

## 1 Fourier-based Translation Approach

In the paper, a Fourier domain-based approach is presented to find the translation between two images. Let us assume that we have two images  $f_1(x, y)$  and  $f_2(x, y)$  with:

$$f_2(x, y) = f_1(x - x_0, y - y_0)$$

Because of the Fourier shift theorem, the Fourier transforms of these images will differ only by a phase factor:

$$\mathcal{F}_2(x', y') = \mathcal{F}_1(x', y')e^{-j2\pi(x'x_0 + y'y_0)}$$

To separate out the phase factor, we then calculate the cross-power spectrum as:

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}(x', y')\mathcal{F}(x', y')^*}{\|\mathcal{F}(x', y')\mathcal{F}(x', y')\|} = e^{j2\pi(x'x_0 + y'y_0)}$$

The IFT of the above function is simply  $\delta(x - x_0, y - y_0)$ . Plotting this function will provide us with a clear spike at the displacement  $(x_0, y_0)$ . Thus we can find the displacement between the two images that have a translation.

### 1.1 Time Complexity of this Approach

This procedure involves the calculation of two FFTs for image  $f_1(x, y)$  and  $f_2(x, y)$ . And one IFFT of the cross-power spectrum. The time complexity of a single FFT/IFFT of an  $N \times N$  image is:

$$T = O(N^2 \log(N^2)) = O(N^2 \log(N))$$

Thus, the time complexity of this procedure is:

$$T = O(3N^2 \log(N)) = O(N^2 \log(N))$$

### 1.2 Comparison of Complexity with Pixel-based Methods

We will compare this to the MSSD method that we studied in the early weeks of this course. In the procedure, we iterate the translation parameters  $t_x$  and  $t_y$  over a search range (say  $M_1$  for  $t_x$  and  $M_2$  for  $t_y$ ). For every such pair, we have a Transformation matrix and we transform image 2 using it. Finally, we calculate the MSSD of these two images and pick the transformation matrix that corresponds to the least MSSD value. Here, we are using the brute-force method. The time complexity of Transforming an  $N \times N$  image is  $O(N^2)$ . The time complexity of MSSD calculation is  $O(N^2)$ . Thus total complexity of the procedure is  $O(M_1 * M_2 * N^2 * N^2) = O(M_1 M_2 N^4)$ . We can clearly see that the Fourier-based method is way faster and more precise.

## 2 Correcting for Rotation

In order to find the rotation between two images, let us consider images  $f_1(x, y)$  and  $f_2(x, y)$  with:

$$f_2(x, y) = f_1(x \cos(\theta_0) + y \sin(\theta_0) - x_0, -x \sin(\theta_0) + y \cos(\theta_0) - y_0)$$

The Fourier transform of these images is given by:

$$\mathcal{F}_2(x', y') = \mathcal{F}_1(x' \cos(\theta_0) + y' \sin(\theta_0), -x' \sin(\theta_0) + y' \cos(\theta_0)) e^{-j2\pi(x' x_0 + y' y_0)}$$

Now, if we only look at the magnitudes of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$ , let them be  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ :

$$M_2(x', y') = M_1(x' \cos(\theta_0) + y' \sin(\theta_0), -x' \sin(\theta_0) + y' \cos(\theta_0))$$

Hence, one is the rotated replica of the other. If we represent this magnitude in polar coordinates, then we can see this rotation as a translation in  $\theta$ , ie.:

$$M_1(\rho, \theta) = M_2(\rho, \theta - \theta_0)$$

Hence, we can apply the phase correlation procedure elaborated in section 1 to find out this translation in  $\theta$  and make a correction for rotation.

If the two images have different scaling, their Fourier magnitude will have the following relation:

$$M_1(\rho, \theta) = M_2(\rho/a, \theta - \theta_0)$$

Hence, we can still employ phase correlation technique to find  $\theta_0$