## Solution of 8.23.2, Body mass of mammals — Smith et al. 2003

Smith et al. (2003) compiled a database of the body mass of mammals of the late Quaternary. Your goal is to calculate the average body mass of the species in each Family.

1. Write a script (read\_mass.R) that reads and cleans the data.

First of all, we need to read the data into a data.frame. There is no header, the columns are separated by Tabs, and we want to include stringsAsFactors = FALSE to make sure species names are not turned into factors (categorical values, very useful for linear regressions, but not for our purposes).

```
s2003 <- read.table("../data/Smith2003 data.txt", sep = "\t",
                    stringsAsFactors = FALSE)
# Check the size
dim(s2003)
## [1] 5731
# Show the first few lines
head(s2003)
##
     V1
            V2
                         ٧3
                                  ۷4
                                             V5
                                                            V6
                                                                 ۷7
                                                                           V8
                                          Addax nasomaculatus 4.85
## 1 AF extant Artiodactyla Bovidae
                                                                     70000.3
## 2 AF extant Artiodactyla Bovidae
                                     Aepyceros
                                                      melampus 4.72
                                                                     52500.1
## 3 AF extant Artiodactyla Bovidae Alcelaphus
                                                    buselaphus 5.23 171001.5
## 4 AF extant Artiodactyla Bovidae Ammodorcas
                                                       clarkei 4.45
                                                                     28049.8
## 5 AF extant Artiodactyla Bovidae Ammotragus
                                                        lervia 4.68
                                                                     48000.0
## 6 AF extant Artiodactyla Bovidae Antidorcas
                                                   marsupialis 4.59
                                                                     39049.9
         ۷9
##
## 1
         60
## 2 63, 70
## 3 63, 70
## 4
         60
## 5
         75
## 6
         60
```

Now we want to put NA instead of -999 for signaling missing data (always use NA, as R can then understand these are special values).

```
s2003[s2003 == -999] <- NA
# see that R treats NAs separately
summary(s2003[, 7])
##
      Min. 1st Qu.
                    Median
                               Mean 3rd Qu.
                                                        NA's
                                                Max.
```

8.280

1372

3.410 Finally, let's choose some descriptive headers to make it simpler to reference to specific columns.

```
colnames(s2003) <- c("Continent", "Status",</pre>
                       "Order", "Family", "Genus",
                       "Species", "LogMass",
                       "CombinedMass", "Reference")
```

See the file read\_mass.R for the complete script.

2.070

2.484

##

0.260

1.360

2. Write another script (body\_mass\_family.R), which calls read\_mass.R, and then calculates the average body mass per Family.

There are many different strategies to accomplish this. Here we explore a possible solution, and in the next section a different one.

Each species belongs to one family; if we were to sum all the body masses for the species belonging to a certain family, and then divide by the number of species in that family, we would obtain the desired result. To implement this, we could:

- cycle through the data
- if data on family and body mass of the species are present:
- add the family in case it hasn't been found before
- update the total body mass for the family
- update the number of species for the family

In practice, one would write code such as:

```
# Empty dataframe with three columns
families_mass <- data.frame(Family = character(0),</pre>
                             AvgMass = numeric(0),
                             NumSpecies = numeric(0))
for (sp in 1:dim(s2003)[1]){
  # Extract family and mass
  my_fam <- s2003[sp,]$Family
  my mass <- exp(s2003[sp, ]$LogMass)
  # If both are available
  if ((is.na(my_fam) == FALSE) & (is.na(my_mass) == FALSE)) {
    # Check whether the family is already in the data.frame
    # if so, return the index
    fam num <- which(families mass$Family == my fam)</pre>
    # The function which returns integer(0) if no match is found
    # We can test wheter a match was found by checking the length of
    # fam_num
    if (length(fam_num) == 1) {
      # Add the mass
      families_mass[fam_num, "AvgMass"] <- families_mass[fam_num, "AvgMass"] + my_mass
      # Add to number of species
      families_mass[fam_num, "NumSpecies"] <- families_mass[fam_num, "NumSpecies"] + 1</pre>
    } else {
      # Add the family to the data.frame
      families_mass <- rbind(families_mass,</pre>
                              data.frame(Family = my fam,
                                         AvgMass = my mass,
                                         NumSpecies = 1))
    }
 }
# Now divide AvgMass by NumSpecies
families_mass$AvgMass <- families_mass$AvgMass / families_mass$NumSpecies
# And reorder the data for readability
# Because R turned families names into "factors" (a common problem), we need
# to transform them back into characters before sorting...
families_mass$Family <- as.character(families_mass$Family)</pre>
families_mass <- families_mass[order(families_mass$Family), ]</pre>
# See the first few records
head(families_mass)
```

```
##
               Family AvgMass NumSpecies
## 141
          Abrocomidae 10.35712
## 91
          Acrobatidae 5.15517
                                         1
                                         3
## 114
            Agoutidae 50.24676
                                         7
## 39
         Anomaluridae 12.64420
## 105 Antilocapridae 95.03180
                                         6
         Aplodontidae 20.08554
## 115
```

The complete script is available as body\_mass\_family.

 $3. \ \ Measure the time it takes to run the analysis, using the command \verb|system.time(source("body_mass_family.R"))| \\$ 

Fairly straightforward:

```
system.time(source("body_mass_family.R"))
## user system elapsed
## 1.770 0.008 1.777
```

4. There are many ways to accomplish the task. Write the analysis using a different method, make sure it returns the same results, and time the alternative solution. Which one is more readable? Which one is faster?

A possible alternative way of performing the same task would be to:

- Take the unique families in the database
- For each family, subset the data
- Compute the average body mass

An implementation of this algorithm would look like:

```
# Get unique families, removing NAs
fam_unique <- sort(unique(s2003$Family[is.na(s2003$Family) == FALSE]))</pre>
# Empty data frame
families_mass <- data.frame()</pre>
# Cycle through families
for (fam in fam_unique) {
  # Get body masses
  fam_logmass <- s2003[(s2003$Family == fam) &</pre>
                       (is.na(s2003$LogMass) == FALSE) &
                       (is.na(s2003$Family) == FALSE),
                       "LogMass"]
  # Add to the data.frame if there are masses
  families_mass <- rbind(families_mass,</pre>
                          data.frame(Family = fam,
                                      AvgMass = mean(exp(fam logmass)),
                                      NumSpecies = length(fam_logmass)))
}
# See the results
head(families_mass)
```

```
##
             Family AvgMass NumSpecies
## 1
        Abrocomidae 10.35712
## 2
        Acrobatidae 5.15517
                                       1
## 3
          Agoutidae 50.24676
                                       3
                                       7
## 4
       Anomaluridae 12.64420
## 5 Antilocapridae 95.03180
                                       6
       Aplodontidae 20.08554
```

This script (saved in  $body_mass_family_subset.R$ ) is much faster:

```
system.time(source("body_mass_family_subset.R"))
```

```
## user system elapsed
## 0.137 0.000 0.137
```

We have seen that there are multiple ways to solve a problem. Each come with its own speed of execution, readability, and complexity. In science, we want to strike a balance between simplicity and readability on the one side, and efficiency and speed on the other.