Structures

Structures

A structure is similar to an array in that it is an *aggregate* data structure, meaning it is composed of multiple elements or parts. It differs from an array in that each element can be of a different type. (Arrays are homogeneous structures where all elements are of the same type.)

The general syntax of a struct:

```
struct tag { members } variable-list;
```

Or formatted appropriately:

```
struct tag
{
   member1
   member2
   ...
   memberN
} variable-list;
```

The tag and variable-list are optional but the structure declaration must end with a semicolon.

Create a structure type named TIME, (no space is allocated at this point):

```
Structure Layout

struct TIME {
   int hours;
   int minutes;
   int seconds;
};
```

- By including the optional tag, we are giving the structure a name.
- Giving the structure a name allows us to create TIME variables in the future.
- This structure declaration acts like a *template*, in that it will be used to create TIME objects at a later time. (Think of this structure as you would a built-in type like int or double.)

This example creates two variables of type struct TIME, (space is allocated). Compare to an array:

```
struct TIME t1, t2; /* You must include the struct keyword */
int t3[3]; /* An array of 3 integers */
```

Visually: (the structures and array are uninitialized)

0

Assigning values to the fields:

```
/* Set the fields of t1 */

t1.hours = 8;

t2.hours = 11;

t1.minutes = 15;

t1.seconds = 0;

/* Set the fields of t2 */

t2.hours = 11;

t3[0] = 8;

t3[1] = 15;

t1.seconds = 59;

t2.seconds = 59;

t3[2] = 0;
```

- Accessing members of a structure is a common operation.
- Array elements are accessed anonymously using offsets from the address of the array.
- Structure members are accessed by name using the structure member operator, which is the period (or decimal point).
- The structure member operator has a higher precedence than most operators.
- Unlike arrays, a structure can be assigned to another structure:

```
/* Print out the times */
printf("Time 1 is %02i:%02i:%02i\n", t1.hours, t1.minutes, t1.seconds);
printf("Time 2 is %02i:%02i:%02i\n", t2.hours, t2.minutes, t2.seconds);

/* Assign all fields from t2 to t1 (Legal for structures, illegal for arrays) */
t1 = t2;

/* Print out the times again */
printf("Time 1 is %02i:%02i:%02i\n", t1.hours, t1.minutes, t1.seconds);
printf("Time 2 is %02i:%02i:%02i\n", t2.hours, t2.minutes, t2.seconds);

Output:
Time 1 is 08:15:00
Time 2 is 11:59:59
Time 1 is 11:59:59
Time 2 is 11:59:59
```

Structures are initialized much like arrays:

Structure declaration

Initializing TIME variables

```
struct TIME
struct TIME t1 = {10, 15, 0}; /* 10:15:00 */
{
    struct TIME t2 = {10, 15}; /* 10:15:00 */
    int hours;
    struct TIME t3 = {10}; /* 10:00:00 */
    int minutes;
    struct TIME t4 = {0}; /* 00:00:00 */
    int seconds;
};
struct TIME t5 = {}; /* Illegal */
    struct TIME t6 = { , , 5}; /* Illegal */
```

Another example:

Structure declaration

Initializing STUDENT variables

Review of array initialization vs. assignment:

```
char string[20]; /* Array of 20 characters, uninitialized */
string = "Johnny"; /* Illegal, "pointer" is const */
strcpy(string, "Johnny"); /* Proper assignment */
```

More examples:

Structure declaration

Initializing student variables

Slightly different structure:

Structure declaration

Initializing STUDENT2 variables

More review of pointers vs. arrays and initialization vs. assignment:

```
char s1[20] = "Digipen"; /* sizeof(s1)?, strlen(s1)? */
char s2[20]; /* sizeof(s2)?, strlen(s2)? */
char *p1 = "Digipen"; /* sizeof(p1)?, strlen(p1)? */
char *p2; /* sizeof(p2)?, strlen(p2)? */
s2 = "Digipen"; /* Illegal */
```

There is a caveat when initializing a structure with less initializer than there are fields in the struct. The GNU compiler will give you warnings with the -Wextra switch:

```
1. struct TIME
2. {
3.    int hours;
4.    int minutes;
5.    int seconds;
6. };
7.
8. struct TIME t1 = {10, 15, 0}; /* 10:15:00 */
9. struct TIME t2 = {10, 15}; /* 10:15:00 */
10. struct TIME t3 = {10}; /* 10:00:00 */
11. struct TIME t4 = {0}; /* 00:00:00 */
```

Warnings:

```
main2.c:9: warning: missing initializer
main2.c:9: warning: (near initialization for `t2.seconds')
main2.c:10: warning: missing initializer
main2.c:10: warning: (near initialization for `t3.minutes')
main2.c:11: warning: missing initializer
main2.c:11: warning: (near initialization for `t4.minutes')
```

Starting with version 4.0.4, this can be suppressed with -Wno-missing-field-initializers.

Structures as Parameters

Structures can be passed to functions just like any other value. However, they are different than arrays in that they are *passed by value*, meaning that the entire structure is *copied* onto the stack. This is actually a very big difference, and, as you can imagine, it can be a very expensive operation.

Given this structure:

```
struct TIME
{
  int hours;  /* 4 bytes */
  int minutes; /* 4 bytes */
  int seconds; /* 4 bytes */
};
```

Passing a TIME structure to a function:

Function to print a TIME

Calling the function

The time is 10:30:15

```
void foo(void)
{
    /* Create time of 10:30:15 */
    struct TIME t = {10, 30, 15};

    /* Pass by value and print */
    print_time(t);
}
Output:
```

A more expensive example:

```
struct STUDENT
{
   char first_name[20]; /* 20 bytes */
   char last_name[20]; /* 20 bytes */
   int age; /* 4 bytes */
   float GPA; /* 4 bytes */
}.
```

Function to print a STUDENT

Calling the function

```
void print_student(struct STUDENT s)
{
    printf("Name: %s %s\n", s.first_name, s.last_name);
    printf(" Age: %i\n", s.age);
    printf(" GPA: %.2f\n", s.GPA);
}

Output:
Name: Johnny Appleseed
Age: 20
GPA: 3.75
```

Structures as Parameters (revisited)

Since the default method for passing structures to functions is pass-by-value, we should modify the function so that it's more efficient. We do that by simply passing the structure by address instead.

Calling the function

Function to print a STUDENT (more efficient)

Note:

- In practice, you will rarely pass a structure (by value) to a function. You will almost always pass a pointer instead.
- Passing structures to functions by value can be very expensive. Unfortunately, compilers won't warn you when you do so.
- · Be sure to remember to use the const keyword if your function does not modify the structure that was passed in.

Structures as Members

Structures can contain almost any data type, including other structures. Sometimes these are called *nested* structures.

```
#define MAX_PATH 12
struct DATE
                  struct TIME
                                      struct DATETIME
                                                                struct FILEINFO
  int month;
                    int hours;
                                        struct DATE date;
                                                                  int length;
                                        struct TIME time;
                                                                  char name[MAX PATH];
  int day;
                    int minutes;
                                                                  struct DATETIME dt;
  int year;
                    int seconds;
```

Given this code:

```
struct FILEINFO fi;
```

We can visualize the struct in memory like this:

Now highlighting the two fields of the DATETIME struct:

Now highlighting the fields of the DATE and TIME structs:

Example:

An example using initialization:

```
struct FILEINFO fi = {1024, "foo.txt", { {7, 4, 2005}, {9, 30, 0} } };
```

A very fast way to set everything to 0:

```
struct FILEINFO fi = {0};
```

Same operations using a pointer to the structure:

Due to the order of precedence, we need the parentheses above. Otherwise:

Accessing a member of a pointer:

```
^{\prime\star} error: request for member 'length' in something not a structure or union ^{\star\prime} pfi.length = 1024;
```

Closer look:

```
Expression Description

pfi A pointer to a FILEINFO struct

*pfi A FILEINFO struct

(*pfi). Accessing a member of a FILEINFO struct

pfi-> Accessing a member of a FILEINFO struct (shorthand)
```

The *structure pointer operator* (or informally the *arrow operator*) is another programmer convenience along the same vein as the subscript operator and is high on the precedence chart. It performs the indirection "behind the scenes" so:

```
(*pfi). is the same as pfi->
```

That's why using the structure pointer operator on a structure is illegal; we're trying to dereference something (a structure) that isn't a pointer. And that's a no-no. Same example using the structure pointer operator:

Arrays vs. Structures vs. Built-in Types

Unlike arrays, which prohibit most aggregate operations, it is possible in some cases to manipulate structures as a whole.

Operation	Arrays	Structures	Built-in types (e.g. int)
Arithmetic	No	No	Yes
Assignment	No	Yes	Yes
Comparison	No	No	Yes
Input/Output(e.g. printf)	No (except strings)	No	Yes

Parameter passing	By address only	By address or value	Yes
Return from function	No	Yes	Yes

Summary of struct Syntax

The general form:

```
struct tag { members } variable-list;
```

Create a structure named TIME, (no space is allocated):

```
struct TIME
{
   int hours;
   int minutes;
   int seconds;
}:
```

Create two variables of type struct TIME, (space is allocated):

```
struct TIME t1, t2; /* You need the struct keyword */
```

We can do both in one step:

```
struct TIME
{
   int hours;
   int minutes;
   int seconds;
}t1, t2;    /* This allocates space    */
struct TIME t3, t4; /* Create more TIME variables here */
```

Leaving off the tag creates an anonymous structure:

```
struct    /* No name given to this struct */
{
   int hours;
   int minutes;
   int seconds;
}t1, t2;    /* We won't be able to create others later */
```

Create a new type with the typedef keyword:

```
typedef struct  /* use typedef keyword to create a new type */
{
  int hours;
  int minutes;
  int seconds;
}TIME;  /* TIME is a type, not a variable  */
TIME t1, t2;  /* Don't need the struct keyword now */
```

Using a typedef:

```
typedef struct TIME Time; /* Time is a new type */
```

Now we don't need the the struct keyword:

```
Time t1, t2;  /* Create to TIME structs */
struct TIME t3, t4; /* This still works */
```

We can create the ${\tt typedef}$ when we declare the ${\tt struct:}$

The *tag* name and **typedef** name can be the same:

Self-referencing structures

Before any data type can be used to create a variable, the size of the type must be known to the compiler:

```
struct NODE
{
   int value;
   struct NODE next; /* illegal */
};
```

Since the compiler hasn't fully "seen" the NODE struct, it can't be used anywhere, even inside itself. However, this works:

```
struct NODE
{
   int value;
   struct NODE *next; /* OK */
};
```

Since all pointers are of the same size, the compiler will accept this. The compiler doesn't fully know what's in a NODE struct (and doesn't need to know yet), but it knows the size of a pointer to it.

Two structures that are mutually dependent on each other won't pose any problems. In the source file, one of the declarations must come *after* the other. The use of the struct keyword gives the compiler enough information:

```
struct A
{
   int value;
   struct B *b; /* B is a struct */
};

struct B
{
   int value;
   struct A *a; /* A is a struct */
};
```

Unions

Suppose we want to parse simple expressions and we need to store information about each symbol in the expression. We could use a structure like this:

```
enum Kind {OPERATOR, INTEGER, FLOAT, IDENTIFIER};
struct Symbol
{
  enum Kind kind;
  char op;
  int ival;
  float fval;
  char id;
};
```

A Symbol struct in memory would look something like this:

.

If we wanted to store the information about this expression:

```
A + 23 * 3.14
```

We could do this:

```
void main(void)
{
   struct Symbol sym1, sym2, sym3, sym4, sym5;
   sym1.kind = IDENTIFIER;
   sym1.id = 'A';
   sym2.kind = OPERATOR;
   sym2.op = '+';
   sym3.kind = INTEGER;
   sym3.ival = 23;
   sym4.kind = OPERATOR;
   sym4.op = '*';
   sym5.kind = FLOAT;
   sym5.fval = 3.14F;
```

,

Memory usage would look something like this:

(

When dealing with mutually exclusive data members, a better solution is to create a union and use that instead:

```
The union The new struct

union SYMBOL_DATA struct NewSymbol

{
   char op; enum Kind kind;
   int ival; union SYMBOL_DATA data;
   float fval; };
   char id;
};
```

Note that sizeof (SYMBOL DATA) is 4, since that's the size of the largest member.

The same rules for naming structs apply to unions as well, so we could even typedef the union:

```
The union

typedef union

{
    char op;
    int ival;
    float fval;
    char id;
}SYMBOL_DATA;

The new struct

enum Kind kind;
    SYMBOL_DATA data;
};
char id;
}SYMBOL_DATA;
```

Often, however, if the union is not intended to be used outside of a structure, we define it within the structure definition itself without the tag:

```
struct NewSymbol
{
   enum Kind kind;
   union
   {
     char op;
     int ival;
     float fval;
     char id;
   } data;
```

Our NewSymbol struct would look like this in memory:

Our code needs to be modified slightly:

New Code (union)

Old Code (struct)

```
void main(void)
                                                                 void main(void)
  struct NewSymbol sym1, sym2, sym3, sym4, sym5;
                                                                    struct Symbol sym1, sym2, sym3, sym4, sym5;
  sym1.kind = IDENTIFIER;
                                                                    sym1.kind = IDENTIFIER;
  sym1.data.id = 'A';
                                                                   sym1.id = 'A';
                                                                   sym2.kind = OPERATOR;
sym2.op = '+';
  sym2.kind = OPERATOR;
  sym2.data.op = '+';
  sym3.kind = INTEGER;
                                                                   sym3.kind = INTEGER;
                                                                   sym3.ival = 23;
  sym3.data.ival = 23;
                                                                   sym4.kind = OPERATOR;
sym4.op = '*';
  sym4.kind = OPERATOR;
 sym4.data.op = '*';
  sym5.kind = FLOAT;
                                                                    sym5.kind = FLOAT;
 sym5.data.fval = 3.14F;
                                                                   sym5.fval = 3.14F;
```

And the memory usage with unions would look something like this:

Using a union to get at individual bytes of data:

```
void TestUnion(void)
{
  union
```

```
int i;
unsigned char bytes[4];
}val:

val.i = 257;
printf("%3i %3i %3i %3i\n",
   val.bytes[0], val.bytes[1], val.bytes[2], val.bytes[3]);

val.i = 32767;
printf("%3i %3i %3i %3i\n",
   val.bytes[0], val.bytes[1], val.bytes[2], val.bytes[3]);

val.i = 32768;
printf("%3i %3i %3i %3i\n",
   val.bytes[0], val.bytes[1], val.bytes[2], val.bytes[3]);
}
```

This prints out:

```
1 1 0 0
255 127 0 0
0 128 0 0
```

The values in binary:

```
257: 00000000 00000000 00000001 00000001 32767: 00000000 00000000 01111111 11111111 32768: 00000000 00000000 10000000 00000000
```

As little-endian:

```
257: 00000001 00000001 00000000 00000000 32767: 11111111 01111111 00000000 00000000 32768: 00000000 10000000 00000000 00000000
```

Changing the union to this:

```
union
{
  int i;
  signed char bytes[4];
}val:
```

Gives this output (the bit patterns are the same):

```
1 1 0 0
-1 127 0 0
0 -128 0 0
```

Initializing Unions

The type of the initializer must be the same type as the first member of the union:

```
struct NewSymbol sym1 = {OPERATOR, \{'+'\}}; /* fine, op is first member */
struct NewSymbol sym2 = {FLOAT, \{3.14\}}; /* this won't work as expected */
```

Given the code above, what is printed below?

Structure Alignment

What will be printed out by the following code?

```
struct Symbol sym1;

printf("sizeof(sym1.kind) = %i\n", sizeof(sym1.kind));
printf("sizeof(sym1.op) = %i\n", sizeof(sym1.op));
printf("sizeof(sym1.ival) = %i\n", sizeof(sym1.ival));
printf("sizeof(sym1.fval) = %i\n", sizeof(sym1.fval));
printf("sizeof(sym1.id) = %i\n", sizeof(sym1.id));
printf("sizeof(sym1) = %i\n", sizeof(sym1));
```

Recall the Symbol structure and diagram:

```
struct Symbol
{
  enum Kind kind;
  char op;
  int ival;
  float fval;
  char id;
};
```

The actual output on from gcc is this:

```
sizeof(syml.kind) = 4
sizeof(syml.op) = 1
sizeof(syml.ival) = 4
sizeof(syml.fval) = 4
sizeof(syml.id) = 1
sizeof(syml) = 20
```

But

```
4 + 1 + 4 + 4 + 1 != 20
```

What's going on?

- By default, data is aligned on "natural" boundaries, which are addresses that are multiples of the word size of the computer.
- Most computers we deal with today are 32-bit (4-byte) machines, so data is stored at address that are multiples of 4.
- This means that data that is less that 4-bytes causes "wasted" space in memory.
- You can override this default using a compiler directive.

So, a more accurate diagram of the Symbol structure would look like this:

which is 20 bytes in size because all data is aligned on 4-byte boundaries. This means that the **char** data is actually padded with 3 bytes extra so the data that *follows* will be aligned properly. (Note the term "follows").

To change the structure alignment, use this compiler directive:

```
#pragma pack
```

where n is the alignment. The n specifies the value, in bytes, to be used for packing. In Microsoft Visual Studio, the default value for n is 8. Valid values are 1, 2, 4, 8, and 16.

The alignment of a member will be on a boundary that is either a multiple of *n* or a multiple of the size of the member, whichever is *smaller*.

For example, to align the fields of the Symbol structure on 2-byte boundaries:

Now, it would look like this in memory:

To align the fields on 1-byte boundaries:

Now, it would look like this in memory:

An actual printout from Microsoft's compiler:

```
#pragma pack(4)
                                  #pragma pack(2)
                                                                #pragma pack(1)
    &sym1 = 0012FEDC
                                  &sym1 = 0012FEE0
                                                               &sym1 = 0012FEE0
&sym1.kind = 0012FEDC
                             &sym1.kind = 0012FEE0
                                                           &sym1.kind = 0012FEE0
  &sym1.op = 0012FEE0
                               &sym1.op = 0012FEE4
                                                             &sym1.op = 0012FEE4
&sym\bar{1}.iva\bar{1} = 0012FEE4
                              &sym1.ival = 0012FEE6
                                                           &sym1.ival = 0012FEE5
&sym1.fval = 0012FEE8
                             &sym1.fval = 0012FEEA
                                                           &sym1.fval = 0012FEE9
  &syml.id = 0012FEEC
                               &sym1.id = 0012FEEE
                                                             &sym1.id = 0012FEED
```

```
      sizeof(sym1.kind) = 4
      sizeof(sym1.kind) = 4
      sizeof(sym1.kind) = 4

      sizeof(sym1.op) = 1
      sizeof(sym1.op) = 1
      sizeof(sym1.op) = 1

      sizeof(sym1.ival) = 4
      sizeof(sym1.ival) = 4
      sizeof(sym1.ival) = 4

      sizeof(sym1.fval) = 4
      sizeof(sym1.fval) = 4
      sizeof(sym1.fval) = 4

      sizeof(sym1.id) = 1
      sizeof(sym1.id) = 1
      sizeof(sym1.id) = 1

      sizeof(sym1) = 20
      sizeof(sym1) = 16
      sizeof(sym1) = 14
```

The code to print the addresses:

```
struct Symbol sym1;
printf("&sym1 = %p\n", &sym1);
printf("&sym1.kind = %p\n", &sym1.kind);
printf("&sym1.op = %p\n", &sym1.op);
printf("&sym1.ival = %p\n", &sym1.ival);
printf("&sym1.fval = %p\n", &sym1.fval);
printf("&sym1.id = %p\n", &sym1.id);
```

Note that if we used any of these alignments:

```
#pragma pack(4)     /* align on 4-byte boundaries */
#pragma pack(8)     /* align on 8-byte boundaries */
#pragma pack(16)     /* align on 16-byte boundaries */
```

the layout would still look like this:

This is because none of the members of the structure are larger than 4 bytes (so they will never need to be aligned on 8-byte or 16-byte boundaries.)

Notes

- Choosing your alignment is a trade-off between speed and memory.
- When you require lots of structures (e.g. large arrays of them) with some small data fields and large ones, aligning on large boundaries could waste a lot of space.
- Accessing data that is not aligned on the natural boundaries of a computer is slower. (Memory may be accessed by some hardware only on the word boundary.)
- Using the pack directive, you can selectively choose which structures to align and how to align them.

Pragmas are not part of the ANSI C language and are compiler-dependent. Although most compilers support the **pack** pragma, you should be aware that different compilers may have different default alignments. Also, MS says that the default alignment for Win32 is 8 bytes, not 4. You should consult the documentation for your compiler to determine the behavior.

This is from the top of *stdlib.h* from MS VC++ 6.0:

```
#ifdef _MSC_VER
/*
    * Currently, all MS C compilers for Win32 platforms default to 8 byte
    * alignment.
    */
#pragma pack(push,8)
```

Given these two logically equivalent structures, what are the ramifications of laying out the members in these ways?

```
struct BEAVIS
                     struct BUTTHEAD
  char a;
                       char a:
 double b;
                      char c;
  char c;
                       char e;
 double d;
                      char g;
  char e;
                       double b;
  double f;
                      double d;
                       double f;
  char q;
  double h;
                       double h;
```

Structure packing with GNU compilers

Accessing Structures Using Pointer/Offset

Much like arrays, the compiler converts structure.member notation into pointer + offset notation:

```
structvar.member ==> *([address of structvar] + [offset of member])
```

So using the *Symbol* structure example above with the address of *sym1* being 100:

```
struct Symbol sym1;
sym1.ival ==> *(&sym1 + 8)
sym1.id ==> *(&sym1 + 16)
```

Or more accurately:

```
sym1.ival ==> *( (int *)( (char *)&sym1 + 8) )
sym1.id ==> *( (char *)&sym1 + 16 )
```

Note that the code above assumes structures are aligned on 4-byte boundaries:

Code to print the values of a Symbol structure variable using pointer/offset with 4-byte alignment:

Code to print the values of a Symbol structure variable using pointer/offset with 1-byte alignment:

Bit Fields in Structures

- C allows a structure to have fields which are smaller than a *char* (8 bits).
- Specifically, they can have fields as small as a single bit.
- These fields are called *bit fields* and their type is either **int**, **signed int** or **unsigned int**.
- You should always specify either signed or unsigned because the type of int in a bit field is implementation-dependent. (The original C definition only allowed unsigned int, but ANSI C allows all three types.)

Suppose we wanted to track these attributes of some object:

```
/* Variables for each attribute of some object */
/* Comments represent the range of values for the attribute */
unsigned char level; /* 0 - 3 */
unsigned char power; /* 0 - 63 */
unsigned short range; /* 0 - 1023 */
unsigned char armor; /* 0 - 15 */
unsigned short health; /* 0 - 511 */
unsigned char grade; /* 0 - 1 */
```

Given the sizes of each data type, we could say that the *minimum* amount of memory require to hold these attributes is 8 bytes. However, given a 32-bit computer, it's possible that the amount of memory required could actually be 24 bytes, depending on where these variables exist. Why?

D - --1 - -- - -1

Declared local to a function: (on the stack)

N 12 ---- C4

Microsoft	GNU	Borland
Address of level = 0012FF28	Address of level = $0x22F047$	Address of level = 0012FF83
Address of power = 0012FF24	Address of power = $0x22F046$	Address of power = 0012FF82
Address of range = 0012FF20	Address of range = $0x22F044$	Address of range = 0012FF80
Address of armor = 0012FF1C	Address of armor = $0x22F043$	Address of armor = 0012FF7F
Address of health = 0012FF18	Address of health = $0x22F040$	Address of health = $0012FF7C$
Address of grade = 0012FF14	Address of grade = $0x22F03F$	Address of grade = 0012FF7B

CNIII

Declared globally:

Microsoft	GNU	Borland
Address of level = 004310BE	Address of level = $0x405030$	Address of level = 004122E0
Address of power = 004310BC	Address of power = $0x406060$	Address of power = 004122E1
Address of range = 004312FC	Address of range = $0x406050$	Address of range = 004122E2
Address of armor = 004310BD	Address of armor = $0x406080$	Address of armor = $004122E4$
Address of health = 00431142	Address of health = $0x407110$	Address of health = 004122E6
Address of grade = 004310BF	Address of grade = 0x407160	Address of grade = 004122E8

Our first attempt to save memory is to put them in a structure:

What is the memory requirements for this struct? Of course, it depends on how the compiler is packing structures. Given a default pack value of 8, the layout looks like this:

What about this structure:

This code yields a layout like this:

Of course, looking closer, we realize that we only need 32 bits for all 6 variables, so we'll just use an unsigned integer to store the values:

To set the fields to these values:

We can use "simple" bit manipulation:

```
unsigned int attrs;
```

After shifting, we **OR** all of the values together:

Of course, there's got to be a better way...

The **sizeof** the structure above is 4, which is the same size as the unsigned integer used before. However, this structure allows for a much cleaner syntax:

```
ENTITY_ATTRS_B attrs;

/* Easier to read, understand, and self-documenting */
attrs.level = 3;
attrs.power = 32;
```

```
attrs.range = 1000;
attrs.armor = 7;
attrs.health = 300;
attrs.grade = 1;
```

Much like a lot of syntax in C, the compiler is doing the work for you behind-the-scenes.

Notes

- You cannot take the address of a bit field (most computers can't address "odd" sized fields)
- Bit fields are supported in all compilers, but the implementations may differ.
- Some bit fields are stored left to right on one compiler, but right to left on another.
- · Some compilers may pack the bits of two fields together, some may add padding to align on a word boundary.
- The maximum number of bits in a field may differ from one compiler to another, especially when dealing with 16-bit, 32-bit, or 64-bit compilers.
- For the most part, the compiler-generated code is similar to what the programmer would write. The shifting, masking, and ing, or ing may still need to be done.
- The elegance in the source code needs to be weighed against possible loss of portability.

Alignment Example Using BITMAPFILEHEADER

Given these definitions:

And this function:

What should this program display? (Hint: the size of the file is 207,158 bytes, the offset to the bitmap itself is 1078 bytes, and the two reserved fields are 0.)

```
void main(void)
{
   BITMAPFILEHEADER header;
   FILE *fp = fopen("foo.bmp", "rb");
   assert(fp);

   fread(&header, sizeof(BITMAPFILEHEADER), 1, fp);
   PrintBitmapHeader(&header);
   fclose(fp);
}
```

Given the "hint" above, the expected output should be:

```
Type: BM (4D42)
Size: 207158 (00032936)
Res1: 0 (0000)
Res2: 0 (0000)
Offs: 1078 (00000436)
```

However, the actual output is:

```
Type: BM (4D42)
Size: 3 (00000003)
Res1: 0 (0000)
Res2: 1078 (0436)
Offs: 2621440 (00280000)
```

Why is this incorrect?

The actual bytes in the bitmap file look like this:

```
42 4D 36 29 03 00 00 00 00 36 04 00 00 28 00 . . .
```

Separated by fields it looks like this:

Type Size Res1 Res2 Offset Other stuff

```
42 4D | 36 29 03 00 | 00 00 | 00 00 | 36 04 00 00 | 28 00 . . .
```

And the BITMAPFILEHEADER structure in memory looks like this:

Why is the structure aligned like this? This means that:

```
sizeof(BITMAPFILEHEADER) == 16
```

Reading the header with the code:

```
fread(&header, sizeof(BITMAPFILEHEADER), 1, fp);
```

causes the first 16 bytes (sizeof(BITMAPFILEHEADER)) of the file to be read into the buffer (memory pointed to by &header), which yields:

Which gives the values we saw (adjusting for little-endian):

Member	Hex	Decimal
bfType	4D42	19778
bfSize	0000003	3
bfReserved1	0000	0
bfReserved2	0436	1078
bfOffBits	00280000	2621440

Again, the correct output should be:

```
Type: BM (4D42)
Size: 207158
Res1: 0
Res2: 0
Offs: 1078
```

To achieve the correct results, we need to pack the structure:

```
#pragma pack(2)
typedef struct tagBITMAPFILEHEADER {
  WORD bfType;    /* 2 bytes */
  DWORD bfSize;    /* 4 bytes */
  WORD bfReserved1;    /* 2 bytes */
  WORD bfReserved2;    /* 2 bytes */
  DWORD bfOffBits;    /* 4 bytes */
} BITMAPFILEHEADER, *PBITMAPFILEHEADER;
#pragma pack()
```

Now, the structure in memory looks like this:

and:

```
sizeof(BITMAPFILEHEADER) == 14
```

so now when we read in 14 bytes, the structure is filled like this:

.

which gives the correct values:

Hex	Decimal
4D42	19778
00032936	207158
0000	0
0000	0
00000436	1078
	4D42 00032936 0000 0000

The actual structure in wingdi.h looks like this:

and *pshpack2.h* looks like this:

```
#if ! (defined(lint) || defined(_lint) || defined(RC_INVOKED))
#if ( _MSC_VER >= 800 ) || defined(_PUSHPOP_SUPPORTED)
#pragma warning(disable:4103)
```

```
#if !(defined( MIDL_PASS )) || defined( __midl )
#pragma pack(push)
#endif
#pragma pack(2)
#else
#pragma pack(2)
#endif
#endif /* ! (defined(lint) || defined(_lint) || defined(RC_INVOKED)) */
```

Complete listings

Addresses and values at different pack values:

<pre>#pragma pack(1)</pre>	<pre>#pragma pack(2)</pre>	#pragma pack(4)
bfType = 0012FEE0	bfType = 0012FEE0	bfType = 0012FEE0
bfSize = 0012FEE2	bfSize = 0012FEE2	bfSize = 0012FEE4
bfRes1 = 0012FEE6	bfRes1 = 0012FEE6	bfRes1 = 0012FEE8
bfRes2 = 0012FEE8	bfRes2 = 0012FEE8	bfRes2 = 0012FEEA
bfOffs = 0012FEEA	bfOffs = 0012FEEA	bfOffs = 0012FEEC
Type: BM (4D42)	Type: BM (4D42)	Type: BM (4D42)
Size: 207158 (00032936)	Size: 207158 (00032936)	Size: 3 (00000003)
Res1: 0 (0000)	Res1: 0 (0000)	Res1: 0 (0000)
Res2: 0 (0000)	Res2: 0 (0000)	Res2: 1078 (0436)
Offs: 1078 (00000436)	Offs: 1078 (0000436)	Offs: 2621440 (00280000)