

Local Variable Selection and Parameter Estimation of Spatially Varying Coefficient Regression Models

Wesley Brooks

1. Asymptotics

1.1. Asymptotic normality

Theorem 1.1. *If $h^{-1}n^{-1/2}a_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$ and $hn^{-1/2}b_n \xrightarrow{p} \infty$ then $\hat{\beta}(\mathbf{s}) - \beta(\mathbf{s}) - \frac{\kappa_2 h^2}{2\kappa_0} \{\beta_{uu}(\mathbf{s}) + \beta_{vv}(\mathbf{s})\} = O_p(n^{-1/2}h^{-1})$*

Proof. The idea of the proof is to show that the objective being minimized achieves a unique minimum, which must be $\hat{\beta}(\mathbf{s})$.

The order of convergence is $hn^{1/2}$ where $h = O(n^{-1/6})$.

We find the limiting distribution of the estimator:

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_4^{(n)}(\mathbf{u}) &= Q \left\{ \beta(\mathbf{s}) + h^{-1}n^{-1/2}\mathbf{u} \right\} - Q \left\{ \beta(\mathbf{s}) \right\} \\
 &= (1/2) \left[\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{s}) \left\{ \beta(\mathbf{s}) + h^{-1}n^{-1/2}\mathbf{u} \right\} \right]^T \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s}) \left[\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{s}) \left\{ \beta(\mathbf{s}) + h^{-1}n^{-1/2}\mathbf{u} \right\} \right] \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j \|\beta(\mathbf{s}) + h^{-1}n^{-1/2}\mathbf{u}_j\| \\
 &\quad - (1/2) \left\{ \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{s})\beta(\mathbf{s}) \right\}^T \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s}) \left\{ \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{s})\beta(\mathbf{s}) \right\} - \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j \|\beta(\mathbf{s})\| \\
 &= (1/2) \mathbf{u}^T \left\{ h^{-2}n^{-1} \mathbf{Z}^T(\mathbf{s}) \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s}) \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{s}) \right\} \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^T \left[h^{-1}n^{-1/2} \mathbf{Z}^T(\mathbf{s}) \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s}) \left\{ \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{s})\beta(\mathbf{s}) \right\} \right] \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^p n^{-1/2} \lambda_j n^{1/2} \left\{ \|\beta_j(\mathbf{s}) + h^{-1}n^{-1/2}\mathbf{u}_j\| - \|\beta_j(\mathbf{s})\| \right\} \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note the different limiting behavior of the third term between the cases $j \leq p_0$ and $j > p_0$:

Case $j \leq p_0$. If $j \leq p_0$ then $n^{-1/2}\lambda_j \rightarrow n^{-1/2}\lambda\|\beta_j(\mathbf{s})\|^{-\gamma}$ and $|\sqrt{n}\{\|\beta_j(\mathbf{s}) + h^{-1}n^{-1/2}\mathbf{u}_j\| - \|\beta_j(\mathbf{s})\|\}| \leq h^{-1}\|\mathbf{u}_j\|$ so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_j (\|\beta_j(\mathbf{s}) + h^{-1}n^{-1/2}\mathbf{u}_j\| - \|\beta_j(\mathbf{s})\|) \leq h^{-1}n^{-1/2}\lambda_j\|\mathbf{u}_j\| \leq h^{-1}n^{-1/2}a_n\|\mathbf{u}_j\| \rightarrow 0$

Case $j > p_0$. If $j > p_0$ then $\lambda_j (\|\beta_j(s) + h^{-1}n^{-1/2}\mathbf{u}_j\| - \|\beta_j(s)\|) = \lambda_j h^{-1}n^{-1/2}\|\mathbf{u}_j\|$.

And note that $h = O(n^{-1/6})$ so that if $hn^{-1/2}b_n \xrightarrow{p} \infty$ then $h^{-1}n^{-1/2}b_n \xrightarrow{p} \infty$.

Now, if $\|\mathbf{u}_j\| \neq 0$ then $h^{-1}n^{-1/2}\lambda_j\|\mathbf{u}_j\| \geq h^{-1}n^{-1/2}b_n\|\mathbf{u}_j\| \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, if $\|\mathbf{u}_j\| = 0$ then $h^{-1}n^{-1/2}\lambda_j\|\mathbf{u}_j\| = 0$.

Thus, the limit of $V_4^{(n)}(\mathbf{u})$ is the same as the limit of $V_4^{*(n)}(\mathbf{u})$ where

$$V_4^{*(n)}(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} (1/2)\mathbf{u}^T \{h^{-2}n^{-1}\mathbf{Z}^T(s)\mathbf{W}(s)\mathbf{Z}(s)\} \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^T [h^{-1}n^{-1/2}\mathbf{Z}^T(s)\mathbf{W}(s)\{\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Z}(s)\beta(s)\}] & \text{if } \|\mathbf{u}_j\| = 0 \ \forall j > p_0 \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now, $V_4^{*(n)}(\mathbf{u})$ is convex and is minimized at $\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{(n)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \{h^{-2}n^{-1}\mathbf{Z}^T(s)\mathbf{W}(s)\mathbf{Z}(s)\} \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{(n)} - [h^{-1}n^{-1/2}\mathbf{Z}^T(s)\mathbf{W}(s)\{\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Z}(s)\beta(s)\}] \\ \therefore \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{(n)} &= \{n^{-1}\mathbf{Z}^T(s)\mathbf{W}(s)\mathbf{Z}(s)\}^{-1} [hn^{-1/2}\mathbf{Z}^T(s)\mathbf{W}(s)\{\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Z}(s)\beta(s)\}] \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

By the epiconvergence results of ? and ?, the minimizer of the limiting function is the limit of the minimizers $\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{(n)}$. And since, by Lemma 2 of ?,

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, f(s)\kappa_0^{-2}\nu_0\sigma^2\Psi^{-1}) \quad (3)$$

the result is proven. □