	var1	var2	cor	p
1	LA_Mean	E201_01	-0.03	0.568
2	$LA_{-}Mean$	$E201_{-}02$	-0.04	0.364
3	LA_Mean	$E201_{-}03$	-0.13	0.00599 **
4	LA_Mean	$E201_{-}04$	-0.15	0.00224 **
5	LA_Mean	$E201_05$	-0.10	0.039 *
6	LA_Mean	$E201_06$	-0.06	0.227
7	LA_Mean	$E201_07$	-0.10	0.0291 *
8	LA_Mean	$E201_08$	-0.01	0.794
9	LA_Mean	$E201_{-}09$	-0.19	5.52e-05 ***
10	$LA_{-}Mean$	$E201_{-}10$	-0.30	1.91e-10 ***
11	$LA_{-}Mean$	$E201_{-}11$	-0.08	0.0804 .
12	LA_Mean	$E201_{-}12$	-0.02	0.743
13	LA_Mean	$E201_{-}13$	-0.12	0.0155 *
14	LA_Mean	$E201_{-}14$	-0.16	0.00116 **
15	LA_Mean	$E201_{-}15$	0.00	0.997
16	LA_Mean	$E201_{-}16$	-0.18	0.000155 ***
17	LA_Mean	$E201_{-}17$	-0.15	0.00153 **
18	$LA_{-}Mean$	$E201_{-}18$	-0.13	0.00863 **
19	$LA_{-}Mean$	$E201_{-}19$	-0.06	0.18
20	LA_Mean	E201_20	-0.04	0.472

Table 1: Summary statistic of correlation between Legislative Stance (LA) and Device Risk assessment (E201) measured on a 7-Point Likert scale

	var1	var2	cor	p
1	LA_Mean	$E201_{-}11$	-0.08	
2	LA_Mean	$E201_{-}14$	-0.16	**
3	LA_Mean	$E201_{-}16$	-0.18	***

Table 2: Summary statistic of correlation between Legislative Stance (LA) and Device Risk assessment (E201) measured on a 7-Point Likert scale

	var1	var2	cor	p
1	LA_Mean	A204_01	-0.06	0.24
2	LA_Mean	$A204_{-}02$	-0.02	0.65
3	LA_Mean	$A204_{-}03$	0.05	0.34
4	LA_Mean	$A204_{-}04$	-0.05	0.34
5	$LA_{-}Mean$	$A204_05$	-0.00	0.98
6	LA_Mean	$A204_{-}06$	-0.10	0.04

Table 3: Summary statistic of correlation between Legislative Stance (LA) and Perceived Responsibility measured on a 7-Point Likert scale between Oneself (1) and the Manufacturer (7)

	Device	Cor	Method	P-Value
1	Smart Lightbulb	-0.02	Pearson	0.84
2	Smart Speaker	0.27	Pearson	0.00
3	Smart TV	0.18	Pearson	0.01

Table 4: Effect of Legislative stance on the usage on a specific device.

	Comparison	\mathbf{Z}	P.adj
1	DACH - United Kingdom	-0.67	1
2	DACH - United States	-1.00	0.948030957529378
3	United Kingdom - United States	-0.36	1

Table 5: dunnTest comparison results for the usage of Smart Home Devices Overall by Region of Residence

	Comparison	Z	P.adj
1	DACH - United Kingdom	-3.44	0.00
2	DACH - United States	-2.63	0.03
3	United Kingdom - United States	0.77	1.00

Table 6: dunnTest comparison results for the usage of Smart TV's by Region of Residence

	Comparison	Z	P.adj
1	DACH - United Kingdom	0.86	1.00
2	DACH - United States	1.56	0.35
3	United Kingdom - United States	0.59	1.00

Table 7: dunnTest comparison results for the usage of Smart Lightbulbs Overall by Region of Residence

	Comparison	Z	P.adj
1	DACH - United Kingdom	1.16	0.73
2	DACH - United States	0.97	1.00
3	United Kingdom - United States	-0.15	1.00

Table 8: dunnTest comparison results for the usage of Smart Speakers by Region of Residence

	Comparison	Z	P.adj
1	DACH - United Kingdom	-0.67	1.00
2	DACH - United States	-1.00	0.95
3	United Kingdom - United States	-0.36	1.00

Table 9: dunnTest comparison results for the usage of Smart Lightbulbs Overall by Region of Residence

	p	X^2
1	0.316559487624573	Pearson's Chi-squared test

Table 10: Chi-Squared Test for significant differences in disabling features in smart home devices by Region of residence.

	Comparison	Z	P.adj	epsilonSquared
1	DACH - United Kingdom	-2.69	0.02	0.0263
2	DACH - United States	-3.31	0.00	0.0373
3	United Kingdom - United States	-0.69	1.00	NA

Table 11: DunnTest for participants opinion on Manufacturer responsibility on "Keeping the Smart Home device secure" by Region of Residence

	Comparison	Z	P.adj	EpsilonSquared
1	DACH - United Kingdom	4.18	0.00	0.0599
2	DACH - United States	3.45	0.00	0.0428
3	United Kingdom - United States	-0.69	1.00	NA

Table 12: Device Risk assessment dunnTest by Current Region of residence.

	Code	Comparison	Z	P.adj
1	A307_04	DACH - United Kingdom	-1.30	0.58
2	$A307_{-}04$	DACH - United States	-3.39	0.00
3	$A307_{-}04$	United Kingdom - United States	-2.19	0.09
4	A307_07	DACH - United Kingdom	-2.28	0.07
5	$A307_07$	DACH - United States	-2.96	0.01
6	$A307_07$	United Kingdom - United States	-0.74	1.00
7	A307_08	DACH - United Kingdom	-3.65	0.00
8	$A307_08$	DACH - United States	-2.95	0.01
9	$A307_{-}08$	United Kingdom - United States	0.67	1.00
10	A307_10	DACH - United Kingdom	-2.14	0.10
11	$A307_{-}10$	DACH - United States	-4.57	0.00
12	$A307_{-}10$	United Kingdom - United States	-2.55	0.03

Table 13: Perceived benefits of smart home devices by region of residence.

	Device	Cor	Method	P-Value
1	Smart Lightbulb	0.11	Pearson	0.29
2	Smart Speaker	0.13	Pearson	0.14
3	Smart TV	0.11	Pearson	0.11

Table 14: Household size correlation with the usage of most used devices.

	Usage_type	p_value	$effect_size$
1	Smart Lightbulb	0.64	0.02
2	Smart Speaker	0.21	0.06
3	Smart TV	0.11	0.08

Table 15: Effect on risk assessment of devices by having children or not.

	Usage_type	p_value	effect_size
1	Keeping the Smart Home device software up-to-date	0.45	0.04
2	Ensuring my privacy	0.17	0.07
3	Protecting my Smart Home ecosystem as a whole	0.03	0.10
4	Keeping the Smart Home device secure	0.81	0.01
5	Fixing a hardware failure	0.60	0.02
6	Fixing a software failure	0.84	0.01

Table 16: Changes in responsibility stance to having Children or not.

	Usage_type	p_{-} value	$effect_size$
1	Voice commands via a Smart Speaker	0.00	0.20
2	Voice commands via a Smartphone Voice Assistant	0.00	0.22
3	Smartphone App for the Device	0.65	0.02
4	Smartphone Widgets or Shortcuts	0.55	0.03
5	Sensors inside the Home	0.06	0.09
6	Sensors outside the Home	0.09	0.08
7	Automatic Operation based on Device Programming	0.70	0.02

Table 17: Household size correlation with the usage of most used devices.