Supplementary material

A Multi-Criteria Strategy for Redesigning Legacy Features as Microservices: An Industrial Case Study

Wesley K. G. Assunção, Thelma Elita Colanzi, Luiz Carvalho, Juliana Alves Pereira, Alessandro Garcia, Maria Julia de Lima, Carlos Lucena

January, 2021

1 Introduction

In this document, we present additional information and results of the paper A Multi-Criteria Strategy for Redesigning Legacy Features as Microservices: An Industrial Case Study, presented at the 28th IEEE International Conference on Software Analysis, Evolution and Reengineering (SANER2021).

2 Interview Questions

Our interview was divided into three phases. The first phase is related to the participant knowledge about the analyzed feature in the legacy system. Table 1 presents the questions of this phase. All the questions are in four points Likert scale: (i) I don't know, (ii) I know little, (iii) I know, and (iv) I know a lot.

After, in the second phase we aimed to discover the adoptability of microservices. Table 2 shows the questions that inquire developers about the microservices generated by toMicroservices. The identifier (1 to 4) assigned to each microservice is chosen in a random way. The five points Likert scale is: (i) I would not adopt strongly, (ii) I would not adopt, (iii) I would adopt partially, (iv) I would adopt, and (v) I would adopt strongly. The participant was instructed to adopt partially when modified the microservice with less than 20% of modification as a move methods from or to another microservice.

Finally, in the third phase we inquired the participants of the interview about their previous experience as shown in Table 3.

Table 1: Measurement of the knowledge level about the feature under analysis

Question	Response Type
What is your level of knowledge related to the Authentication feature?	Four point Likert scale
What is your level of knowledge related to the Algorithm feature?	Four point Likert scale
What is your level of knowledge related to the Project feature?	Four point Likert scale

3 Interview Results

Regarding the knowledge about the features, all the median to the three features is 3 on our Likert scale, what means that the participants know the evaluated features. No developer replied that she doesn't know the feature.

Table 4 presents the results for two scenarios: Scenario-5MS and Scenario-10MS with five and ten microservice candidates, respectively. Microservices whose grades were 3, 4 or 5 (last column of Table 4) were considered (partially or fully) adoptable.

Table 2: Adoptability questions

Question	Response Type	
Would you adopt Microservice 1?	Five point Likert scale	
Justify your answer about the adoption of Microservice 1 by pointing out the positive or negative points that you identified.	Open	
Would you adopt Microservice 2?	Five point Likert scale	
Justify your answer about the adoption of Microservice 2 by pointing out the positive or negative points that you identified.	Open	
Would you adopt Microservice 3?	Five point Likert scale	
Justify your answer about the adoption of Microservice 3 by pointing out the positive or negative points that you identified.	Open	
Would you adopt Microservice 4?	Five point Likert scale	
Justify your answer about the adoption of Microservice 4 by pointing out the positive or negative points that you identified.	Open	
What other criteria could be observed during the process of identifying mi- croservices for microservices architecture?	Open	
What was your biggest difficulty when analyzing the proposed solutions?	Open	

Table 3: Developers' background

Question	Response Type
What is your academic background?	Open
How long time have you been developing the software analyzed?	Years

Table 4: Results of the Qualitative Evaluation

	Years of experience	Recognizable	New recognizable	Microservice's		
Participant	in the system	features	features	grades		
Scenario-5MS: Architectures with 5 microservices						
P1	0.5	5	2	3,2,4,5		
P2	2	3	2	3,2,4,1		
P3	2	4	1	2,4,2,4		
P4	20	7	6	1,1,1,1		
Scenario-10MS: Architectures with 10 microservices						
P5	13	6	4	5,3,2,1		
P6	8	4	2	1,5,1,4		
P7	1	5	3	3,3,2,4		
P8	3	5	3	2,4,4,3		