Problem Set 8

1. Salience I

1(a)

$$\sigma(x,ar{x})=rac{|x-ar{x}|}{ar{x}}$$

· zero homogeneity

Let $\lambda > 0$

$$\sigma(\lambda x, \lambda ar{x}) = rac{|\lambda x - \lambda ar{x}|}{\lambda ar{x}} = rac{|x - ar{x}|}{ar{x}} = \sigma(x, ar{x})$$

ordering

$$\underbrace{[x,y]\subsetneq [x',y']}_{\text{implicitly assumes }x\leq y} \implies \sigma(x,y) < \sigma(x',y')$$

$$\underbrace{[y,x]\subsetneq [y',x']}_{y\leq x} \implies \sigma(x,y) < \sigma(x',y')$$

$$\underbrace{[y,x]\subsetneq [y',x']}_{y\leq x} \implies \sigma(x,y) < \sigma(x',y')$$

$$\begin{cases} \sigma(x,y) = \frac{|x-y|}{y} = \frac{y-x}{y} = 1 - \frac{x}{y} < 1 - \frac{x'}{y'} = \frac{y'-x'}{y'} = \sigma(x',y') \quad x < y \\ \sigma(x,y) = \frac{|x-y|}{y} = \frac{x-y}{y} = \frac{x}{y} - 1 < \frac{x'}{y'} - 1 = \frac{x'-y'}{y'} = \sigma(x',y') \quad x > y \end{cases}$$

Interpretation

This function measures attribute salience as proportional difference from the average value

1(b)

Additional assumption: $x>ar{x}, x'>ar{x}'$

$$\begin{split} \frac{x'-\bar{x}'}{\bar{x}'} > \frac{x-\bar{x}}{\bar{x}} \\ \frac{x'}{\bar{x}'} - 1 > \frac{x}{\bar{x}} - 1 \\ \frac{x'}{\bar{x}'} > \frac{x}{\bar{x}} \\ x' > \frac{x}{\bar{x}} \\ x' > \frac{x}{\bar{x}} \times \bar{x}' \end{split}$$
$$[\bar{x}', \frac{x}{\bar{x}} \times \bar{x}'] \subsetneq [\bar{x}', x'] \implies \sigma(x', \bar{x}') > \sigma(\frac{x}{\bar{x}} \times \bar{x}', \bar{x}') = \sigma(x, \bar{x})$$

2. Salience II

$$\delta(c_1-c_2) < q_1-q_2 < \frac{c_1-c_2}{\delta}$$

$$egin{aligned} rac{q_1}{c_1} > rac{q_2}{c_2} \ j = egin{cases} 1 & p_1 = \min\left\{rac{q_1}{q_2}c_2, rac{q_1 - q_2}{\delta} + c_2
ight\} \ 2 & p_2 = c_2 \end{aligned}$$

Case 1

$$egin{align} rac{q_1}{q_2}c_2 & \leq rac{q_1-q_2}{\delta} + c_2 \implies p_1 = rac{q_1}{q_2}c_2 \ & rac{q_1}{p_1} = rac{q_1}{rac{q_1}{q_2}}c_2 = rac{q_2}{c_2} = rac{q_2}{p_2} \ & \end{aligned}$$

 $\sigma(p_1, \bar{p}) = \sigma(q_1, \bar{q}) \implies p \text{ and } q \text{ are equally salient}$

- ullet If $u^S(q_1,ar p_1)=q_1-p_1>u^S(q_2,p_2)=q_2-p_2$, Firm 1 gets the entire market
- If $u^S(q_1,p_1) \leq u^S(q_2,p_2)$, apply "limit pricing" assumption

$$p_1 > c_1 \implies p_2 = c_2$$

If firm 1 reduces price by small arepsilon to $p_1-arepsilon$

$$rac{q_1}{p_1-arepsilon}=rac{q_1}{rac{q_1}{q_2}c_2-arepsilon}=rac{q_2}{c_2-rac{q_2}{q_1}arepsilon}>rac{q_2}{c_2}=rac{q_2}{p_2}\implies ext{quality is salient}$$

$$u^S(q_1,p_1-\varepsilon)=q_1-\delta(p_1-\varepsilon)\geq q_1-\delta(\frac{q_1-q_2}{\delta}+c_2-\varepsilon)=q_2-\delta c_2+\delta\varepsilon>q_2-\delta c_2=u^S(q_2,p_2)$$

Firm 1 can undercut firm 2 then firm 1 can get the entire market $d_1=1, d_2=0$

o Firm 2 does not deviate to $ilde p_2 < p_2 = c_2$ because this is a weakly dominated strategy If $ilde p_2 > p_2$, then $rac{q_1}{p_1} > rac{q_2}{ar p_2} \implies$ quality is salient

$$u^S(q_1,p_1) = q_1 - \delta p_1 \geq q_2 - \delta c_2 > q_2 - \delta ilde{p}_2 = u^S(q_2, ilde{p}_2)$$

Firm 2 still makes zero profit and has no incentive to set $ilde{p}_2>p_2$

 \circ Firm 1 does not deviate to $ilde{p}_1 < p_1$

$$\Pi_1(q_1, ilde{p}_1,q_2,p_2) \leq ilde{p}_1 - c_1 < p_1 - c_1 = \Pi_1(q_1,p_1,q_2,p_2)$$

 \circ Firm 1 does not deviate to $ilde{p}_1 > p_1$

$$rac{q_1}{ ilde{p}_1} < rac{q_1}{p_1} = rac{q_2}{p_2} \implies ext{price is salient} \ u^S(q_1, ilde{p}_1) = \delta q_1 - ilde{p}_1 < \delta q_1 - p_1 = \delta q_1 - rac{q_1}{q_2} c_2 \ q_1 - q_2 < rac{c_1 - c_2}{\delta} \ \delta(q_1 - q_2) < c_1 - c_2 < rac{q_1}{q_2} imes c_2 - c_2 \ \delta(q_1 - q_2) < c_2 imes (rac{q_1}{q_2} - 1) \ \delta q_1 - rac{q_1}{q_2} imes c_2 < \delta q_2 - c_2 \ u^S(q_1, ilde{p}_1) < u^S(q_2,p_2) \ \end{pmatrix}$$

Firm 2 gets the whole market $\Pi_1=0$

Case 2

$$egin{aligned} rac{q_1-q_2}{\delta} + c_2 < rac{q_1}{q_2}c_2 \implies p_1 = rac{q_1-q_2}{\delta} + c_2 \ rac{q_1}{p_1} > rac{q_1}{rac{q_1}{q_2}c_2} = rac{q_2}{c_2} = rac{q_2}{p_2} \implies ext{quality is salient} \ u^S(q_1,p_1) = q_1 - \delta p_1 \ &= q_1 - \delta \left[rac{q_1-q_2}{\delta} + c_2
ight] \ &= q_2 - \delta c_2 \ &= u^S(q_2,p_2) \end{aligned}$$

If firm 1 switches to price $p_1-arepsilon,arepsilon>0$, then the quality is still salient and

$$egin{aligned} u^S(q_1,p_1-arepsilon) &= q_1 - \delta p_1 + \delta arepsilon \ &= q_1 - \delta \left(rac{q_1 - q_2}{\delta} + c_2
ight) + \delta arepsilon \ &= q_2 - \delta c_2 + \delta arepsilon \ &> q_2 - \delta c_2 = u^S(q_2,p_2) \end{aligned}$$

By "limit pricing", firm 1 gets the entire market

- Firm 2 never deviates
 - $\circ \;\;$ If $ilde{p}_2 < p_2 = c_2$: this is weakly dominated strategy
 - $\circ \;\;$ If $\, ilde{p}_2 > p_2\,$, quality still salient and

$$u^S(q_1,p_1) = u^S(q_2,p_2) = q_2 - \delta p_2 > q_2 - \delta \tilde{p}_2 = u^S(q_2,\tilde{p}_2)$$

still
$$d_1 = 1, d_2 = 0$$

- Firm 1 never deviates
 - $\circ \ \ \mathsf{If} \, \tilde{p}_1 < p_1:$

$$\Pi_1(ilde{p}_1,q_1,p_2,q_2) = ilde{p}_1 - c_1 < p_1 - c_1 = \Pi(p_1,q_1,p_2,q_2)$$

o $\,$ If $ilde{p}_1>p_1:\,$ Firm 2 gets the entire market independent of whether quality or price is salient

$$\Pi_1 = 0$$

In conclusion

$$p_2=c_2, p_1=\min\left\{rac{q_1}{q_2}c_2, rac{q_1-q_2}{\delta}+c_2
ight\}$$
 is an equilibrium

2(b)

$$q_1 - c_1 > q_2 - c_2$$

ullet If $\delta=1$, we have $p_2=c_2$ and $p_1=(q_1-q_2)+c_2$ in equilibrium, firm 1 gets the entire market

$$\Pi_1^{\delta=1}=q_1-q_2+c_2-c_1$$

• In salient equilibrium

$$\Pi_1^{\delta<1}\leq rac{q_1}{q_2}c_2-c_1$$

$$egin{aligned} \Pi_1^{\delta=1} &> \Pi_1^{\delta<1} \ q_1-q_2+c_2-c_1 &> rac{q_1}{q_2}c_2-c_1 \ q_1-q_2 &> igg(rac{q_1}{q_2}-1igg)c_2 \ q_2 &> c_2 \end{aligned}$$

Payoff from firm 1 is strictly higher in rational equilibrium than salience equilibrium iff $c_2 < q_2$

Intuition

Firm 1 wants to set high price if quality is salient but this high price would make price salient (against firm 1's advantage)

Firm 1 wants to set price low enough to keep quality salient, which reduces market power.