[bottom-bracket]

What if we didn't assume our abstractions; what if we derived them?

Abstract

Bottom-bracket (BB) is a homoiconic language designed to express the compilation of anything to anything through bottom-up abstraction via macros written in anything. It's intended to serve as a minimal top-down to bottom-up abstraction turnaround point at as low of

a level as possible. It is designed to be as unopinionated as possible.

This is done with compilation of code to machine language in mind, but it's open-ended.

Using BB without any libraries, you start at machine language with macros. Programming languages

are just macro libraries.

Example: [bb/with

;; Macro - written in machine language - that expands to [a b c] by returning

[[data my-macro-expansion [a b c]]; Some data we'll reference

;; a pointer to that structure. [macro my-macro

[x86_64-linux [bb/barray-cat

"\xC3"]]]] ;; Using our macro

"\x48\xB8"[my-macro-expansion addr 8 LE] ; mov rax, data

Expands to

[foo bar [a b c]]

[foo bar [my-macro]]]

Beware: it's not stable yet

Breaking changes should be expected for now. We need to get the core of the language right, and some iteration is inevitable.

Such that you're not flying completely blind, here are some anticipated breaking changes:

Eventually the hope is to build a stable specification for everyone to implement.

 Parallelized macroexpansion where possible Macro I/O details (inputs, return value etc).

Changes to which builtin functions are exposed

• Changes to parameters and interfaces of builtin functions

This doesn't mean don't build stuff with BB. This means use a pinned version of BB for anything you need to stay working, and be ready for migration work.

<u> 2. Bottom-bracket's lifecycle: read ightarrow expand macros ightarrow print</u> 3. <u>Language details</u>

3.1. The default syntax 3.2. The in-memory data structure

3.2.2. barray Bottom-bracket is a minimal core

<u>6. Fully verifiable bootstrap is a goal</u> Structure of this repository

to have, and then work down towards the layer below working out how to make it happen. This is topdown abstraction, and it's the default mode of operation for software development today.

to eachother very cleanly.

1. Introduction

Introduction

3.2.1. parray

5. What about portability?

There's another way, though, one pioneered by languages like lisp. Rather than starting from an ideal interface, we start with what exists now, pick a direction we'd like to go, and start working our way up towards a particular problem we'd like to solve. The abstraction that we create is simply the abstraction that logically forms when attempting to move in that direction. This is the bottom-up approach.

When we create abstractions, one common approach is to begin with a top-level interface we'd like

Many areas of science were formed using top-down abstraction by necessity. We made high-level observations about the world (salt goes away in water!) and created abstractions for those observations. As we came to understand the underlying mechanisms, the high-level layer was already established - so we 'make it work' to make our abstractions logically map together as well as we can. It's never perfect though. This approach lends itself to abstractions that don't logically map

By contrast, mathematics has largely evolved in a more bottom-up fashion. Each abstraction is built upon the previous, and what resulted is a ruthlessly logical and clean system. These examples illustrate how bottom-up abstraction lends itself to a clean, well-mapped, less leaky design.

Of course, <u>it's never perfect</u>. Every layer leaks to some degree – even with the bottom-up approach – and we just work to keep it to a minimum. The benefit of minimizing abstraction leakage is huge,

Bottom-bracket embraces the bottom-up philosophy. It is built for bottom-up abstraction (enabled by macros) to minimize abstraction leakage. In contrast to most lisps, it does not start at a high-

though: the less each layer leaks, the higher we can stack abstractions without accumulating

level of abstraction, but starts right at the machine-language level. 2. Bottom-bracket's lifecycle: read ightarrow expand macros ightarrow print

Language details

Emphasis on default because users of bottom-bracket have control over this through reader and

That's it! That's the whole thing!

frustrating behaviors and performance issues.

3.2. The in-memory data structure

printer macros.

3.1. The default syntax

3.2.1. parray

Implementations of bottom-bracket itself are extremely minimal. The version written in x86_64

3.2.2. barray Bottom-bracket is a minimal core

Generally speaking, if it can be done inside the BB language and not as a builtin, it should be. 5. What about portability?

Fully verifiable bootstrap is a goal

Structure of this repository impl - implementations of bottom-bracket.

assembly currently sets around 5,000 lines total.

 docs - rendered docs for github pages (not user-facing) notes - almost anything nrograms - misc programs written in BB