

OMTF performance study using L1 Ntuple

July 2018

Andrew Brinkerhoff, Karol Bunkowski, Georgios Karathanasis,
Marcin Konecki, Thomas Reis and **Wei Shi**

Motivations



- Compare efficiency and rate performance for several OMTF algorithm options [\[1\]](#)
 - Default
 - Prefer DT: Prefer muon candidate with the DT reference hit
 - FW_V5: Mitigate degraded performance when RPC not available
 - Allow the coincidence of two DT segments or one DT + one CSC to produce a muon
 - Allow the “uncorrelated” DT segments (quality 2 and 3, i.e. based on only one superlayer) to be used by the algorithm
 - For each option above, compare with & w/o RPC TPs

Tools

- L1T muon [tool](#) from Thomas Reis
- L1 [Ntuples](#) for various OMTF algo options
- Samples
 - /SingleMuon/Run2018A-ZMu-PromptReco-v1/RAW-RECO
 - /afs/cern.ch/cms/CAF/CMSCOMM/COMM_DQM/certification/Collisions18/13TeV/DCSONly/json_DCSONLY.txt
 - /ZeroBias/Run2018B-v1/RAW, run 317640
 - /afs/cern.ch/cms/CAF/CMSCOMM/COMM_DQM/certification/Collisions18/13TeV/PromptReco/Cert_314472-317696_13TeV_PromptReco_Collisions18_JSON.txt



Default vs Prefer DT (both with RPC)

Legend swapped





Default: w & w/o RPC

Legend swapped




Prefer DT: w & w/o RPC

Legend swapped




Back Up

Prefer DT vs Prefer DT + FW v5 (both with RPC)




Prefer DT(no RPC) vs Prefer DT + FW_v5(no RPC)

Legend swapped





FW_5 Not Effective!



Prefer DT+FW_v5: w & w/o RPC

Legend swapped



FW_5 Not Effective!