Problem Set 12

1. Asset Markets

Notation:

- A feasible **ex-ante** allocation (x_J, x_T)
- Asset k has **return vector** $r_k = (r_{0k}, r_{1k}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$
- Asset prices at t=0 are denoted by $q=(q_1,q_2)\in\mathbb{R}^K$ A trading plan $z_i=(z_{1i},\cdots,z_{Ki})\in\mathbb{R}^K$ is called a **portfolio**. i.e., z_{ki} corresponds to the quantity of asset k demanded $(z_{ki}>0)$ or supplied $(z_{ki}<0)$ by consumer i .

$$ext{two-person economy} = egin{cases} ext{John} \ ext{Tim} \end{cases}$$
 one single good: 'crops' $ext{state } s = 0: \quad \pi_0 \ ext{state } s = 1: \quad 1 - \pi_0 \end{cases}$

state-dependent endowments =
$$\begin{cases} \omega_J = (1,0) \\ \omega_T = (0,1) \end{cases}$$

return structure:
$$\begin{pmatrix} r_{01} \\ r_{11} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 $\begin{pmatrix} r_{02} \\ r_{12} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$

1(a)

price of asset
$$k = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 1 \\ q_2 & k = 2 \end{cases}$$

Suppose John wants to increase consumption in s=0 ($dx_J=1$). Hence John needs to buy $dz_{1J}=1$ units of asset k=1

$$\begin{cases} q_1 z_{1J} + q_2 z_{2J} = 0 \\ z_{1J} = 1 \\ q_1 = 1 \end{cases} \implies z_{2J} = -\frac{1}{q_2}$$

John must sell $\frac{1}{q_2}$ units of asset k=2 . But selling $\frac{1}{q_2}$ units of asset k=2 means a sacrifice of $dx_{1J}=-\frac{1}{q_2}\alpha$ units of crops in state

Opportunity cost is $\frac{\alpha}{q_2}$.

1(b)

John's problem:

$$egin{array}{ll} \max_{x_{0J},x_{1J} \ z_{1J},z_{2J}} & \pi_0 u(x_{0J}) + (1-\pi_0) u(x_{1J}) \ & \\ & ext{s.t.} & \left\{ egin{array}{ll} ext{Asset market:} & z_{1J} + q_2 z_{2J} = 0 \ ext{State } s = 0: & x_{0J} = 1 + z_{1J} \ ext{State } s = 1: & x_{1J} = lpha z_{2J} \ \end{array}
ight. \ \mathcal{L} = \pi_0 u(x_{0J}) + (1-\pi_0) u(x_{1J}) - \lambda (z_{1J} + q_2 z_{2J}) - \mu (x_{0J} - 1 - z_{1J}) -
u(x_{1J} - lpha z_{2J}) \end{array}$$

FOCs:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{0J}} = \pi_0 u'(x_{0J}) - \mu = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{1J}} = (1 - \pi_0) u'(x_{1J}) - \nu = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial z_{1J}} = -\lambda + \mu = 0 \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \pi_0 u'(x_{0J}) = \mu \\ (1 - \pi_0) u'(x_{1J}) = \nu \\ \lambda = \mu \\ \lambda q_2 = \nu \alpha \end{cases} \implies \frac{\alpha}{q_2} = \frac{\pi_0}{1 - \pi_0} \cdot \frac{u'(x_{0J})}{u'(x_{1J})}$$

Tim's problem:

$$egin{array}{ll} \max_{x_{0T},x_{1T} \ z_{1T},z_{2T}} & \pi_0 u(x_{0T}) + (1-\pi_0) u(x_{1T}) \ & \\ ext{s.t.} & \begin{cases} ext{Asset market:} & z_{1T} + q_2 z_{2T} = 0 \ ext{State } s = 0 ext{:} & x_{0T} = z_{1T} \ ext{State } s = 1 ext{:} & x_{1T} = 1 + lpha z_{2T} \end{cases} \ \mathcal{L} = \pi_0 u(x_{0T}) + (1-\pi_0) u(x_{1T}) - \lambda(z_{1T} + q_2 z_{2T}) - \mu(x_{0T} - z_{1T}) -
u(x_{1T} - 1 - lpha z_{2T}) \end{cases}$$

FOCs:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{0T}} = \pi_0 u'(x_{0T}) - \mu = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{1T}} = (1 - \pi_0) u'(x_{1T}) - \nu = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial z_{1T}} = -\lambda + \mu = 0 \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \pi_0 u'(x_{0T}) = \mu \\ (1 - \pi_0) u'(x_{1T}) = \nu \\ \lambda = \mu \\ \lambda q_2 = \nu \alpha \end{cases} \implies \frac{\alpha}{q_2} = \frac{\pi_0}{1 - \pi_0} \cdot \frac{u'(x_{0T})}{u'(x_{1T})} = \frac{u'(x_{0$$

Market clearing:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{q_2} = \frac{\pi_0}{1 - \pi_0} \cdot \frac{u'(x_{0J})}{u'(x_{1J})} \\ \frac{\alpha}{q_2} = \frac{\pi_0}{1 - \pi_0} \cdot \frac{u'(x_{0J})}{u'(x_{1T})} \implies \frac{u'(x_{0J})}{u'(x_{1J})} = \frac{u'(x_{0T})}{u'(x_{1T})} \implies \frac{u'(x_{0J})}{u'(x_{1T})} = \frac{u'(1 - x_{0J})}{u'(1 - x_{1J})} \implies \begin{cases} x_{0J} = x_{1J} \\ x_{0T} = x_{1T} \end{cases}$$

Proof by contradiction

Suppose that $x_{0J} > x_{1J}$:

$$x_{0J} > x_{1T} \implies \frac{u'(x_{0J})}{u'(x_{1J})} < 1 \quad \text{by concavity of } u(\cdot) \implies \frac{u'(1-x_{0J})}{u'(1-x_{1J})} < 1 \implies 1-x_{0J} > 1-x_{1J} \implies x_{1J} > x_{0J} \quad \text{(contradiction)}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{q_2} = \frac{\pi_0}{1-\pi_0} \cdot \frac{u'(x_{0J})}{u'(x_{1J})} \implies q_2 = \alpha \cdot \frac{1-\pi_0}{\pi_0} \\ x_{0J} = x_{1J} & \implies z_{2J} = \frac{1+z_{1J}}{\alpha} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} x_{0J} = 1+z_{1J} \\ x_{1J} = \alpha z_{2J} \\ x_{0J} = x_{1J} & \implies z_{2J} = \frac{1+z_{1J}}{\alpha} \end{cases}$$

$$plug \begin{cases} q_1 = 1 \\ q_2 = \alpha \cdot \frac{1-\pi_0}{\pi_0} & \text{into} \quad q_1 z_{1J} + q_2 z_{2J} = 0 \\ z_{2J} = \frac{1+z_{1J}}{\alpha} & \implies z_{1J} = -(1-\pi_0) \end{cases}$$

$$z_{1J} = -(1-\pi_0) \quad \text{into} \quad \begin{cases} z_{2J} = \frac{1+z_{1J}}{\alpha} \\ x_{0J} = 1+z_{1J} \\ x_{0J} = x_{1J} & \implies z_{1J} = \pi_0 \end{cases}$$

by market clearing:
$$x_{0T}^* = x_{1T}^* = 1 - \pi_0$$

Radner equilibrium:
$$(x_J^*, x_T^*, q_2^*) = (\pi_0, 1 - \pi_0, \alpha \cdot \frac{1 - \pi_0}{\pi_0})$$

1(c)

$$q_2^* = lpha \cdot rac{1-\pi_0}{\pi_0} \implies q_2 ext{ is proportional to } lpha ext{ (i.e., } q_2 ext{ is linear in } lpha)$$

The higher the return of the asset in state 1, the higher the price q_2

2. Incomplete Markets

return structure:
$$\binom{r_{01}}{r_{11}} = \binom{1}{1}$$
 $\binom{r_{02}}{r_{12}} = \binom{2}{2}$ $u_i(x) = \ln{(x)}$ for $i \in \{J, T\}$

Note:

- Any equilibrium must be interior i.e., $(x_{0J}, x_{1J}, x_{0T}, x_{1T} > 0)$ because otherwise the expected utility is not well-defined.
- If $q_1=0$, people will buy asset 1 as much as possible. There is no market clearing.

John's problem:

$$egin{array}{l} \max_{\substack{x_{0J},x_{1J} \ z_{1J},z_{2J}}} & \pi_0 \ln \left(x_{0J}
ight) + \left(1 - \pi_0
ight) \ln \left(x_{1J}
ight) \ & ext{s.t.} \quad egin{array}{l} q_1 z_{1J} + q_2 z_{2J} = 0 & ext{asset market} \ x_{0J} = 1 + z_{1J} + 2 z_{2J} & ext{state } s = 0 \ x_{1J} = z_{1J} + 2 z_{2J} & ext{state } s = 1 \ \end{pmatrix} \ \mathcal{L} = \pi_0 \ln \left(x_{0J}
ight) + \left(1 - \pi_0
ight) \ln \left(x_{1J}
ight) - \lambda \left(q_1 z_{1J} + q_2 z_{2J}
ight) - \mu (x_{0J} - 1 - z_{1J} - 2 z_{2J}) - \nu (x_{1J} - z_{1J} - 2 z_{2J}) \end{array}$$

FOCs:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{0J}} = \frac{\pi_0}{x_{0J}} - \mu = 0 & \Longrightarrow \frac{\pi_0}{x_{0J}} = \mu \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{1J}} = \frac{1 - \pi_0}{x_{1J}} - \nu = 0 & \Longrightarrow \frac{1 - \pi_0}{x_{1J}} = \nu \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial z_{1J}} = -\lambda q_1 + \mu + \nu = 0 & \Longrightarrow \lambda q_1 = \mu + \nu \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial z_{2J}} = -\lambda q_2 + 2\mu + 2\nu = 0 & \Longrightarrow \lambda q_2 = 2\mu + 2\nu \end{cases}$$

asset market condition: $q_1z_{1J}+q_2z_{2J}=0 \implies z_{1J}+2z_{2J}=0 \implies z_{1J}=-2z_{2J}$

state budget constraint:
$$\begin{cases} x_{0J} = 1 + z_{1J} + 2z_{2J} \\ x_{1J} = z_{1J} + 2z_{2J} \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} x_{0J} = 1 \\ x_{1J} = 0 \end{cases}$$
 violation of interiority equilibrium

There can be no equilibrium since the market is incomplete. There are only two assets, which are linearly dependent in two states of the world $2r_1=r_2$

3. Asset Markets with Aggregate Risk

$$\text{endowments} = \begin{cases} \omega_J = (1,0) \\ \omega_T = (0,2) \end{cases}$$
 Arrow security return structure: $r_1 = \begin{pmatrix} r_{01} \\ r_{11} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $r_2 = \begin{pmatrix} r_{02} \\ r_{12} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$

3(a)

$$\omega_J + \omega_T = (1,0) + (0,2) = (1,2)$$

There is aggregate uncertainty about total endowment of crops in the economy.

3(b)

Pareto set:

$$\max_{\substack{x_{0J}, x_{1J} \\ x_{0T}, x_{1T}}} \pi_0 \ln (x_{0J}) + (1 - \pi_0) \ln (x_{1J})$$
s.t.
$$\begin{cases} \pi_0 \ln (x_{0T}) + (1 - \pi_0) \ln (x_{1T}) \ge \bar{u}_T \\ x_{0J} + x_{0T} = 1 \\ x_{1J} + x_{1T} = 2 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \pi_0 \ln (x_{0J}) + (1 - \pi_0) \ln (x_{1J}) - \lambda (\bar{u}_T - \pi_0 \ln (1 - x_{0J}) - (1 - \pi_0) \ln (2 - x_{1J}))$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{0J}} &= \frac{\pi_0}{x_{0J}} - \lambda \frac{\pi_0}{1 - x_{0J}} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{1J}} &= \frac{1 - \pi_0}{x_{1J}} - \lambda \frac{1 - \pi_0}{2 - x_{1J}} = 0 \end{cases} \Longrightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda = \frac{1 - x_{0J}}{x_{0J}} \\ \lambda = \frac{2 - x_{1J}}{x_{1J}} \Longrightarrow x_{1J} = 2x_{0J} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} x_{0T} = 1 - x_{0J} \\ x_{1T} = 2 - x_{0T} \end{cases} \Longrightarrow x_{1T} = 2x_{0T}$$
Pareto set:
$$\begin{cases} x_{1J} = 2x_{0J} \\ x_{1T} = 2x_{0T} \end{cases}$$

3(c)

John's problem:

$$\max_{\substack{x_{0J}, x_{1J} \\ z_{1J}, z_{2J}}} \pi_0 \ln (x_{0J}) + (1 - \pi_0) \ln (x_{1J})$$
s.t.
$$\begin{cases} q_1 z_{1J} + q_2 z_{2J} = 0 & \text{asset market} \\ x_{0J} = 1 + z_{1J} & \text{state } s = 0 \\ x_{1J} = z_{2J} & \text{state } s = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \pi_0 \ln (x_{0J}) + (1 - \pi_0) \ln (x_{1J}) - \lambda (q_1 z_{1J} + q_2 z_{2J}) - \mu (x_{0J} - 1 - z_{1J}) - \nu (x_{1J} - z_{2J})$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{0J}} = \frac{\pi_0}{x_{0J}} - \mu = 0 & \Longrightarrow \frac{\pi_0}{x_{0J}} = \mu \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{1J}} = \frac{1 - \pi_0}{x_{1J}} - \nu = 0 & \Longrightarrow \frac{1 - \pi_0}{x_{1J}} = \nu \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial z_{1J}} = -\lambda q_1 + \mu = 0 & \Longrightarrow \lambda q_1 = \mu \end{cases} \Rightarrow \frac{q_1}{q_2} = \frac{\pi_0}{1 - \pi_0} \cdot \frac{x_{1J}}{x_{0J}}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial z_{2J}} = -\lambda q_2 + \nu = 0 & \Longrightarrow \lambda q_2 = \nu$$

Tim's problem:

$$rac{q_1}{q_2} = rac{\pi_0}{1-\pi_0} \cdot rac{x_{1T}}{x_{0T}}$$

Market clearing:

$$\begin{cases} x_{0J} + x_{0T} = 1 \\ x_{1J} + x_{1T} = 2 \end{cases} \implies \frac{x_{1J}}{x_{0J}} = \frac{x_{1T}}{x_{0T}} = \frac{2 - x_{1J}}{1 - x_{0J}} \implies \frac{1 - x_{0J}}{x_{0J}} = \frac{2 - x_{1J}}{x_{1J}} \implies x_{1J} = 2x_{0J}$$

$$\text{Normalization:} \quad q_1 = 1 \implies q_2 = \frac{1 - \pi_0}{2\pi_0}$$

$$\begin{cases} q_1 z_{1J} + q_2 z_{2J} = 0 \\ x_{0J} = 1 + z_{1J} \\ x_{1J} = z_{2J} \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} z_{1J} + \frac{1 - \pi_0}{2\pi_0} z_{2J} = 0 \\ \frac{z_{2J}}{1 + z_{1J}} = \frac{x_{1J}}{x_{0J}} = 2 \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} z_{1J} = -(1 - \pi_0) \\ z_{2J} = 2\pi_0 \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} x_{0J} = \pi_0 \\ x_{1J} = 2\pi_0 \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} x_{0T} = 1 - \pi_0 \\ x_{1T} = 2(1 - \pi_0) \end{cases}$$

$$\textbf{Radner equilibrium:} \quad (x_{0J}^*, x_{1J}^*, x_{0T}^*, x_T^*; q_2^*) = \left(\pi_0, 2\pi_0, 1-\pi_0, 2(1-\pi_0), \frac{1-\pi_0}{2\pi_0}\right)$$

Radner equilibrium is a PO

Reason: With complete asset markets, the FWT must hold. RE is a PO.

3(d)

$$\begin{split} r_1 &= \binom{r_{01}}{r_{11}} = \binom{1}{0} \qquad r_2 = \binom{r_{02}}{r_{12}} = \binom{0}{1} \\ r'_1 &= \binom{r_{01}}{r_{11}} = \binom{1}{1} \qquad r'_2 = \binom{r_{02}}{r_{12}} = \binom{1}{2} \\ \binom{r'_1}{r_{11}} &= r_1 + r_2 \\ r'_2 &= r_1 + 2r_2 \implies \begin{cases} q'_1 &= q_1 + q_2 \\ q'_2 &= q_1 + 2q_2 \end{cases} \\ q'_1 &= 1 \implies q'_2 = \frac{1 + 2q_2}{1 + q_2} = \frac{1 + \frac{1 - \pi_0}{\pi_0}}{1 + \frac{1 - \pi_0}{2\pi}} = \frac{2}{1 + \pi_0} \end{split}$$