

Problem Set 2

Global Poverty and Economic Development

Lorenzo Casaburi & Manon Delvaux

NB: This problem set is due on the 15th of November by 5.00pm. Please submit your work on OLAT in the section “Problem Set 2” with your name in the title of the document and also on the first page.

1 Theory Question: Intertemporal choices

An individual has to decide how much to consume and invest. We consider a 2-period model like the one we saw in class. The individual maximizes her expected utility: $U = u(c_1) + \delta E[u(c_2)]$. Utility is logarithmic: $u(c) = \ln(c)$.

In the first period, she has an endowment Y and she can consume, save/borrow, invest in a risky input, x_r , or a hedging input x_h : $Y = c_1 + b + x_r + x_h$. The interest on saving/borrowing b is R (gross).

In the second period there are two states of the world, H, L , with probabilities $\pi_H = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\pi_L = 1 - \pi_H = \frac{1}{2}$, respectively. In state H , only the investment in x_r pays off, giving output $A_H f(x_r)$. In state L , only the investment in x_h pays off, giving output $A_L f(x_h)$. Productivity is higher in state H : $A_H > A_L$. We assume the production function is isoelastic: $f(x) = \frac{1}{\rho} x^\rho$, $0 < \rho < 1$. For simplicity, we assume the prices of all the inputs and output are equal to 1.

Throughout the exercise, we assume perfect credit markets.

Let's consider first the case with *perfect insurance markets*, too: $c_2 = Rb + \pi_H A_H f(x_r) + (1 - \pi_H) A_L f(x_h)$

1. Derive the Euler Equation.
2. Find the optimal level of investment in x_r, x_h as a function of exogenous parameters only (*i.e.* π_H, R, ρ). Discuss the result.
3. Describe how to find b and c_1 (you do not need to do the actual algebra)

Second, let's consider the case with incomplete insurance markets. c_2 now depends on the state of the world: $c_{2H} = Rb + A_H f(x_r)$; $c_{2L} = Rb + A_L f(x_h)$;

5. Derive the Euler Equation
6. How does the investment level for x_r, x_h compare to the case of perfect markets? Derive the results and discuss.

2 Experiments

You have been hired to help design an experiment to study the impact of a new type of insecticide-diffuser on malaria rates in a region of Tanzania. The experimenters plan to conduct their research across 100 villages, studying the effect on households.

1. How would you design an experiment to test for this impact? (*Don't need more than half a page, focus for example on type of experiment, experimental strategy, outcome measures etc.*)
2. Given the nature of the treatment, which kind of spillovers would you expect? How would this affect your experimental design?
3. A reviewer of your proposal wants to know a little bit more about your estimation strategy.
 - (a) When you get to the estimation part of your experiment, at which unit would you cluster your regressions?
 - (b) There is a high chance that by the end of your experiment you would have had attrition in your sample. How might you test if this is a problem?
 - (c) One of the reviewers wants you to add a lot of baseline control variables to your initial mean comparison regression. How would you expect this may affect your estimates? Why?

3 Data Exercise: Difference-in-Differences (DiD)

Use the dataset *data_did.dta* available on OLAT. It contains data on 500 towns over a 10-year period.

250 of these towns receive a treatment starting in period 6. The variable *after* equals one for observations from period 6 to 10. The variable *treatment* equals one for those towns that are targeted by the treatment. The database also includes controls x_1 and x_2 .

1. Produce a 2x2 table with the mean y by type of town (treatment/control) and time period group (before/after). What is the DiD estimator in this table?
2. Run a DiD regression of y on $after, treat$ and $after * treat$. How does the coefficient of interest compare to the estimate from the above table? (note: all regression should be clustered by “town”.)
3. Are variables x_1 and x_2 different between treatment and control towns? Does this affect the validity of the DiD approach? How do the results of the regression in point 2 change if one controls for x_1 and x_2 ? Why?
4. Now run a Panel DiD with town and year fixed effects. Is the DiD coefficient similar/different from the one in the two points above? Why?
5. Run a full panel DiD where you estimate treatment coefficients in every year of the sample. For convenience, you may normalize the coefficient in the last year before the program (i.e $t=5$) to zero. Graph all the treatment coefficients and their standard errors. Inspect visually the graph. Does the assumption of parallel trends seem to hold?
6. One option to inspect pre-trends is to run a *placebo test*. Run a regression similar to the one in point 2, but where you include only years 1 to 5 and define the placebo *after* variable as equal to one if year=4,5. What would you expect on the DiD coefficient in this placebo regression if pre-trends were parallel? What do you find in this case? Interpret.
7. What can you conclude about the initial result in points 1 and 2?