Problem Set 1

Group 6

Christian Birchler Fenqi Guo Mingrui Zhang Wenjie Tu Zunhan Zhang Basic statistical concepts

1(a).

$$\mathbb{E}(Y) = \sum_{y} y \cdot p(y)$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{V}(Y) &= \mathbb{E}(Y - \mathbb{E}(Y))^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}(Y^2) - [\mathbb{E}(Y)]^2 \\ &= \sum_y y^2 \cdot p(y) - \left[\sum_y y \cdot p(y)\right]^2 \end{split}$$

1(b).

- $\mathbb{E}(Y)$ tells us the average value of the probability distribution of Y.
- $\mathbb{V}(Y)$ tells us the spread around the average value.

2(a).

$$\mathbb{E}(Y) = \sum_{y} y \cdot p(y)$$

$$= \sum_{x} y \sum_{x} p(x, y)$$

$$= \sum_{x} \sum_{y} y \cdot p(y|x) \cdot p(x)$$

$$= \sum_{x} \left[\sum_{y} y \cdot p(y|x) \right] p(x)$$

$$= \sum_{x} \mathbb{E}(Y|X = x)p(x)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x}[\mathbb{E}(Y|X = x)]$$

2(b).

The Law of Iterated Expectations is useful when the probability distribution of X and a conditional random variable Y|X are known, and the probability distribution of Y is desired. Example:

\overline{Y}	WAGE	Wage per hour
X	EDUC	Years of education
Y X	WAGE EDUC	Wage per hour given specific years of education

In practical data analysis, we have easier access to the data of years of education and we are interested in the unconditional wage per hour. We can run a simple regression on years of education to get the wage per hour conditional on years of education. Then the unconditional wage per hour can be easily calculated by applying the Law of Iterated Expectations.

$$\mathbb{E}(EDUC) = 11.5$$

$$\mathbb{E}(WAGE|EDUC) = 4 + 0.6EDUC$$

$$\mathbb{E}(WAGE) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(WAGE|EDUC))$$

$$\mathbb{E}(WAGE) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(WAGE|EDUC))$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(4 + 0.6EDUC)$$

$$= 4 + 0.6\mathbb{E}(EDUC)$$

$$= 4 + 0.6 \times 11.5$$

$$= 10.9$$

3(a).

$$\begin{split} Cov(y,x) &= \mathbb{E}[(y-\mathbb{E}(y))(x-\mathbb{E}(x))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[y\cdot x - y\mathbb{E}(x) - x\mathbb{E}(y) + \mathbb{E}(y)\mathbb{E}(x)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}(y\cdot x) - \mathbb{E}(y)\mathbb{E}(x) - \mathbb{E}(y)\mathbb{E}(x) + \mathbb{E}(y)\mathbb{E}(x) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(y\cdot x) - \mathbb{E}(y)\mathbb{E}(x) \end{split}$$

3(b).

$$\begin{split} Cov(y,x) &= \mathbb{E}[(y-\mathbb{E}(y))(x-\mathbb{E}(x))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(y-\mathbb{E}(y))x] - \mathbb{E}[(y-\mathbb{E}(y))\mathbb{E}(x)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(y-\mathbb{E}(y))x] - \mathbb{E}[y\mathbb{E}(x) - \mathbb{E}(y)\mathbb{E}(x)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(y-\mathbb{E}(y))x] \end{split}$$

Similarly, we can get

$$Cov(y, x) = \mathbb{E}[(x - \mathbb{E}(x))y]$$

4.

It is true that Corr(x, y) = Corr(y, x). The correlation measures the degree to which two random variables are linearly related and it is normalized between -1 and 1. Therefore, it has nothing to do with the order of how two random variables enter into the formula since Cov(x, y) = Cov(y, x).

The linear regression model

5(a).

Linearity assumption is already built into the structural model.

5(b).

$$\mathbb{E}(y_i|x_i) = \mathbb{E}(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + u_i|x_i)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(\beta_0|x_i) + \mathbb{E}(\beta_1 x_i|x_i) + \mathbb{E}(u_i|x_i)$$

$$= \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \mathbb{E}(u_i|x_i)$$

We still need to assume $\mathbb{E}(u_i|x_i)=0$ in order to identify β_0 and β_1 in the model. With the conditional mean-zero-error assumption, we can derive:

$$\mathbb{E}(u_i) = \mathbb{E}_{x_i} \mathbb{E}(u_i | x_i)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{x_i} \cdot 0$$
$$= 0$$

$$Cov(x_i, u_i) = \mathbb{E}(x_i u_i) - \mathbb{E}(x_i) \mathbb{E}(u_i)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{x_i} \mathbb{E}(x_i u_i | x_i) - 0$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{x_i} [x_i \mathbb{E}(u_i | x_i)]$$
$$= 0$$

5(c).

In 5(b), we derived $Cov(x_i, u_i) = 0$

$$Cov(y_i, x_i) = Cov(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + u_i, x_i)$$

$$= Cov(\beta_0, x_i) + Cov(\beta_1 x_i, x_i) + \underbrace{Cov(u_i, x_i)}_{\text{zero}}$$

$$= \beta_1 Var(x_i)$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{Cov(y_i, x_i)}{Var(x_i)}$$

In matrix notation:

$$\hat{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_0 \\ \hat{\beta}_1 \end{bmatrix} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$$

5(d).

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$$
$$= (X'X)^{-1}X'(X\beta + \epsilon)$$
$$= \beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'\epsilon$$

5(e).

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{V}(\hat{\beta}) &= \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{N-1} \sigma^2 (\frac{1}{N-1} X'X)^{-1} \end{split}$$

 $\frac{1}{N-1}X'X$ is the "sample variance-covariance matrix" of X. $\mathbf{5(f)}.$

Two conditions for consistency:

•

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{\beta}) = \beta$$

•

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{V}(\tilde{\beta}) = 0$$

Let us focus on the second condition,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{V}(\hat{\beta}) &= \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sigma^2 (\frac{1}{N} X'X)^{-1} \end{split}$$

In order to get a consistent estimator, we need to make $\mathbb{V}(\hat{\beta})$ closer to zero as sample size N goes larger. We

therefore have to assume that $\frac{1}{N}X'X$ will converge to a constant as sample size goes larger.

Assumption: Regular X's

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} X' X = \mathbb{E}(X' X) \equiv \Sigma_{XX}$$

5(g).

In order to test the hypothesis that $\beta_1 = 0$, we need to construct a *t-statistic*,

$$t\text{-}statistic = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{\sqrt{\mathbb{V}(\hat{\beta}_1)}}$$

This is a two-side t test. If the absolute value of *t-statistic* is larger than 1.96, we can safely reject the null hypothesis that $\beta_1 = 0$. In other words, β_1 is statistically different from zero. **6(a).**

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\alpha}) = \frac{Cov(x_i, y_i)}{\mathbb{V}(x_i)}$$

$$= \frac{Cov(x_i, \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 z_i + u_i)}{\mathbb{V}(x_i)}$$

$$= \beta_1 + \beta_2 \underbrace{\frac{Cov(x_i, z_i)}{\mathbb{V}(x_i)}}_{\text{non-zero}}$$

$$\neq \beta_1$$

Therefore, $\hat{\alpha}_1$ is a biased estimator for the target parameter β_1 .

6(b).

From 6(a), we get $\mathbb{E}(\hat{\alpha}) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \frac{Cov(x_i, z_i)}{\mathbb{V}(x_i)}$. When $Cov(x_i, z_i) = 0$, $\hat{\alpha}_1$ is an unbiased estimator for β_1 . **6(c).**

y_i	the GPA of the i^{th} student	
x_i	how many hours spent on study per week	
z_i	innate ability	

In this case, $\hat{\alpha}_1$ would be a biased estimator for β_1 since $Cov(x_i, z_i) \neq 0$. One's innate ability is an unobservable and those with higher innate ability probabily would spend less on study but still get a higher GPA.

6(d).

Bias term: $\beta_2 \frac{Cov(x_i, z_i)}{\mathbb{V}(x_i)}$ As discussed in previous question, $\mathbb{V}(x_i)$ will converge to its true value (population variance) in large sample. It also applies to $Cov(x_i, z_i)$. As $n \to \infty$, $Cov(x_i, z_i)$ will become more stable and converge to a fixed value. In conclusion, the bias term will converge to a fixed value as sample size becomes larger.