Deep V-Learning for Pool-Based Active Learning

August 31, 2022

1 Introduction

Even though pool-based Active Learning (P-AL) is the more popular setting for Active Learning, it creates significant challenges when reinforcement learning is applied to it. The most prominent one is that both Q-Learning and Policy Gradient Methods require a fixed action space. In the P-AL setting the action space has the same size as the pool of unlabeled images to choose from (or a subsample thereof). Even for simple datasets P-AL methods require a samplesize of >100 to work effectively, creating a large action space for the reinforcement learning agent.

1.1 Contribution

- First V-Learning Approach for P-AL
- Variable sample sizes to fit every dataset
- (Dataset and model agnostic state space) *Probably not the first*

2 Related Work

3 Background

3.1 V-Learning

V-Learning ([1] p.119) is closely related to Q-Learning. It estimates the value of states rather that the value of all actions given a state (Q-Learning [1] p.131) This requires the environment to provide possible future states $s_{t+1} \in S_{t+1}$ given any state s_t. A policy π_v typically chooses the most promising future state $argmax\ V(s_{t+1})$
Temporal difference (TD) learning for a neural network parametrized by θ gives us a nearly identical update formula compared to Q-Learning

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta \left(r_t + \gamma \hat{V}_\theta(s_{t+1}) \right) \tag{1}$$

3.2 Active Learning as a V-Learning MDP

We change the usual MDP formulation from predecessing literature, which, based on a presented sample of unlabeled samples of size k, defines a state space $S := \mathbb{R}^{b \times k \times f}$ with features f and consequently an action space of $A := \{1, \ldots, k\}^b$. Where b is the batch dimension, which was made explicit for clarity.

We instead define the state space to be 1-dimensional and use the batch dimension b for a variable sample size $S := \mathbb{R}^{b \times f}$. V-Learning does not define an action space, since the actions are implicit as choosen by the policy π_v.

This formulation poses a problem when storing transitions, however. The starting state s_t inuitively will be the choosen datapoint s_{t,π_t}, but since we don't want to store the full follow-up state $s_{t+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{b \times f}$ we use the average over the batch-dimension. This serves as a proxy of the impact of the implicit action $\pi_t := \pi(V(s_t))$ on the follow-up state, which is usually covered by the full state s_{t+1}.
This results in a stored transition $\phi_t := \{s_{t,a_t}, r_t, \bar{s}_{t+1}, d_t\}$ where $\bar{s}_{t+1}$ is the average of the follow-up state and d_t indicates wether a terminal state was reached.

4 Methodology

4.1 State Space

$$S := \mathbb{R}^{b \times (3+|Z|)} := [\text{BvsSB, Entropy, F1, Z}]^b \tag{2}$$

4.2 Model and Reinforcement Learning

We use a MLP with a single output neuron $f_\theta : \mathbb{R}^{3+|Z|} \to \mathbb{R}$ as agent network. As stated before, the sample of presented datapoints uses the batch dimension for sample size, resulting in b point-wise predictions of the agent.
For fitting the agent we use a target network f_{θ^-} [3], an n-step return ([1] p. 142) with $n = 3$ and priorizited experience replay [2].

5 EXPERIMENTAL: Dueling Networks for dynamic Action Spaces

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} &:= \mathbb{R}^{b \times k \times 3+|Z|} \text{ ; with } b = 1 \\
\mathcal{A} &:= \{0, \dots, k\}^b \\
t &:= (s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1}, d_t)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^\pi(s,a) &:= \mathbb{E}[s_t, a_t, \pi] \\
V^\pi(s) &:= \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi(s)}[Q^\pi(s,a)] \\
A^\pi(s,a) &:= Q^\pi(s,a) - V^\pi(s)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} &:= \{\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C}\} := \{\mathbb{R}^{k \times 3}, \mathbb{R}^{|Z|}\} \\
t &:= (s_t, r_t, \bar{s}_{t+1}, d_t) \\
\text{with} : s_t &:= \{t_{a_t}, c_t\} \\
: \bar{s}_{t+1} &:= \{\bar{t}, c_t\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^\pi(s,a) &:= \mathbb{E}[s_t, a_t, \pi] \\
V^\pi(s) &:= \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi(s)}[Q^\pi(s,a)] \\
A^\pi(s,a) &:= Q^\pi(s,a) - V^\pi(s)
\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] Andrew G. Barto Richard S. Sutton. *Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction*. MIT Press, Massachusetts, 2 edition, 2020.

[2] Tom Schaul, John Quan, Ioannis Antonoglou, and David Silver. Prioritized experience replay. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.05952*, 2015.

[3] Hado Van Hasselt, Arthur Guez, and David Silver. Deep reinforcement learning with double q-learning. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 30, 2016.