

Problem Set 7

1. (Bayesian Updating)

Consider a rational, risk-neutral entrepreneur, who must decide whether to implement a business idea. The success of the business plan is uncertain. If he decides to implement the plan, he must pay a non-refundable fixed cost $V > 0$. If implemented and the idea is a success (S), the entrepreneur earns a prize $X > V$, if the project fails (F), he earns nothing.

The entrepreneur can acquire a signal τ indicating whether the project is successful ($\tau = +$) or not ($\tau = -$). The signal structure verifies $P(+|S) = \theta$ and $P(-|F) = \theta$. Acquiring a signal costs $s > 0$.

Suppose that the entrepreneur can acquire at most two signals. The entrepreneur can decide sequentially as follows:

- Acquire the first signal? If no: Decide whether to implement or not.
- If yes: Observe the outcome of the signal. Then decide whether to acquire a second signal. If no: Decide whether to implement or not.
- If yes: Observe the outcome of the second signal and then decide whether to implement or not.

In the following, let $X = 2V$, $\theta = 4/5$ and $P(S) = 1/2$ for the prior probability that the project is a success.

- (a) Suppose that the entrepreneur is a perfect Bayesian updater. Find the optimal decision strategy.

Hint: First derive the posteriors for all possible circumstances, and then use these to determine the optimal choice in each possible situation by backward induction.

- (b) Suppose now that the entrepreneur suffers from underinference. Specifically, if p denotes his current prior about success, he updates according to

$$P(S|+) = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}\right)^c \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)}$$

after observing a positive signal. What is the optimal choice of a biased entrepreneur if $c = 0$ (maximal underinference)?

2. (Optimal Information Acquisition)

Consider the following investment model:

- You must decide in which of two projects $j = 0, 1$ to invest.
 - In the end, exactly one project will be successful, and you earn a utility of 1 if you invested into the successful project. (Denote by ω_0 and ω_1 that project $j = 0$ or $j = 1$, respectively, is successful).
 - However, project $j = 0$ is more prestigious, and you suffer from a reputation damage if you invest into this project and it fails. Specifically, you earn a utility of $-c$, where $c \in [0, 1)$, if you invest into $j = 0$ and this project fails. By contrast, you earn utility 0 if you invest into $j = 1$ and this project fails.
 - Prior to making your investment, you can conduct an investigation (i.e. read business reports, analysts' views etc.).
 - By conducting an investigation, you acquire a (Bayes-consistent) simple signal structure with state-space $S = \{S_0, S_1\}$ about which company is likely to be the successful one.
 - The prior belief that $j = 0$ is successful is $\pi_0 = 1/2$.
- (a) Calculate the ex ante expected utility assuming a separating signal structure (i.e., the investor chooses to invest in $j = 0$ after seeing signal S_0 , and in $j = 1$ otherwise).
- (b) Identify the set where separating signals yield a higher utility than deciding according to the prior in a $(P(S_0|\omega_0), P(S_1|\omega_1))$ -plane.
- (c) Consider a separating signal structure, and suppose that you have one marginal unit of attention at your disposal. You can either invest this unit of attention to increase $P(S_0|\omega_0)$ or $P(S_1|\omega_1)$ by a marginal unit. How should you use your attention?