**a.** There are 6 75W bulbs and 9 other bulbs. So, P(select exactly 2 75W bulbs) = P(select exactly 2 75W)

bulbs and 1 other bulb) = 
$$\frac{\binom{6}{2}\binom{9}{1}}{\binom{15}{3}} = \frac{(15)(9)}{455} = .2967$$
.

**b.** P(all three are the same rating) = P(all 3 are 40W or all 3 are 60W or all 3 are 75W) =

$$\frac{\binom{4}{3} + \binom{5}{3} + \binom{6}{3}}{\binom{15}{3}} = \frac{4 + 10 + 20}{455} = .0747.$$

- c.  $P(\text{one of each type is selected}) = \frac{\binom{4}{1}\binom{5}{1}\binom{6}{1}}{\binom{15}{3}} = \frac{120}{455} = .2637$ .
- **d.** It is necessary to examine at least six bulbs if and only if the first five light bulbs were all of the 40W or 60W variety. Since there are 9 such bulbs, the chance of this event is

$$\frac{\binom{9}{5}}{\binom{15}{5}} = \frac{126}{3003} = .042$$

## 2.100

**a.** First,  $P(both +) = P(carrier \cap both +) + P(not a carrier \cap both +) =$ 

P(carrier)P(both + | carrier) + P(not a carrier)P(both + | not a carrier). Assuming independence of the tests, this equals  $(.01)(.90)^2 + (.99)(.05)^2 = .010575$ .

Similarly,  $P(both -) = (.01)(.10)^2 + (.99)(.95)^2 = .893575$ .

Therefore, P(tests agree) = .010575 + .893575 = .90415.

**b.** From the first part of **a**,  $P(\text{carrier} \mid \text{both} +) = \frac{P(\text{carrier} \cap \text{both} +)}{P(\text{both} +)} = \frac{(.01)(.90)^2}{.010575} = .766.$ 

## 3.50

Let X be the number of faxes, so  $X \sim Bin(25, .25)$ .

**a.** 
$$P(X \le 6) = B(6;25,.25) = .561.$$

**b.** 
$$P(X = 6) = b(6;25,.25) = .183.$$

**c.** 
$$P(X \ge 6) = 1 - P(X \le 5) = 1 - B(5;25,.25) = .622.$$

**d.** 
$$P(X > 6) = 1 - P(X \le 6) = 1 - .561 = .439.$$

Let  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu = 20)$ .

**a.** 
$$P(X \le 10) = F(10; 20) = .011.$$

**b.** 
$$P(X > 20) = 1 - F(20; 20) = 1 - .559 = .441.$$

**c.** 
$$P(10 \le X \le 20) = F(20; 20) - F(9; 20) = .559 - .005 = .554;$$
  
 $P(10 < X < 20) = F(19; 20) - F(10; 20) = .470 - .011 = .459.$ 

**d.** 
$$E(X) = \mu = 20$$
, so  $\sigma = \sqrt{20} = 4.472$ . Therefore,  $P(\mu - 2\sigma < X < \mu + 2\sigma) = P(20 - 8.944 < X < 20 + 8.944) = P(11.056 < X < 28.944) =  $P(X \le 28) - P(X \le 11) = F(28; 20) - F(11; 20) = .966 - .021 = .945$ .$ 

## 4.45

With  $\mu$  = .500 inches, the acceptable range for the diameter is between .496 and .504 inches, so unacceptable bearings will have diameters smaller than .496 or larger than .504.

The new distribution has  $\mu = .499$  and  $\sigma = .002$ .

$$P(X < .496 \text{ or } X > .504) = P\left(Z < \frac{.496 - .499}{.002}\right) + P\left(Z > \frac{.504 - .499}{.002}\right) = P(Z < -1.5) + P(Z > 2.5) = P(Z < -1.5) + P($$

 $\Phi(-1.5) + [1 - \Phi(2.5)] = .073.7.3\%$  of the bearings will be unacceptable.

**a.** 
$$F(x) = 0$$
 for  $x < 1$  and  $F(x) = 1$  for  $x > 3$ . For  $1 \le x \le 3$ ,  $F(x) = \int_1^x \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{y^2} dy = 1.5 \left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right)$ .

**b.** 
$$P(X \le 2.5) = F(2.5) = 1.5(1 - .4) = .9$$
;  $P(1.5 \le X \le 2.5) = F(2.5) - F(1.5) = .4$ .

**c.** 
$$E(X) = = \int_{1}^{3} x \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{x^{2}} dx = \frac{3}{2} \int_{1}^{3} \frac{1}{x} dx = 1.5 \ln(x) \Big]_{1}^{3} = 1.648.$$

**d.** 
$$E(X^2) = \int_1^3 x^2 \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{x^2} dx = \frac{3}{2} \int_1^3 dx = 3$$
, so  $V(X) = E(X^2) - [E(X)]^2 = .284$  and  $\sigma = .553$ .

**e.** From the description, h(x) = 0 if  $1 \le x \le 1.5$ ; h(x) = x - 1.5 if  $1.5 \le x \le 2.5$  (one second later), and h(x) = 1 if  $2.5 \le x \le 3$ . Using those terms,

$$E[h(X)] = \int_{1.5}^{3} h(x) dx = \int_{1.5}^{2.5} (x - 1.5) \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{x^2} dx + \int_{2.5}^{3} 1 \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{x^2} dx = .267.$$

## 5.60

Y is normally distributed with 
$$\mu_Y = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_1 + \mu_2) - \frac{1}{3}(\mu_3 + \mu_4 + \mu_5) = -1$$
, and

$$\sigma_{\gamma}^{2} = \frac{1}{4}\sigma_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\sigma_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{9}\sigma_{3}^{2} + \frac{1}{9}\sigma_{4}^{2} + \frac{1}{9}\sigma_{5}^{2} = 3.167 \Rightarrow \sigma_{\gamma} = 1.7795.$$

Thus, 
$$P(0 \le Y) = P(\frac{0 - (-1)}{1.7795} \le Z) = P(.56 \le Z) = .2877$$
 and  $P(-1 \le Y \le 1) = P(0 \le Z \le \frac{2}{1.7795}) = P(0 \le Z \le 1.12) = .3686.$ 

6.11

**a.** 
$$E\left(\frac{X_1}{n_1} - \frac{X_2}{n_2}\right) = \frac{1}{n_1}E(X_1) - \frac{1}{n_2}E(X_2) = \frac{1}{n_1}(n_1p_1) - \frac{1}{n_2}(n_2p_2) = p_1 - p_2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b.} & V \Bigg( \frac{X_1}{n_1} - \frac{X_2}{n_2} \Bigg) = V \Bigg( \frac{X_1}{n_1} \Bigg) + V \Bigg( \frac{X_2}{n_2} \Bigg) = \Bigg( \frac{1}{n_1} \Bigg)^2 V(X_1) + \Bigg( \frac{1}{n_2} \Bigg)^2 V(X_2) = \\ & \frac{1}{n_1^2} \Big( n_1 p_1 q_1 \Big) + \frac{1}{n_2^2} \Big( n_2 p_2 q_2 \Big) = \frac{p_1 q_1}{n_1} + \frac{p_2 q_2}{n_2}, \text{ and the standard error is the square root of this quantity.} \end{aligned}$$

**c.** With 
$$\hat{p}_1 = \frac{x_1}{n_1}$$
,  $\hat{q}_1 = 1 - \hat{p}_1$ ,  $\hat{p}_2 = \frac{x_2}{n_2}$ ,  $\hat{q}_2 = 1 - \hat{p}_2$ , the estimated standard error is  $\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1\hat{q}_1}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2\hat{q}_2}{n_2}}$ .

**d.** 
$$(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) = \frac{127}{200} - \frac{176}{200} = .635 - .880 = -.245$$

**e.** 
$$\sqrt{\frac{(.635)(.365)}{200} + \frac{(.880)(.120)}{200}} = .041$$

7.20

Because the sample size is so large, the simpler formula (7.11) for the confidence interval for p is sufficient:

$$.15 \pm 2.58 \sqrt{\frac{(.15)(.85)}{4722}} = .15 \pm .013 = (.137,.163).$$

8.58

 $\mu$  = the true average percentage of organic matter in this type of soil, and the hypotheses are  $H_0$ :  $\mu = 3$  v.  $H_a$ :  $\mu \neq 3$ . With n = 30, and assuming normality, we use the t test:  $t = \frac{\overline{x} - 3}{s / \sqrt{n}} = \frac{2.481 - 3}{.295} = \frac{-.519}{.295} = -1.759$ 

. The *P*-value = 2[P(t > 1.759)] = 2(.041) = .082. At significance level .10, since  $.082 \le .10$ , we would reject  $H_0$  and conclude that the true average percentage of organic matter in this type of soil is something other than 3. At significance level .05, we would not have rejected  $H_0$ .