



[Competitions](#)
[TopCoder Networks](#)
[Events](#)
[Statistics](#)
[Tutorials](#)
[Forums](#)
[Surveys](#)
[My TopCoder](#)
[Help Center](#)
[About TopCoder](#)



Member Search:
 Handle: Go
[Advanced Search](#)

Forums

[Round Tables](#)
[News Discussions](#)
[Algorithm Matches](#)
[Marathon Matches](#)
[NASA Tournament Lab](#)
[Software Forums](#)
[TopCoder Cookbook](#)
[High School Matches](#)
[Sponsor Discussions](#)

[Search](#)

[Watch Thread](#) | [My Post History](#) | [My Watches](#) | [User Settings](#)
 View: [Flat](#) (newest first) | [Threaded](#) | [Tree](#)
[Previous Thread](#) | [Next Thread](#)

[Forums](#) ► [Round Tables](#) ► [Educational Discussion](#) ► [Range update in BIT](#)

[Range update in BIT](#) | Feedback: (+1/-1) | [\[+\]](#) [\[-\]](#) | [Reply](#)

Wed, Jul 27, 2011 at 8:18 AM BRT

[minib00m](#)
[25 posts](#)

Hello,
I wonder how to make range update in BIT(binary indexed tree), because doing updates for every element in range [a,b] wouldn't be very good idea.

With segment tree it is easy to do that (range update), but how to do this with BIT ? I'm new to this structure, so thanks for any hints.

Cheers

[Re: Range update in BIT \(response to \[post\]\(#\) by \[minib00m\]\(#\)\)](#) | Feedback: (+1/-0) | [\[+\]](#) [\[-\]](#) | [Reply](#)

Wed, Jul 27, 2011 at 10:34 AM BRT

[VladBelous](#)
[30 posts](#)

I don't see this often done with BITs (as segment trees are better for this), but I can see how you could use the same "lazy update"/"push downward" technique as with segment trees. That would need a top-bottom traversal, instead of the usual bottom-up.

Also, this (at least to me) seems to increase both query and update times to $O(\log^2 n)$, since when you "touch" a lazily updated interval (tree node), all its subintervals (children) must be updated, but in BIT a node corresponding to an interval of length N has $O(\log N)$ children. In segment trees there are just 2 children.

Anyone knows if better than $O(\log^2 n)$ is possible?

[Re: Range update in BIT \(response to \[post\]\(#\) by \[VladBelous\]\(#\)\)](#) | Feedback: (+1/-2) | [\[+\]](#) [\[-\]](#) | [Reply](#)

Wed, Jul 27, 2011 at 6:17 PM BRT

[pt1989](#)
[290 posts](#)

[Yes you can](#)

[Re: Range update in BIT \(response to \[post\]\(#\) by \[pt1989\]\(#\)\)](#) | Feedback: (+1/-0) | [\[+\]](#) [\[-\]](#) | [Reply](#)

Wed, Jul 27, 2011 at 9:54 PM BRT



[fushar](#)
[381 posts](#)

I don't understand his approach, could someone explain that more thoroughly?

[Re: Range update in BIT \(response to \[post\]\(#\) by \[fushar\]\(#\)\)](#) | Feedback: (+3/-0) | [\[+\]](#) [\[-\]](#) | [Reply](#)

Thu, Jul 28, 2011 at 1:00 AM BRT



[lg5293](#)
[20 posts](#)

I know how to change a BIT to range updating, but I don't know how to do both query and update ranges.

Basically, for a BIT, there are two modes available:

- a) point updating, range querying
- b) range updating, point querying

You are probably more familiar with BITs using (a).

For (b), it's just a very simple modification, so I'll try to explain it the best I can.

For (a), when you call $\text{update}(x, v)$, it will add the value of v to the position at x , and calling $\text{query}(x)$ will add up all the elements $x' \leq x$ and return that.

Now, to extend it to (b), we see that when we call $\text{update}(x, v)$, it will affect all the queries $x' \geq x$. Therefore, to update a range $[a, b]$, we can call $\text{update}(a, v)$ and $\text{update}(b+1, -v)$. Then, to get a point, we call $\text{query}(p)$. Note that this will actually return the actual value at p , not the cumulative sum.

Now, to see why this works, see the following examples.
Suppose we just called $\text{update}(a, v)$ and $\text{update}(b+1, -v)$.

Now, let's say we called $\text{query}(p)$. We have three cases:

$p < a$. p will not be affected by the updates, so $\text{query}(p)$ will not be affected and still return the correct result
 $p > b$. p will be affected by the update (a, v) since $p \geq a$, and update $(b+1, -v)$ since $p \geq b+1$, therefore, $v - v = 0$ so everything cancels out and $\text{query}(p)$ will not be affected and return the correct result
 $a \leq p \leq b$. p is only affected by update (a, v) , but not update $(b+1, -v)$, therefore, $\text{query}(p)$'s value is increased by v , and will return the correct result

Hopefully, that's helpful. However, I don't know how to do both updating ranges and querying ranges, so I am also wondering if someone can explain that.

[Re: Range update in BIT \(response to \[post\]\(#\) by \[lg5293\]\(#\)\)](#) | Feedback: (+4/-0) | [\[+\]](#) [\[-\]](#) | [Reply](#)

Thu, Jul 28, 2011 at 4:02 AM BRT

[VladBelous](#)
[30 posts](#)

As for {range update, point query} option, I can suggest a different view, which is easier (I think):

Suppose $A[0..n]$ is the original array. Instead of storing $A[0..n]$ in BIT, store its "differentiated" array, i.e.

$B[0..n]$

$U[U] = A[U]$,
 $D[1] = A[1] - A[0]$,
 $D[2] = A[2] - A[1]$, etc.

Build a (usual) BIT on top of $D[]$, instead of $A[]$.

Now notice that $A[k] = D[0] + D[1] + \dots + D[k]$, thus `bit_query(D[],k)` will in fact return your point value $A[k]$.

To add v to range $A[i..j]$, simply do `bit_update(D[],v)` and `bit_update(D[j+1],-v)`. Now any point query in $[i..j]$ will return a value larger by v , and for outside of $[i..j]$ the return value won't be affected.

Re: Range update in BIT (response to [post](#) by [fushar](#)) | Feedback: (+22/-3) | [\[+\]](#) [\[-\]](#) | [Reply](#)

[3 edits](#) | Fri, Jul 29, 2011 at 5:59 PM BRT

[AnilKishore](#)
[211 posts](#)

While solving <http://www.spoj.pl/submit/HORRIBLE>, I came across that comment by [sicasli](#) and was thrilled to know that BIT can be used for Range Update and Range Query also. I didn't understand his approach though. The following is how I thought about it and solved. Its some what tricky to explain the working of BIT in simple text, I'll try my best.

Similar to Range Update - Point query, we maintain a BIT (say $B1$)
 - Add v to $[a..b]$ --> `Update(a,v)` and `Update(b+1,-v)` on the BIT $B1$
 - `Query(p)` on $B1$ now gives the correct value of $A[p]$

The answer we want is $(\text{Sum}(0..b) - \text{Sum}(0..a-1))$, so lets design $\text{Sum}(0..p)$. The thing with BIT is, **if** you design it to work **for** one update (which is easy to imagine) and all possible queries on that one update, mostly it should work **for** multiple updates ;)

Lets consider just one update : Add v to $[a..b]$, rest all are 0

Now, consider $\text{Sum}(0..p)$ **for** all possible p

1. $0 \leq p < a$: 0
2. $a \leq p \leq b$: $v * (p - (a-1))$
3. $b < p < n$: $v * (b - (a-1))$

This suggests that, **for** a index p , **if** we have $(v * p)$ we can get the $\text{Sum}(0..p)$ by subtracting X from it

1. $0 \leq p < a$: $v = 0$, $X = 0$
2. $a \leq p \leq b$: $(v * p) - (v * (a-1))$, $X = v * (a-1)$
3. $b < p < n$: $v = 0$, $X = -v * b + v * (a-1)$

So, we need to maintain something **else** too, to get that extra X factor and that should give
 0 **for** $p < a$, $v * (a-1)$ **for** p in $[a..b]$, $-v * b + v * (a-1)$ **for** $p > b$.

Does **this** ring something ;) ? hoho ! one more BIT **for** keeping **this** X factor.

We need to maintain another BIT (say $B2$)

- Add v to $[a..b]$ --> `Update(a,v*(a-1))` and `Update(b+1,-v*b)` on the BIT $B2$
 - `Query(p)` on $B2$ now gives the extra sum that should be subtracted from $A[p]*p$

[\[Complete Code in Edit\]](#)

Re: Range update in BIT (response to [post](#) by [AnilKishore](#)) | Feedback: (+3/-0) | [\[+\]](#) [\[-\]](#) | [Reply](#)

Fri, Jul 29, 2011 at 6:29 PM BRT

[minib00m](#)
[25 posts](#)

THANKS BUDDY !

That's very well explained, i loved it.

[Forums](#) ▶ [Round Tables](#) ▶ [Educational Discussion](#) ▶ [Range update in BIT](#)
[Previous Thread](#) | [Next Thread](#)



[Home](#) | [About TopCoder](#) | [Press Room](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Careers](#) | [Privacy](#) | [Terms](#)
[Competitions](#) | [Cockpit](#)

Copyright TopCoder, Inc. 2001-2014