```
B505 Applied Algorithms
HW 2
2020-09-26
Wesley Liao
1.
2.
 given A[1...n] containing all integers from 0 to n except one number:
        increase size of A by 1
        A[n+1] \leftarrow -1
        m \leftarrow n + 1
        for i \leftarrow 0 to n:
        while (A[i + 1] != i) and (A[i + 1] != -1):
        swap A[A[i+1] + 1], A[i+1]
        if A[i + 1] == -1:
        m ← i
        return m
3.
 a)
        (1, 5), (2, 5), (3, 4), (3, 5), (4, 5)
 b)
        The array with the elements in reverse order: {n, ..., 2, 1}.
        There are (n * (n - 1)) / 2 inversions.
 c)
        Every inversion will require a swap and comparison operation.
        The running time will be directly proportional to the number of inversions.
4.
 given sorted lists A[1...n] and B[1...m]:
        i \leftarrow \min(n, k)
        j \leftarrow min(m, k)
        while i + j > k:
        if A[i] < B[j]:
        j ← j - 1
        else if B[j] < A[i]:
        i ← i - 1
        if A[i] >= B[j]:
```

```
return A[i]
          else:
          return B[j]
5.
 (a) T(n) = T(n/2) + n
          a = 1, n = n, b = 2, d = 1
          d \stackrel{?}{=} log_b(a)
          1 \stackrel{?}{=} \log_2(1)
          1 > 0
          T(n) = O(n)
 (b) T(n) = T(n/5) + n^2
          a = 1, n = n, b = 5, d = 2
          d \stackrel{?}{=} log_b(a)
          2 \stackrel{?}{=} \log_5(1)
          2 > 0
         T(n) = O(n^2)
 (c) T(n) = T(n/3) + constant
          a = 1, n = n, b = 3, d = 0
          d \stackrel{?}{=} log_b(a)
          0 \stackrel{?}{=} \log_3(1)
          0 = 0
```

T(n) = O(log(n))

6.

In case a. an insertion sort will likely be better because it will require only moving the few inverted datapoints.

Using a merge sort in case a. will require still merging the entire array even though it is mostly already sorted.

In case b. a merge sort will be better because there will likely be many inversions.