

HW9 KEY

34 points total, 2 points per problem part unless otherwise noted.

BIBD

```
library(car)
library(emmeans)
InData <- read.csv("C:/hess/STAT511_FA11/ASCII-comma/CH19/ex19-23.txt", quote = " ' ")
#str(InData)
InData$Person <- as.factor(InData$Person)
#table(InData$Person)
#table(InData$Treatments)
```

1. (4pts) $t=6, r=5, b=10, k=3$
2. $\lambda = r(k-1)/(t-1) = 2$

BIBD Fixed Blocks

3. ANOVA Table

```
Model1 <- lm(AreaRed ~ Person + Treatments, data = InData)
Anova(Model1, type = 3)
```

```
## Anova Table (Type III tests)
##
## Response: AreaRed
##              Sum Sq Df F value    Pr(>F)
## (Intercept) 2207.43  1 72.9953 3.796e-07 ***
## Person       512.79  9  1.8841 0.1336294
## Treatments   1747.06  5 11.5543 0.0001033 ***
## Residuals    453.61 15
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

4. (4pts) CLD Display

```
emout1 <- emmeans(Model1, pairwise ~ Treatments)
CLD(emout1$emmeans)
```

Treatments	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL	.group
E	31.6	2.7	15	25.8	37.3	1
D	35.5	2.7	15	29.8	41.3	1
B	37.5	2.7	15	31.8	43.3	1
A	41.4	2.7	15	35.6	47.1	12
F	51.5	2.7	15	45.8	57.3	23
C	55.2	2.7	15	49.5	61.0	3

```
##
## Results are averaged over the levels of: Person
## Confidence level used: 0.95
## P value adjustment: tukey method for comparing a family of 6 estimates
## significance level used: alpha = 0.05
```

```
detach("package:emmeans")
```

5. HSD Hand Calculation

```
qtukey(0.95, 6, 15)*sqrt( (3*453.61/15)/ (6*2))
```

```
## [1] 12.63357
```

```
qtukey(0.95, 6, 15)*3.8885/sqrt(2)
```

```
## [1] 12.63361
```

Interpretation (not required for credit): The emmeans differ between A and C, B and C, B and F, C and D, C and E, D and F, E and F are significant (greater than HSD0.05), same as the emmeans output.

BIBD Random Blocks

6. ANOVA Table

```
library(lme4)
library(lmerTest)
library(pbkrtest)
library(emmeans)
Model2 <- lmer(AreaRed ~ (1|Person) + Treatments, data = InData)
anova(Model2, ddf = "Kenward-Roger")
```

```
## Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Kenward-Roger's method
##           Sum Sq Mean Sq NumDF  DenDF F value    Pr(>F)
## Treatments 1843.4   368.68     5  18.857  11.976 2.557e-05 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

7. (4pts) CLD Display

```
emout2 <- emmeans(Model2, pairwise ~ Treatments)
CLD(emout2$emmeans)
```

```
## Treatments emmean SE df lower.CL upper.CL .group
## E           33.1 2.85 24     27.2     38.9 1
## D           34.9 2.85 24     29.0     40.8 1
## B           36.1 2.85 24     30.2     42.0 1
## A           41.8 2.85 24     36.0     47.7 12
## F           50.8 2.85 24     44.9     56.7 23
## C           56.1 2.85 24     50.2     62.0 3
##
## Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger
## Confidence level used: 0.95
## P value adjustment: tukey method for comparing a family of 6 estimates
## significance level used: alpha = 0.05
```

```
detach("package:emmeans")
```

8. Comparing the two approaches:

The ranking of the treatments is the same.

The conclusions about significant differences is the same.

Varieties Fixed

9. ANOVA Table

```
library(emmeans)
library(car)
Varieties <- read.csv("C:/hess/STAT512/HW_2019/HW9/Varieties.csv")
#str(Varieties)
Model1 <- lm(Yield ~ Var, data = Varieties)
anova(Model1)
```

```
## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Response: Yield
##           Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value    Pr(>F)
## Var         6 667.62  111.270   18.255 7.017e-06 ***
## Residuals  14  85.33    6.095
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

10. $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = \mu_5 = \mu_6$
OR
 $H_0: \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = \alpha_6$
OR
 H_0 : population means for all varieties are the same.

11. emmeans

```
emmeans(Model1, ~ Var)

## Var emmean SE df lower.CL upper.CL
## A      18.3 1.43 14      15.3      21.4
## B      31.0 1.43 14      27.9      34.1
## C      22.7 1.43 14      19.6      25.7
## D      25.0 1.43 14      21.9      28.1
## E      33.7 1.43 14      30.6      36.7
## F      17.3 1.43 14      14.3      20.4
## G      22.7 1.43 14      19.6      25.7
##
## Confidence level used: 0.95

detach("package:emmeans")
```

Varieties Random

12. Random Effects

```
library(lme4)
library(lmerTest)
Model2 <- lmer(Yield ~ (1|Var), data = Varieties)
summary(Model2)

## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: Yield ~ (1 | Var)
## Data: Varieties
##
```

```
## REML criterion at convergence: 113.4
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -1.52307 -0.39126  0.01374  0.51853  1.36196
##
## Random effects:
##  Groups   Name      Variance Std.Dev.
##  Var      (Intercept) 35.039   5.919
##  Residual              6.097   2.469
## Number of obs: 21, groups: Var, 7
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error    df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)   24.381      2.301   6.005   10.6 4.14e-05 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

13. Test of Variance
 $H_0: \sigma_{var}^2 = 0.$

```
rand(Model2)
```

```
## ANOVA-like table for random-effects: Single term deletions
##
## Model:
## Yield ~ (1 | Var)
##              npar  logLik    AIC    LRT Df Pr(>Chisq)
## <none>          3 -56.689 119.38
## (1 | Var)       2 -66.184 136.37 18.988  1  1.315e-05 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

14. BLUPS

```
ranef(Model2)$Var + 24.381
```

```
##      (Intercept)
## A      18.66491
## B      30.63720
## C      22.76069
## D      24.96611
## E      33.15768
## F      17.71972
## G      22.76069
```