

Moreover, we decided to create an Upgradable interface in our extended system. This interface is implemented by the HealingVial, Broadsword, RefreshingFlask, and GreatKnife classes to make them upgradable. This design effectively aligns with the Don't Repeat Yourself (DRY) principle and prevents the code smell by using the polymorphism (Upgradable item) in the UpgradeAction class. Besides, the polymorphism with (Upgradable item) also obeys the Liskov Substitution Principle (LSP) and the Open/Close Principle (OCP) as these classes can execute the upgradedBy() methods in their own classes with different

implementations, while do not affects the implementation of `upgradedBy()` in the `Upgradable` interface.

Additionally, the design aligns with the Interface Segregation Principle (ISP) and the Dependency Inversion Principle (DIP) with the creation of the `Upgradable` interface. For example, the `GiantHammer` does not implement the `Upgradable` interface as it cannot be upgraded, while some item and weapon classes can be upgraded with the implementation of the `Upgradable` interface, which follows the ISP. For DIP, the `UpgradeAction` class depends on the abstraction layer of `Upgradable` interface (`item.upgradedBy`) instead of the concrete classes such as the `HealingVial` class. Besides, with the private field of the “Upgradable item” in the `UpgradeAction` class, the encapsulation for the information hiding is considered.

On the other hand, the usage of the enumeration ‘`Status.UPGRADE_PERSON`’ and ‘`Status.UPGRADED`’ might be the drawback of the design as this will be a little touch of the code smell. However, the usage of the enumeration can replace the ‘`instanceof`’ operator which might add extra dependencies and violate the Open/Closed principle (OCP). Besides, the complexity of the classes would significantly increase if more related interfaces or abstract classes are added. Ultimately, we decided to continue with this current design.