Multivariate Statistics

Lecture 10

Fudan University

Outline

Outline

Outline

Outline

Outline

Outline

The Wishart Distribution

We shall obtain the distribution of

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_\alpha - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_\alpha - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top,$$

where $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_N$ are independent, each with the distribution $\mathcal{N}_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ and $N > p$.

We have shown that $\mathbf{A}$ is distributed as $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathbf{z}_\alpha \mathbf{z}_\alpha^\top$ where $n = N - 1$ and $\mathbf{z}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{z}_n$ are independent, each with the distribution $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$.

We shall show that the density of $\mathbf{A}$ for $\mathbf{A}$ positive definite is

$$\frac{(\det(\mathbf{A}))^{\frac{n-p-1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\right)\right)}{2^{\frac{np}{2}} \pi^{\frac{p(p-1)}{4}} (\det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}))^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(n+1-i)\right)}.$$

The Wishart Distribution

We shall first consider the case of $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{I}$. Let

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{z}_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1^\top \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_p^\top \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}.$$

Then the (i, j)-th elements of $\mathbf{A}$ can be written as

$$a_{ij} = \mathbf{v}_i^\top \mathbf{v}_j$$

and vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p$ are independently distributed according to $\mathcal{N}_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$.

The Wishart Distribution

Applying Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization on $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_p$.

1. Let $\mathbf{w}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1$ and $\mathbf{w}_i = \mathbf{v}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{v}_i}{\|\mathbf{w}_j\|_2^2} \cdot \mathbf{w}_j$ for $i = 2, \ldots, p$.
2. We can prove by induction that $\mathbf{w}_k$ is orthogonal to $\mathbf{w}_i$ for $k < i$.
3. We can show that $\Pr\left(\|\mathbf{w}_i\|_2 = 0\right) = \Pr\left(\mathrm{rank}(\mathbf{A}) < p\right) = 0$.

Define the $p \times p$ lower triangular matrix $\mathbf{T}$ ($t_{ij} = 0$ for $i < j$) with

$$t_{ii} = \|\mathbf{w}_i\|_2 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, p;$$

$$t_{ij} = \frac{\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{v}_i}{\|\mathbf{w}_j\|_2} \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \ldots, i-1, \quad i = 2, \ldots, p.$$

Then we have

$$\mathbf{v}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{t_{ij}\mathbf{w}_j}{\|\mathbf{w}_j\|_2}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ \mathbf{v}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{v}_p \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ \frac{\mathbf{w}_1}{\|\mathbf{w}_1\|_2} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{w}_p}{\|\mathbf{w}_p\|_2} \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}^\top \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}^\top.$$

The Wishart Distribution

The formula

$$\mathbf{v}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{t_{ij}}{\|\mathbf{w}_j\|_2} \cdot \mathbf{w}_j$$

means t_{ij} for $j = 1, \ldots, i-1$ are the first $i-1$ coordinates of $\mathbf{v}_i$ in the coordinate system with $\mathbf{w}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{i-1}$.

The sum of the other $n - i + 1$ coordinates squared is

$$\|\mathbf{v}_i\|_2^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} t_{ij}^2 = t_{ii}^2 = \|\mathbf{w}_i\|_2^2 .$$

The Wishart Distribution

There exist $\mathbf{w}'_i, \dots, \mathbf{w}'_n$ and $t'_{ii}, \dots, t'_{in}$ such that

$$\mathbf{v}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{t_{ij}}{\|\mathbf{w}_j\|_2} \cdot \mathbf{w}_j + \sum_{j=i}^{n} \frac{t'_{ij}}{\|\mathbf{w}'_j\|} \cdot \mathbf{w}'_j = \mathbf{W}_i \mathbf{t}'_i$$

where

$$\mathbf{t}'_i = \begin{bmatrix} t_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ t_{ii-1} \\ t'_{ii} \\ \vdots \\ t'_{in} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{W}_i = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | & | & & | \\ \frac{\mathbf{w}_1}{\|\mathbf{w}_1\|} & \dots & \frac{\mathbf{w}_{i-1}}{\|\mathbf{w}_{i-1}\|} & \frac{\mathbf{w}'_i}{\|\mathbf{w}'_i\|} & \dots & \frac{\mathbf{w}'_n}{\|\mathbf{w}'_n\|} \\ | & & | & | & & | \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

is orthogonal. Then we have $\mathbf{t}'_i = \mathbf{W}_i^\top \mathbf{v}_i$.

The Wishart Distribution

Lemma 1

Conditional on $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{i-1}$ (or equivalently on $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i-1}$), then random variables $t_{i1}, \dots, t_{ii-1}$ are independently distributed and t_{ij} is distributed according to $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ for $i > j$; and t_{ii}^2 has the χ^2-distribution with $n - i + 1$ degrees of freedom.

The sketch of the proof:

1. Conditional on $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{i-1}$, the matrix $\mathbf{W}_i$ is fixed.
2. We have $\mathbf{t}'_i = \mathbf{W}_i^\top \mathbf{v}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ since $\mathbf{v}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ and $\mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{I}$.
3. We have $t_{ii}^2 = \|\mathbf{v}_i\|_2^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} t_{ij}^2 = \sum_{j=i}^{n} {t'_{ij}}^2$, where each t'_{ij} are independently distributed according to $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ for $j = i, \dots, n$.

Since the conditional distribution of $t_{i1}, \ldots, t_{ii}$ does not depend on $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{i-1}$, they are distributed independently of $t_{11}, t_{21}, t_{22}, \ldots, t_{i-1,i-1}$.

Corollary 1

Let $\mathbf{z}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{z}_n$ be independently distributed, each according to $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$, where $n \geq p$; let

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathbf{z}_\alpha \mathbf{z}_\alpha^\top = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}^\top,$$

where $t_{ij} = 0$ for $i < j$, and $t_{ii} > 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p$. Then $t_{11}, t_{21}, \ldots, t_{pp}$ are independently distributed; t_{ij} is distributed according to $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ for $i > j$; and t_{ii}^2 has the χ^2-distribution with $n - i + 1$ degrees of freedom.

The Wishart Distribution

Theorem 2

Let $\mathbf{z}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{z}_n$ be independently distributed, each according to $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$, where $n \geq p$; let

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathbf{z}_\alpha \mathbf{z}_\alpha^\top = \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{T}^{*\top},$$

where $t_{ij}^* = 0$ for $i < j$, and $t_{ii}^* > 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p$. Then the density of $\mathbf{T}^*$ is

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p} t_{ii}^{*\,n-i} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{T}^*\mathbf{T}^{*\top}\right)\right)}{2^{\frac{p(n-2)}{2}} \pi^{\frac{p(p-1)}{4}} (\det(\mathbf{\Sigma}))^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(n+1-i)\right)}.$$

The Wishart Distribution

Theorem 3

Let $\mathbf{z}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{z}_n$ be independently distributed, each according to $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$, where $n \geq p$. Then the density of $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathbf{z}_\alpha \mathbf{z}_\alpha^\top$ is

$$\frac{(\det(\mathbf{A}))^{\frac{n-p-1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\right)\right)}{2^{\frac{np}{2}} \pi^{\frac{p(p-1)}{4}} (\det(\mathbf{\Sigma}))^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(n+1-i)\right)} \tag{1}$$

for $\mathbf{A}$ positive definite, and 0 otherwise.

Corollary 2

Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_N$ be independently distributed, each according to $\mathcal{N}_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$, where $N > p$; Then the density of $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_\alpha - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_\alpha - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top$ is (1), where $n = N - 1$ and $\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_\alpha$.

The Wishart Distribution

The multivariate gamma function is defined as

$$\Gamma_p(t) = \pi^{\frac{p(p-1)}{4}} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \Gamma\left(t - \frac{1}{2}(i-1)\right).$$

Then the Wishart density can be written as

$$\frac{(\det(\mathbf{A}))^{\frac{n-p-1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\right)\right)}{2^{\frac{np}{2}} (\det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}))^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}.$$

The Wishart Distribution

We denote the density of the Wishart distribution as

$$w(\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{\Sigma}, n) = \frac{(\det(\mathbf{A}))^{\frac{n-p-1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\right)\right)}{2^{\frac{np}{2}} (\det(\mathbf{\Sigma}))^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}$$

and the associated distribution will be termed

$$\mathbf{A} \sim \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{\Sigma}, n).$$

If $n < p$, then $\mathbf{A}$ does not have a density, but its distribution is nevertheless defined, and we shall refer to it as $\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{\Sigma}, n)$.

Corollary 3

Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_N$ be independently distributed, each according to $\mathcal{N}_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$, where $N > p$. Then the distribution of $\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}(\mathbf{x}_\alpha - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_\alpha - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top$ is $\mathcal{W}\left(\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{\Sigma}, n\right)$.

Outline

The Characteristic Function of the Wishart Distribution

Lemma 2

Given $\mathbf{B}$ positive semidefinite and $\mathbf{A}$ positive definite, there exists a non-singular matrix $\mathbf{F}$ such that $\mathbf{F}^\top\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{F}^\top\mathbf{A}\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{I}$, where $\mathbf{D}$ is diagonal.

Lemma 3

The characteristic function of chi-square distribution with the degree of freedom n is

$$\phi(t) = (1 - 2\mathrm{i}t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}.$$

The Characteristic Function of the Wishart Distribution

Theorem 4

If $\mathbf{z}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{z}_n$ are independent, each with distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$, then the characteristic function of $a_{11}, \ldots, a_{pp}$, $2a_{12}, \ldots, 2a_{p-1,p}$, where a_{ij} is the (i,j)-th element of

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathbf{z}_\alpha \mathbf{z}_\alpha^\top$$

is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Theta}))\right] = \left(\det\left(\mathbf{I} - 2\mathrm{i}\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{\Sigma}\right)\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}}.$$

The Sum of Wishart Matrices

If $\mathbf{A}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_q$ are independently distributed with $\mathbf{A}_i \sim \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{\Sigma}, n_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, q$, then

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mathbf{A}_i \sim \mathcal{W}\left(\mathbf{\Sigma}, \sum_{i=1}^{q} n_i\right).$$

If $p = 1$ and $\mathbf{\Sigma} = 1$, then $\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{\Sigma}, n)$ is a χ^2-distribution with n degrees of freedom.

Certain Linear Transformation

We shall frequently make the transformation

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{C}^{-1},$$

where $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ is non-singular.

If the random matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is distributed according to $\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, n)$, then $\mathbf{B}$ is distributed according to $\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}, n)$ where

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} = \mathbf{C}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\left(\mathbf{C}^{\top}\right)^{-1}.$$

Marginal Distributions

Let $\mathbf{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ be partitioned into q and $p - q$ rows and columns,

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} \\ \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

If $\mathbf{A}$ is distributed according to $\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, n)$, then $\mathbf{A}_{11}$ is distributed according to $\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}, n)$.

Marginal Distributions

Let $\mathbf{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ be partitioned into $p_1, \ldots, p_q$ rows and $p_1, \ldots, p_q$ columns as

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \cdots & \mathbf{A}_{1q} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{A}_{q1} & \cdots & \mathbf{A}_{qq} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1q} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{q1} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{qq}. \end{bmatrix}$$

If $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \mathbf{0}$ for $i \neq j$ and if $\mathbf{A} \sim \mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, n)$, then $\mathbf{A}_{11}, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_{qq}$ are independently distributed and $\mathbf{A}_{jj} \sim \mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{jj}, n)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, q$.

Conditional Distributions

Let $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ be partitioned into q and $p-q$ rows and columns as

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} \\ \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbf{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{11} & \mathbf{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \mathbf{\Sigma}_{21} & \mathbf{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $\mathbf{A}$ is distributed according to $\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{\Sigma}, n)$, then the distribution of

$$\mathbf{A}_{11.2} = \mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{A}_{12}\mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{21}$$

is distributed according to $\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{\Sigma}_{11.2}, n)$, where $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{11.2} = \mathbf{\Sigma}_{11} - \mathbf{\Sigma}_{12}\mathbf{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}\mathbf{\Sigma}_{21}$ and $n \geq p-q$.

Follow the analysis in the section of partial correlation coefficient.

Outline

The Generalized Variance

The multivariate analog of the variance of the univariate distribution:

1. Covariance matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}$.
2. The scalar $\det(\mathbf{\Sigma})$, which is called the generalized variance.

The generalized variance of the sample of vectors $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_N$ is

$$\det(\mathbf{S}) = \det\left(\frac{1}{N-1}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}(\mathbf{x}_\alpha - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha)(\mathbf{x}_\alpha - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha)^\top\right)$$

The Generalized Variance

Let

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_\alpha - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha)(\mathbf{x}_\alpha - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha)^\top = (N-1)\mathbf{S}$$

and

$$\mathbf{X} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{1} = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ \mathbf{x}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{x}} & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_N - \bar{\mathbf{x}} \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1^\top \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_p^\top \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{p\times N}.$$

The sample generalized variance comes p rows of $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{X} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{1}$ as p vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_p$ in N-dimensional space.

We have $\det(\mathbf{S}) = \det(\mathbf{A})/(N-1)^p = (\det(\mathbf{V}))^2/(N-1)^p$.

Distribution of the Sample Generalized Variance

Consider that $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_N$ are independently sampled from $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, then

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathbf{z}_\alpha \mathbf{z}_\alpha^\top$$

where $\mathbf{z}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{z}_n$ are distributed independently according to $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, and $n = N - 1$.

Let $\mathbf{z}_\alpha = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{y}_\alpha$ for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, n$, where $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{C}^\top = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. Then $\mathbf{y}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_n$ are independently distributed, each with distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$. Let

$$\mathbf{B} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathbf{y}_\alpha \mathbf{y}_\alpha^\top = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{z}_\alpha \mathbf{z}_\alpha^\top (\mathbf{C}^{-1})^\top = \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{C}^{-1})^\top,$$

then $\det(\mathbf{A}) = \det(\mathbf{C}) \det(\mathbf{B}) \det(\mathbf{C}^\top) = \det(\mathbf{B}) \det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})$.

We have shown that $\det(\mathbf{B}) = \prod_{i=1}^{p} t_{ii}^2$, where $t_{11}^2, \ldots, t_{pp}^2$ are independent and t_{ii}^2 are distributed according to χ^2-distribution with $N - i$ degrees of freedom.

Distribution of the Sample Generalized Variance

$\det(\mathbf{S}) = \det(\mathbf{B})\det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})/(N-1)^p$ equals to

$$\frac{\det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})\prod_{i=1}^{p} t_{ii}^2}{(N-1)^p},$$

where $t_{11}^2, \ldots, t_{pp}^2$ are independent and t_{ii}^2 are distributed according to χ^2-distribution with $N-i$ degrees of freedom.

Distribution of the Sample Generalized Variance

Let $\det(\mathbf{B})/n^p = \prod_{i=1}^{p} V_i(n)$, where $V_1(n), \ldots, V_p(n)$ are independently distributed and $nV_i(n)$ is distributed according to χ^2-distribution with $n-p+i$ degrees of freedom.

Since $nV_i(n)$ is distributed as $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-p+i} w_\alpha^2$ where the w_α are independent, each with distribution $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$, the central limit theorem states that

$$\frac{nV_i(n) - (n-p+i)}{\sqrt{2(n-p+i)}} = \sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{V_i(n) - 1 + \frac{p-1}{n}}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{1 - \frac{p-i}{n}}}$$

is asymptotically distributed according to $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$.

Then $\sqrt{n}(V_i(n) - 1)$ is asymptotically distributed according to $\mathcal{N}(0,2)$.

Distribution of the Sample Generalized Variance

Theorem 5 [Serfling (1980), Section 3.3]

Let $\{\mathbf{u}(n)\}$ be a sequence of m-component random vectors and $\mathbf{b}$ a fixed vector such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt{n}(\mathbf{u}(n) - \mathbf{b}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{T}).$$

Let $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})$ be a vector-valued function of $\mathbf{u}$ such that each component $f_j(\mathbf{u})$ has a nonzero differential at $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{b}$, and let

$$\left.\frac{\partial f_j(\mathbf{u})}{\partial u_i}\right|_{\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{b}}$$

be the (i, j)-th component of $\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{b}}$. Then $\sqrt{n}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(n)) - f(\mathbf{b}))$ has the limiting distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{b}}^\top \mathbf{T} \mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{b}})$.

Distribution of the Sample Generalized Variance

Let $\det(\mathbf{B})/n^p = f(\mathbf{u}) = \prod_{i=1}^{p} u_i$,

$$\mathbf{u}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} V_1(n) \\ \vdots \\ V_p(n) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{T} = 2\mathbf{I}.$$

Then we have

$$\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i}\right|_{\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{b}} = 1, \quad \phi_{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{1} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{\mathbf{b}}^{\top}\mathbf{T}\phi_{\mathbf{b}} = 2p,$$

which implies

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{\det(\mathbf{S})}{\det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})} - 1\right) = \sqrt{n}\left(\frac{\det(\mathbf{B})}{n^p} - 1\right)$$

is asymptotically distributed according to $\mathcal{N}(0, 2p)$.

Outline

Distribution of the Set of Correlation Coefficients

Recall that

$$r_{ij} = \frac{a_{ij}}{\sqrt{a_{ii}}\,\sqrt{a_{jj}}}.$$

When the covariance matrix is diagonal, that is

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{22} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma_{pp} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \prod_{i=1}^{p} \sigma_{ii},$$

then the density of $\{r_{ij} : i < j,\ i, j = 1, ..., p\}$ is

$$\frac{\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right)^{p}\left(\det\left([r_{ij}]_{ij}\right)\right)^{\frac{n-p-1}{2}}}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}.$$

Distribution of the Set of Correlation Coefficients

Sketch of the proof:

1. We consider the transformation
$$\begin{cases} a_{ij} = \sqrt{a_{ii}}\,\sqrt{a_{jj}}\,r_{ij} & i < j, \\ a_{ii} = a_{ii} & i = j, \end{cases}$$
which is from $\{r_{ij} : i < j, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, p\} \cup \{a_{ii} : i = 1, \ldots, p\}$ to $\{a_{ij} : i < j, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, p\} \cup \{a_{ii} : i = 1, \ldots, p\}$.
2. The joint density of $\{r_{ij} : i < j, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, p\} \cup \{a_{ii} : i = 1, \ldots, p\}$ is
$$\frac{\left(\det\left([r_{ij}]_{ij}\right)\right)^{\frac{n-p-1}{2}}}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p} a_{ii}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \exp\left(-\frac{a_{ii}}{2\sigma_{ii}}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{p} 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \sigma_{ii}^{\frac{n}{2}}}.$$
3. Integrate out a_{ii}.

Outline

The Inverted Wishart Distribution

If $\mathbf{A}$ has the distribution $\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{\Sigma}, m)$, then $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ has the density is

$$w^{-1}(\mathbf{B} \mid \mathbf{\Psi}, m) = \frac{(\det(\mathbf{\Psi}))^{\frac{m}{2}} (\det(\mathbf{B}))^{-\frac{m+p+1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{\Psi}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\right)\right)}{2^{\frac{mp}{2}}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}.$$

for $\mathbf{B}$ positive definite and 0 elsewhere, where $\mathbf{\Psi} = \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$.

1. We call $\mathbf{B}$ has the inverted Wishart distribution with m degrees of freedom and denote $\mathbf{B} \sim \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\mathbf{\Psi}, m)$.
2. We call $\mathbf{\Psi}$ the precision matrix or concentration matrix.
3. The derivation of $w^{-1}(\mathbf{\Psi}, m)$ are based on the determinant for Jacobian of transformation $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}$ is $(\det(\mathbf{B}))^{-(p+1)}$.

The Inverted Wishart Distribution

If the posterior distribution $p(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \mathbf{x})$ is in the same probability distribution family as the prior probability distribution $p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, the prior and posterior are then called conjugate distributions, and the prior is called a conjugate prior.

Theorem 6

If $\mathbf{A}$ has the distribution $\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{\Sigma}, n)$ and $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ has the a prior distribution $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\mathbf{\Psi}, m)$, then the conditional distribution of $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ given $\mathbf{A}$ is the inverted Wishart distribution $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{\Psi}, n + m)$.

Corollary 4

If $n\mathbf{S}$ has the distribution $\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{\Sigma}, n)$ and $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ has the a prior distribution $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\mathbf{\Psi}, m)$, then the conditional distribution of $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ given $\mathbf{S}$ is the inverted Wishart distribution $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(n\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{\Psi}, n + m)$.

The Inverted Wishart Distribution

Theorem 7

Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_N$ be observations from $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$. Suppose $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ have the a prior density

$$n\left(\boldsymbol{\mu} \,\middle|\, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \frac{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}{K}\right) \times w^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mid \boldsymbol{\Psi}, m),$$

where $n = N - 1$. Then the posterior density of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ given

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\mathbf{x}_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{N-1}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}(\mathbf{x}_\alpha - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_\alpha - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top$$

is

$$n\left(\boldsymbol{\mu} \,\middle|\, \frac{N\bar{\mathbf{x}} + K\boldsymbol{\nu}}{N+K}, \frac{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}{N+K}\right) \cdot w^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mid \boldsymbol{\Psi} + n\mathbf{S} + \frac{NK(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\nu})(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\nu})^\top}{N+K}, N+m\right).$$