# COSC 76: PA5 Report

# Wesley Tan

### November 2024

## 1 Introduction

The implementation of the SAT (Boolean Satisfiability) solver leverages propositional logic to solve Sudoku puzzles by converting them into .cnf. Two algorithms were implemented to solve the SAT problem: GSAT and WalkSAT.

### 1.1 Problem Representation

The Sudoku problem was encoded as follows:

- Variables are represented as 3-digit numbers rcv, where:
  - r: Row (1-9)
  - c: Column (1-9)
  - v: Value (1-9)
- Each variable represents whether a specific value is placed in a specific cell.
- The CNF formula includes clauses for:
  - Cell Constraints: Each cell must have exactly one value.
  - Row, Column, and Box Uniqueness: Each number appears exactly once in each row, column, and 3x3 box.
  - Initial Values: Known values are encoded as unit clauses.

### 1.2 Implementation

The SAT solver processes input CNF files and employs optimizations to improve performance. The implementation is structured into the following components:

#### 1.2.1 Input Processing

- CNF Parsing: The load\_cnf function reads CNF files and builds:
  - Variables: Stored as integers to represent literals.
  - Clauses: A list of lists, where each clause is a disjunction of literals.
- Variable-to-Clause Indexing: Each variable is mapped to the clauses it appears in, reducing redundant evaluations during flips.

#### 1.2.2 Clause Evaluation

- Each clause is evaluated as satisfied if at least one literal in it is true.
- Functions are provided to count satisfied clauses and identify unsatisfied clauses efficiently.

#### 1.2.3 WalkSAT

The WalkSAT algorithm balances random exploration and greedy optimization:

- 1. Start with a random truth assignment.
- 2. Repeatedly select an unsatisfied clause and:
  - Flip a random variable in the clause with probability p.
  - Otherwise, flip the variable that maximizes the number of satisfied clauses (using make-break scores).
- 3. Track the best solution across iterations.

#### 1.2.4 GSAT

The GSAT algorithm focuses on global optimization:

- 1. Start with a random truth assignment.
- 2. Evaluate all variables and flip the one that maximizes the number of satisfied clauses.
- 3. Occasionally perform random flips to escape local optima.

### 1.2.5 Validation and Output

- Solution Validation: Ensures that all clauses are satisfied in the final assignment.
- Solution Output: Writes the assignment to a solution file, listing all satisfied variables.

## 1.3 Optimizations

Key optimizations implemented include:

- Speeds up clause evaluation by maintaining direct references.
- Make-Break Calculation: Reduces redundant evaluations during variable flips.
- Best Solution Tracking: Tracks and updates the best assignment across all iterations.

## 2 Evaluation

### 2.1 WalkSAT Algorithm

The WalkSAT algorithm balances random exploration and greedy optimization:

- 1. Start with a random truth assignment.
- 2. Repeatedly select an unsatisfied clause and:
  - Flip a random variable in the clause with probability p.
  - Otherwise, flip the variable that maximizes the number of satisfied clauses (using make-break scores).
- 3. Track the best solution across iterations.

# 2.2 GSAT Algorithm

The GSAT algorithm focuses on global optimization:

- 1. Start with a random truth assignment.
- 2. Evaluate all variables and flip the one that maximizes the number of satisfied clauses.
- 3. Occasionally perform random flips to escape local optima.

### 2.3 Effectiveness

The implemented algorithms successfully solved various SAT problems, including Sudoku puzzles. WalkSAT and GSAT demonstrated distinct strengths in different scenarios.

#### 2.3.1 Results

- Basic Sudoku puzzles were solved quickly with both algorithms.
- Harder puzzles required up to 20,000 flips but were solvable with Walk-SAT.

#### 2.3.2 Performance Metrics

- WalkSAT: Faster convergence, more reliable solutions for complex puzzles.
- **GSAT:** Higher computational cost due to complete neighborhood evaluation.

### 2.3.3 Limitations

- Solution time scales with problem size and complexity.
- Random initialization introduces variability in convergence speed.
- GSAT's exhaustive evaluation becomes inefficient for large problems (could not run rows\_and\_cols.cnf).

## 2.4 Example Outputs

### 2.4.1 Basic Puzzle (puzzle2)

Solution found in 0.003 seconds Nodes explored: 25

### 2.4.2 Bonus Puzzle (puzzle\_bonus)

Solution found in 20.5 seconds Nodes explored: 25,000

In summary:

- Basic Puzzle: Solved in the first attempt using both algorithms.
- Bonus Puzzle: Solved after approximately 20,000 flips using WalkSAT.
- **Performance Comparison:** WalkSAT consistently outperformed GSAT in solving larger problems. Often, GSAT took a really long time to solve the large problems.

# 3 Bonus

Included implementations for nqueens and map\_coloring.