

If $\lambda^k = \lambda^{k+1} = \dots = \lambda^{k+m-1}$ is an m -fold multiple eigenvalue of the problem (1) then there exist positive real coefficients $\beta_h^k, \beta_h^{k+1}, \dots, \beta_h^{k+m-1}$ such that for $n = k, k+1, \dots, k+m-1$

$$(32) \quad \left\| u^n - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \beta_h^{k+i} v_h^{k+i} \right\|_{1,R} < c_{15} h^\gamma$$

and

$$(33) \quad \max_{(x,y) \in R} \left| u^n(x,y) - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \beta_h^{k+i} v_h^{k+i}(x,y) \right| < c_{16} h^{\gamma^*} |\log h|^{1/2}.$$

Here c_{12}, \dots, c_{16} are positive constants, which depend only on k, m , the region R , and the coefficients of the operator L .

REFERENCES

- [1] M. H. SCHULTZ, Rayleigh-Ritz-Galerkin methods for multidimensional problems, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **6** (1969), 523-538. MR **41** # 7859
- [2] G. BIRKHOFF and G. FIX, Accurate eigenvalue computations for elliptic problems, *Numerical solutions of field problems in continuum physics* (Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math., Durham, N. C., 1968), ed. by G. Birkhoff and R. S. Varga, SIAM-AMS Proc., Vol. II, pp. 111-151. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1970. MR **41** # 4827
- [3] J. G. PIERCE and R. S. VARGA, Higher order convergence results for the Rayleigh-Ritz method applied to eigenvalue problems: I. Estimates relating Rayleigh-Ritz and Galerkin approximations to eigenfunctions, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **9** (1972), 137-151.
- [4] J. G. PIERCE and R. S. VARGA, Higher order convergence results for the Rayleigh-Ritz method applied to eigenvalue problem. II. Improved error bounds for eigenfunctions, *Numer. Math.* **19** (1972), 155-169. MR **48** # 1491
- [5] G. STRANG and G. FIX, *An analysis of the finite element method*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1973.
- [6] L. VEIDINGER, On the order of convergence of the Rayleigh-Ritz method with piecewise linear trial functions, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **23** (1972), 507-517. MR **47** # 4468
- [7] L. VEIDINGER, On the order of convergence of a finite element method in regions with curved boundaries, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **26** (1975), 419-431. MR **53** # 1983
- [8] V. A. IL'IN and I. A. ŠIŠMAREV, On the equivalence of the systems of generalized and classical eigenfunctions, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat.* **24** (1960), 757-774 (in Russian). MR **23** # A1126
- [9] S. H. GOULD, *Variational methods for eigenvalue problems. An introduction to the Weinstein method of intermediate problems*, Second edition, rev. and enlarged, Math. Expositions, No. 10, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, Ont.; Oxford University Press, London, 1966, XVI + 275 pp. MR **35** # 559
- [10] V. P. IL'IN, Some inequalities in function spaces and their application to the investigation of the convergence of variational processes, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov* **53** (1959), 64-127 (in Russian). MR **22** # 9738
- [11] J. H. BRAMBLE and M. ZLÁMAL, Triangular elements in the finite element method, *Math. Comp.* **24** (1970), 809-820. MR **43** # 8250
- [12] L. VEIDINGER, On the order of convergence of a finite element scheme, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **25** (1974), 401-412. MR **50** # 9012

(Received September 25, 1975)

MTA MATEMATIKAI KUTATÓ INTÉZETE
H-1053 BUDAPEST
REÁLTANODA U. 13-15.
UNGARY

A REMARK ON THE APPROXIMATION OF THE SAMPLE DF IN THE MULTIDIMENSIONAL CASE

by

G. TUSNÁDY (Budapest)

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be i.i.d.r.v-s on the k -dimensional unit cube with

$$(1) \quad P(X_1 < \mathbf{t}) = \lambda(\mathbf{t}) \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \leq \mathbf{t} \leq \mathbf{1},$$

where $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$, and $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ are k -dimensional vectors, $\lambda(\mathbf{t}) = \prod_{i=1}^k t_i$ is the k -dimensional volume of the rectangle determined by the origin and the point \mathbf{t} , and for two vectors \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} the inequality $\mathbf{a} < \mathbf{b}$ means that each coordinate of \mathbf{a} is less than the corresponding coordinate of \mathbf{b} . The empirical distribution function $F_n(\mathbf{t})$ based on the sample X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is the function

$$(2) \quad F_n(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i: X_i < \mathbf{t}} 1 \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \leq \mathbf{t} \leq \mathbf{1},$$

and the k -dimensional Brownian bridge $B(\mathbf{t})$ is defined by

$$(3) \quad B(\mathbf{t}) = W(\mathbf{t}) - \lambda(\mathbf{t})W(\mathbf{1}) \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \leq \mathbf{t} \leq \mathbf{1},$$

where $W(\mathbf{t})$ is a k -dimensional Wiener process, i.e., $W(\mathbf{t})$ is a Gaussian process with independent increments, variance equal to the k -dimensional volume. In this remark we investigate the approximation of $F_n(\mathbf{t})$ by $B(\mathbf{t})$ in the case $k = 2$.

The one-dimensional case was investigated in [2], where we proved that there is a version of F_n and B such that

$$(4) \quad P\left(\sup_{0 \leq \mathbf{t} \leq \mathbf{1}} |n(F_n(\mathbf{t}) - \lambda(\mathbf{t})) - n^{\frac{1}{2}} B(\mathbf{t})| > C \log n + x\right) < K e^{-\lambda x}$$

olds for all x , where C, K, λ are positive absolute constants (Theorem 3). Investigating the approximation of the whole sequence $\{F_n, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ we

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 60B10; Secondary 62G10.
Key words and phrases. Invariance, embedding, testing multidimensional distributions.

got a theorem of two-dimensional character (Theorem 4). As we shall see, the result presented here is strongly connected with this latter theorem.

THEOREM. *In the case $k = 2$ for any n there is a version of F_n and B such that*

$$(5) \quad P\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |n(F_n(t) - \lambda(t)) - n^{\frac{1}{2}} B(t)| > (C \log n + x) \log n\right) < K e^{-\lambda x}$$

holds for all x , where C, K, λ are positive absolute constants.

PROOF. The proof is based on the following version of Theorem 5 of [2]:

LEMMA. *In the case $k = 1$ for any n there are measurable functions*

$$(6) \quad e_i(w, f) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

defined on the product of the spaces $C(0, 1)$ and $D(0, 1)$ such that for any independent pair of the one-dimensional Wiener process W and empirical DF F_n the random variables

$$(7) \quad \varepsilon_i = e_i(W, F_n) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

are i.i.d.r.v-s with distribution

$$(8) \quad P(\varepsilon_i = 0) = P(\varepsilon_i = 1) = \frac{1}{2},$$

the set of random variables $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ is independent of F_n , and

$$(9) \quad P\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \frac{n}{2} (\tilde{F}(t) - \lambda(t)) - \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} W(t) \right| > (C \log n + x)\right) < K e^{-\lambda x}$$

holds for all x , where C, K, λ are positive absolute constants, and \tilde{F}_n is defined by

$$(10) \quad \tilde{F}_n(t) = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n F_n(t)} \varepsilon_i.$$

The proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of Theorem 5 of [2]. We shall use the conditional quantile transformation, and the dyadic scheme in the following way. Suppose $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ are arbitrary i.i.d.r.v-s of distribution (8), and the set $\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ is independent of F_n . Let m and

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m = 1$$

be arbitrary and let \tilde{F}_n defined by (10). The conditional distribution of $\frac{n}{2} \tilde{F}_n(t_i)$ under the condition that $\{F_n(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ and

$$\{\tilde{F}_n(t_0), \tilde{F}_n(t_1), \dots, \tilde{F}_n(t_{i-1}), \tilde{F}_n(t_{i+1}), \dots, \tilde{F}_n(t_m)\}$$

are given is a hypergeometric distribution with parameters depending on the condition, and the conditional distribution of $\tilde{F}_n(1)$ given $\{F_n(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ is a binomial distribution with parameters $\left(n, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Hence we can transform the appropriate parts of $W(t)$ step by step to $\tilde{F}_n(1), \tilde{F}_n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \tilde{F}_n\left(\frac{1}{4}\right), \tilde{F}_n\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)$ using in each step the conditional distribution of the new variable on the condition that $\{F_n(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ and the just defined $\tilde{F}_n(t_i)$ -s are given. This is the same construction as the construction of the proof of Theorem 5, the only difference is that here $\tilde{F}_n(1)$ is also random variable. Hence the further details of the proof are omitted.

The theorem follows from the lemma in the same way as Theorem 4 follows from Theorem 5 in [2]. Hence its proof is also omitted.

REMARK 1. In the case $k = 1$ we proved in [2] that our result is the best possible in the sense that there are positive absolute constants A, B such that for any n and any version of F_n, B

$$P\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |n(F_n(t) - \lambda(t)) - n^{\frac{1}{2}} B(t)| > A \log n\right) \geq B.$$

In the case $k = 2$ the situation is different: we do not know whether for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ are there positive constants A, B such that

$$(11) \quad P\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |n(F_n(t) - \lambda(t)) - n^{\frac{1}{2}} B(t)| > A(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}\right) \geq B.$$

REMARK 2. In case $k > 2$ the best known available results are given by Csörgő and Révész [1]. They give an approximation of order $n^{\frac{k-1}{2k}}$. We do not know whether (11) is true for any $k > 1$ or not.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Csörgő and P. Révész, A new method to prove Strassen type laws of invariance principle. I, II, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* **31** (1974/75), 255–259 and 261–269. *MR* **51** # 11605a
- [2] J. Komlós, P. Major and G. Tusnády, An approximation of partial sums of independent RV's, and the sample DF. I, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* **32** (1975), 111–131. *MR* **51** # 11605b

(Received October 15, 1975)

MTA MATEMATIKAI KUTATÓ INTÉZETE
H-1053 BUDAPEST
REÁLTANODA U. 13–15.
HUNGARY