RHR (Women v Men) – Stroke

Outcome	Hazard Ratio	HR	95%–CI	Weight
def_priority = 3				
16		0.63	[0.27; 1.46]	0.5%
21		0.87	[0.44; 1.72]	0.8%
1		0.97	[0.41; 2.30]	0.5%
25		1.10	[1.02; 1.19]	62.1%
Random effects model		**1.09**	**[1.01; 1.18]**	**63.9%**

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$, $p = 0.5303$

def_priority = 2				
9		0.96	[0.41; 2.22]	0.5%
2		1.40	[0.75; 2.64]	0.9%
Random effects model		**1.22**	**[0.74; 2.02]**	**1.5%**

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$, $p = 0.4755$

def_priority = 6				
18		1.10	[0.90; 1.33]	10.0%

def_priority = 1				
32		0.98	[0.87; 1.11]	23.5%
23		1.04	[0.58; 1.87]	1.1%
Random effects model		**0.98**	**[0.87; 1.11]**	**24.6%**

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$, $p = 0.8456$

Random effects model		**1.07**	**[1.00; 1.13]**	**100.0%**

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0.0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$, $p = 0.7387$
Test for subgroup differences: $\chi_3^2 = 2.42$, df = 3 ($p = 0.4900$)

0.5 1 2