

Final Evaluation (CTEF) for Chen, Weiwei EECS 22L DIS 1 (18021), Winter Qtr 2013

Responses: 11/24 (45.83%)

A. Please comment on the following areas and be as specific as possible:

1. What are the instructor's teaching strengths?

- Everything
- Excellent TA. Very clear when explaining concepts. Also very approachable and willing to answer any questions students have.
- Great TA, very knowledgeable and approachable.
- Helping go over the main concepts of what we should be focusing on during the week in discussion/lab. Helping groups with questions regarding code/CVS/etc.
- She is great at letting the students know what she needs for each deadline. Without this detail, students would be confused and not understand what to include in each project.
- subject knowledge
- Very clear explanations and very responsive to students' questions.
- Very helpful
- Weiwei goes over the projects with some clarity, and gives advice for the current project on good methods to approach the project. The instructor is easily accessible whenever we have a question about how a function works or how to set up CVS.
- 2 blank answer(s).

2. How can this instructor improve as a teacher?

- Be omnipresent.
- Grade less harshly and be more understanding for messed up or late submissions. For just a 3 unit lab it's really stressful enough to not only try to get the best program possible with so little time but at the same time put everything together to submit.
- More one one one
- Nothing.
- nothing she is great.
- She can improve as a teacher by speaking louder during the discussion and lab sessions!
- Sometimes talks too quietly, can't be heard over louder students.
- Speak louder
- The instructor could be clearer, as it is sometimes hard understanding them. Other than that the instructor is very good and I have no other complaints.
- 2 blank answer(s).

3. Any other comments about this course?

- Enjoyed having as my ta for the past 2 quarters!
- Workload too intensive for a coordinated group effort. It's already bad enough we have to do all the unnecessary things like documentation or test folders but the projects are also very difficult and time consuming.
- 9 blank answer(s).

B. Please choose the appropriate rating on the letter grade scale A to F:

'A' indicating an excellent and 'F' indicating a wholly inadequate performance. If you have no opinion on the question asked or if it does not apply, please select NA.

UCI EEE Evaluations

Final Evaluation (CTEF) for Chen, Weiwei EECS 22L DIS 1 (18021), Winter Qtr 2013

4. The course instructor shows enthusiasm for and is interested in the subject.

A	A-	B+	B	B-
8	3	0	0	0
Value: 4	Value: 3.7	Value: 3.3	Value: 3	Value: 2.7
C+	C	C-	D	F
0	0	0	0	0
Value: 2.3	Value: 2	Value: 1.7	Value: 1	Value: 0
Mean	Median	Std Dev		
3.92	4.00	0.13		

5. The course instructor stimulates your interest in the subject.

A	A-	B+	B	B-
7	3	1	0	0
Value: 4	Value: 3.7	Value: 3.3	Value: 3	Value: 2.7
C+	C	C-	D	F
0	0	0	0	0
Value: 2.3	Value: 2	Value: 1.7	Value: 1	Value: 0
Mean	Median	Std Dev		
3.85	4.00	0.22		

6. The course instructor meets stated objectives of the course.

A	A-	B+	B	B-
7	3	0	0	0
Value: 4	Value: 3.7	Value: 3.3	Value: 3	Value: 2.7
C+	C	C-	D	F
0	0	0	0	0
Value: 2.3	Value: 2	Value: 1.7	Value: 1	Value: 0
Mean	Median	Std Dev		
3.91	4.00	0.14		

7. The course instructor is accessible and responsive.

A	A-	B+	B	B-
8	2	1	0	0
Value: 4	Value: 3.7	Value: 3.3	Value: 3	Value: 2.7
C+	C	C-	D	F
0	0	0	0	0
Value: 2.3	Value: 2	Value: 1.7	Value: 1	Value: 0
Mean	Median	Std Dev		
3.88	4.00	0.22		

8. The course instructor creates an open and fair learning environment.

A	A-	B+	B	B-
6	4	1	0	0
Value: 4	Value: 3.7	Value: 3.3	Value: 3	Value: 2.7
C+	C	C-	D	F
0	0	0	0	0
Value: 2.3	Value: 2	Value: 1.7	Value: 1	Value: 0
Mean	Median	Std Dev		
3.83	4.00	0.22		

UCI EEE Evaluations

Final Evaluation (CTEF) for Chen, Weiwei EECS 22L DIS 1 (18021), Winter Qtr 2013

9. The course instructor encourages students to think in this course.

A	A-	B+	B	B-
9	1	1	0	0
Value: 4	Value: 3.7	Value: 3.3	Value: 3	Value: 2.7
C+	C	C-	D	F
0	0	0	0	0
Value: 2.3	Value: 2	Value: 1.7	Value: 1	Value: 0
Mean	Median	Std Dev		
3.91	4.00	0.21		

10. The course instructor's presentations and explanations of concepts were clear.

A	A-	B+	B	B-
7	3	1	0	0
Value: 4	Value: 3.7	Value: 3.3	Value: 3	Value: 2.7
C+	C	C-	D	F
0	0	0	0	0
Value: 2.3	Value: 2	Value: 1.7	Value: 1	Value: 0
Mean	Median	Std Dev		
3.85	4.00	0.22		

11. Assignments and exams covered important aspects of the course.

A	A-	B+	B	B-
8	1	1	0	0
Value: 4	Value: 3.7	Value: 3.3	Value: 3	Value: 2.7
C+	C	C-	D	F
0	0	0	0	0
Value: 2.3	Value: 2	Value: 1.7	Value: 1	Value: 0
Mean	Median	Std Dev		
3.90	4.00	0.22		

12. What overall grade would you give this instructor?

A	A-	B+	B	B-
8	3	0	0	0
Value: 4	Value: 3.7	Value: 3.3	Value: 3	Value: 2.7
C+	C	C-	D	F
0	0	0	0	0
Value: 2.3	Value: 2	Value: 1.7	Value: 1	Value: 0
Mean	Median	Std Dev		
3.92	4.00	0.13		

13. What overall grade would you give this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-
7	2	2	0	0
Value: 4	Value: 3.7	Value: 3.3	Value: 3	Value: 2.7
C+	C	C-	D	F
0	0	0	0	0
Value: 2.3	Value: 2	Value: 1.7	Value: 1	Value: 0
Mean	Median	Std Dev		
3.82	4.00	0.27		

UCI EEE Evaluations

Final Evaluation (CTEF) for Chen, Weiwei EECS 22L DIS 1 (18021), Winter Qtr 2013

C. Please answer:

14. Based on completed assignments thus far, what is your current course grade or approximate standing?

A	B	C	D	F	NA
7	3	0	0	0	1
Value: 4	Value: 3	Value: 2	Value: 1	Value: 0	No Value
Mean	Median	Std Dev			
3.70	4.00	0.46			

15. How much academic dishonesty seemed to occur in this course? If applicable, please describe the type of academic dishonesty that occurred (not the particular students involved).

1.

A lot	Some	A little	None I could discern
1	0	2	8

2. Examples:

- 11 blank answer(s).

16. How helpful were the textbooks and/or readings to your overall learning experience?

Very	Adequately	Somewhat	Not at all
0	2	3	5

17. How challenging was this course?

Very	Adequately	Somewhat	Not at all
5	2	3	1