Yiwen Zhang Y23310 Hw3

1(a) For the rules that already follow. Chamsky normal form, we keep them unchanged.

For the rules that not follow Chamsky normal form, such as $X \to X$, X,... Xn with probability p, we apply transformation function f as following:

 $f(X \rightarrow X_1 \ X_2 \ X_3 \dots X_n)$ represents for:

Replace X-> X, X, X, ... Xn with probability P with following rules:

X→Xi-Xs-Xs-...-Xn-1 Xn with probability P

 $X_1-X_2-X_3-\cdots-X_{n-1} \rightarrow X_1-X_2-\cdots-X_{n-2} \quad X_{n-1} \quad \text{with probability } 1$

 $X_1-X_2-\cdots-X_{n-3} \rightarrow X_1-X_2-X_3-\cdots-X_{n-3} \quad X_{n-2} \quad \text{with probability } 1$ $X_1-X_2-X_3-\cdots-X_{n-3} \rightarrow X_1-X_2-\cdots-X_{n-4} \quad X_{n-3} \quad \text{with probability } 1.$

Inote: all rules omitted here are with probability 1.

 $X - X_2 \rightarrow X_1 X_2$ with probability 1

The key idea is to make the last symbol itself independent every time and the rest to be a mega symbol, then split the mega symbol step by step.

1(b) We only need to replace the Ind, the 4th and 5th rule and keep other rules unchanged. Replace the Ind rule with $S \rightarrow MPMP$ up with probability 0.3 and $MPMP \rightarrow MP$ NP with probability 1. Replace the 4th rule with $VP \rightarrow Vt-MP$ PP with probability 0.1 and $Vt-MP \rightarrow Vt$ MP with probability 1. Replace the 5th rule with $MP \rightarrow DT-MN$ NN with probability 0.3 and $DT-MN \rightarrow DT$ NN with probability 1.

? The resulting grammar G is following:

 $NP \rightarrow JN NP$

S->NP VP Vz-> saw S->MP-MP VP $M \rightarrow man 0.7$ NN-) woman (2) MP-MP->MP MP VP -> VE M NN -> telescope o. UP -> Vt-MP PP 02 $DT \rightarrow the$ VE-NP -> VE NP $IN \rightarrow with$ 0.5 MP -> DT-MN MN 0.3 IN> in 0.5 DT-MN > DT NN

2(a) the PCFG as following:

 $\Sigma = \{J_0hn, said, that, Sally, snored, loudly, declared, Bill, ran, quickly, Fred, pronounced, Jeff, swam, elegantly <math>\}$

N= { S. NP, VP, VI, SBAR, COMP, ADVP, V2 }

5= 157

Ret Rules and probability :

S->MP VP 1

SBAR -> COMP S 1

VP->VI SBAR す

UP -> VP ADVP 3

VP>12 す

M → John t

VI→sard \$

COMP -> that 1

NP > Sally 3

V2 -> snored =

ADVP → budly =

VI > declared }

NP-> Bill &

1/2-> ran = =

ADVP→quickly \$

M> Fred t

はリンpronounced 当

M-> Jeff t

V2-> suan 1/3

ADVP -> elegantly }

20);

0

probability for tree 0:

P=P(s->MP UP) xPCMP>Jeff)xPCVP>VI SBAR) xP(VI->promocel)X P(SBAR > COMP S) X PCCOMP > that) X PCS->MP VP) XPCMP> Fred) * P(VP-> VP ADVP) * PC VP-> V2) *P(V2-> snored) *P(ADVP->loudly)

0

pronounced comp 5 snoved

probability for tree 0:

Pz=P(S=MPVP) ×P(VP=>VP ADVP) × P(ADVP=> loudly) ×P(NP=>VI SBAR) ×P(SBAR->COMPS) ×P(S->MPVP) ×P(NP->Jeff) ×P(V+>Pronouca) XPCcomp > that) x PCM> Fred) XPCVP>V2) xPCV2>snored)

SBAR louelly

= 1×3×3×3×1×1×t×3×3×1×t×5×5×5×5×5 = 36 x /2

2(c) Delete this rule: VP-> VP ADVP

and Add these 2 rules: VP->VPI ADVP 0.33

VP1->12

```
Q3:
```

the recursive case as below:

Set x=log_n For 1≤i≤x:

For 0≤j≤2x-i-1:

 $\pi(j\cdot 2^{i}+1,(j+1)\cdot 2^{i},X)=\max_{X\to YZ\in R}\pi(j\cdot 2^{i}+1,j\cdot 2^{i}+2^{i-1},Y)\times\pi(j\cdot 2^{i}+2^{i-1}+1,(j+1)\cdot 2^{i},Z)\times 9(X\to YZ)$

the running time is $O(|N|^3 n \log n)$, which is more efficient than original $CKY - D(n^3|M^3)$.