

**8.31** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ .

- (a) Find a UMP test of  $H_0: \lambda \leq \lambda_0$  versus  $H_1: \lambda > \lambda_0$ .
- (b) Consider the specific case  $H_0: \lambda \leq 1$  versus  $H_1: \lambda > 1$ . Use the Central Limit Theorem to determine the sample size  $n$  so a UMP test satisfies  $P(\text{reject } H_0 | \lambda = 1) = .05$  and  $P(\text{reject } H_0 | \lambda = 2) = .9$ .

**8.33** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from the  $\text{uniform}(\theta, \theta + 1)$  distribution. To test  $H_0: \theta = 0$  versus  $H_1: \theta > 0$ , use the test

$$\text{reject } H_0 \text{ if } Y_n \geq 1 \text{ or } Y_1 \geq k,$$

where  $k$  is a constant,  $Y_1 = \min\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ ,  $Y_n = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ .

- (a) Determine  $k$  so that the test will have size  $\alpha$ .
- (b) Find an expression for the power function of the test in part (a).
- (c) Prove that the test is UMP size  $\alpha$ .
- (d) Find values of  $n$  and  $k$  so that the UMP .10 level test will have power at least .8 if  $\theta > 1$ .

**8.37** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a  $n(\theta, \sigma^2)$  population. Consider testing

$$H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0 \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1: \theta > \theta_0.$$

- (a) If  $\sigma^2$  is known, show that the test that rejects  $H_0$  when

$$\bar{X} > \theta_0 + z_\alpha \sqrt{\sigma^2/n}$$

is a test of size  $\alpha$ . Show that the test can be derived as an LRT.

- (b) Show that the test in part (a) is a UMP test.

- (c) If  $\sigma^2$  is unknown, show that the test that rejects  $H_0$  when

$$\bar{X} > \theta_0 + t_{n-1, \alpha} \sqrt{S^2/n}$$

is a test of size  $\alpha$ . Show that the test can be derived as an LRT.

- 8.39** Let  $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$  be a random sample from a bivariate normal distribution with parameters  $\mu_X, \mu_Y, \sigma_X^2, \sigma_Y^2, \rho$ . We are interested in testing

$$H_0: \mu_X = \mu_Y \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1: \mu_X \neq \mu_Y.$$

- (a) Show that the random variables  $W_i = X_i - Y_i$  are iid  $n(\mu_W, \sigma_W^2)$ .  
 (b) Show that the above hypothesis can be tested with the statistic

$$T_W = \frac{\bar{W}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} S_W^2}},$$

where  $\bar{W} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n W_i$  and  $S_W^2 = \frac{1}{(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n (W_i - \bar{W})^2$ . Furthermore, show that, under  $H_0$ ,  $T_W \sim$  Student's  $t$  with  $n-1$  degrees of freedom. (This test is known as the *paired-sample t test*.)

- 8.49** In each of the following situations, calculate the p-value of the observed data.

- (a) For testing  $H_0: \theta \leq \frac{1}{2}$  versus  $H_1: \theta > \frac{1}{2}$ , 7 successes are observed out of 10 Bernoulli trials.  
 (b) For testing  $H_0: \lambda \leq 1$  versus  $H_1: \lambda > 1$ ,  $X = 3$  are observed, where  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ .  
 (c) For testing  $H_0: \lambda \leq 1$  versus  $H_1: \lambda > 1$ ,  $X_1 = 3, X_2 = 5$ , and  $X_3 = 1$  are observed, where  $X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ , independent.

- 8.51** Here is another common interpretation of p-values. Consider a problem of testing  $H_0$  versus  $H_1$ . Let  $W(\mathbf{X})$  be a test statistic. Suppose that for each  $\alpha$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , a critical value  $c_\alpha$  can be chosen so that  $\{\mathbf{x} : W(\mathbf{x}) \geq c_\alpha\}$  is the rejection region of a size  $\alpha$  test of  $H_0$ . Using this family of tests, show that the usual p-value  $p(\mathbf{x})$ , defined by (8.3.9), is the smallest  $\alpha$  level at which we could reject  $H_0$ , having observed the data  $\mathbf{x}$ .
- 8.53** In Example 8.2.7 we saw an example of a one-sided Bayesian hypothesis test. Now we will consider a similar situation, but with a two-sided test. We want to test

$$H_0: \theta = 0 \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1: \theta \neq 0,$$

and we observe  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , a random sample from a  $n(\theta, \sigma^2)$  population,  $\sigma^2$  known. A type of prior distribution that is often used in this situation is a mixture of a point mass on  $\theta = 0$  and a pdf spread out over  $H_1$ . A typical choice is to take  $P(\theta = 0) = \frac{1}{2}$ , and if  $\theta \neq 0$ , take the prior distribution to be  $\frac{1}{2}n(0, \tau^2)$ , where  $\tau^2$  is known.

- (a) Show that the prior defined above is proper, that is,  $P(-\infty < \theta < \infty) = 1$ .
- (b) Calculate the posterior probability that  $H_0$  is true,  $P(\theta = 0|x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .
- (c) Find an expression for the p-value corresponding to a value of  $\bar{x}$ .
- (d) For the special case  $\sigma^2 = \tau^2 = 1$ , compare  $P(\theta = 0|x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and the p-value for a range of values of  $\bar{x}$ . In particular,
  - (i) For  $n = 9$ , plot the p-value and posterior probability as a function of  $\bar{x}$ , and show that the Bayes probability is greater than the p-value for moderately large values of  $\bar{x}$ .
  - (ii) Now, for  $\alpha = .05$ , set  $\bar{x} = Z_{\alpha/2}/\sqrt{n}$ , fixing the p-value at  $\alpha$  for all  $n$ . Show that the posterior probability at  $\bar{x} = Z_{\alpha/2}/\sqrt{n}$  goes to 1 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This is *Lindley's Paradox*.

Note that small values of  $P(\theta = 0|x_1, \dots, x_n)$  are evidence *against*  $H_0$ , and thus this quantity is similar in spirit to a p-value. The fact that these two quantities can have very different values was noted by Lindley (1957) and is also examined by Berger and Sellke (1987). (See the Miscellanea section.)