CHD – Women Outcome **Hazard Ratio** HR 95%-CI Weight def_priority = 3 1.22 [0.69; 2.15] 2.4% 1.46 [1.35; 1.58] 12.4% 25 Random effects model 1.46 [1.35; 1.57] 14.9% Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$, p = 0.5395def_priority = 2 37 1.05 [0.97; 1.14] 12.4% 1.22 [0.63; 2.38] 35 1.9% 9 1.27 [0.93; 1.74] 5.6% 36 1.30 [1.05; 1.61] 8.2% 24 1.70 [0.98; 2.94] 2.6% 1.83 [1.01; 3.32] 2.3% Random effects model 1.24 [1.05; 1.47] 32.9% Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 47.5\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.0172$, p = 0.0898def_priority = 1 32 1.11 [0.78; 1.57] 5.0% 1.20 [0.78; 1.85] 4 3.7% 42 1.24 [1.02; 1.50] 8.9% 26 1.34 [1.05; 1.71] 7.4% 1.80 [1.21; 2.68] 4.2% 12 3.01 [1.36; 6.67] 1.4% Random effects model 1.35 [1.14; 1.60] 30.5% Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 37.8\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.0166$, p = 0.1542def_priority = 6 18 1.12 [0.97; 1.30] 10.4% def_priority = 5 1.25 [1.11; 1.40] 11.3% 22

1.29 [1.17; 1.42] 100.0%

Random effects model

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 67.5\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.0186$, p < 0.0001

Test for subgroup differences: $\chi_4^2 = 12.17$, df = 4 (p = 0.0161) 0.2 0.5

