

Difference of Proportions

Survey of voters in AZ (Arizona) and WV (West Virginia) by GSB in 2021 asked a variety of questions.

Question: Do you believe gov should invest more into clean energy solutions.

We want to know if the proportion strongly agreeing with the above differs between the states.

$$H_0: p_{AZ} = p_{WV}$$

$$p_{AZ} - p_{WV} = 0$$

The proportions strongly agreeing are equal.

AZ

$$n_{AZ} = 649$$

$$\# \text{ strongly Agree} = 331$$

$$\hat{p}_{AZ} = 51\%$$

WV

$$n_{WV} = 600$$

$$\# \text{ SA} = 216$$

$$\hat{p}_{WV} = 36\%$$

$$H_a: p_{AZ} \neq p_{WV}$$

$$p_{AZ} - p_{WV} \neq 0$$

The proportions are not equal.

Could we....?

Test \hat{p}_{AZ} against $p = 36\%?$

Test \hat{p}_{WV} against $p = 51\%?$ not quite

The distribution of

$$\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2 \sim N\left(p_1 - p_2, \sqrt{\frac{p_1(1-p_1)}{n_1} + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{n_2}}\right)$$

generally

$$\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 \sim N(\mu_1 - \mu_2, \sqrt{CF^2 + CF^2})$$

$$\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2 \sim N(\mu_1 - \mu_2, \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}})$$

$$\hat{p}_1 \sim N(p_1, \sqrt{\frac{p_1(1-p_1)}{n_1}}), \hat{p}_2 \sim N(p_2, \sqrt{\frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{n_2}})$$

$$X_1 - X_2 \sim N(\mu_1 - \mu_2, \sqrt{SE_1^2 + SE_2^2})$$

Next week.

What is the standard error of $\hat{p}_{AZ} - \hat{p}_{WV}$

Exactly: $\sqrt{\frac{p_{AZ}(1-p_{AZ})}{n_{AZ}} + \frac{p_{WV}(1-p_{WV})}{n_{WV}}}$ But, we don't know p_{AZ} or p_{WV}

We could estimate with \hat{p}_{AZ} and \hat{p}_{WV}

But... According to $H_0: p_{AZ} = p_{WV} = p$

We can estimate p with

We could use this to calculate SE.

$$\hat{p}_{pooled} = \frac{\# \text{success in group 1} + \# \text{success in group 2}}{\text{Sample size of } g_1 + \text{Sample size of } g_2}$$

$$= \frac{\hat{p}_{AZ} \cdot n_{AZ} + \hat{p}_{WV} \cdot n_{WV}}{n_{AZ} + n_{WV}} = \frac{331 + 216}{649 + 600} \approx .4380$$

$$SE_{\hat{p}_{AZ} - \hat{p}_{WV}} = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_{pooled}(1-\hat{p}_{pooled})}{n_{AZ}} + \frac{\hat{p}_{pooled}(1-\hat{p}_{pooled})}{n_{WV}}} \approx 2.81\%$$

Under H_0

$p_{AZ} = p_{WV}$

$$Z = \frac{(\hat{p}_{AZ} - \hat{p}_{WV}) - (p_{AZ} - p_{WV})}{SE_{\hat{p}_{AZ} - \hat{p}_{WV}}} \approx \frac{(.51 - .36)}{.0281}$$

$$Z = 5.34$$

$$Z = 5.34$$

If $p_{AZ} = p_{WV}$, the $\hat{p}_{AZ} - \hat{p}_{WV}$ values that we see should only happen in $P(Z > 5.34)$ samples,
 $4.68 \times 10^{-8} \approx$

Our p-value is the prob of getting $|\hat{p}_{AZ} - \hat{p}_{WV}|$ as or greater than observed, which is equal to

$$P(Z > 5.34) + P(Z < -5.34) \\ = 9.35 \times 10^{-8}$$

We reject $H_0: p_{AZ} = p_{WV}$, and conclude that the proportion strongly agreeing with increased gov funding of clean energy is different for these two states. ($p_{AZ} \neq p_{WV}$)

Nuanced Question: Is the proportion in AZ agreeing with increased funding higher than proportion in WV. \rightarrow not necessarily strongly.

<u>AZ</u>	<u>WV</u>
# agreeing = 526	#a = 384

p here represents proportion agreeing rather than just strongly agreeing.

$$H_0: p_{AZ} = p_{WV}$$

$$p_{AZ} - p_{WV} = 0$$

prop agreeing is
 1 in both states

$$H_a: p_{AZ} > p_{WV}$$

$$p_{AZ} - p_{WV} > 0$$

prop agreeing is higher in
 AZ than WV

prop agreeing is
equal in both states

prop agreeing is higher in
AZ than WV.

If H_0 is true, we can estimate $p = p_{AZ} = p_{WV}$ with

$$\hat{p}_{\text{pooled}} = \frac{526 + 384}{649 + 600} \approx 72.86\%$$

$$SE_{\hat{p}_{AZ} - \hat{p}_{WV}} = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_p(1-\hat{p}_p)}{n_{AZ}} + \frac{\hat{p}_p(1-\hat{p}_p)}{n_{WV}}} \approx 2.51\%$$

$$Z = \frac{\hat{p}_{AZ} - \hat{p}_{WV} - (p_{AZ} - p_{WV})}{SE_{\hat{p}_{AZ} - \hat{p}_{WV}}} = 6.77$$

If H_0 is true, we should see results as or more extreme than seen here $P(Z > 6.77) = 6.48 \times 10^{-12}$ or $6.48 \times 10^{-10}\%$ of the time.

We reject H_0 and conclude that $p_{AZ} > p_{WV}$.

The proportion of AZ voters who with increased gov funding of clean energy is higher than in WV.