

F - Test (test multiple hypotheses about $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k$)

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_k X_k + u.$$

$$H_0: \beta_{k+1} = 0 \dots \dots \beta_k = 0 \quad \text{unrestricted model.}$$

(three exclusion restrictions).

$$H_1: H_0 \text{ is not true.}$$

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_{k_q} X_{k_q} + u \quad (\text{restricted model for testing})$$

F statistic $F = \frac{(SSR_r - SSR_{ur}) / q}{SSR_{ur} / (n - k - 1)} \sim F_{q, n-k-1}.$

q : numerator degrees of freedom = $df_r - df_{ur}.$

$$df: \# \text{ observations} - \# \text{ estimated parameters} = [n - (k - q + 1)] - (n - (k + 1)) = q$$

SSR_r is the sum of squared residuals from the restricted model.

SSR_{ur} is from the unrestricted model.

$$SSR_r \geq SSR_{ur} \Rightarrow F \geq 0.$$

Choose significance level 5%.

Let c be the 95th percentile in the $F_{q, n-k-1}$
critical value depends on $q, n-k-1$.

$$F > c \rightarrow \text{reject } H_0$$

X_{k-q+1}, \dots, X_k are jointly statistically significant.
(can't say which has partial effect on y)

if H_0 is not rejected, the variables are jointly insignificant.

take the null to be $H_0: \beta_k = 0$ and $q=1$

t_{n-k-1}^2 has an $F_{1, n-k-1}$ distribution, the two approaches lead to exactly the same outcome.

t statistic is more flexible for testing a single hypothesis, and more easy to obtain.

R-squared Form of the F-statistic.

$$SSR_r = \underbrace{SST}_{\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2} (1 - R_r^2), \quad SSR_{ur} = SST (1 - R_{ur}^2).$$

$$\Rightarrow F = \frac{(R_{ur}^2 - R_r^2) / q}{(1 - R_{ur}^2) / (n - k - 1)}$$

p-value for F Tests

$$p\text{-value} = P(F > F).$$

F Statistic for overall significance of a Regression.

model $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + u.$

$H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_k = 0$

\Rightarrow restricted model: $y = \beta_0 + u.$

$$F = \frac{R^2 / k}{(1-R^2) / (n-k-1)}$$