

# Homework 1

Wei Ye\*

ECON 7920- Econometrics II

Due on Feb 3, 2022

## 1 Question 12.1

**Solution:**

- a. From equation (12.2), we know:  $y = m(x, \theta_0) + u$ ,  $E(u|x) = 0$ . Plug this into (12.4) and take conditional expectation w.r.t  $x$ :

$$\begin{aligned} E[[y - m(x, \theta)]^2|x] &= E[[y - m(x, \theta_0)]^2|x] + 2E[m(x, \theta_0) - m(x, \theta)|x]E[u|x] + E[[m(x, \theta_0) - m(x, \theta)]^2|x] \\ &= E(u^2|x) + 2E[m(x, \theta_0) - m(x, \theta)|x] \cdot 0 + E[[m(x, \theta_0) - m(x, \theta)]^2|x] \\ &= E(u^2|x) + E[[m(x, \theta_0) - m(x, \theta)]^2|x] \\ &=^{LIE} E(u^2|x) + E[m(x, \theta_0) - m(x, \theta)]^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since the first term is just error term, which is not relevant to the parameter  $\theta$ , therefore, the only left to consider is the second term. When  $\theta = \theta_0$ , the second term is 0 obviously. When  $\theta$  is picked any value in the space excluding  $\theta_0$ , the second term is always  $> 0$ .

- b. Because from the conditional expectation, we can derive and get the unconditional expectation. In other way, if we derive and estimate the parameter value  $\theta$  given  $x$ , we can narrow down the scope of searching, if not, there may be multiple values available and waste of computation power.

## 2 Question 12.2

**Solution:**

---

\*1st year PhD student in Economics Department at Fordham University. Email: wye22@fordham.edu

a.

$$\begin{aligned}
E(u^2|x) &= E[(y - E(y|x))^2|x] \\
&= E[y^2 - 2yE(y|x) + (E(y|x))^2|x] \\
&= E(y^2|x) - 2E(y|x)E(y|x) + E(y|x)^2 \\
&= \text{var}(y|x) + E(y|x)^2 - E(y|x)^2 \\
&= \text{var}(y|x) \\
&= \exp(\alpha_0 + x\gamma_0)
\end{aligned}$$

b. As  $\hat{u}$  is NLS error, our goal is to make the estimation of  $\alpha_0$  and  $\gamma_0$  consistent. First, Set up the objection function  $\min_{\alpha, \gamma} \sum_{i=1}^N \{(u_i^2 - \exp(\alpha + x_i\gamma))^2\}$ . Substitute  $u = y - E(y|x)$  into this objective function, we can obtain:

$$\min_{\alpha, \gamma} \sum_{i=1}^N \{((y_i - m(x, \hat{\theta}))^2 - \exp(\alpha + x_i\gamma))^2\}$$

From M-estimator method, we can estimate  $\hat{\theta}$  to  $\theta_0$ , and thus,  $\hat{u} \rightarrow u$ , and then the two parameters  $\alpha_0$  and  $\gamma_0$  can be estimated consistently.

c. Since we know from the last question, we can estimate  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ , thus, the form is  $\min_i^N \frac{\{(y - E(y|x))\}}{\exp(\hat{\alpha} + x_i\hat{\gamma})}$  for WLNS

d. Take square of both sides of v, we can obtain:  $v^2 = \exp(-(\alpha_0 + x + \gamma_0))u^2$ . Then, take log on both sides:  $\log(v^2) = \log(u^2) - (\alpha + x\gamma_0)$ . From this question, we know v is independent with x. Thus, Take expectation:  $E(\log(u^2)|x) = E(\log(v^2)|x) + \alpha + x\gamma_0$ , the first term is constant, thus,  $\gamma_0$  can be estimated by the regression of  $1, x_i$ . We can use M-estimator to replace  $\hat{u}$  and by doing WNLS to obtain the consistency.

e. I'll make some robust check to test the variance we are suspicious.

### 3 Question 12.3

**Solution:**

a. We assume the elasticity of  $E(\cdot)$  to  $z_1$  is  $\epsilon_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_1 &= \frac{\partial \hat{E}(y|z)}{\partial z_1} \cdot \frac{z_1}{E(\hat{y}|z)} \\
&= \exp(\cdot) \frac{\hat{\theta}_2}{z_1 \exp(\cdot)} \\
&= \hat{\theta}_2
\end{aligned}$$

- b. Since we want to know what percentage of  $E(y|z)$  would be. We take log on both sides first.

$$\log(\hat{E}(y|z)) = \hat{\theta}_1 + \hat{\theta}_2 \log(z_1) + \hat{\theta}_3 z_2 \quad (12.3.1)$$

From (12.3.1), we take the partial differential equation w.r.t.  $z_2$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{E}(\cdot)}{\partial z_2} = \hat{\theta}_3$$

Thus, when  $\Delta z_2 = 1$ , it will cause  $\hat{E}(y|z)$  to change  $\theta_3\%$

- c. Take the first order differentiation w.r.t.  $z_2$ :

$$\exp(\cdot)(\hat{\theta}_3 + 2\hat{\theta}_4 z_2)$$

We can estimate  $z_2 = \frac{-\hat{\theta}_3}{2\hat{\theta}_4}$ , by the consistency of the parameters estimation, we can conclude:  $z_2 = -\frac{\theta_3}{2\theta_4}$ .

- d. From the question, we know  $\exp(x\theta) = \exp(x_1\theta_1 + x_2\theta_2)$ , so we set  $m(x, \theta) = \exp(x\theta)$ . Thus,  $\Delta_{\theta_i} m_i(x, \theta) = \exp(x_i\theta_i)x_i$ . First, we make regression with  $m(x, \theta)$  with its estimation to get the value of residuals  $\hat{u}$ , then make regressions on  $\hat{u}$  with the gradients of  $m$  function to gain LM value. Finally, we compare this value with our threshold to test.

## 4 Question 12.17

### Solution:

- a. For this we can get a similar equation below the equation (12.15) in textbook.

$$N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^N g(w_i, \theta) = N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^N g(w_i, \theta_0) + N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N G_i \sqrt{N}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \quad 12.17.1$$

Where  $G$  is Jacobian w.r.t  $\theta$ . Then follow the steps in textbook form (12.15) to (12.17), we can obtain  $\sqrt{N}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) = o_p(1)$ . By LLN, we assume  $N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N G_i = G_0$ . Thus:

$$\sqrt{N}\hat{\delta} = N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^N (g(w_i, \theta_0) - G_0 A_0^{-1} s_i(\theta_0)) + o_p(1) \quad 12.17.2$$

Rewrite 12.17.2,

$$\sqrt{N}(\hat{\delta} - \delta_0) = N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^N (g(w_i, \theta_0) - \delta_0 - G_0 A_0^{-1} s_i(\theta_0)) + o_p(1) \quad 12.17.3$$

Where the expectation of  $g(w_i, \theta_0) - \delta_0 - G_0 A_0^{-1} s_i(\theta_0)$  is equal to 0. Thus, we can obtain:

$$\sqrt{N}(\hat{\delta} - \delta_0) \overset{a}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, (g_i - \delta_0 - G_0 A_0^{-1} s_i)'(g_i - \delta_0 - G_0 A_0^{-1} s_i)) \quad 12.17.4$$

- b. If we want to estimate the parameters consistently, we need to keep  $\hat{A} \rightarrow A_0$  and  $G_i \rightarrow G_0$ . Then we can replace  $G_0$  and  $A_0$  with  $\hat{G}$  and  $\hat{A}$  in 12.17.4.
- c. As given in the question  $E(s(w, \theta_0)|x) = 0$ , and we assume  $g(w, \theta)$ ,  $w = (x, y)$ , so  $cov(g, s) = 0$ . Thus,  $cov(g_i - s_0, G_0 A_0^{-1} s_i) = 0$ , Therefore:  $var(g_i - \delta_0 - G_0 A_0^{-1} S_I) = var(g_i) + G_0(Avar\sqrt{N}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0))G_0'$