#### Multivariate Statistics

Lecture 09

Fudan University

1 The Distribution of the Sample Correlation Coefficient

1 The Distribution of the Sample Correlation Coefficient

2 Tests for the Hypothesis of Lack of Correlation

- 1 The Distribution of the Sample Correlation Coefficient
- Tests for the Hypothesis of Lack of Correlation
- 3 The Asymptotic Distribution of Sample Correlation

- 1 The Distribution of the Sample Correlation Coefficient
- Tests for the Hypothesis of Lack of Correlation
- 3 The Asymptotic Distribution of Sample Correlation
- Partial Correlation Coefficients

- 1 The Distribution of the Sample Correlation Coefficient
- Tests for the Hypothesis of Lack of Correlation
- The Asymptotic Distribution of Sample Correlation
- 4 Partial Correlation Coefficients

If one has a sample (of p-component vectors)  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$  from a normal distribution, the maximum likelihood estimator of the correlation between the i-th component and the j-th component is

$$r_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} (x_{i\alpha} - \bar{x}_i)(x_{j\alpha} - \bar{x}_j)}{\sqrt{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} (x_{i\alpha} - \bar{x}_i)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} (x_{j\alpha} - \bar{x}_j)^2}},$$

where  $x_{i\alpha}$  is the *i*-th component of  $\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}$  and

$$\bar{x}_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N x_{i\alpha}.$$

We shall treat that  $r_{ij}$  and need only consider the joint distribution of  $(x_{i1}, x_{j1}), (x_{i2}, x_{j2}), \dots, (x_{iN}, x_{jN})$ .

We reformulate the problems to be considered a bivariate normal distribution. Let  $\mathbf{x}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N^*$  be observation from

$$\mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_1\sigma_2\rho \\ \sigma_1\sigma_2\rho & \sigma_2^2 \end{bmatrix}\right), \quad \text{where} -1 < \rho < 1.$$

We shall consider the sample correlation coefficient

$$r = \frac{a_{12}}{\sqrt{a_{11}}\sqrt{a_{22}}}$$

where

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} (x_{i\alpha} - \bar{x}_i)(x_{j\alpha} - \bar{x}_j), \qquad \bar{x}_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} x_{i\alpha}$$

and  $x_{i\alpha}$  is the *i*-th component of  $\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}^*$ .

Let n = N - 1. We see that  $a_{ii}$  are distributed like

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} z_{i\alpha} z_{j\alpha}$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_{1\alpha} \\ z_{2\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rho \\ \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rho & \sigma_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

and the pair  $(z_{12}, z_{22}), \ldots, (z_{1N}, z_{2N})$  are independent.

Define the *n*-component vectors  $\mathbf{v}_i = [z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in}]^{\top}$  for i = 1, 2.

**1** The correlation coefficient between  $\mathbf{v}_1$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2$  is the cosine of the angle, say  $\theta$ , between  $\mathbf{v}_1$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2$ , that is

$$\cos\theta = \frac{\mathbf{v}_1^{\top}\mathbf{v}_2}{\left\|\mathbf{v}_1\right\|_2\left\|\mathbf{v}_2\right\|_2}.$$

② If we let  $b = \mathbf{v}_2^{\top} \mathbf{v}_1 / (\mathbf{v}_1^{\top} \mathbf{v}_1)$  then  $\mathbf{v}_2 - b \mathbf{v}_1$  is orthogonal to  $\mathbf{v}_1$  and

$$\cot \theta = \frac{b \left\| \mathbf{v}_1 \right\|_2}{\left\| \mathbf{v}_2 - b \mathbf{v}_1 \right\|_2}.$$

**③** We shall show that  $\cot \theta$  is proportional to a *t*-variable when  $\rho = 0$ .

#### Theorem 1

If the pairs  $(z_{11},z_{21}),\ldots,(z_{1n},z_{2n})$  are independent and each pair are distributed according to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_{1\alpha} \\ \mathbf{z}_{2\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rho \\ \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rho & \sigma_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \right), \quad \text{where } \alpha = 1, \dots, \mathbf{n},$$

then given  $z_{11}, z_{12}, \ldots, z_{1n}$ , the conditional distributions of

$$b = \frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} z_{2\alpha} z_{1\alpha}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{1\alpha}^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{u}{\sigma^2} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \frac{(z_{2\alpha} - bz_{1\alpha})^2}{\sigma^2}$$

are  $\mathcal{N}\left(\beta,\sigma^2/c^2\right)$  and  $\chi^2$ -distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom, respectively; and b and U are independent, where

$$eta = rac{
ho\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}, \quad \sigma^2 = \sigma_2^2(1-
ho^2) \quad ext{and} \quad c^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n z_{1lpha}^2.$$

《비가 《매가 《문가 《문》 · 문 · \*/()()

We can write

$$\cot \theta = \frac{b \left\| \mathbf{v}_1 \right\|_2}{\left\| \mathbf{v}_2 - b \mathbf{v}_1 \right\|_2} = \frac{cb/\sigma}{\sqrt{u/\sigma^2}}$$

If  $\rho = 0$ , then  $\beta = 0$ , and  $b \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2/c^2)$ , and

$$rac{cb/\sigma}{\sqrt{rac{u/\sigma^2}{n-1}}} \sim rac{\mathcal{N}(0,1)}{\sqrt{rac{\chi^2(n-1)}{n-1}}}$$

has a conditional *t*-distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom.

We require the following lemma.

#### Lemma 1

If  $y_1, \ldots, y_N$  are independently distributed, if

$$\mathbf{y}_lpha = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_lpha^{(1)} \ \mathbf{y}_lpha^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

has the density  $f(\mathbf{y}_{\alpha})$  and if the conditional density of  $\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(2)}$  given  $\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(1)}$  is  $f(\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(2)} \mid \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(1)})$  for  $\alpha = 1, \ldots, n$ . Then in the conditional distribution of  $\mathbf{y}_{1}^{(2)}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{N}^{(2)}$  given  $\mathbf{y}_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{N}^{(1)}$ , the random vectors  $\mathbf{y}_{1}^{(2)}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{N}^{(2)}$  are independent and the density of  $\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(2)}$  is  $f(\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(2)} \mid \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(1)})$ .

We also use the following lemma with  $x_{\alpha} = z_{2\alpha}$  and matrix **C** whose the first row is  $\mathbf{v}_{1}^{\top}/c$ , where  $c = \|\mathbf{v}_{1}\|_{2}$ .

#### Lemma 2

Suppose  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$  are independent, where  $\mathbf{x}_\alpha \sim \mathcal{N}_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_\alpha, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ . Let  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  be an orthogonal matrix, then

$$\mathbf{y}_{lpha} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} c_{lpha\gamma} \mathbf{x}_{\gamma} \sim \mathcal{N}_{m{
ho}}(m{
u}_{lpha}, m{\Sigma}),$$

where  $\nu_{\alpha} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} c_{\alpha\gamma} \mu_{\gamma}$  for  $\alpha = 1, ..., N$  and  $\mathbf{y}_{1}, ..., \mathbf{y}_{N}$  are independent.

#### Theorem 2

if x and y are independently distributed, x having the distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$  and y having the  $\chi^2$ -distribution with m degrees of freedom, then

$$t = \frac{x}{\sqrt{y/m}}$$

has the density of t-distribution such that

$$f(t;m) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{m\pi}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}\left(1 + \frac{t^2}{m}\right)^{-\frac{m+1}{2}}.$$

Recall that  $a_{ij} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z_{i\alpha} z_{j\alpha}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_i = [z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in}]^\top$  for i = 1, 2, then

$$b = \frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} z_{2\alpha} z_{1\alpha}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{1\alpha}^{2}} = \frac{a_{12}}{a_{11}}, \quad c^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{1\alpha}^{2} = a_{11}$$

$$u = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} (z_{2\alpha} - bz_{1\alpha})^{2} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} (z_{2\alpha}^{2} - b^{2}z_{1\alpha}^{2}) = a_{22} - \frac{a_{12}^{2}}{a_{11}}.$$

Hence, we can write the above conditional t-distributed random variable with n-1 degrees of freedom as

$$\frac{cb/\sigma}{\sqrt{\frac{u/\sigma^2}{n-1}}} = \sqrt{n-1} \cdot \frac{cb}{\sqrt{u}}$$

$$= \sqrt{n-1} \cdot \frac{a_{12}/\sqrt{a_{11}a_{22}}}{\sqrt{1-a_{12}^2/(a_{11}a_{22})}}$$

$$= \sqrt{n-1} \cdot \frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}.$$

The conditional density of

$$t = \frac{cb/\sigma}{\sqrt{\frac{u/\sigma^2}{n-1}}} = \sqrt{n-1} \cdot \frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}$$

given  $\mathbf{v}_1$  is

$$\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{(n-1)\pi}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)}\left(1+\frac{t^2}{n-1}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Then the conditional density of r given  $\mathbf{v}_1$  is

$$k_{N}(r)=rac{\Gammaig(rac{N-1}{2}ig)}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gammaig(rac{N-2}{2}ig)}(1-r^2ig)^{rac{N-4}{2}}, \quad ext{where} \quad N=n+1.$$

Note that  $k_N(r)$  does not depend on  $\mathbf{v}_1$ .



- 1 The Distribution of the Sample Correlation Coefficient
- Tests for the Hypothesis of Lack of Correlation
- The Asymptotic Distribution of Sample Correlation
- 4 Partial Correlation Coefficients

### Tests for the Hypothesis of Lack of Correlation

Consider the hypothesis  $H: \rho_{ij} = 0$  for some particular pair (i,j).

• For testing H against alternatives  $\rho_{ij} > 0$ , we reject H if  $r_{ij} > r_0$  for some positive  $r_0$ . The probability of rejecting H when H is true is

$$\int_{r_0}^1 k_N(r) \, \mathrm{d}r.$$

- ② For testing H against alternatives  $r_{ij} < 0$ , we reject H if  $r_{ij} < -r_0$ .
- **3** For testing H against alternatives  $r_{ij} \neq 0$ , we reject H if  $r_{ij} > r_1$  or  $r_{ij} < -r_1$  for some positive  $r_1$ . The probability of rejection when H is true is

$$\int_{-1}^{-r_1} k_N(r) \, \mathrm{d}r + \int_{r_1}^1 k_N(r) \, \mathrm{d}r.$$

### Tests for the Hypothesis of Lack of Correlation

We have shown that

$$\sqrt{N-2} \cdot \frac{r_{ij}}{\sqrt{1-r_{ij}^2}}$$

has the *t*-distribution with N-2 degrees of freedom.

We can also use *t*-tables. For  $\rho_{ij} \neq 0$ , reject *H* if

$$\sqrt{N-2}\cdot\frac{|r_{ij}|}{\sqrt{1-r_{ij}^2}}>t_{N-2}(\alpha),$$

where  $t_{N-2}(\alpha)$  is the two-tailed significance point of the t-statistic with N-2 degrees of freedom for significance level  $\alpha$ .

# The Distribution in the Case of $\rho \neq 0$

Conditional on  $\mathbf{v}_1$  held fixed, the random variables

$$b = \frac{a_{12}}{a_{11}}$$
 and  $\frac{u}{\sigma^2} = \frac{a_{22} - a_{12}^2/a_{11}}{\sigma^2}$ ,

which are distributed independently according to  $\mathcal{N}(\beta, \sigma^2/c^2)$  and  $\chi^2$ -distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom, respectively.

#### Theorem 3

The correlation coefficient in a sample of N from a bivariate normal distribution with correlation  $\rho$  is distributed with density

$$\frac{2^{n-2}(1-\rho^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}(1-r^2)^{\frac{n-3}{2}}}{(n-2)!\pi}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty}\frac{(2\rho r)^{\alpha}}{\alpha!}\Gamma^2\left(\frac{n+\alpha}{2}\right),$$

where -1 < r < 1 and n = N - 1.

### The Distribution in the Case of $\rho \neq 0$

It should be pointed out that any test based on r is invariant under transformations of location and scale, that is,

$$x_{i\alpha}^* = b_i x_{i\alpha} + c_i,$$

for  $b_i \neq 0$  and i = 1, 2.

Recall that

$$r = \frac{a_{12}}{\sqrt{a_{11}}\sqrt{a_{22}}}$$
 and  $a_{ij} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} (x_{i\alpha} - \bar{x}_i)(x_{j\alpha} - \bar{x}_j).$ 

#### The likelihood ratio criterion:

- Let  $L(\mathbf{x}, \theta)$  be the likelihood function of the observation  $\mathbf{x}$  and the parameter vector  $\theta \in \Omega$ .
- 2 Let a null hypothesis be defined by a proper subset  $\omega$  of  $\Omega$ . The likelihood ratio criterion is

$$\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \omega} L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Omega} L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}.$$

**1** The likelihood ratio test is the procedure of rejecting the null hypothesis when  $\lambda(\mathbf{x})$  is less than a predetermined constant.

Let us consider the likelihood ratio test of the hypothesis that  $\rho=\rho_0$  based on a sample  $\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_N$  from the bivariate normal distribution

$$\mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_1\sigma_2\rho \\ \sigma_1\sigma_2\rho & \sigma_2^2 \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

The set  $\Omega$  consists of  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$  and  $\rho$  such that

$$\sigma_1>0,\quad \sigma_2>0\quad \text{and} -1<\rho<1$$

and the set  $\omega$  is the subset for which  $\rho = \rho_0$ .

The likelihood ratio criterion is

$$\frac{\sup_{\omega} L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\sup_{\Omega} L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})} = \left(\frac{(1 - \rho_0^2)(1 - r^2)}{(1 - \rho_0 r)^2}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}.$$

The likelihood ratio criterion is

$$\frac{\sup_{\omega} L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\sup_{\Omega} L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})} = \left(\frac{(1 - \rho_0^2)(1 - r^2)}{(1 - \rho_0 r)^2}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}.$$

The likelihood ratio test is

$$\frac{(1-\rho_0^2)(1-r^2)}{(1-\rho_0r)^2} \le c$$

where c is chosen by the prescribed significance level.

The critical region can be written equivalently as

$$(\rho_0^2c-\rho_0^2+1)r^2-2\rho_0cr+c-1+\rho_0^2\geq 0,$$

that is,

$$r > rac{
ho_0 c + (1 - 
ho_0^2) \sqrt{1 - c}}{
ho_0^2 c - 
ho_0^2 + 1}$$
 and  $r < rac{
ho_0 c - (1 - 
ho_0^2) \sqrt{1 - c}}{
ho_0^2 c - 
ho_0^2 + 1}.$ 

Thus the likelihood ratio test of  $H: \rho = \rho_0$  against alternatives  $\rho \neq \rho_0$  has a rejection region of the form  $r > r_1$  and  $r < r_2$  (not chosen so that the probability of each inequality is  $\alpha/2$  when H is true).

- The Distribution of the Sample Correlation Coefficient
- 2 Tests for the Hypothesis of Lack of Correlation
- 3 The Asymptotic Distribution of Sample Correlation
- 4 Partial Correlation Coefficients

For a sample  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$  from a normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ , we are interested in the sample correlation coefficient

$$r(n) = \frac{a_{ij}(n)}{\sqrt{a_{ii}(n)}\sqrt{a_{jj}(n)}}$$

where n = N - 1,

$$a_{ij}(n) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} (x_{i\alpha} - \bar{x}_i)(x_{j\alpha} - \bar{x}_j) \sim \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} z_{i\alpha} \\ z_{j\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_{i\alpha} & z_{j\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_{i\alpha} \\ z_{j\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{ii} & \sigma_{ij} \\ \sigma_{ji} & \sigma_{jj} \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{x}_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N x_{i\alpha}.$$

We can also write

$$r(n) = \frac{c_{ij}(n)}{\sqrt{c_{ii}(n)}\sqrt{c_{jj}(n)}},$$

with

$$c_{ii}(n) = \frac{a_{ii}(n)}{\sigma_{ii}}, \quad c_{ij}(n) = \frac{a_{ij}(n)}{\sqrt{\sigma_{ii}}\sqrt{\sigma_{jj}}} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{jj}(n) = \frac{a_{ii}(n)}{\sigma_{jj}}.$$

Then we have

$$c_{ij}(n) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} z_{i\alpha}^* \\ z_{i\alpha}^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_{i\alpha}^* & z_{j\alpha}^* \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_{i\alpha}^* \\ z_{j\alpha}^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{z_{i\alpha}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{ii}}} \\ \frac{z_{j\alpha}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{ij}}} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{ij}} \sqrt{\sigma_{jj}}}.$$

Apply the following theorem with  $\mathbf{A}(n) = \mathbf{C}(n)$  and  $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

#### Theorem 4

Let

$$\mathbf{A}(n) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_{\alpha} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{N}) (\mathbf{x}_{\alpha} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{N})^{\top},$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$  are independently distributed according to  $\mathcal{N}_p(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma})$  and n = N - 1. Then the limiting distribution of

$$\mathbf{B}(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (\mathbf{A}(n) - n\mathbf{\Sigma})$$

is normal with mean  $\mathbf{0}$  and covariance  $\mathbb{E}\big[b_{ij}(n)b_{kl}(n)\big] = \sigma_{ik}\sigma_{jl} + \sigma_{il}\sigma_{jk}$ .

Let

$$\mathbf{u}(n) = \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} c_{ii}(n) \\ c_{jj}(n) \\ c_{ij}(n) \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \rho \end{bmatrix}$ 

The vector

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathbf{u}(n) - \mathbf{b}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left( \begin{bmatrix} c_{ii}(n) \\ c_{jj}(n) \\ c_{ij}(n) \end{bmatrix} - n\mathbf{b} \right)$$

has a limiting normal distribution with mean  ${\bf 0}$  and covariance matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2\rho^2 & 2\rho \\ 2\rho^2 & 2 & 2\rho \\ 2\rho & 2\rho & 1+\rho^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The sample correlation coefficient can be written as  $r = \frac{u_3}{\sqrt{u_1}\sqrt{u_2}}$ .

### Theorem 5 [Serfling (1980), Section 3.3]

Let  $\{\mathbf{u}(n)\}$  be a sequence of m-component random vectors and  $\mathbf{b}$  a fixed vector such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sqrt{n}(\mathbf{u}(n)-\mathbf{b})\sim\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{T}).$$

Let  $f(\mathbf{u})$  be a vector-valued function of  $\mathbf{u}$  such that each component  $f_j(\mathbf{u})$  has a nonzero differential at  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{b}$ , and let

$$\frac{\partial f_j(\mathbf{u})}{\partial u_i}\Big|_{\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{b}}$$

be the (i,j)-th component of  $\Phi_{\mathbf{b}}$ . Then  $\sqrt{n}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(n)) - f(\mathbf{b}))$  has the limiting distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Phi_{\mathbf{b}}^{\top} \mathbf{T} \Phi_{\mathbf{b}})$ .

Applying Theorem 5 with  $r = f(\mathbf{u}) = u_3 u_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , we have  $f(\mathbf{b}) = \rho$  and

$$\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{b}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial r}{\partial u_{1}} \Big|_{\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{b}} \\ \frac{\partial r}{\partial u_{2}} \Big|_{\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{b}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}u_{3}u_{1}^{-\frac{3}{2}}u_{2}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Big|_{\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{b}} \\ -\frac{1}{2}u_{3}u_{1}^{-\frac{1}{2}}u_{2}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \Big|_{\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{b}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\rho \\ -\frac{1}{2}\rho \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, the covariance of the limiting distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(r(n) - \rho)$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\rho & -\frac{1}{2}\rho & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2\rho^2 & 2\rho \\ 2\rho^2 & 2 & 2\rho \\ 2\rho & 2\rho & 1+\rho^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\rho \\ -\frac{1}{2}\rho \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = (1-\rho^2)^2$$

and we have  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{n}(r(n) - \rho)}{1 - \rho^2} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$ 

If f(x) is differentiable at  $x = \rho$  with non-zero differential, then

$$\sqrt{n}(f(r)-f(\rho))$$

is asymptotically normally distributed with mean zero and variance

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=\rho}\right)^2 \left(1-\rho^2\right)^2.$$

#### Theorem 6 [Fisher's z]

Let

$$z = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+r}{1-r}$$
 and  $\zeta = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}$ 

where r is the correlation coefficient of a sample of N=n+1 from a bivariate normal distribution with correlation  $\rho$ . Then  $\sqrt{n}(z-\zeta)$  has a limiting normal distribution with mean 0 and variance 1.

Fisher's z approaches to normality much more rapid than for r. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[z] \simeq \zeta + rac{
ho}{2n}$$
 and  $\mathbb{E}\left[z - \zeta - rac{
ho}{2n}
ight]^2 \simeq rac{1}{n-2}.$ 

See "Hotelling, H. (1953). New light on the correlation coefficient and its transforms. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 15(2), 193-232."

We wish to test the hypothesis  $\rho = \rho_0$  on the basis of a sample of N against the alternatives  $\rho \neq \rho_0$ .

- ① We compute r and  $z = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+r}{1-r}$ .
- **2** Let  $\zeta_0 = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+\rho_0}{1-\rho_0}$ .
- $oldsymbol{\circ}$  Then a region of rejection at the 5% significance interval is

$$\sqrt{N-3}\left|z-\zeta_0-\frac{\rho_0}{2(N-1)}\right|>1.96.$$

- The Distribution of the Sample Correlation Coefficient
- Tests for the Hypothesis of Lack of Correlation
- The Asymptotic Distribution of Sample Correlation
- Partial Correlation Coefficients

#### Partial Correlation Coefficients

Consider the normal distribution  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ , where

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{x}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

then the conditional distribution of  $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}$  given  $\mathbf{x}^{(2)}$  is

$$\mathbf{x}^{(1)} \mid \mathbf{x}^{(2)} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)} + \mathbf{B}\big(\mathbf{x}^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(2)}\big), \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11.2}\right),$$

where

$$\textbf{B} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11.2} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21}.$$

#### Partial Correlation Coefficient

The partial correlations of  $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}$  given  $\mathbf{x}^{(2)}$  are the correlations calculated in the usual way from  $\Sigma_{11.2}$ .

Suppose  $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}$  has q components and let

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}_{11.2} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11\cdot q+1,\dots,p} & \sigma_{12\cdot q+1,\dots,p} & \dots & \sigma_{1q\cdot q+1,\dots,p} \\ \sigma_{21\cdot q+1,\dots,p} & \sigma_{22\cdot q+1,\dots,p} & \dots & \sigma_{2q\cdot q+1,\dots,p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{q1\cdot q+1,\dots,p} & \sigma_{q2\cdot q+1,\dots,p} & \dots & \sigma_{qq\cdot q+1,\dots,p} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q}.$$

We define

$$\rho_{ij\cdot q+1,\dots,p} = \frac{\sigma_{ij\cdot q+1,\dots,p}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{ii\cdot q+1,\dots,p}}\sqrt{\sigma_{jj\cdot q+1,\dots,p}}}$$

as the partial correlation between  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  holding  $x_{q+1}, \dots, x_p$  fixed.

#### Partial Correlation Coefficient

### Corollary 1

If on the basis of a given sample  $\hat{\theta}_1,\ldots,\hat{\theta}_m$  are maximum likelihood estimators of the parameters  $\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_m$  of a distribution, then  $\phi_1(\hat{\theta}_1,\ldots,\hat{\theta}_m),\ldots,\phi_m(\hat{\theta}_1,\ldots,\hat{\theta}_m)$  are maximum likelihood estimator of  $\phi_1(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_m),\ldots,\phi_m(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_m)$  if the transformation from  $\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_m$  to  $\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_m$  is one-to-one. If the estimators of  $\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_m$  are unique, then the estimators of  $\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_m$  are unique.

#### Theorem 6

Let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$  be a sample from  $\mathcal{N}_p(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma})$  and partition the variables as

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{x}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{\Sigma}_{12}\mathbf{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}$ ,

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(1)} \\ \bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{\alpha}^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{\alpha}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} \\ \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_{\alpha} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x}_{\alpha} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^{\top}.$$

Then the maximum likelihood estimators of  $\Sigma_{11.2}$  is

$$\hat{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_{11.2} = \frac{1}{N} (\mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{A}_{12} \mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{21}).$$

Then the maximum likelihood estimators of the partial correlation coefficients are

$$\hat{\rho}_{ij\cdot q+1,\dots,p} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{ij\cdot q+1,\dots,p}}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_{ii\cdot q+1,\dots,p}}\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_{ij\cdot q+1,\dots,p}}},$$

where  $\hat{\sigma}_{ij\cdot q+1,...,p}$  is the (i,j)-th element of  $\hat{\Sigma}_{11.2}$ .

We can also write

$$\hat{\rho}_{ij\cdot q+1,\dots,p} = \frac{a_{ij\cdot q+1,\dots,p}}{\sqrt{a_{ii\cdot q+1,\dots,p}}\sqrt{a_{ij\cdot q+1,\dots,p}}},$$

where  $a_{ij \cdot q+1,...,p}$  is the (i,j)-th element of  $\mathbf{A}_{11.2} = \mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{A}_{12}\mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{21}$ .

To obtain the distribution of  $\rho_{ij}$  we showed that **A** was distributed as

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} \mathbf{z}_{\alpha} \mathbf{z}_{\alpha}^{\top}$$

where  $\mathbf{z}_{\alpha}$  are distributed independently according to  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$ .

Here we want to show that  $A_{11,2}$  is distributed as

$$\mathbf{A}_{11.2} = \sum_{lpha=1}^{N-1-(p-q)} \mathbf{u}_lpha \mathbf{u}_lpha^ op$$

where  $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}$  are distributed independently according to  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma}_{11.2})$ .

#### Theorem 7

Suppose  $\mathbf{y}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{y}_m$  are independent with  $\mathbf{y}_\alpha$  distributed according to  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{\Gamma}\mathbf{w}_\alpha,\mathbf{\Phi})$ , where  $\mathbf{w}_\alpha$  is an r-component vector. Let  $\mathbf{H} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \mathbf{w}_\alpha \mathbf{w}_\alpha^\top$  assumed non-singular,  $\mathbf{G} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \mathbf{y}_\alpha \mathbf{w}_\alpha^\top \mathbf{H}^{-1}$  and

$$\mathbf{C} = \sum_{lpha=1}^m (\mathbf{y}_lpha - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{w}_lpha) (\mathbf{y}_lpha - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{w}_lpha)^ op = \sum_{lpha=1}^m \mathbf{y}_lpha \mathbf{y}_lpha^ op - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{G}^ op.$$

Then **C** is distributed as  $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-r} \mathbf{u}_{\alpha} \mathbf{u}_{\alpha}^{\top}$  and where  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{m-r}$  are independently distributed according to  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Phi})$  independently of **G**.

#### Corollary 2

If  $\Gamma=\mathbf{0}$ , the matrix  $\mathbf{GHG}^{\top}$  defined in Theorem 7 is distributed as  $\sum_{\alpha=m-r+1}^{m}\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}^{\top}$ , where  $\mathbf{u}_{m-r+1},\ldots,\mathbf{u}_{m}$  are independently distributed, each according to  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{\Phi})$ .

We can write  $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} \mathbf{z}_{\alpha} \mathbf{z}_{\alpha}^{\top}$ , where  $\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{N-1}$  are independent, each with distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$ .

Let  $\mathbf{z}_{\alpha}$  be partitioned into two subvectors of q and p-q components, that is  $\mathbf{z}_{\alpha}^{\top} = \left[ \left( \mathbf{z}_{\alpha}^{(1)} \right)^{\top}, \left( \mathbf{z}_{\alpha}^{(2)} \right)^{\top} \right]$ . Then  $\mathbf{A}_{ij} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} \mathbf{z}_{\alpha}^{(i)} \left( \mathbf{z}_{\alpha}^{(j)} \right)^{\top}$ .

Given  $\mathbf{z}_1^{(2)},\dots,\mathbf{z}_{N-1}^{(2)}$ , the random vectors  $\mathbf{z}_1^{(1)},\dots,\mathbf{z}_{N-1}^{(1)}$  are independently distributed, with  $\mathbf{z}_{\alpha}^{(1)}\sim\mathcal{N}\big(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{z}_{\alpha}^{(2)},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11.2}\big)$ , where  $\mathbf{B}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11.2}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21}$ .

Now we apply Theorem 7 with  $\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}=\mathbf{z}_{\alpha}^{(1)}$ ,  $\mathbf{w}_{\alpha}=\mathbf{z}_{\alpha}^{(2)}$ , m=N-1, r=p-q,  $\mathbf{\Gamma}=\mathbf{B}$ ,  $\mathbf{\Phi}=\mathbf{\Sigma}_{11.2}$ ,  $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{\mathsf{T}}=\mathbf{A}_{11}$ ,  $\mathbf{G}=\mathbf{A}_{12}\mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{A}_{22}$ , then the conditional distribution of

$$\mathbf{A}_{11.2} = \mathbf{A}_{11} - (\mathbf{A}_{12}\mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1})\mathbf{A}_{22}(\mathbf{A}_{12}\mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1})^{\top}$$

given  $\mathbf{z}_1^{(2)},\dots,\mathbf{z}_{N-1}^{(2)}$  is distributed as  $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1-(p-q)}\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}^{\top}$  and where  $\mathbf{u}_1,\dots,\mathbf{u}_{N-1-(p-q)}$  are independent, each with distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{\Sigma}_{11.2})$ .

Since the distribution of  $\mathbf{A}_{11.2} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1-(p-q)} \mathbf{u}_{\alpha} \mathbf{u}_{\alpha}^{\top}$  does not depend on  $\mathbf{z}_{\alpha}^{(2)}$ , we obtain the following theorem:

#### Theorem 8

The matrix  $\mathbf{A}_{11.2} = \mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{A}_{12} \mathbf{A}_{22} \mathbf{A}_{12}^{\top}$  is distributed as  $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1-(\rho-q)} \mathbf{u}_{\alpha} \mathbf{u}_{\alpha}^{\top}$ , where  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{N-1-(\rho-q)}$  are independently distributed, each according to  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma}_{11.2})$ , and independently of  $\mathbf{A}_{12}$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{22}$ .

#### Corollary 3

If  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{12}=\mathbf{0}$  (or  $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{0}$ ), the matrix  $\mathbf{A}_{11.2}$  is distributed as  $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1-(p-q)}\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}^{\top}$  and the matrix  $\mathbf{A}_{12}\mathbf{A}_{22}\mathbf{A}_{12}^{\top}$  is distributed as  $\sum_{\alpha=N-(p-q)}^{N-1}\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}^{\top}$ , where  $\mathbf{u}_{1},\ldots,\mathbf{u}_{N-1}$  are independently distributed, each according to  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{\Phi})$ .

The distribution of  $r_{ij.q+l,...,p}$  and the related tests of hypotheses based on N observations is the same as that of a simple correlation coefficient based on N-(p-q) observations with a corresponding population correlation value of  $r_{ij.q+l,...,p}$ .