

# Session 3: Types and classes II

COMP2221: Functional programming

Lawrence Mitchell\*

<sup>\*</sup>lawrence.mitchell@durham.ac.uk

# Recap

- Idea that variables, and functions have types
- Saw some basic Haskell types
  - Bool
  - Int Integer Float
  - Char
  - tuples (a, b, c) and lists [a]
- Discussed currying of functions.

```
-- "uncurried"
add' :: (Int, Int) -> Int
add' (x, y) = x + y
```

```
add'' :: Int -> (Int -> Int)
add'' x y = x y
-- "curried"
```

# Currying conventions (reminder)

· (Almost) all functions in Haskell are written in curried form

⇒ To avoid messy syntax, this leads to associativity rules for -> and function application.

```
-> associates to the right

Int -> Int -> Int -> Int

-- Means

Int -> (Int -> (Int -> Int))
```

```
Function application associates to the left

mult x y z

-- Means

((mult x) y) z

(mult x) y :: | ut > | ut |

:: | ut
```

# Type inference

Generalisati A "Haidley-Milner"

type informace. "Algorith-6".

 Any type declaration you write will be checked by the type inference engine. Error if incorrect

```
foo :: Int -> Bool

foo x = x + 3

error:

(+):: Wan a > a > a -> a

(+):: Lut > Lut
```

- Couldn't match expected type `Bool' with actual type `Int'
- In the expression: x + 3
  In an equation for `foo': foo x = x + 3

COMP2221—Session 3: Types and classes II

(from Integral 1) + 1.5

# Type inference II

# hoogle. hoskell. org-

#### Recommendation

Reasoning about types is a core part of understanding (and writing) Haskell code.

 $\Rightarrow$  always decorate function definitions with their type.

#### Syntax conventions

- Function application is so *important* that it is written as quietly as possible: with whitespace
- All functions can be called in prefix form:
   "foo a b", not "a foo b"
- ...but, special syntax for binary functions.

# Binary functions: infix notation

#### Infix notation

All binary functions (which have type a -> b -> c) can be written as *infix* functions.

#### Symbol only names

Names consisting *only* of symbols (e.g. +, \*)

```
1 + 2 -- infix notation

(+) 1 2 -- prefix notation

False && True -- infix notation

(&&) False True -- prefix notation
```

#### "Normal" names

Names with alpha-numeric characters (e.g. div, mod)

```
mod 3 2 -- prefix notation
3 `mod` 2 -- infix notation using backticks
```

## Summary

Functions defined by "equations" that match patterns:

```
head' [] = []
head'(x:xs) = x
```

"Where-ever you see head' [] replace it with []"

- No side effects ⇒ substitution is always safe/correct.
- Patterns are tried textually in order down the page.

• Guards can be used to constrain when equations can match signum 
$$n \mid n > 0 = 1$$

$$\mid n == 0 = 0$$

$$\mid otherwise = -1$$

Guard can be any expression that evaluates to a **Bool** value. Compare

$$s(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# Building block summary

- · Prerequisites: none
- Content
  - Defining functions as "equations"
  - Pattern matching in equations
  - Guards and conditional expressions
  - Special syntax for infix notation (binary functions)
- Expected learning outcomes
  - student can write functions using conditional expressions and guard expressions
  - student understands order in which patterns are tried in matching
- Self-study
  - None

# Polymorphism

"Many froms"

# Polymorphism

- Recall, Haskell is strictly typed.
- What does this mean for (say) length?

# Different types?

```
length [True, False, True] -- :: [Bool] -> Int ?
length [1, 2, 3] -- :: [Int] -> Int ?
```

These functions must have different types, no?

```
(After compilati).
every they must be
more morphic -> "side tron".
```

# Polymorphism

- Recall, Haskell is strictly typed.
- What does this mean for (say) length?

#### Different types?

```
length [True, False, True] -- :: [Bool] -> Int ?
length [1, 2, 3] -- :: [Int] -> Int ?
```

These functions must have different types, no?

#### Polymorphic types

```
Prelude> :type length
length :: [a] -> Int
```

"Length eats a list of values of any type a and returns an Int"

**a** is called a *type variable*.

This is called parametric polymorphism.

# Contrast with OO languages: defintions

# 60s Chris Strackey

### Definition (Parametric polymorphism)

Write a *single* implementation of a function that applies generically and identically to values of any type.

length: [a] > lut

#### Definition ("ad-hoc" polymorphism)

Write *multiple* implementations of a function, one for each type you wish to support.

# Definition (Subtype polymorphism) -> chesic 00.

Relate datatypes by some "substitutability". Write a function for a supertype instance. Now all subtypes can use it.

"Duck typing" or "Liskov substitution principle".

# Contrast with OO languages: examples

```
Subtype polymorphism
                                         Ad-hoc polymorphism
  class Foo(object):
                                            class Foo(object):
     def length(self, ...):
                                               pass
                                            class Bar(object):
       pass
  class Bar(Foo):
                                               pass
                                           def length(obj):
     pass
                                               if isinstance(obj, Foo):
  a = Foo().length()
  # Every Bar is-a Foo, so we can
  # call the length method.
                                              elif isinstance(obj, Bar):
  b = Bar().length()
                                           # length knows how to handle things
                                            # of type Foo and type Bar
                                            a = length(Foo())
                                            b = length(Bar())
  Parametric polymorphism
    -- length doesn't care what type the entries
```

```
-- length doesn't care what type the entries
-- in the list are
length :: [a] -> Int
length [] = 0
length (_:xs) = 1 + length xs
```

# Contrast with OO languages

- · Parametric polymorphism also called generic programming
- Introduced in ML in 1975.
- Has been adopted by a number of languages, including traditional OO ones.
- For example, Java or C# have "generics" for this purpose

  // Implementation of HashSet is generic
  // Specialised on instantiation
  Set<int> intset = new HashSet<int>();
  Set<Object> objset = new HashSet<Object>();
  (105)

C++ templates also allow for similar style of programming

# Constraining polymorphic functions

- Some polymorphic functions only apply to types that satisfy certain constraints
- For example (+) works on all types **a**, as long as that type is a number type.

  Phil wades (1989)

```
(+) :: Num a => a -> a

"For any type a that is an instance of the class Num of numeric types, (+) has type a -> a -> a"
```

- This constraint is called a class constraint
- An expression or type with one or more such constraints is called *overloaded*.
- $\Rightarrow$  Num a => a -> a is an overloaded type and (+) is an overloaded function.

  (Num a  $\Rightarrow$  a

#### Haskell classes

#### **WARNING!**

The words class and instance are the same as in object-oriented programming languages, but their meaning is very different.

#### Definition (Class)

A collection of *types* that support certain, specified, overloaded operations called *methods*.

#### Definition (Instance)

A concrete type that belongs to a *class* and provides implementations of the required methods.

- · Compare: type "a collection of related values"
- This is not like subclassing and inheritance in Java/C++
- Closest to a combination of Java interfaces and generics
- C++ "concepts" (in C++20) are also very similar.





wt

cinda.

# Defining classes I

- Let us say we want to encapsulate some new property of types
   Foo-ness
- We define the interface the type should support

```
class Foo a where
  isfoo :: a -> Bool
```

Now we say how types implement this

```
instance Foo Int where
  isfoo _ = False

instance Foo Char where
  isfoo c = c `elem` ['a'..'c']
```

- Can add new interfaces to old types, and new types to old interfaces.
- Contrast Java, where if I implement a new interface it is very difficult to make existing classes implement it.

where to go and edit Men

# Defining classes II

- · Classes (interfaces) can provide default implementation.
- Example, the Eq class representing equality requires both (==) and (/=).
- Since a == b ⇔ not (a /= b), we can provide default implementations and only require that an instance implements one.

```
class Eq a where
  (==) :: a -> a -> Bool
  x == y = not (x /= y)
  (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool
  x /= y = not (x == y)

-- instance for MyType only needs to provide one of (==) or (/=).
instance Eq MyType where
  x == y = ...
```

## **Building block summary**

- Prerequisites: none
- Content
  - · Looked at Haskell *classes* in the context of overloaded functions
  - Looked at generic programming (polymorphism) in Haskell
  - Defined overloading in terms of constrained polymorphism
  - · Looked at constrained polymorphism and class constraints.
- Expected learning outcomes
  - student knows definition of generic programming and overloading as applied in Haskell
  - student can write simple polymorphic code in Haskell
  - student understands some differences between Haskell-style overloading, and Java-style subclassing
- Self-study
  - (Optional, but interesting). Wadler & Blott, How to make ad-hoc polymorphism less ad hoc, POPL (1989). https://people.csail.mit. edu/dnj/teaching/6898/papers/wadler88.pdf
  - (Optional, probably the first 45 minutes only?). Simon Peyton-Jones on type classes https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6COvD8oynmI.