boldsymbol{x}_2', \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_T')\right) \end{split}$$

(5) Update **Q**:

a. Assume Q be diagonal:

Let $\boldsymbol{a}_k' \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} + b_k = \mu_{\boldsymbol{x}_{kt}}$

$$P(q_k | \{x_{kt}\}_{t=1}^T, b_k, \boldsymbol{a}_k', \dots) \propto \left[\prod_{t=2}^T N(x_{kt} | \mu_{x_{kt}}, q_k) \right] IG\left(q_k \left| \frac{\nu_0}{2}, \frac{\nu_0 \sigma_0^2}{2} \right)$$

By conjugacy,

$$P(q_k|\{x_{kt}\}_{t=1}^T, b_k, \boldsymbol{a}_k', \dots) = IG\left(q_k|\frac{v_0 + T - 1}{2}, \frac{v_0\sigma_0^2 + \sum_{t=2}^T (x_{kt} - \mu_{x_{kt}})^2}{2}\right)$$

b. Assume $oldsymbol{Q}$ be block-diagonal:

Let
$$\boldsymbol{A}_{j}\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}+\boldsymbol{b}_{j}=\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{x}_{t}^{(j)}}$$

$$P\left(\boldsymbol{Q}^{(j)}\middle|\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{t}^{(j)}\right\}_{t=1}^{T},\boldsymbol{A}_{j},\boldsymbol{b}_{j},\ldots\right) \propto \left[\prod_{t=2}^{T} N\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}^{(j)}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{x}_{t}^{(j)}},\boldsymbol{Q}^{(j)}\right)\right] W^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}^{(j)}|\Psi_{0},\nu_{0}\right)$$

By conjugacy,

$$\begin{split} P\left(\boldsymbol{Q}^{(j)} \middle| \left\{ \boldsymbol{x}_{t}^{(j)} \right\}_{t=1}^{T}, \boldsymbol{A}_{j}, \boldsymbol{b}_{j}, \dots \right) \\ &= W^{-1} \left(\boldsymbol{Q}^{(j)} \middle| \Psi_{0} + \sum_{t=2}^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}^{(j)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{x}_{t}^{(j)}}\right) \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}^{(j)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{x}_{t}^{(j)}}\right)', T - 1 + \nu_{0} \right) \end{split}$$

c. No constraints on Q:

Let $Ax_{t-1} + b = \mu_{x_t}$

$$P(\boldsymbol{Q}|\{\boldsymbol{x}_t\}_{t=1}^T, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{b}, \dots) \propto \left[\prod_{t=2}^T N(\boldsymbol{x}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{x}_t}, \boldsymbol{Q}) \right] W^{-1}(\boldsymbol{Q}|\Psi_{\boldsymbol{Q}_0}, \nu_{\boldsymbol{Q}_0})$$

By conjugacy,

$$Q|\{x_t\}_{t=1}^T, A, b, ... \sim W^{-1} \left(\Psi_0 + \sum_{t=2}^T (x_t - \mu_{x_t})(x_t - \mu_{x_t})', T - 1 + \nu_0\right)$$