# **Problem Set 9**

# 1. Profit Maximization

 $q \in \mathbb{R}_+$  denotes the quantity produced (output)

f(x) is a production function, where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{L-1}_+$  are inputs

The production possibility set

$$Y=\{(q,x)\in\mathbb{R}_+ imes\mathbb{R}_+^{L-1}:q\leq f(x)\}$$

where  $f: \mathbb{R}^{L-1}_+ o \mathbb{R}_+$  is a strictly concave  $C^2$ -function

#### 1(b)

#### **Notation**

- price of q is  $p_1$
- ullet input price  $w=(p_2,\cdots,p_L)$

The profit-maximization problem (PMP)

$$egin{array}{ll} \max_{x,q} & p_1 \cdot q - w \cdot x \ & ext{s.t.} & q \leq f(x) \ & -x_l \leq 0 & orall l = 2, \cdots, L \ & -q \leq 0 \end{array}$$

We argue by contradiction that q=f(x) at any optimum

Suppose  $(q^\prime, x^\prime)$  solves PMP and  $q^\prime < f(x^\prime)$ 

Define  $q^* = f(x')$  such that  $(q^*, x')$  is also a feasible production plan

By optimality of (q', x'), we have

$$p_1q'-wx'\geq p_1q^*-wx' \ q'\geq q^*$$

However,

$$q' < f(x') \implies q' < q^*$$
 contradiction

# 1(c)

Define FOC

$$q = f(x)$$
 at any optimum

We can write the Lagrangian as

$$\mathcal{L} = p_1 \cdot f(x) - w \cdot x + \sum_{l=1}^L \mu_l x_l \quad \mu_l ext{ is the Lagrangian multipliers}$$

By Kuhn-Tucker, the FOCs are

$$egin{align} p_1rac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_l}-p_l+\mu_l&=0 \quad orall l=2,\cdots,L \ p_1rac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_l}&=p_l-\mu_l \ \end{array}$$

In vector notation

 $p_1 \nabla f(x) = w - \mu$  complementary slackness condition  $\mu_l x_l = 0$ 

Hence, if the solution is interior, we have  $\mu_l=0$  and FOC becomes  $p_1
abla f(x)=w$ 

1(d)

$$egin{cases} L=2 \ f(x)=\sqrt{x} \end{cases}$$
  $p_1
abla f(x)=w$   $p_1 imesrac{1}{2} imesrac{1}{\sqrt{x}}=w$   $\sqrt{x}=rac{p_1}{2w}$ 

We know that

$$f(x)=\sqrt{x}=q \implies egin{cases} x=rac{p_1^2}{4w^2} \ q=rac{p_1}{2w} \end{cases}$$
  $(x^*,q^*)=\left(rac{p_1^2}{4w^2},rac{p_1}{2w}
ight)$ 

iso-profit line of  $\Pi^*$  (profit of optimal plan  $(x^*,q^*)$  is tangent to Y)

# 2. Exchange Economy

$$egin{cases} u^1(x_{11},x_{21}) = x_{11}^lpha x_{21}^{1-lpha} & lpha \in (0,1) \ u^2(x_{12},x_{22}) = x_{12}^eta x_{22}^{1-eta} & eta \in (0,1) \end{cases}$$

# 2(a)

Take income for consumer 1  $\left(w_1>0
ight)$  as exogenously given

$$egin{aligned} \max_{x_1,x_2} & lpha \ln\left(x_1
ight) + \left(1-lpha
ight) \ln\left(x_2
ight) \ & ext{s.t.} & p_1x_1 + p_2x_2 \leq w_1 \end{aligned} \ \mathcal{L} = lpha \ln\left(x_1
ight) + \left(1-lpha
ight) \ln\left(x_2
ight) - \lambda(p_1x_1 + p_2x_2 - w_1) \end{aligned}$$

FOC:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\alpha}{x_1} - \lambda p_1 = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_2} = \frac{1 - \alpha}{x_2} - \lambda p_2 = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 - w_1 = 0 \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \frac{p_1}{p_2} = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \cdot \frac{x_2}{x_1} \\ p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 = w_1 \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} x_1 = \frac{\alpha}{p_1} w_1 \\ x_2 = \frac{1 - \alpha}{p_2} w_1 \end{cases}$$

#### 2(b)

Endogenous income for consumer 1

$$egin{aligned} w_1 &= p_1 \omega_{11} + p_2 \omega_{21} \implies egin{cases} x_1 &= rac{lpha}{p_1} w_1 = rac{lpha}{p_1} (p_1 \omega_{11} + p_2 \omega_{21}) \ x_2 &= rac{1-lpha}{p_2} w_1 = rac{1-lpha}{p_2} (p_1 \omega_{11} + p_2 \omega_{21}) \ x_1 &= rac{lpha}{p_1} (p_1 \omega_{11} + p_2 \omega_{21}) \end{aligned}$$

 $x_1$  depends on positively on  $p_2$  . Demand behaves as if goods are gross substitutes.

Reason: with endogenous income, a change in prices changes real income, which triggers additional income effects

 $x_1$  increases in  $p_2$  is driven by:

- $x_1$  is a normal good
- Income increases if  $p_2$  increases

#### 2(c)

$$\text{endowments} = \begin{cases} (\omega_{11}, \omega_{21}) = (1, 0) \\ (\omega_{12}, \omega_{22}) = (0, 1) \end{cases}$$

$$\text{price} = \begin{cases} p_1 = 1 \\ p_2 = p \end{cases}$$

$$\text{demand for consumer } 1 = \begin{cases} x_{11} = \frac{\alpha}{p_1} (p_1 \omega_{11} + p_2 \omega_{21}) = \alpha \\ x_{21} = \frac{1 - \alpha}{p_2} (p_1 \omega_{11} + p_2 \omega_{21}) = \frac{1 - \alpha}{p} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{demand for consumer } 2 = \begin{cases} x_{12} = \frac{\beta}{p_1} (p_1 \omega_{12} + p_2 \omega_{22}) = \beta \cdot p \\ x_{22} = \frac{1 - \beta}{p_2} (p_1 \omega_{12} + p_2 \omega_{22}) = 1 - \beta \end{cases}$$

Market clearing

$$x_{11}+x_{12}=\omega_{11}+\omega_{12}$$
  $lpha+eta\cdot p=1$   $p=rac{1-lpha}{eta}$ 

$$\mathbf{Walrasian\ equilibrium} = egin{cases} x_{11} = lpha \ x_{21} = eta \ x_{12} = 1 - lpha \ x_{22} = 1 - eta \end{cases}$$

# 3. Exchange Economy

$$\begin{cases} u^{1}(x_{11}, x_{21}) = \min\{2x_{11}, x_{21}\} & \text{consumer 1} \\ u^{2}(x_{12}, x_{22}) = \min\{x_{12}, x_{22}\} & \text{consumer 2} \end{cases}$$

#### 3(b)

$$egin{cases} (\omega_{11},\omega_{21})=(1,0)\ (\omega_{12},\omega_{22})=(0,1)\ (p_1,p_2)=(0,1) \end{cases}$$

#### Equilibrium

Consumer 1 has income 0 and consumer 2 has income 1

Consumer 2 will consume  $x_{22}=1$  and  $x_{12}=1$  to have  $\min\{x_{11},x_{22}\}=1$ 

Consumer 1 chooses  $x_{21}=0$  and market clearing gives  $x_{11}=0$ 

$$\begin{cases} (x_{11}^*, x_{21}^*) = (0, 0) \\ (x_{12}^*, x_{22}^*) = (1, 1) \end{cases}$$