Problem Set 10

Exercise 1: Market Completeness and Risk Sharing

	t = 1	t=2
Home	Y_1	$Y_2(s)$
Foreign	Y_1^\star	$Y_2^*(s)$

 $s \in \{1, \cdots, \mathcal{S}\}$ state of nature that occurs with probability $\pi(s) > 0$.

Asset markets are complete, i.e., for each state of the world, there is a state contingent Arrow-Debreu security.

- State contingent: payment depends on the state of nature
- Arrow-Debreu security: name for a security in a complete market
- Complete market: "I can always buy an umbrella when it rains"

$$\begin{cases} B_2(s) & \text{Arrow-Debreu security} \\ \widetilde{p}(s) & \text{price of Arrow-Debreu security} \\ p(s) & \text{state price} \\ r & \text{return on a risk-free bond} \end{cases}$$

1(a)

Household problem

$$\max_{C_1,C_2} \ \frac{C_1^{1-\rho}-1}{1-\rho} + \beta \sum_{s=1}^{\mathcal{S}} \pi(s) \frac{(C_2(s))^{1-\rho}-1}{1-\rho}$$
s.t. period t=1 BC: $C_1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\mathcal{S}} \frac{p(s)}{1+r} B_2(s) = Y_1$

$$\operatorname{period} t=2 \, \mathrm{BC} \colon \ C_2(s) = Y_2(s) + B_2(s)$$

$$\max_{B_2} \ \frac{(Y_1 - \sum_{s=1}^{\mathcal{S}} \frac{p(s)}{1+r} B_2(s))^{1-\rho}-1}{1-\rho} + \beta \sum_{s=1}^{\mathcal{S}} \pi(s) \frac{(Y_2(s) + B_2(s))^{1-\rho}-1}{1-\rho}$$

$$\operatorname{FOC} (B_2) \colon \ C_1^{-\rho} \cdot \left(-\frac{p(s)}{1+r}\right) + \beta \pi(s) C_2^{-\rho} = 0 \implies \beta \pi(s) \left(\frac{C_1}{C_2(s)}\right)^{\rho} = \frac{p(s)}{1+r}$$

$$\beta \pi(s) \left(\frac{C_1}{C_2(s)}\right)^{\rho} = \frac{p(s)}{1+r}$$

$$\operatorname{Processingle water of intertransporal substitutions. Price of Arrow-Debreu.$$

ullet Marginal rate of intertemporal substitution: How much C_1 I am willing to give up today for an extra unit of $C_2(s)$ tomorrow

Intuition:

My willingness to pay for one unit of $C_2(s)$ is equal to my willingness to give up one unit of C_1 to gain one unit of $C_2(s)$ tomorrow

1(b)

Assume that household cannot save (there is no saving technology)

World market clearing

$$egin{aligned} C_1 + C_1^\star &= Y_1 + Y_1^\star \equiv Y_1^W \ C_2(s) + C_2^\star(s) &= Y_2(s) + Y^\star(s) \equiv Y_2^W(s) & orall s \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \mathcal{S}\} \end{aligned}$$

From (a), we derived

$$\beta\pi(s)\left(\frac{C_1}{C_2(s)}\right)^{\rho} = \frac{p(s)}{1+r} \implies C_2(s) = \left(\beta\pi(s)\frac{1+r}{p(s)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}C_1$$

$$C_2(s) = \left(\beta\pi(s)\frac{1+r}{p(s)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}C_1 \implies C_2(s) + C_2^{\star}(s) = \left(\beta\pi(s)\frac{1+r}{p(s)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}(C_1 + C_1^{\star})$$

$$C_2^{\star}(s) = \left(\beta\pi(s)\frac{1+r}{p(s)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}C_1^{\star}$$

$$\underbrace{C_2(s) + C_2^{\star}(s)}_{Y_2^W(s)} = \left(\beta\pi(s)\frac{1+r}{p(s)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}\underbrace{\left(C_1 + C_1^{\star}\right)}_{Y_1^W}$$

$$\left(\frac{Y_2^W(s)}{Y_1^W}\right)^{\rho} = \beta\pi(s)\frac{1+r}{p(s)}$$

Similarly, for state s'

$$\left(\frac{Y_2^W(s')}{Y_1^W}\right)^{\rho} = \beta \pi(s') \frac{1+r}{p(s')}$$

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{Y_2^W(s)}{Y_1^W}\right)^{\rho} = \beta \pi(s) \frac{1+r}{p(s)} \\ \left(\frac{Y_2^W(s')}{Y_1^W}\right)^{\rho} = \beta \pi(s') \frac{1+r}{p(s')} \end{cases} \implies \left(\frac{Y_2^W(s)}{Y_2^W(s')}\right)^{\rho} = \frac{\pi(s)}{\pi(s')} \cdot \frac{p(s')}{p(s)} \implies \left(\frac{Y_2^W(s)}{Y_2^W(s')}\right)^{-\rho} \frac{\pi(s)}{\pi(s')} = \frac{p(s)}{p(s')}$$

Intuition:

If global output is the same in all states s,s' (i.e., if agents perfectly insure themselves against any state of the world), $\frac{p(s')}{p(s)} = \frac{\pi(s')}{\pi(s)}$. Arrow-Debreu price fully reflects the state probabilities (i.e., prices are actuarially fair). If prices are actuarially fair, the agents insure themselves fully. However, if there is aggregate uncertainty (e.g., $Y_2^W(s) > Y_2^W(s')$), then $\frac{p(s')}{p(s)} > \frac{\pi(s')}{\pi(s)}$, i.e., consumption in state s' is more expensive than the actuarially fair price.

1(c)

$$eta\pi(s)igg(rac{C_1}{C_2(s)}igg)^
ho = rac{p(s)}{1+r} \implies rac{C_2(s)}{C_1} = igg(eta\pi(s)rac{1+r}{p(s)}igg)^rac{1}{
ho}$$

By analogy

$$egin{aligned} rac{C_2^{\star}(s)}{C_1^{\star}} &= \left(eta \pi(s) rac{1+r}{p(s)}
ight)^{rac{1}{
ho}} \ &rac{Y_2^W(s)}{Y_1^W} &= \left(eta \pi(s) rac{1+r}{p(s)}
ight)^{rac{1}{
ho}} \ &rac{C_2(s)}{C_1} &= rac{C_2^{\star}(s)}{C_1^{\star}} &= rac{Y_2^W(s)}{Y_1^W} \quad orall s \in \{1,2,\cdots,\mathcal{S}\} \end{aligned}$$

1(d)

Interpretation:

- Consumption growth across countries should be perfectly correlated.
- A country's consumption is correlated with the world output and not with the own country output.

Empirical facts: Backus, Kehoe, Kydland (1992)

Consumption correlation across countries is low.

Consumption Correlation Puzzle Cochrane (JPE 1991)

Possible explanations:

- · Asset markets are not complete.
- No saving assumption is problematic: different saving preferences across countries may lower the correlation of consumption growth.

$$u(C) = \log(C) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S} = 2$$

$$\max_{C_1,C_2} \log(C_1) + \beta \sum_{s=1}^{\mathcal{S}} \pi(s) \log(C_2(s))$$
s.t. period t=1 BC: $C_1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\mathcal{S}} \frac{p(s)}{1+r} B_2(s) = Y_1$
period t=2 BC: $C_2(s) = Y_2(s) + B_2(s)$

$$\text{period t=1 BC:} \quad C_1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\mathcal{S}} \frac{p(s)}{1+r} B_2(s) = Y_1$$
period t=2 BC: $C_2(s) = Y_2(s) + B_2(s)$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad$$

Euler equation:

$$\beta \pi(s) \frac{C_1}{C_2(s)} = \frac{p(s)}{1+r} \implies \frac{p(s)C_2(s)}{1+r} = \beta \pi(s)C_1 \implies \begin{cases} \frac{p(1)C_2(1)}{1+r} = \beta \pi(1)C_1 \\ \frac{p(2)C_2(2)}{1+r} = \beta \pi(2)C_1 \end{cases}$$

$$C_1 + \frac{p(1)C_2(1) + p(2)C_2(2)}{1+r} = Y_1 + \frac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r}$$

$$C_1 + \beta \pi(1)C_1 + \beta \pi(2)C_1 = Y_1 + \frac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r}$$

$$(1+\beta)C_1 = Y_1 + \frac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r}$$

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{1+\beta} \left(Y_1 + \frac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r} \right)$$

Consumption is a constant fraction of the lifetime income.

$$egin{aligned} CA_1 &= rB_1 + Y_1 - C_1 \ &= Y_1 - C_1 \ &= Y_1 - rac{1}{1+eta} \left(Y_1 + rac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r}
ight) \ &= rac{eta}{1+eta} Y_1 - rac{1}{1+eta} \left(rac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

1(f)

Under autarky condition, there is no trade in A.D. security with other countries, i.e., $B_2(s)=0$

$$\begin{cases} C_1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\mathcal{S}} \frac{p(s)}{1+r} B_2(s) = Y_1 \\ C_2(s) = Y_2(s) + B_2(s) \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} C_1 = Y_1 \\ C_2(s) = Y_2(s) \end{cases} \quad \forall s \in \{1, 2\}$$

Euler equation:

$$\beta\pi(s)\frac{C_1}{C_2(s)} = \frac{p(s)}{1+r} \implies \beta\pi(s)\frac{Y_1}{Y_2(s)} = \frac{p(s)^A}{1+r^A} \implies \begin{cases} \beta\pi(1)Y_1 = \frac{p(1)^A}{1+r^A}Y_2(1) \\ \beta\pi(2)Y_1 = \frac{p(2)^A}{1+r^A}Y_2(2) \end{cases} \quad \forall s = \{1, 2\}$$

$$egin{aligned} CA_1 &= rac{eta}{1+eta} Y_1 - rac{1}{1+eta} igg(rac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r}igg) \ &= rac{1}{1+eta} igg(eta Y_1 - rac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r}igg) \ &= rac{1}{1+eta} igg(eta \pi(1)Y_1 + eta \pi(2)Y_1 - rac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r}igg) \ &= rac{1}{1+eta} igg(rac{p(1)^A}{1+r^A} Y_2(1) + rac{p(2)^A}{1+r^A} Y_2(2) - rac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r}igg) \ &= rac{Y_2(1)}{1+eta} igg(rac{p(1)^A}{1+r^A} - rac{p(1)}{1+r}igg) + rac{Y_2(2)}{1+eta} igg(rac{p(2)^A}{1+r^A} - rac{p(2)}{1+r}igg) \end{aligned}$$

Interpretation:

Under autarky condition, no trade happens.

However, the CA depends positively on the difference of autarky prices and world prices of A.D. security, implying that if $\frac{p(s)^A}{1+r^A} > \frac{p(s)}{1+r} \quad \forall s \text{ , then upon gaining market access, the country would like to buy the A.D. security, thus exporting consumption today (for consumption tomorrow). The CA would indeed be positive (CA surplus).$

1(g)

We derived in (e)

$$C_1 = rac{1}{1+eta}igg(Y_1 + rac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r}igg)$$

Euler equation:

$$\beta\pi(s)\frac{C_1}{C_2(s)} = \frac{p(s)}{1+r} \implies C_1 = \frac{1}{\beta\pi(s)} \cdot \frac{p(s)}{1+r}C_2(s)$$

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{1+\beta} \left(Y_1 + \frac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r} \right)$$

$$\frac{1}{\beta\pi(s)} \cdot \frac{p(s)}{1+r}C_2(s) = \frac{1}{1+\beta} \left(Y_1 + \frac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r} \right)$$

$$\frac{p(s)}{1+r}C_2(s) = \frac{\beta\pi(s)}{1+\beta} \left(Y_1 + \frac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r} \right)$$

$$\frac{p(s)}{1+r}C_2(s) = \frac{\beta\pi(s)}{1+\beta} \left(Y_1 + \frac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r} \right)$$

$$\frac{p(s)}{1+r}(Y_2(s) + B_2(s)) = \frac{\beta\pi(s)}{1+\beta} \left(Y_1 + \frac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r} \right)$$

$$\frac{p(s)}{1+r}B_2(s) = \frac{\beta\pi(s)}{1+\beta} \left(Y_1 + \frac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r} \right) - \frac{p(s)}{1+r}Y_2(s)$$

$$rac{p(s)}{1+r}B_2(s) = \pi(s)\left(rac{eta}{1+eta}Y_1 - rac{1}{1+eta}\left(rac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r}
ight) + rac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r}
ight) - rac{p(s)}{1+r}Y_2(s)$$

$$rac{p(s)}{1+r}B_2(s) = \pi(s)\left(CA_1 + rac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r}
ight) - rac{p(s)}{1+r}Y_2(s)$$

s = 1:

$$\begin{split} \frac{p(1)}{1+r}B_2(1) &= \pi(1)\left(CA_1 + \frac{p(1)Y_2(1) + p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r}\right) - \frac{p(1)}{1+r}Y_2(1) \\ &= \pi(1)CA_1 - \frac{p(1)}{1+r}Y_2(1)(1-\pi(1)) + \pi(1)\frac{p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r} \\ &= \pi(1)CA_1 - \pi(2)\frac{p(1)Y_2(1)}{1+r} + \pi(1)\frac{p(2)Y_2(2)}{1+r} \\ &= \pi(1)CA_1 + \frac{\pi(2)p(2)Y_2(1)}{1+r}\left(\frac{\pi(1)}{\pi(2)} \cdot \frac{Y_2(2)}{Y_2(1)} - \frac{p(1)}{p(2)}\right) \\ &= \pi(1)CA_1 + \frac{\pi(2)p(2)Y_2(1)}{1+r}\left(\frac{p(1)^A}{p(2)^A} - \frac{p(1)}{p(2)}\right) \end{split}$$

$$rac{p(2)}{1+r}B_2(2) = \pi(2)CA_1 - rac{\pi(2)p(2)Y_2(1)}{1+r}igg(rac{p(1)^A}{p(2)^A} - rac{p(1)}{p(2)}igg)$$

Interpretation:

When $CA_1=0$, the country spends on the A.D. security that has a relative price in autarky higher than in the open economy and sells the security that has a low relative price in autarky. This means the country insures itself by buying claims to receive consumption goods should a bad realize (high p^A) and by selling claims to export consumption should a good state realize.