## What if we didn't assume our abstractions; what if we derived them?

a level as possible. It is designed to be as unopinionated as possible.

[[data my-macro-expansion [a b c]]; Some data we'll reference

[bottom-bracket]

Example:

[bb/with

some iteration is inevitable.

Abstract

Bottom-bracket (BB) is a homoiconic language designed to express the compilation of anything to

anything through bottom-up abstraction via macros written in anything.

It's intended to serve as a minimal top-down to bottom-up abstraction turnaround point at as low of

Using BB without any libraries, you start at machine language with macros. Programming languages are just macro libraries.

This is done with compilation of code to machine language in mind, but it's open-ended.

;; Macro - written in machine language - that expands to [a b c] by returning

;; a pointer to that structure. [macro my-macro [x86\_64-linux

[bb/barray-cat "\x48\xB8"[my-macro-expansion addr 8 LE] ; mov rax, data "\xC3"]]]]

;; Using our macro [foo bar [my-macro]]]

Expands to

[foo bar [a b c]]

Beware: it's not stable yet

Breaking changes should be expected for now. We need to get the core of the language right, and

Such that you're not flying completely blind, here are some anticipated breaking changes: Parallelized macroexpansion where possible Macro I/O details (inputs, return value etc).

• Changes to parameters and interfaces of builtin functions

Changes to which builtin functions are exposed

Eventually the hope is to build a stable specification for everyone to implement.

This doesn't mean don't build stuff with BB. This means use a pinned version of BB for anything you need to stay working, and be ready for migration work.

to have, and then work down towards the layer below working out how to make it happen. This is top-

There's another way, though, one pioneered by languages like Lisp and Forth. Rather than starting from an ideal interface, we start with what exists now, pick a direction we'd like to go, and start working our way up towards a particular problem we'd like to solve. The abstraction that we create is simply the abstraction that logically forms when attempting to move in that direction. This is

observations. As we came to understand the underlying mechanisms, the high-level layer was already established - so we 'make it work' to make our abstractions logically map together as well as we can. It's never perfect though. This approach lends itself to abstractions that don't logically map

These examples illustrate how bottom-up abstraction lends itself to a clean, well-mapped, less

Of course, <u>it's never perfect</u>. Every layer leaks to some degree – even with the bottom-up approach

Bottom-bracket embraces the bottom-up philosophy. It is built for bottom-up abstraction (enabled by macros) to minimize abstraction leakage. In contrast to most lisps, it does not start at a high-

The data structure in memory is designed to represent a tree. There are only two data types, which

platform-dependent (size\_t in principle, but will be made more clear in specification when that's

Array of pointers to other elements (other barrays or parrays). Prefixed with the **one's complement** of the quantity of pointers. One's complement differentiates it from barrays but can still handle

can be differentiated by the length prefix: positive is barray, negative is parray.

• All other characters besides whitespace placed next to eachother represent barrays

Double-quoted strings - byte strings - represent barrays and can use escape codes for bytes.

Implementations of bottom-bracket itself are intended to be minimal. The version written in x86\_64

Generally speaking, if it can be done inside the BB language and not as a builtin, it should be.

The language has no special operators whatsoever. All functionality provided by the builtin macros can be re-created using your own macros. This also means any opinion introduced in these macros is

Macros can provide multiple implementations – one per platform. Implementations of bottom bracket decide which implemention(s) they support based upon what they know how to execute. Usually that will only be the platform the implementation is running on, but it's open to virtualization and

be the minimal abstraction turnaround point. Portable languages built using bottom bracket can reference the bb/platform macro to determine what type of machine code they should expand into.

This type of language is uniquely well-suited to solving certain bootstrapping problems, and

building a fully verifiable bootstrap route to the software ecosystem is a goal of this project.

Implementing C in a language of this design is particularly transparent - everything is just a

Array of bytes. Prefixed with with the quantity of bytes in the barray.

The size in bytes of the length values and the size of bytes of the pointers in parrays are

level of abstraction, but starts right at the machine-language level.

Upon execution, bottom bracket performs only 3 steps. Read ightarrow expand macros ightarrow print.

down abstraction, and it's the default mode of operation for software development today.

 Introduction Bottom-bracket's lifecycle

3. Language details 3.1. The in-memory data structure 3.1.1. barray

### When we create abstractions, one common approach is to begin with a top-level interface we'd like

1. Introduction

3.1.2. parray 3.2. The default syntax

5. What about portability?

7.2. Run some code!

Structure of this repository

<u>7. Getting started</u>

<u>4. Bottom-bracket is a minimal core</u>

<u>6. Fully verifiable bootstrap is a goal</u>

7.1. Build an implementation of BB

#### Many areas of science were formed using top-down abstraction by necessity. We made high-level observations about the world (salt goes away in water!) and created abstractions for those

the bottom-up approach.

By contrast, mathematics has largely evolved in a more bottom-up fashion. Each abstraction is built upon the previous, and what resulted is a ruthlessly logical and clean system.

leaky design.

Expand macros

put together).

3.1.1. barray

3.1.2. parray

Examples:

• [] - empty parray

to eachother very cleanly.

- and we just work to keep it to a minimum. The benefit of minimizing abstraction leakage is huge, though: the less each layer leaks, the higher we can stack abstractions without accumulating frustrating behaviors and performance issues.

Bottom-bracket's lifecycle

Bottom bracket does nothing more. All behavior of the user's language is determined by macros. If you're implementing an ahead-of-time compiled language like C, the output of the 'print' step

**3.1.** The in-memory data structure

would likely be an ELF .o file.

Language details

• Read: reads user input using reader macros

• Print: Outputs result using printer macros

#### Emphasis on default because users of bottom-bracket have control over this through reader and printer macros. Square brackets [] deliminate parrays.

3.2. The *defαult* syntax

the case of zero-length parrays.

 [foo bar] - parray of two barrays (foo and bar) ["foo" "bar"] - Exact same data structure using byte strings • "\xFF\x00\d042\n" - Byte string using escape codes - represents barray of what's described.

[foo [bar baz]] - parray containing nested parray

4. Bottom-bracket is a minimal core

assembly currently sits around 5,500 lines total.

easily changed by the user of the language.

5. What about portability?

The builtin macros simply serve as a bootstrapping tool.

## Portability is not a problem solved at the bottom bracket level, as bottom bracket is intended to

Fully verifiable bootstrap is a goal

The ultimate goal would be to implement C inside the language. • The ability to slowly "walk" up abstraction levels in tiny steps makes the lower level stages of bootstrapping much easier ► The moment you implement a tiny part of any assembler, you can use it. The x86\_64 assembler

currently living in this repo is a great example of this.

# Reader macros allow you to turn C syntax into a bottom bracket structure (parrays and barrays).

This are in the impl/ subdirectory of this repository. Exact build process depends on the implementation, but usually the answer is just '\$ make'.

library.

barray: \$ echo 'abc' | build/bbr parray: \$ echo '[a b c]' | build/bbr

Also try the example at the top of this README. Put it into a file and \$ cat my-file.bbr | build/bbr Also see the programs/ subdirectory in this repository for more examples. 8. Structure of this repository

Getting started 7.1. Build an implementation of BB

7.2. Run some code! nested parrays: \$ echo '[a [b c]]' | build/bbr builtin macro: \$ echo '[bb/platform]' | build/bbr

docs - rendered docs for github pages (not user-facing)

nrograms - misc programs written in BB

notes - almost anything

impl - implementations of bottom-bracket.