

Proof by Induction: Dijkstra's Algorithm---

The hypothesis:

For each vertex that has been visited, u , in the set of visited vertices S , $\text{distances}[u]$ is the minimum distance between the vertex u and the target vertex t .

Proof:

When the size of S is 1, $|S| = 1$, the hypothesis does not apply and is therefore trivial.

Now, assume the hypothesis is correct for $|S| = n$, where $n > 1$. Then choose the edge $u-v$ where $\text{distances}[v]$ is the least of any vertex not in S and the edge $u-v$ is such that $\text{distances}[u] = \text{distances}[v] + \text{length}[u,v]$. $\text{distances}[u]$ must be the shortest path from u to t by our conditions. Now suppose there is a vertex w not in S , such that w provides a path to t from u , where $\text{distances}[w] < \text{distances}[v]$. In this case there is a contradiction, so $\text{distances}[v]$ must be the shortest path connecting u to t . Suppose there is a path from u to t without the use of any unvisited nodes. Then $\text{distances}[u]$ would be less than $\text{distances}[v] + \text{length}[u,v]$, in the case that $\text{distances}[v]$ is the least of any vertex not in S . In either case, there is a contradiction based on our hypothesis.

Therefore, after processing u , it will still be true that for each vertex w not in S , $\text{distances}[w]$ is the shortest path from w to t using vertices only in S because if there was a shorter path which does not visit u , then it would have been found previously and if there is a shorter path involving u then it will simply be updated when processing u .

Now, since the hypothesis is true for $|S| = n$, take $|S| = k = n+1$. There would simply be one more vertex to process and in-so-doing, the conclusion for $|S| = n$ would still hold. Therefore, for any graph S , where $|S| > n$, the hypothesis will still hold.