## **OLG Model in Asset Pricing**

Wei Wang \*

There are multiple versions of overlapping generation model (hereafter "OLG"). Asset pricing literature uses Blanchard-Yaari perpetual youth model the most. A typical feature is that the probability of death conditional on the agent's age is a constant, which is not realistic. But it fits the general population demographic features well.

If there is an instantaneous probability v of dying, the probability (as viewed by a person alive in period s) of still being alive (not dead) in period t is exp(-v(t-s)). Each instant there is a mass of v entrants coming into the economy.

Proposition 1: The mass of investors is a constant 1.

*Proof.* Suppose the mass of investors at time t is m. At time t + dt,  $m(1 - \exp(-vdt))$  mass of existing investors exit the market and v mass of investors enter the market. When the economy is stationary,  $m(1 - exp(-vdt)) \approx m * vdt = vdt$ . Then m = 1.

Another way of proof is like this: at time t, the mass of investors equals to those who can live until time t:  $\int_{-\infty}^{t} v \exp(-v(t-s)) ds = v$ 

For an investor born at time s receives endowment  $y_{s,t}$  at time t. Define the aggregate endowment:  $Y_t = \int_{-\infty}^t v \exp(-v(t-s))y_{s,t}ds$ . For simplicity, I assume that  $y_{s,t}$  is not random and equal to  $Y_t$ . Then the aggregate endowment is  $Y_t$ . Later on, I will introduce uncertainty.

A typical question for the investor born at time s is to maximize lifetime utility:

$$\max_{C_{s,t}} E_s \left[ \int_s^{\tilde{\tau}} e^{-\rho(t-s)} log(c_{s,t}) \right] dt = E_s \left[ \int_s^{+\infty} e^{-(\rho+v)(t-s)} log(c_{s,t}) \right] dt$$
 (1)

subject to the constraint  $E_s\left[\int_s^{+\infty} \exp(-v(t-s)) \frac{M_t}{M_s} c_{s,t} dt\right] = E_s\left[\int_s^{+\infty} \exp(-v(t-s)) \frac{M_t}{M_s} y_{s,t} dt\right] = E_s\left[\int_s^{+\infty} \exp(-v(t-s)) \frac{M_t}{M_s} Y_t dt\right]$  The FOC is  $c_{s,t} = \exp(-\rho(t-s)) \frac{1}{\kappa_s} \frac{M_s}{M_t}$ . It is straightforward that  $c_{s,s} = \frac{1}{\kappa_s}$ . Then we have  $c_{s,t} = \exp(-\rho(t-s)) c_{s,s} \frac{M_s}{M_t}$ . Substitute this equation back to the budget constraint:

$$\int_{s}^{+\infty} \exp(-v(t-s)) \frac{M_t}{M_s} \exp(-\rho(t-s)) \frac{1}{\kappa_s} \frac{M_s}{M_t} dt = c_{s,s} \frac{1}{v+\rho} = W_s$$
 (2)

<sup>\*</sup>I collect the notes from my advisor Christian's board writing. All the errors are my own.

where  $W_{s,s} = E_s \left[ \int_s^{+\infty} \exp(-v(t-s)) \frac{M_t}{M_s} Y_t dt \right]$ . Then we have  $c_{s,s} = (\rho + v) W_{s,s}$ . Then  $c_{s,t} = \exp(-\rho(t-s)) c_{s,s} \frac{M_s}{M_t} = \exp(-(\rho+v)(t-s)) \int_s^{+\infty} \exp(-v(k-t)) \frac{M_k}{M_t} y_k dk = \exp(-(\rho+v)(t-s)) W_{s,t}$ .

The ratio of the endowment to wealth is constant in this scenario:

$$Y_t = \int_{-\infty}^t v \exp(-v(t-s))c_{s,t}ds \tag{3}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{t} v \exp(-v(t-s))(\rho+v)W_{s,t}ds \tag{4}$$

$$= (\rho + v) \int_{-\infty}^{t} v \exp(-v(t-s)) W_{s,t} ds = (\rho + v) W_{t}$$
 (5)

To fully solve the model, we need to get the expression of  $M_t$ . Notice that

$$Y_t = \int_{-\infty}^t v \exp(-v(t-s))c_{s,t}ds \tag{6}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{t} v \exp(-(v+\rho)(t-s)) c_{s,s} \frac{M_s}{M_t} ds \tag{7}$$

We can rearrange it to get the expression of  $M_t$ :

$$M_t = \int_{-\infty}^t v \exp(-(v+\rho)(t-s))c_{s,s}M_s ds \frac{1}{Y_t}$$
(8)

If we do the differentiation of  $M_t$ :

$$\frac{dM_t}{M_t} = \frac{dF_t}{F_t} - \frac{dY_t}{Y_t} \tag{9}$$

$$= \left(\frac{vC_{t,t}}{Y_t} - (\rho + v) - \mu_Y\right)dt \tag{10}$$

Define  $\beta_t = \frac{C_{t,t}}{Y_t}$  as the consumption share of new born agents. Then the interest rate is  $r_t = (\rho + v) + \mu_Y - v\beta_t = \rho + \mu_Y + v(1 - \beta_t)$ 

Proposition 2: The interest rate is  $r_t = (\rho + v) + \mu_Y - v\beta_t = \rho + \mu_Y + v(1 - \beta_t)$ 

The interpretation of  $\mu_Y + v(1 - \beta_t)$  is that if the new born agents consume more than the endowment, then effective growth rate is  $\mu_Y + v(1 - \beta_t)$ . But in this case,  $\frac{c_{t,t}}{Y_t} = \frac{(\rho + v)W_{t,t}}{(\rho + v)W_t} = \frac{W_{t,t}}{W_t}$ .