

HOMEWORK 7:GRADER'S NOTES AND SELECTED SOLUTIONS

CHAPTER 5, P 116, NO. 43

Show that A_5 has 24 elements of order 5, 20 elements of order 3, and 15 elements of order 2.

Proof.

Note that we can decompose any permutation into a product of disjoint cycles, in S_5 , since disjoint cycles commute, an element must have one of the following forms:

- (1) $(abcde)$ - even ¹
- (2) $(abc)(de)$ - odd (even permutation \times odd permutation)
- (3) (abc) - even
- (4) $(ab)(cd)$ - even (odd permutation \times odd permutation)
- (5) (ab) - odd
- (6) e - even

□

So permutations in A_5 have form (1),(3),(4), or (6), we know that when a permutation is written as disjoint cycles it's order is the least common multiple of the lengths of the cycles so:

- (1) $(abcde)$ has order 5
- (3) (abc) has order 3
- (4) $(ab)(cd)$ has order 2
- (6) e has order 1

So the only permutations in A_5 that have order 5 are of the form (1). There are $5!$ distinct expressions for a cycle of the form $(abcde)$ where all the a, b, c, d, e are distinct, there are 5 choices for a , then 4 choices for b , then 3 choices for c , \dots . However, not all of these expressions represent distinct permutations, in particular there are 5 equivalent notations for any permutations:

$$(abcde) = (bcdea) = (cdeab) = (deabc) = (eabcd)$$

are all equivalent, and any other re-ordering of the symbols is non-equivalent, so we divide the total number of notations, $5!$, to get that the total number of permutations of order 5 is $5!/5 = 4(3(2)) = 24$.

To show that there are 20 permutations of order 3 in A_5 the argument is very similar, since the only permutations in A_5 of order 3 have form (abc) , so there are $5(4(3))$ different ways to write such a cycle and:

$$(abc) = (bca) = (cab)$$

Specify which different notations represent the same permutation. So there $5(4(3))/3 = 20$ permutations of order 3 in A_5 .

Even permutations of order 2 are a little bit trickier to count, they must be of form $(ab)(cd)$ and there are $5(4(3(2)))$ ways to write such a permutations, however, since disjoint cycles commute there are 8 different ways that differently represented cycles actually produce the same permutation:

$$(ab)(cd) = (ba)(cd) = (ab)(dc) = (ba)(dc) = (dc)(ab) = (dc)(ba) = (cd)(ab) = (cd)(ba)$$

So there are $5(4(3(2)))/8 = 15$ permutations of order 2 in A_5 .

The clever and/or lazy mathematician, however, will note that there are $5!/2 = 60$ permutations in A_5 and that exactly one of these has order 1, and every permutation in A_5 has order 5, 3, 2 or 1. So once you know there are 24 permutations of order 5, 20 permutations of order 3, and one permutation of order 1, you know that $60 - 1 - 24 - 20 = 15$, so there must 15 permutations of order 2.

¹recall an n -cycle is even $\iff n$ is an even integer

CHAPTER 5, P 116, NO 44

Find a cyclic subgroup of A_8 that has order 4

Proof.

It is enough to find an even permutation, $\sigma \in S_8$ that has order 4, then $\langle \sigma \rangle$ will give the desired subgroup; since any (sub)group G is always closed under the operation it follows that if $g \in G$ then $\langle g \rangle \subseteq G$. $\langle g \rangle$ is, by definition, cyclic. So we can choose any permutation of order 4 that is also even. Some examples are:

$$\sigma = (1234)(5678)$$

$$\sigma = (12)(1234)$$

In fact if a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h are distinct symbols then any permutation σ of either the form $(abcd)(efhg)$ or $(abcd)(ef)$, will work. A permutation of form $(abcd)$ has order four, but is not even, and other even permutations of S_8 do not have order 4.

Important Note: It is, however, not sufficient simply to find σ of order 4 and say nothing about subgroups, the problem is about finding a cyclic sub-group, so you must find one (e.g. $\langle \sigma \rangle$).

Note: The careful reader will note that I've glossed over one particular detail above. □

CHAPTER 6, PAGE 133, NP. 6

Prove that the notion of group isomorphism is transitive. That is, if G, H , and K are groups and $G \cong H$ and $H \cong K$ then $G \cong K$.

Proof.

If $G \cong H$ and $H \cong K$ then there exists functions $f : G \rightarrow H$, and $g : H \rightarrow K$, such that f and g are bijective (1-1 and onto) and for all $a, b \in G$, $f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$ and for all $a, b \in H$, $g(ab) = g(a)g(b)$.

Let $h = g \circ f$, to show h is an isomorphism we need to show that h is bijective and respects the group operation, that is for all $a, b \in G$, $h(ab) = h(a)h(b)$. Note that a composition of bijections is a bijection, so h is bijective. If you've not seen this result before, it is easy enough to prove.

Now let $a, b \in G$, observe that:

$$\begin{aligned} h(ab) &= g(f(ab)) \\ &= g(f(a)f(b)) \quad \text{since } f \text{ is an isomorphism} \\ &= g(f(a))g(f(b)) \quad \text{since } g \text{ is an isomorphism} \\ &= h(a)h(b) \end{aligned}$$

□

CHAPTER 6, PAGE 1335, NO 27

Show that \mathbb{Z} is not isomorphic to \mathbb{Q} under addition.

Proof.

One of the theorems of the chapter states that if $G \cong \bar{G}$ then G is cyclic $\iff \bar{G}$ is cyclic, since \mathbb{Z} is cyclic, it is enough to show that \mathbb{Q} is *not* cyclic.

Suppose for some $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{Q} = \langle x \rangle$, note that since $\mathbb{Q} \neq \{0\}$, it follows $x \neq 0$, but then $x/2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $x/2 \notin \langle x \rangle = \{nx : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. So it cannot be the case that for some $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{Q} = \langle x \rangle$. □