

(Chapter 12 P83-84)

Observation: Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Then we can always find a prime q such that $q|n$.

Two cases: (1) n prime $q=n$

(2) n not prime $n = q_1 \cdots q_r$ with each being prime.

Theorem: There are infinitely many prime numbers.

Euclid's proof: (Proof by contradiction.)

Assume that there are finitely many primes.

Then we can list all the primes P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n .

We look at

$$A = P_1 P_2 \cdots P_n + 1.$$

Let q be a prime such that $q|A$.

Then q should be one of P_1, \dots, P_n . For example $q = P_1$.

However, $\gcd(P_1, A) = 1 (\neq \gcd(q, A))$ since

$$A - (P_2 \cdot P_3 \cdots P_n) \cdot P_1 = 1.$$

This gives ① $q|A$. \nearrow This can never happen

② $\gcd(q, A) = 1$ \nearrow at the same time.

This means: we get a contradiction:

This implies: our assumption is wrong!

Therefore, there are infinitely many primes! \square

Euler's proof: He looked at

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{7} + \dots = \sum_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{p} \quad \text{infinite series.}$$

He showed $\sum_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{p} = \infty$.

Therefore, there are infinitely many primes.

(Chapter 13.)

We proved, there are infinitely many primes.

We want to describe the number of primes in a more precise way.

Therefore, we will introduce the counting function.

Before that, we look at one easier counting function.

For $x > 0$, we define:

$$E(x) := \#\{ \text{even numbers } n \text{ with } 1 \leq n \leq x \}$$

Example :

$$E(1) = \#\{ \text{even numbers } n \text{ with } 1 \leq n \leq 1 \} = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(3) &= \#\{ \text{even numbers } n \text{ with } 1 \leq n \leq 3 \} \\ &= \#\{2\} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(4) &= \#\{ \text{even numbers } n \text{ with } 1 \leq n \leq 4 \} \\ &= \#\{2, 4\} = 2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(51) &= \#\{ \text{even numbers } n \text{ with } 1 \leq n \leq 51 \} \\ &= \#\{2, 4, 6, 8, \dots, 48, 50\} = 25 \end{aligned}$$

$E(100) = \#\{ \text{even numbers } n \text{ with } 1 \leq n \leq 100 \}$

$$= \#\{2, 4, 6, \dots, 98, 100\} = 50.$$

We look at $\frac{E(x)}{x}$.

$$\frac{E(1)}{1} = 0, \quad \frac{E(3)}{3} = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \frac{E(4)}{4} = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\frac{E(51)}{51} = \frac{25}{51}, \quad \frac{E(100)}{100} = \frac{50}{100}.$$

Indeed, we can show, as x becomes larger and larger,

$\frac{E(x)}{x}$ is closer and closer to $\frac{1}{2}$.

Therefore, we have:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(x)}{x} = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (\text{or } E(x) \sim \frac{x}{2}.)$$

Next, we consider prime counting function:

$$\pi(x) := \#\{ \text{primes } p \text{ with } 1 \leq p \leq x \}$$

Example $\pi(10) = \#\{ \text{primes } p \text{ with } 1 \leq p \leq 10 \}$
 $= \#\{2, 3, 5, 7\} = 4.$

Theorem 13.1 (Prime Number Theorem, PNT)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{\frac{x}{\ln x}} = 1 \quad \left(\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\ln x} \right)$$

- This was conjectured by Gauss and Legendre. in 1800s.
- This was proved by Hadamard and de la Vallée Poussin independently. (calculus for complex numbers)
- In their proofs, they used methods in complex analysis to study Riemann zeta function.
It's surprising that, we need calculus to study integers.
This is one of the branches of number theory — analytic number theory .
- In 1948, Erdős and Selberg found an elementary proof for PNT