[bottom-bracket]

What if we didn't assume our abstractions; what if we derived them?

Abstract

Bottom-bracket (BB) is a homoiconic language designed to express the compilation of anything to anything through bottom-up abstraction via macros written in anything. It's intended to serve as a minimal top-down to bottom-up abstraction turnaround point at as

low of a level as possible. It is designed to be as unopinionated as possible. This is done with compilation of code to machine language in mind, but it's open-ended.

Using BB without any libraries, you start at machine language with macros. Programming

languages are just macro libraries. Example:

[bb/with [[data my-macro-expansion [a b c]]; Some data we'll reference

;; Macro - written in machine language - that expands to [a b c] by returning ;; a pointer to that structure.

- [macro my-macro [x86 64-linux [bb/barray-cat "\x48\xB8"[my-macro-expansion addr 8 LE] ; mov rax, data "\xC3"]]]]
- ;; Using our macro [foo bar [my-macro]]] Expands to
- [foo bar [a b c]]

Beware: it's not stable yet

Breaking changes should be expected for now. We need to get the core of the language

Eventually the hope is to build a stable specification for everyone to implement.

right, and some iteration is inevitable.

changes: Parallelized macroexpansion where possible (with serial escape hatch)

Such that you're not flying completely blind, here are some anticipated breaking

• Macro I/O details (inputs, return value etc). • Changes to parameters and interfaces of builtin functions

• Changes to which builtin functions are exposed This doesn't mean don't build stuff with BB. This means use a pinned version of BB for

- anything you need to stay working, and be ready for migration work.
- 1. Introduction
- 3.1.2. parray 3.2. The default syntax

3. Language details

4. Bottom-bracket is a minimal core 5. What about portability?

2. Bottom-bracket's lifecycle

3.1.1. barray

3.1. The in-memory data structure

- 6. Fully verifiable bootstrap is a goal 7. Getting started
- 7.1. Build an implementation of BB 7.2. Run some code!

8. Structure of this repository

- 1. Introduction
- When we create abstractions, one common approach is to begin with a top-level interface we'd like to have, and then work down towards the layer below working out how to make it happen.
- This is top-down abstraction, and it's the default mode of operation for software development today.

to go, and start working our way up towards a particular problem we'd like to solve. The abstraction that we create is simply the abstraction that logically forms when attempting to

move in that direction. This is the bottom-up approach. Many areas of science were formed using top-down abstraction by necessity. We made highlevel observations about the world (salt goes away in water!) and created abstractions for those observations. As we came to understand the underlying mechanisms, the high-level layer was already established - so we 'make it work' to make our abstractions logically map

together as well as we can. It's never perfect though. This approach lends itself to

There's another way, though, one pioneered by languages like Lisp and Forth. Rather than starting from an ideal interface, we start with what exists now, pick a direction we'd like

abstractions that don't logically map to eachother very cleanly. By contrast, mathematics has largely evolved in a more bottom-up fashion. Each abstraction is built upon the previous, and what resulted is a ruthlessly logical and clean system. These examples illustrate how bottom-up abstraction lends itself to a clean, well-mapped, less leaky design.

Of course, it's never perfect. Every layer leaks to some degree - even with the bottom-up approach - and we just work to keep it to a minimum. The benefit of minimizing abstraction leakage is huge, though: the less each layer leaks, the higher we can stack abstractions

without accumulating frustrating behaviors and performance issues.

Bottom-bracket embraces the bottom-up philosophy. It is built for bottom-up abstraction (enabled by macros) to minimize abstraction leakage. In contrast to most lisps, it does not start at a high-level of abstraction, but starts right at the machine-language level.

2. Bottom-bracket's lifecycle Upon execution, bottom bracket performs only 3 steps. Read \rightarrow expand macros \rightarrow print.

Bottom bracket does nothing more. All behavior of the user's language is determined by

If you're implementing an ahead-of-time compiled language like C, the output of the 'print'

The data structure in memory is designed to represent a tree. There are only two data types, which can be differentiated by the length prefix: positive is barray, negative is parray.

The size in bytes of the length values and the size of bytes of the pointers in parrays are

3. Language details 3.1. The in-memory data structure

step would likely be an ELF .o file.

• Read: reads user input using reader macros

• Print: Outputs result using printer macros

Expand macros

macros.

platform-dependent (size_t in principle, but will be made more clear in specification when that's put together). 3.1.1. barray

can still handle the case of zero-length parrays.

Square brackets [] deliminate parrays.

• [foo bar] - parray of two barrays (foo and bar)

4. Bottom-bracket is a minimal core

x86_64 assembly currently sits around 5,500 lines total.

these macros is easily changed by the user of the language.

• ["foo" "bar"] - Exact same data structure using byte strings

3.1.2. parray Array of pointers to other elements (other barrays or parrays). Prefixed with the one's complement of the quantity of pointers. One's complement differentiates it from barrays but

Emphasis on default because users of bottom-bracket have control over this through reader

• All other characters besides whitespace placed next to eachother represent barrays

• "\xFF\x00\d042\n" - Byte string using escape codes - represents barray of what's

Note: reader and printer macros aren't properly exposed to the user bottom bracket yet. This

Array of bytes. Prefixed with with the quantity of bytes in the barray.

• Double-quoted strings - byte strings - represent barrays and can use escape codes for bytes.

described.

Examples:

and printer macros.

3.2. The default syntax

• [] - empty parray [foo [bar baz]] - parray containing nested parray

is still WIP, though the design for how this will work is generally set.

Generally speaking, if it can be done inside the BB language and not as a builtin, it should be. The builtin macros simply serve as a bootstrapping tool. The language has no special operators whatsoever. All functionality provided by the builtin macros can be re-created using your own macros. This also means any opinion introduced in

Macros can provide multiple implementations - one per platform. Implementations of bottom bracket decide which implemention(s) they support based upon what they know how to execute. Usually that will only be the platform the implementation is running on, but it's open to

Portability is not a problem solved at the bottom bracket level, as bottom bracket is intended to be the minimal abstraction turnaround point. Portable languages built using bottom bracket can reference the bb/platform macro to determine what type of machine code

Implementations of bottom-bracket itself are intended to be minimal. The version written in

6. Fully verifiable bootstrap is a goal

5. What about portability?

virtualization and other tricks.

they should expand into.

project.

The ultimate goal would be to implement C inside the language. • The ability to slowly "walk" up abstraction levels in tiny steps makes the lower level stages of bootstrapping much easier ► The moment you implement a tiny part of any assembler, you can use it. The x86_64

This type of language is uniquely well-suited to solving certain bootstrapping problems, and

building a fully verifiable bootstrap route to the software ecosystem is a goal of this

- 7. Getting started 7.1. Build an implementation of BB
- This are in the impl/ subdirectory of this repository. Exact build process depends on the implementation, but usually the answer is just '\$ make'.

• parray: \$ echo '[a b c]' | build/bbr • nested parrays: \$ echo '[a [b c]]' | build/bbr

build/bbr

• Implementing C in a language of this design is particularly transparent - everything is just a library. • Reader macros allow you to turn C syntax into a bottom bracket structure (parrays and barrays).

assembler currently living in this repo is a great example of this.

• barray: \$ echo 'abc' | build/bbr

7.2. Run some code!

- builtin macro: \$ echo '[bb/platform]' | build/bbr
- Also try the example at the top of this README. Put it into a file and \$ cat my-file.bbr | Also see the programs/ subdirectory in this repository for more examples.

- 8. Structure of this repository
- impl implementations of bottom-bracket. docs - rendered docs for github pages (not user-facing)
- notes almost anything programs - misc programs written in BB