# STAT 511A Homework 9

Kathleen Wendt
11/15/2019

## Load packages

```
library(broom)
library(epitools)
```

## Question 1

This is question 10.21 from Ott & Longnecker 7th Edition. The toxicity of two sludge fertilizer treatments are compared. One hundred tomato plants were randomly assigned to pots containing sludge processed by either the "new" or "old" treatment. The tomatoes harvested from the plants were evaluated to determine if the nickel was at a toxic level. Let  $\pi$ new be the true population proportion of all plants treated with the "New" treatment that will be toxic and let  $\pi$ old be the true population proportion of all plants treated with the "Old" treatment that will be toxic. For the tests considered in parts B,C and E below we will test H0:  $\pi$ new -  $\pi$ old = 0.

```
toxic \leftarrow matrix(c(5, 45, 9, 41), nrow = 2, byrow = TRUE)
colnames(toxic) <- c("toxic", "nontoxic")</pre>
rownames(toxic) <- c("new", "old")</pre>
toxic
##
       toxic nontoxic
## new
            5
                     45
## old
                     41
prop.table(x = toxic, margin = 1)
##
       toxic nontoxic
                   0.90
## new 0.10
## old 0.18
                   0.82
```

#### Part 1A

Calculate the estimated proportion of toxic plants for EACH treatment.

```
toxic_new <- 5/50
toxic_new

## [1] 0.1
toxic_old <- 9/50
toxic_old
## [1] 0.18
```

## Part 1B

Use the two-sample Z test (prop.test function in R) to compare the proportion of Toxic plants for the two treatments. Use correct = TRUE (default). Give your (chi-square) test statistic and p-value.

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 9
##
     estimate1 estimate2 statistic p.value parameter conf.low conf.high method
         <dbl>
                                       <dbl>
                                                 <dbl>
                                                           <dbl>
                                                                     <dbl> <chr>
##
                    <dbl>
                              <dbl>
                              0.748
                                      0.387
                                                          -0.235
## 1
           0.1
                     0.18
                                                                    0.0751 2-sam~
## # ... with 1 more variable: alternative <chr>
```

#### Test Statistic

The test statistic is 0.7475083.

#### P-value

The corresponding p-value is 0.3872663.

## Part 1C

Use the chi-square test for contingency tables (chisq.test function in R) to compare the proportion of Toxic plants for the two treatments. Use correct = TRUE (default). Give your test statistic and p-value. Note: You should find that these results exactly match the results above.

```
toxic_chi <- tidy(chisq.test(x = toxic, correct = TRUE))
toxic_chi</pre>
```

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 4
## statistic p.value parameter method
## <dbl> <dbl> <int> <chr>
## 1 0.748 0.387 1 Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' conti~
```

## Test Statistic

The test statistic is 0.7475083.

#### P-Value

The corresponding p-value is 0.3872663.

### Part 1D

Calculate the expected cell counts from the chi-square test.

43

```
toxic_exp <- chisq.test(x = toxic)
toxic_exp$expected

## toxic nontoxic
## new 7 43</pre>
```

### Part 1E

## old

Use Fisher's exact test (fisher.test function in R) to compare the proportion of Toxic plants for the two treatments. Give the resulting p-value.

```
toxic_fisher <- tidy(fisher.test(x = toxic))
toxic_fisher$p.value</pre>
```

```
## [1] 0.3880625
```

7

### Part 1F

Considering the expected cell counts from part D above, is the Chi-Square Test or Fisher's Exact Test preferred for this data. Justify your choice.

The Chi-Square Test is acceptable because all of the expected cell counts exceed 5.

#### Part 1G

The "New" treatment would be preferred if it can be shown to reduce toxicity. Hence a one-side alternative could be justified here. A benefit of the two-sample Z test is that it lends itself more easily to a one-sided alternative. Use prop.test to test H0:  $\pi$ new -  $\pi$ old greater than or equal to 0 versus HA:  $\pi$ new -  $\pi$ old less than 0. Give the resulting one-sided p-value.

```
## [1] 0.1936331
```

## Question 2

This is question 10.69 from Ott and Longnecker 7th Edition. A study was conducted to compare two anesthetic drugs for use in minor surgery using n=45 men who were similar in age and physical condition. The two drugs were applied on the right and left ankles of each patient, and after a fixed period of time, the doctor recorded whether or not the ankle remained anesthetized.

## Part 2A

Calculate the estimated proportion that remain anesthetized (Yes) for EACH Drug. Note the data formatting.

```
drugs <- matrix(c(22, 23, 21, 24), nrow = 2, byrow = TRUE)
colnames(drugs) <- c("yes", "no")
rownames(drugs) <- c("drugA", "drugB")
drugs

## yes no
## drugA 22 23
## drugB 21 24
drugA_yes <- 22/45
drugA_yes

## [1] 0.4888889
drugB_yes <- 21/45
drugB_yes</pre>
## [1] 0.4666667
```

#### Part 2B

Considering the design, run an appropriate test comparing the effectiveness of the two drugs. State the name of the test, test statistic and p-value.

#### Name of Test

McNemar's Test for Paired Proportions

```
drugs_mcnemar <- tidy(mcnemar.test(drugs))</pre>
```

#### Test Statistic

The test statistic is 0.0227273.

#### P-Value

The p-value is 0.8801685.

## Question 3

A case-control study in Berlin, reported by Kohlmeier, Arminger, Bartolomeycik, Bellach, Rehm and Thamm (1992) and by Hand et al. (1994) asked 239 lung cancer patients and 429 healthy controls (matched to the cases by age and sex) whether or not they had kept a pet bird during adulthood. The data is summarized below:

```
bird <- matrix(c(328, 141, 101, 98), nrow = 2, byrow = TRUE)
colnames(bird) <- c("healthy", "cancer")</pre>
```

```
rownames(bird) <- c("no", "bird")</pre>
bird
##
        healthy cancer
## no
             328
                    141
## bird
             101
                     98
prop.table(bird, margin = 1)
##
           healthy
                       cancer
## no
        0.6993603 0.3006397
## bird 0.5075377 0.4924623
```

#### Part 3A

Estimate the odds ratio (of "Cancer" versus "Control") for the "Bird" versus "No Bird" groups. Does the Bird or No Bird group have higher odds of lung cancer?

```
oddsratio(bird, method = "wald")
```

```
## $data
##
         healthy cancer Total
## no
             328
                     141
                           469
                      98
                           199
## bird
              101
##
  Total
             429
                     239
                           668
##
##
   $measure
##
                            NA
  odds ratio with 95% C.I. estimate
##
                                         lower
                                                   upper
##
                             1.000000
                                                      NA
                        no
                                            NA
##
                        bird 2.257145 1.60518 3.173915
##
## $p.value
##
            NA
##
  two-sided
               midp.exact fisher.exact
                                           chi.square
##
##
        bird 3.052348e-06 3.938413e-06 2.243712e-06
##
## $correction
   [1] FALSE
##
##
## attr(,"method")
## [1] "Unconditional MLE & normal approximation (Wald) CI"
```

The Bird group has higher odds of lung cancer.

### Part 3B

Give a 95% confidence interval for the odds ratio. Based on this interval, can you conclude that there is a relationship between bird ownership and lung cancer? NOTE: Use method = "wald". (4 pts)

See results in Part 3A. The 95% confidence interval for the odds ratio is 1.61, 3.17. We can conclude there is evidence to suggest a relationship between bird ownership and lung cancer.

## Part 3C

Run the chi-square test for this data. Give the p-value and conclusion.

```
bird_chi <- tidy(chisq.test(bird))
bird_chi$p.value</pre>
```

```
## [1] 3.452389e-06
```

We reject the null hypothesis; there is evidence to suggest a relationship between bird ownership and cancer in adulthood.