5.46

- **a.** The sampling distribution of  $\overline{X}$  is centered at  $E(\overline{X}) = \mu = 12$  cm, and the standard deviation of the  $\overline{X}$  distribution is  $\sigma_{\overline{X}} = \frac{\sigma_{\overline{X}}}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{.04}{\sqrt{16}} = .01$  cm.
- **b.** With n=64, the sampling distribution of  $\bar{X}$  is still centered at  $E(\bar{X})=\mu=12$  cm, but the standard deviation of the  $\bar{X}$  distribution is  $\sigma_{\bar{X}}=\frac{\sigma_{\bar{X}}}{\sqrt{n}}=\frac{.04}{\sqrt{64}}=.005$  cm.
- c.  $\overline{X}$  is more likely to be within .01 cm of the mean (12 cm) with the second, larger, sample. This is due to the decreased variability of  $\overline{X}$  that comes with a larger sample size.

5.50

**a.** 
$$P(9,900 \le \overline{X} \le 10,200) \approx P\left(\frac{9,900-10,000}{500/\sqrt{40}} \le Z \le \frac{10,200-10,000}{500/\sqrt{40}}\right)$$
  
=  $P(-1.26 \le Z \le 2.53) = \Phi(2.53) - \Phi(-1.26) = .9943 - .1038 = .8905.$ 

**b.** According to the guideline given in Section 5.4, n should be greater than 30 in order to apply the CLT, thus using the same procedure for n = 15 as was used for n = 40 would not be appropriate.

5.53

a. With the values provided,

$$P(\overline{X} \ge 51) = P\left(Z \ge \frac{51 - 50}{1.2/\sqrt{9}}\right) = P(Z \ge 2.5) = 1 - .9938 = .0062$$
.

**b.** Replace n = 9 by n = 40, and

$$P(\bar{X} \ge 51) = P\left(Z \ge \frac{51 - 50}{1.2 / \sqrt{40}}\right) = P(Z \ge 5.27) \approx 0.$$

5.60

*Y* is normally distributed with 
$$\mu_Y = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_1 + \mu_2) - \frac{1}{3}(\mu_3 + \mu_4 + \mu_5) = -1$$
, and  $\sigma_Y^2 = \frac{1}{4}\sigma_1^2 + \frac{1}{4}\sigma_2^2 + \frac{1}{9}\sigma_3^2 + \frac{1}{9}\sigma_4^2 + \frac{1}{9}\sigma_5^2 = 3.167 \Rightarrow \sigma_Y = 1.7795$ .

Thus,  $P(0 \le Y) = P\left(\frac{0 - (-1)}{1.7795} \le Z\right) = P(.56 \le Z) = .2877$  and  $P(-1 \le Y \le 1) = P\left(0 \le Z \le \frac{2}{1.7795}\right) = P(0 \le Z \le 1.12) = .3686$ .

6.3

**a.** We use the sample mean,  $\bar{x} = 1.3481$ .

**b.** Because we assume normality, the mean = median, so we also use the sample mean  $\bar{x} = 1.3481$ . We could also easily use the sample median.

c. We use the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of the sample:  $\angle (1.28)\sigma = \bar{x} + 1.28s = 1.3481 + (1.28)(.3385) = 1.7814$ .

**d.** Since we can assume normality,

$$P(X < 1.5) \approx P(Z < \frac{1.5 - \overline{x}}{s}) = P(Z < \frac{1.5 - 1.3481}{.3385}) = P(Z < .45) = .6736.$$

**e.** The estimated standard error of  $\bar{x} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{.3385}{\sqrt{16}} = .0846$ .

6.5

Let  $\theta$  = the total audited value. Three potential estimators of  $\theta$  are  $\hat{\theta}_1 = N\overline{X}$ ,  $\hat{\theta}_2 = T - N\overline{D}$ , and  $\hat{\theta}_3 = T \cdot \frac{\overline{X}}{\overline{Y}}$ . From the data,  $\overline{y} = 374.6$ ,  $\overline{x} = 340.6$ , and  $\overline{d} = 34.0$ . Knowing N = 5,000 and T = 1,761,300, the three corresponding estimates are  $\hat{\theta}_1 = (5,000)(340.6) = 1,703,000$ ,  $\hat{\theta}_2 = 1,761,300 - (5,000)(34.0) = 1,591,300$ , and  $\hat{\theta}_3 = 1,761,300 \left(\frac{340.6}{374.6}\right) = 1,601,438.281$ .

6.28

**a.**  $\left(\frac{x_1}{\theta} \exp\left[-x_1^2/2\theta\right]\right) ... \left(\frac{x_n}{\theta} \exp\left[-x_n^2/2\theta\right]\right) = \left(x_1...x_n\right) \frac{\exp\left[-\sum x_i^2/2\theta\right]}{\theta^n}$ . The natural log of the likelihood function is  $\ln(x_i...x_n) - n\ln(\theta) - \frac{\sum x_i^2}{2\theta}$ . Taking the derivative with respect to  $\theta$  and equating to 0 gives  $-\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{\sum x_i^2}{2\theta^2} = 0$ , so  $n\theta = \frac{\sum x_i^2}{2}$  and  $\theta = \frac{\sum x_i^2}{2n}$ . The mle is therefore  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum x_i^2}{2n}$ , which is identical to the unbiased estimator suggested in Exercise 15.

**b.** For x > 0 the cdf of X is  $F(x; \theta) = P(X \le x) = 1 - \exp\left[\frac{-x^2}{2\theta}\right]$ . Equating this to .5 and solving for x gives the median in terms of  $\theta$ .  $.5 = \exp\left[\frac{-x^2}{2\theta}\right] \Rightarrow x = \tilde{\mu} = \sqrt{-2\theta \ln(.5)} = \sqrt{1.3863\theta}$ . The mle of  $\tilde{\mu}$  is therefore  $\sqrt{1.3863\hat{\theta}}$ .

- **a.** A 90% confidence interval will be narrower. The *z* critical value for a 90% confidence level is 1.645, smaller than the *z* of 1.96 for the 95% confidence level, thus producing a narrower interval.
- **b.** Not a correct statement. Once and interval has been created from a sample, the mean μ is either enclosed by it, or not. We have 95% confidence in the general procedure, under repeated and independent sampling.
- **c.** Not a correct statement. The interval is an estimate for the population mean, not a boundary for population values.
- **d.** Not a correct statement. In theory, if the process were repeated an infinite number of times, 95% of the intervals would contain the population mean  $\mu$ . We *expect* 95 out of 100 intervals will contain  $\mu$ , but we don't know this to be true.

7.6

**a.** 
$$8439 \pm \frac{(1.645)(100)}{\sqrt{25}} = 8439 \pm 32.9 = (8406.1, 8471.9).$$

**b.** 
$$1-\alpha = .92 \Rightarrow \alpha = .08 \Rightarrow \alpha / 2 = .04$$
 so  $z_{\alpha/2} = z_{.04} = 1.75$ .