

Class 1: Exact diagonalization

Tutorial example: XXZ-model in 1D

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \gamma (S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y + \Delta S_i^z S_{i+1}^z)$$

$$+ \gamma (S_L^x S_1^x + S_L^y S_1^y + \Delta S_L^z S_1^z)$$

for periodic B.C.

↑ ↑ ↑ ↑
↓ ↓ ↓ ↓
anisotropy
spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ operators

$$S_{ij,E}^{x,y,z} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{x,y,z}$$

$$\sigma^x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma^y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma^z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Pauli matrices

L=2 minimal toy-model

$$H = \gamma (S_1^x S_2^x + S_1^y S_2^y + \Delta S_1^z S_2^z)$$

$$S_1^a = S^a \otimes \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} \quad a \in \{x, y, z\}$$

$$S_2^a = \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} \otimes S^a$$



$$S_1^a S_2^a = (S^a \otimes \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2}) (\mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} \otimes S^a) = S^a \otimes S^a$$



Kronecker (tensor) product

$$\underline{\underline{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \dots & A_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{m1} & \dots & A_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \quad \underline{\underline{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & \dots & B_{1m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ B_{m1} & \dots & B_{mm} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\underline{A}} \otimes \underline{\underline{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} B_{11} & \dots & A_{1n} B_{11} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{m1} B_{11} & \dots & A_{mn} B_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

acts on basis $|e_i\rangle \otimes |f_j\rangle$ ordered lexicographically.

Product basis:

$$|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \sim \{|e_1\rangle \otimes |e_2\rangle\}$$

Lexicographic (~binary)
ordering

$$00, 01, 10, 11$$

$$H = \gamma (S_x^x \otimes S_x^x + S_y^y \otimes S_y^y + \Delta S_z^z \otimes S_z^z)$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$H_{L=2} = \frac{\gamma}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta & -\Delta & 2-\Delta \\ -\Delta & 2-\Delta & \Delta \\ 2-\Delta & \Delta & \Delta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Note: } S_{\text{tot}}^z = S_1^z + S_2^z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$[S_{\text{tot}}^z, H] = \emptyset \quad U(1) \text{ symmetry}$$

good quantum number

$L > 2$ case

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^L \gamma \cdot (S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y + \Delta S_i^z S_{i+1}^z)$$

Periodic BC

$$S_{L+1}^a \equiv S_1^a$$

$$S_i^a = \mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2} \otimes S_i^a \otimes \mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2}$$

↑
position i

Product basis:

$$|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\cdots\uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\cdots\downarrow\rangle, \dots$$

$$\dim \mathcal{H} = 2^L$$

IMPORTANT QUESTIONS

- GAPPED OR GAPLESS ?
- Ground state structure (long range order? Correlators?)
- Excitation spectrum?
- Quasiparticles?

Full diagonalization (MATLAB DEMO)

- All eigenstates and energies
- up to $\dim \mathcal{H} \sim 10^3 - 10^4$ $L = 10 - 13$

"Curse of dimensionality"

→ Maybe too much? \Rightarrow Usually we only need ground state and low energy excitations

ITERATIVE EIGEN SOLVERS

Power method : random initial state $|\psi_0\rangle$

$$|\psi_{GS}\rangle \sim (H - b\mathbb{1})^n |\psi_0\rangle$$

↑ some shift maybe necessary, if

What is needed?

$$|E_{\min}| < |E_{\max}|$$

- store 1 vector

- iterate $|\psi_n\rangle = (H - b\mathbb{1})|\psi_{n-1}\rangle$

↑ action with the Hamiltonian

- Sparse matrix? (many zeros)
 $\hookrightarrow (i, j, H_{ij})$ storage is more efficient
- "function" $\text{fun}_H(\psi) = H \cdot \psi$

PROBLEM: poor scaling $|\psi_n\rangle = c_n |\psi_{GS}\rangle + |\tilde{\psi}_n\rangle$

$$\frac{\|\tilde{\psi}_n\|}{\|c_n\|} \sim \left(\frac{E_{GS}^b + \text{GAP}}{E_{GS}^b} \right)^n$$

Better approach: The Lanczos Algorithm

- init state (random, or good guess for GS)

$$|\psi_0\rangle \quad (\langle \psi_0 | \psi_0 \rangle = 1)$$

- Basic Idea: search the eigenstates in the

Krylov subspace: $\mathcal{K}_{\psi_0}^n = \text{span} (|\psi_0\rangle, H|\psi_0\rangle, H^2|\psi_0\rangle, \dots, H^n|\psi_0\rangle)$

↳ • orthogonalization (Lanczos)

- $|\tilde{\phi}_0\rangle := H|\psi_0\rangle$

- $\alpha_0 := \langle \tilde{\phi}_0 | \psi_0 \rangle$

- $|\phi_0\rangle = |\tilde{\phi}_0\rangle - \alpha_0 |\psi_0\rangle$ note: $\langle \phi_0 | \psi_0 \rangle = 0$

for $i = 1 : n$

- $\beta_i = \| |\phi_{i-1}\rangle \| = \sqrt{\langle \phi_{i-1} | \phi_{i-1} \rangle}$

- if $\beta_i \neq 0$

$$|\psi_i\rangle = \frac{|\phi_{i-1}\rangle}{\beta_i}$$

- else

$|\psi_i\rangle$ = random unit vector orthogonalized
on $\{|\psi_0\rangle, |\psi_1\rangle, \dots, |\psi_{i-1}\rangle\}$

- end

- $|\tilde{\phi}_i\rangle := H|\psi_i\rangle - \beta_i |\phi_{i-1}\rangle$

- $\alpha_i := \langle \tilde{\phi}_i | \psi_i \rangle$

- $|\phi_i\rangle = |\tilde{\phi}_i\rangle - \alpha_i |\psi_i\rangle$

end (for)

Statement: $\langle \psi_i | \psi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$

Proof:

i) $\langle \psi_i | \psi_0 \rangle = \emptyset$ (by construction) $\Rightarrow \langle \psi_i | H | \psi_i \rangle$

ii) $i > 0$
 $|\psi_{i+1}\rangle = \frac{|\psi_i\rangle}{\beta_{i+1}} = \frac{H|\psi_i\rangle - \beta_i|\psi_{i-1}\rangle - \alpha_i|\psi_i\rangle}{\beta_{i+1}}$
 normalization

$\Rightarrow H|\psi_i\rangle = \beta_{i+1}|\psi_{i+1}\rangle + \alpha_i|\psi_i\rangle + \beta_i|\psi_{i-1}\rangle$

iii) $\langle \psi_j | \psi_{i+1} \rangle = ?$ $j \leq i$ is enough

Inductive proof: Suppose $\langle \psi_j | \psi_i \rangle = \delta_{ij}$

\Rightarrow Show $\langle \psi_j | \psi_{i+1} \rangle = \emptyset$

- $j = i$ $\langle \psi_i | \psi_{i+1} \rangle = \frac{\langle \psi_i | H | \psi_i \rangle - \beta_i \underbrace{\langle \psi_i | \psi_{i-1} \rangle}_{\emptyset} - \langle \psi_i | H | \psi_i \rangle}{\beta_{i+1}} = \emptyset$

- $j < i$ $\langle \psi_j | \psi_{i+1} \rangle = \underbrace{\langle \psi_j | H | \psi_i \rangle}_{\delta_{i-1,j}} - \beta_i \underbrace{\langle \psi_j | \psi_{i-1} \rangle}_{\emptyset} - \alpha_i \underbrace{\langle \psi_j | \psi_i \rangle}_{\emptyset}$

$\beta_{j+1} \langle \psi_{j+1} | + \alpha_j \langle \psi_j | + \beta_j \langle \psi_{j-1} |$

$\langle \psi_j | H | \psi_i \rangle = \beta_{j+1} \underbrace{\langle \psi_{j+1} | \psi_i \rangle}_{\emptyset} + \alpha_j \underbrace{\langle \psi_j | \psi_i \rangle}_{\emptyset} + \beta_j \underbrace{\langle \psi_{j-1} | \psi_i \rangle}_{\emptyset}$

$\Rightarrow \langle \psi_j | \psi_{i+1} \rangle = \underbrace{\beta_{j+1} \langle \psi_{j+1} | \psi_i \rangle}_{\delta_{j+1,i}} - \underbrace{\beta_i \langle \psi_j | \psi_{i-1} \rangle}_{\delta_{j,i-1}} = \emptyset !$

→ We proved, that $\{\psi_i\}$ is orthonormal set.

→ $\langle \psi_j | H | \psi_i \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \beta_1 & & & \\ \beta_1 \alpha_1 \beta_2 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \beta_3 \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$ tridiagonal matrix!

Numerical issues (see slides and Matlab demo)

⇒ orthogonality lost numerically $\langle \psi_j | \psi_i \rangle_{\text{num}} \neq \delta_{ij}$

↳ 'Ghost' states
(fake degeneracies)

⇒ still good for ground state.

↳ diagonalize $\langle \psi_i | H | \psi_j \rangle$ up to $i < M, j < M$

↳ $|\psi_{\text{gs}}\rangle \sim v_0 |\psi_0\rangle + v_1 |\psi_1\rangle + \dots = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{M-1} v_\alpha |\psi_\alpha\rangle$

↑ ↑
eigen vector $\begin{pmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_{M-1} \end{pmatrix}$

⇒ Re-orthogonalization may help.

Matlab `eigs()` ⇒ uses Lanczos

Computational resources

→ original Lanczos:

- 3 vector in memory
- $2 \times N_{\text{iter}}$ $H|\psi\rangle$ calls

→ keeping all vectors in memory:
• N_{iter} vectors
• N_{iter} $H|\psi\rangle$ calls } re-orthogonalization is possible
Lanczos cost: $\frac{N_{\text{iter}}(N_{\text{iter}}-1)}{2} \cdot \dim \text{fl} \times 2$