# First we import some formatting... ...okay, that's better, from now on any commentary written inside this

\_\_\_\_\_

Package xAct`xPerm` version 1.2.3, {2015, 8, 23}

CopyRight (C) 2003-2020, Jose M. Martin-Garcia, under the General Public License.

Calibration.m wrapper will present as blue text (i.e. this text is not part of PSALTer, it is just a use-case). Next we load the PSALTer package:

Connecting to external linux executable...

Connection established.

\_\_\_\_\_

Package xAct`xTensor` version 1.2.0, {2021, 10, 17}

CopyRight (C) 2002-2021, Jose M. Martin-Garcia, under the General Public License.

\_\_\_\_\_

Package xAct`xPert` version 1.0.6, {2018, 2, 28}

CopyRight (C) 2005–2020, David Brizuela, Jose M. Martin-Garcia and Guillermo A. Mena Marugan, under the General Public License.

- \*\* Variable \$PrePrint assigned value ScreenDollarIndices
- \*\* Variable \$CovDFormat changed from Prefix to Postfix
- \*\* Option AllowUpperDerivatives of ContractMetric changed from False to True
- \*\* Option MetricOn of MakeRule changed from None to All
- \*\* Option ContractMetrics of MakeRule changed from False to True

\_\_\_\_\_

Package xAct`Invar` version 2.0.5, {2013, 7, 1}

CopyRight (C) 2006-2020, J. M. Martin-Garcia,

- D. Yllanes and R. Portugal, under the General Public License.
- \*\* DefConstantSymbol: Defining constant symbol sigma.
- \*\* DefConstantSymbol: Defining constant symbol dim.
- \*\* Option CurvatureRelations of DefCovD changed from True to False
- \*\* Variable \$CommuteCovDsOnScalars changed from True to False

\_\_\_\_\_

Package xAct`xCoba` version 0.8.6, {2021, 2, 28}

CopyRight (C) 2005-2021, David Yllanes and

Jose M. Martin-Garcia, under the General Public License.

Package xAct'SymManipulator' version 0.9.5, {2021, 9, 14}

CopyRight (C) 2011-2021, Thomas Bäckdahl, under the General Public License.

Package xAct`xTras` version 1.4.2, {2014, 10, 30}

CopyRight (C) 2012-2014, Teake Nutma, under the General Public License.

- \*\* Variable \$CovDFormat changed from Postfix to Prefix
- \*\* Option CurvatureRelations of DefCovD changed from False to True

Package xAct`PSALTer` version 1.0.0-developer, {2023, 3, 15}

CopyRight © 2022, Will E. V. Barker, Claire

Rigouzzo and Cillian Rew, under the General Public License.

These packages come with ABSOLUTELY NO WARRANTY; for details type Disclaimer[]. This is free software, and you are welcome to redistribute it under certain conditions. See the General Public License for details.

Do we want diagnostic mode?

## Massless scalar (shift-symmetric field)

Let's begin by looking at a massless scalar field theory.

$$\alpha$$
  $\partial_{\alpha}\varphi \partial^{\alpha}\varphi$ 

Now we shove the Lagrangian into PSALTer.

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\{(\alpha, k^2), (0)\}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_i k^2} \right), (0) \right\}$$

Square masses:

**{{}**, **{}**}

Massive pole residues:

**{{}**, **{}**}

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$\frac{\alpha}{1} > 0$$

The result is much as you would expect. There is one massless polarisation, supported by a no-ghost condition which bounds the kinetic part of the Hamiltonian from below.

# Massive scalar (Higgs field, pions)

Now for the massive case.

$$-\alpha \cdot \varphi^2 + \alpha \cdot \partial_{\alpha} \varphi \partial^{\alpha} \varphi$$

We apply PSALTer again.

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( -2 \alpha_{1} + 2 \alpha_{1} k^{2} \right) \right), (0) \right\}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

{}

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{-\alpha_{\cdot}+\alpha_{\cdot}k^{2}} \\ \frac{2}{2} \end{array}\right), (0)\right\}$$

Square masses:

$$\left\{\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}\right\}, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}\right\}, \left\{i\right\}\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$\frac{\alpha_{1}}{1} > 0 \&\& \frac{\alpha_{2}}{2} > 0$$

We find that the massless eigenvalue has disappeared, but the propagator develops a massive pole whose no-ghost condition is equivalent. There is an additional no-tachyon condition on the Klein-Gordon mass.

# Maxwell field (quantum electrodynamics)

The first pure 1-form theory we might think to try is due to Maxwell. We know from kindergarten that if we contract the square of the Maxwell tensor, we get a viable kinetic term which propagates the two massless photon polarisations. Let's try this out.

$$\alpha_{a} \left( \partial_{a} \mathcal{B}_{b} - \partial_{b} \mathcal{B}_{a} \right) \left( \partial^{a} \mathcal{B}^{b} - \partial^{b} \mathcal{B}^{a} \right)$$

We need to expand the brackets before passing to PSALTer.

$$-2 \frac{\alpha_{1}}{1} \partial_{q} \mathcal{B}_{b} \partial^{b} \mathcal{B}^{q} + 2 \frac{\alpha_{1}}{1} \partial_{b} \mathcal{B}_{q} \partial^{b} \mathcal{B}^{q}$$

Now we shove the Lagrangian into PSALTer.

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\{(0), (0), (0), (2\alpha, k^2)\}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ \stackrel{0^{+}}{\cdot} \mathcal{J} = 0 \right\}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ (0), (0), (0), \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha_{i}k^{2}}\right) \right\}$$

Square masses:

{{\}}, {{\}}, {{\}}

Massive pole residues:

{{\}}, {\}}, {\}}

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2\alpha_{1}}, -\frac{1}{2\alpha_{1}}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$\alpha_{\cdot} < 0$$

The output above makes sense. There are no mass terms in our Lagrangian, and hence no massive poles in the propagator. Instead, there are two massless eigenvalues which suggest that the vector part of the theory propagates two massless polarisations. The no-ghost condition of this massless vector simply demands that our kinetic coupling be negative: this is why in school we are told to put a -1/4 factor in front of the QED Lagrangian. What about the gauge constraints on the source currents? There is only one such constraint, which tells us that the positive-parity scalar part of the QED current (think the chiral current, or some such four-vector source) must vanish. Reverse-engineering this condition from momentum to position space, we see that the four-divergence of the source must vanish. Of course it must: this is just charge conservation. The conservation law is intimately connected to the gauge symmetries of the theory, according to Noether: these symmetries are manifest as singularities (zeroes) in the matrix form of the Lagrangian operator, though there are no spin-parity degeneracies in the 1-form and so all these matrices are just single elements.

# VectorTheory field (electroweak bosons)

Having investigated the massless theory, we keep the same kinetic setup but just add a mass term. This is of course the VectorTheory theory, which finds a place higher up in the standard model.

$$\alpha_{\frac{1}{3}} \mathcal{B}_{q} \mathcal{B}^{q} + \alpha_{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \partial_{q} \mathcal{B}_{b} - \partial_{b} \mathcal{B}_{q} \right) \left( \partial^{q} \mathcal{B}^{b} - \partial^{b} \mathcal{B}^{q} \right)$$

Again we just need to expand those brackets before passing to PSALTer.

$$\alpha_{\frac{1}{3}} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha} \mathcal{B}^{\alpha} - 2 \alpha_{\frac{1}{3}} \partial_{\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{\beta} \partial^{\beta} \mathcal{B}^{\alpha} + 2 \alpha_{\frac{1}{3}} \partial_{\beta} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} \mathcal{B}^{\alpha}$$

Now we shove the Lagrangian into PSALTer.

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{\alpha_{3}}{3} \right), (0), (0), \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( 2 \alpha_{3} + 4 \alpha_{1} k^{2} \right) \right) \right\}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

{}

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} \end{array} \right), (0), (0), \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}+2\alpha_{1}k^{2}} \end{array} \right) \right\}$$

Square masses:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{\alpha_{3}}{2\alpha_{1}}\right\}\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{\emptyset,\,\emptyset,\,\emptyset,\,\left\{-\frac{1}{2\,\alpha_{i}}\right\}\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$\frac{\alpha_1}{1} < 0 \&\& \frac{\alpha_2}{3} > 0$$

Once again, the result makes sense. If you write out the VectorTheory equation of motion and take the divergence, you see that the presence of the mass term restricts the 1-form to be divergence-free, which is another way of saying that the helicity-0 mode vanishes on shell. This is not a gauge condition (evidenced by the fact that the Lagrangian operator matrices are non-singular), but it does mean that in common with Maxwell's theory, we are stuck with the parity-odd vector mode. What is this mode doing? The theory is now massive, and so there is a massive pole in the propagator. There are now two unitarity conditions: the original no-ghost condition of QED and a new no-tachyon condition which protects the VectorTheory mass from becoming imaginary.

# Sickly quantum electrodynamics

Now let's try something a bit more ambitious. What if we didn't have the QED Lagrangian as inspiration, but we wanted to construct a general (and not necessarily gauge-invariant) 1-form theory? In the first instance, we'll take the case without any masses. Up to surface terms, there are two kinetic terms we could try which are consistent with the basic requirement of Lorentz invariance.

$$\alpha_{1} \partial_{\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{b} \partial^{\alpha} \mathcal{B}^{b} + \alpha_{2} \partial_{\alpha} \mathcal{B}^{a} \partial_{b} \mathcal{B}^{b}$$

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \left( \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{1} + \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \right) k^2 \right), (0), (0), \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{1} k^2 \right) \right\}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

{}

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\left( \alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} \right) k^{2}} \right), (0), (0), \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_{1} k^{2}} \right) \right\}$$

Square masses:

 $\{\{\}, \{\}, \{\}, \{\}\}\}$ 

Massive pole residues:

{{\bar{\}}}, {\bar{\}}}

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{\frac{\frac{-2\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}{2\alpha_{1}(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2})}, -\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}, -\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}, \frac{2\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}}{2\alpha_{1}(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2})}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

False

Notice the suspicious appearance of two extra massless eigenvalues, alongside the familiar photon polarisations. These carry different signs, and thus cannot be positive-definite: the theory is immutably sick, and the no-ghost condition is simply `False'. What has happened here is a result of the Ostrogradsky theorem. Our kinetic structure has destroyed the gauge-invariance of the theory, and so the helicity-0 part of the field (the divergence of some scalar superpotential) has begun to move. Because the helicity-0 part contains an implicit divergence, that part of the theory now contains four implicit derivatives, and is a sickly higher-derivative model. The Ostrogradsky theorem says that derivative decoupling will bifurcate the helicity-0 mode into two modes, one of which is always a ghost. How to get rid of the ghost? We clearly can't do it at the level of the eigenvalues, so we look a few lines above to the Lagrangian matrix structure. The Scalar sector can be killed off entirely, spawning a singular one-element matrix and thus a new gauge symmetry, only by imposing the QED condition. This is of course just what we expect to find.

## Sickly Proca field

For completeness, it behoves us to look at the general massive case.

$$\alpha_{\frac{1}{3}} \mathcal{B}_{q} \mathcal{B}^{q} + \alpha_{\frac{1}{1}} \partial_{q} \mathcal{B}_{b} \partial^{q} \mathcal{B}^{b} + \alpha_{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{q} \mathcal{B}^{q} \partial_{b} \mathcal{B}^{b}$$

We enter this into PSALTer.

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(2\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)k^{2}\right),(0),(0),\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(2\alpha_{1}+2\alpha_{1}\right)k^{2}\right)\right\}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

{}

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \left(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\right)k^2} \right), (0), (0), \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \right) \right\}$$

Square masses:

$$\left\{\left\{-\frac{\alpha_{\cdot}}{\alpha_{\cdot}+\alpha_{\cdot}}\right\}, \emptyset, \emptyset, \left\{-\frac{\alpha_{\cdot}}{\alpha_{\cdot}}\right\}\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{ \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \right\}, 0, 0, \left\{ -\frac{1}{\alpha_1} \right\} \right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

False

Once again, the theory is sick in the helicity-0 sector. In case the massive parity-odd vector is unitary, then the helicity-0 mode must either be a ghost or a tachyon.

# Fierz-Pauli (linear gravity)

The natural theory to check will be the Fierz-Pauli theory.

$$\frac{\alpha_{\text{c}}}{1} \left( -\partial^{\alpha}h_{\alpha b} \partial^{b}h^{c}_{c} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{b}h^{\alpha}_{\alpha} \partial^{b}h^{c}_{c} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{c}h^{\alpha b} \partial^{c}h_{\alpha b} + \partial_{b}h^{\alpha b} \partial^{c}h_{\alpha c} \right)$$

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\alpha_{1}}{i} k^{2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (0), (0), \left( -\frac{\frac{\alpha_{1}^{2}}{i} k^{2}}{2} \right), (0) \right\}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \underbrace{0^{+}}_{\bullet} \mathcal{T}^{\perp} == 0, & \underbrace{1^{-}}_{\bullet} \mathcal{T}^{\perp} \end{array} \right\} == 0$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}^{k^{2}}} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (0), (0), \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{\alpha_{1}^{k^{2}}} \end{pmatrix}, (0) \right\}$$

Square masses:

Massive pole residues:

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{-\frac{4 p^2}{\alpha_i}, -\frac{2 p^2}{\alpha_i}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$(p < 0 \&\& \alpha_1 < 0) || (p > 0 \&\& \alpha_1 < 0)$$

The Fierz-Pauli theory thus propagates two massless polarisations, and the no-ghost condition is consistent with a positive Einstein or Newton-Cavendish constant, or a positive square Planck mass. The diffeomorphism invariance of the theory is manifest as a gauge symmetry, whose constraints on the source currents are commensurate with the conservation of the matter stress-energy tensor.

## Massive gravity

We now include the unique mass term which corresponds to massive gravity, i.e. `Fierz-Pauli tuning'.

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{3} & \alpha_{\cdot} \\ -\sqrt{3} & \alpha_{\cdot} & -2 & \alpha_{\cdot} + \alpha_{\cdot} & k^{2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (0), \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\cdot} \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \left( 2 & \alpha_{\cdot} - \alpha_{\cdot} & k^{2} \right) \right), (0) \right\}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

{}

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ 
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{2\alpha_{\cdot}-\alpha_{\cdot}k^{2}}{3\alpha_{\cdot}^{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}\alpha_{\cdot}} \\
\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}\alpha_{\cdot}} & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (0), \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha_{\cdot}} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha_{\cdot}k^{2}} \\ \frac{\alpha_{\cdot}-\frac{1}{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}, (0) \right\}$$

Square masses:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{\frac{2\frac{\alpha}{2}}{\alpha_{1}}\right\}, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{\emptyset,\,\emptyset,\,\emptyset,\,\emptyset,\,\left\{-\frac{2}{\alpha_{i}}\right\},\,\emptyset\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$\frac{\alpha}{1}$$
 < 0 &&  $\frac{\alpha}{2}$  < 0

There is no massless sector. The propagator develops a massive pole in the positive-parity tensor sector. The no-ghost condition is as before, but now a no-tachyon condition protects the graviton mess.

## Sick Fierz-Pauli (first variation)

Returning to the case without any mass terms, we should check that deviations to the Fierz-Pauli action are unacceptable. Let's vary the fourth term to some degree.

$$\frac{\alpha_{c}}{1}\left(-\partial^{a}h_{ab}\partial^{b}h^{c}_{c}+\frac{1}{2}\partial_{b}h^{a}_{a}\partial^{b}h^{c}_{c}-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{c}h^{ab}\partial^{c}h_{ab}\right)+\frac{\alpha_{c}}{2}\partial_{b}h^{ab}\partial^{c}h_{ac}$$

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} k^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{1} k^{2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (0), \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \left( -\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} \right) k^{2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\alpha_{1} k^{2}}{2} \\ -\frac{\alpha_{1} k^{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}, (0) \right\}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\left(-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)k^{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}k^{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)k^{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{\alpha_{1}k^{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Square masses:

{{\}}, {\}}, {\}}, {\}}

Massive pole residues:

{{\}}, {{\}}, {{\}}, {{\}}, {{\}}}

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{ -\frac{4p^{2}}{\alpha_{1}}, -\frac{2p^{2}}{\alpha_{1}}, -\frac{2\left(2\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)p^{2}}{\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)}, -\frac{2\left(2\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)p^{2}}{\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)}, -\frac{2\left(2\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)p^{2}}{\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)}, -\frac{2\left(2\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)p^{2}}{\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)}, -\frac{2\left(2\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)p^{2}}{\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)}, -\frac{\left(6\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)p^{2}}{4\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)}, -\frac{\left(6\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)p^{2}}{4\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)}, -\frac{\left(6\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)p^{2}}{2\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)}, -\frac$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

False

So this variation has no gauge symmetries, too many propagating species and no hope of unitarity.

## Sick Fierz-Pauli (second variation)

This time let's wiggle the third term.

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha}{2} \partial_{c} h^{\alpha b} \partial^{c} h_{\alpha b} + \frac{\alpha}{1} \left( -\partial^{\alpha} h_{\alpha b} \partial^{b} h^{c}_{c} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{b} h^{\alpha}_{\alpha} \partial^{b} h^{c}_{c} + \partial_{b} h^{\alpha b} \partial^{c} h_{\alpha c} \right)$$

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} k^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} k^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (0), \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} k^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\alpha_1 k^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}, (0) \right\}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

{}

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)k^{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{\left(3\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)k^{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) \right\}$$

Square masses:

Massive pole residues:

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{-\frac{2\left(\alpha_{1}-2\alpha_{2}\right)p^{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\alpha_{2}}, -\frac{2\left(\alpha_{1}-2\alpha_{2}\right)p^{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\alpha_{2}}, \frac{2\left(\alpha_{1}-2\alpha_{2}\right)p^{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\alpha_{2}}, \frac{2\left(\alpha_{1}-2\alpha_{2}\right)p^{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\alpha_{2}}, \frac{2\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\alpha_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)p^{2}}, \frac{2\left(3\alpha_{1}^{2}-4\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}\right)p^{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(3\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(3\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)p^{2}}, \frac{\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}-6\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}+2\alpha_{2}^{2}\right)p^{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(3\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(3\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\alpha_{2}}, \frac{2\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}-6\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}+2\alpha_{2}^{2}\right)p^{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}-6\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}+2\alpha_{2}^{2}\right)p^{2}}, \frac{\left(-2\alpha_{1}^{2}+5\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}-2\alpha_{2}^{2}-\sqrt{4\alpha_{1}^{4}-8\alpha_{1}^{4}-8\alpha_{1}^{3}\alpha_{2}+5\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{2}^{2}}\right)p^{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(3\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\alpha_{2}}, \frac{\left(-2\alpha_{1}^{2}+5\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}-2\alpha_{2}^{2}-\sqrt{4\alpha_{1}^{4}-8\alpha_{1}^{4}-8\alpha_{1}^{3}\alpha_{2}+5\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{2}^{2}}\right)p^{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(3\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\alpha_{2}}, \frac{\left(-2\alpha_{1}^{2}+5\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}-2\alpha_{2}^{2}-\sqrt{4\alpha_{1}^{4}-8\alpha_{1}^{3}\alpha_{2}+5\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{2}^{2}}\right)p^{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(3\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\alpha_{2}}, \frac{\left(-2\alpha_{1}^{2}+5\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}-2\alpha_{2}^{2}+\sqrt{4\alpha_{1}^{4}-8\alpha_{1}^{3}\alpha_{2}+5\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{2}^{2}}\right)p^{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(3\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\alpha_{2}}, \frac{\left(-2\alpha_{1}^{2}+5\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}-2\alpha_{2}^{2}+\sqrt{4\alpha_{1}^{4}-8\alpha_{1}^{3}\alpha_{2}+5\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{2}^{2}}\right)p^{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(3\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\alpha_{2}}, \frac{\left(-2\alpha_{1}^{2}+5\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}-2\alpha_{2}^{2}+\sqrt{4\alpha_{1}^{4}-8\alpha_{1}^{3}\alpha_{2}+5\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{2}^{2}}\right)p^{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(3\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\alpha_{2}}, \frac{\left(-2\alpha_{1}^{2}+5\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}-2\alpha_{2}^{2}+\sqrt{4\alpha_{1}^{4}-8\alpha_{1}^{3}\alpha_{2}+5\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{2}^{2}}\right)p^{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(3\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\alpha_{2}}, \frac{\left(-2\alpha_{1}^{2}+5\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}-2\alpha_{2}^{2}+\sqrt{4\alpha_{1}^{4}-8\alpha_{1}^{3}\alpha_{2}+5\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{2}^{2}}\right)p^{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(3\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\alpha_{2}}, \frac{\left(-2\alpha_{1}^{2}+5\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}-2\alpha_{2}^{2}+\sqrt{4\alpha_{1}^{4}-8\alpha_{1}^{3}\alpha_{2}+5\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{2}^{2}}\right)p^{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(3\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\alpha_{2}}, \frac{\left(-2\alpha_{1}^{2}+6\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}-2\alpha_{2}^{2}+\sqrt{4\alpha_{1}^{4}-8\alpha_{1}^{3}\alpha_{2}+5\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{2}^{2}}\right)p^{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(3\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(3\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(3\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\alpha_{2}^{2}}}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

False

Again this variation has no gauge symmetries, too many propagating species and no hope of unitarity.

## Sick Fierz-Pauli (third variation)

This time let's wiggle the second term.

$$-\frac{\alpha}{2} \partial^{a} h_{ab} \partial^{b} h_{c}^{c} + \frac{\alpha}{1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_{b} h_{a}^{0} \partial^{b} h_{c}^{c} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{c} h_{ab}^{ab} \partial^{c} h_{ab} + \partial_{b} h_{ab}^{ab} \partial^{c} h_{ac} \right)$$

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1} - \alpha_{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha_{1} k^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_{1} - 2 \alpha_{2}) k^{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha_{1} k^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_{1} - 2 \alpha_{2}) k^{2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (0), (0), \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\alpha_{1} k^{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}, (0) \right\}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \end{array} \right\}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{4\alpha_{1}}{(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2})(\alpha_{1}+3\alpha_{2})k^{2}} & -\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{(\alpha_{1}+3\alpha_{2})k^{2}} \\
-\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{(\alpha_{1}+3\alpha_{2})k^{2}} & \frac{4}{(\alpha_{1}+3\alpha_{2})k^{2}}
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (0), (0), \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{\alpha_{1}k^{2}} \end{pmatrix}, (0) \right\}$$

Square masses:

{{\}}, {\}}, {\}}, {\}}

Massive pole residues:

{{\}}, {\}}, {\}}, {\}}

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{-\frac{4 p^{2}}{\alpha_{1}}, \frac{2\left(-\alpha_{1}^{2}-2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{1}+3 \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) p^{2}}{\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}+3 \alpha_{2}\right)}, \frac{2\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}-2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{1}+5 \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) p^{2}}{\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}+3 \alpha_{2}\right)}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$\left(p < 0 \&\& \alpha_{1} < 0 \&\& \left(\alpha_{2} < \alpha_{1} || \alpha_{2} > -\frac{\alpha_{1}}{3}\right)\right) || \left(p > 0 \&\& \alpha_{1} < 0 \&\& \left(\alpha_{2} < \alpha_{1} || \alpha_{2} > -\frac{\alpha_{1}}{3}\right)\right) || \left(p > 0 \&\& \alpha_{1} < 0 \&\& \left(\alpha_{2} < \alpha_{1} || \alpha_{2} > -\frac{\alpha_{1}}{3}\right)\right) || \left(p > 0 \&\& \alpha_{2} < \alpha_{2} || \alpha_{2} < \alpha_{3} || \alpha_{2} > -\frac{\alpha_{1}}{3}\right)\right) || \left(p > 0 \&\& \alpha_{3} < \alpha_{4} || \alpha_{4} < \alpha_{5} || \alpha_{5} < \alpha_{5} < \alpha_{5} || \alpha_{5} < \alpha_{5} < \alpha_{5} < \alpha_{5} || \alpha_{5} < \alpha_{5} <$$

This time we have what looks to be a viable theory

with an extra massless scalar. However the diffeomorphism gauge symmetry has been lost, and the stress-energy tensor is not conserved.

## Sick Fierz-Pauli (fourth variation)

This time let's wiggle the first term.

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{\partial_b h^a}{\partial_b h^c} \frac{\partial^b h^c}{\partial_b h^c} + \frac{\alpha}{1} \left( -\partial^a h_{ab} \partial^b h^c}{\partial^b h^c} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_c h^{ab} \partial^c h_{ab} + \partial_b h^{ab} \partial^c h_{ac} \right)$$

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \left( -\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} \right) k^{2} & \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} \left( \frac{\alpha_{2} k^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( -2\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} \right) k^{2} \right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} \left( \frac{\alpha_{2} k^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( -2\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} \right) k^{2} \right) & \frac{1}{2} \left( -\alpha_{1} + 3\alpha_{2} \right) k^{2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (0), (0), \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\alpha_{1} k^{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}, (0) \right\}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{T} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{T} \end{array} \right\}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\alpha_{1}-3\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)k^{2}} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\alpha_{1}k^{2}} \\
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\alpha_{1}k^{2}} & \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}k^{2}}
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (0), (0), \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{\alpha_{1}k^{2}} \end{pmatrix}, (0)\right\}$$

Square masses:

{{\}}, {\}}, {\}}, {\}}

Massive pole residues:

{{\}}, {\}}, {\}}, {\}}

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{-\frac{4 p^2}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}, -\frac{4 p^2}{\alpha_1}, -\frac{2 p^2}{\alpha_1}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$(p < 0 \&\& \alpha_1 < 0 \&\& \alpha_2 > \alpha_1) || (p > 0 \&\& \alpha_1 < 0 \&\& \alpha_2 > \alpha_1)$$

Another case with a partial gauge symmetry and an extra scalar mode.

#### Sick massive gravity

Finally, let's break the `Fierz-Pauli tuning'.

$$\frac{\alpha_{c}}{2} h_{ab} h^{ab} - \frac{\alpha_{c}}{3} h^{a}_{a} h^{b}_{b} + \frac{\alpha_{c}}{1} \left( -\partial^{a}h_{ab} \partial^{b}h^{c}_{c} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{b}h^{a}_{a} \partial^{b}h^{c}_{c} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{c}h^{ab} \partial^{c}h_{ab} + \partial_{b}h^{ab} \partial^{c}h_{ac} \right)$$

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \alpha_{\cdot} - 2 \alpha_{\cdot} \\ \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \alpha_{\cdot} - 2 \alpha_{\cdot} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} & -\sqrt{3} \alpha_{\cdot} \\ -\sqrt{3} \alpha_{\cdot} & \alpha_{\cdot} - 3 \alpha_{\cdot} + \alpha_{\cdot} k^{2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (0), \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\cdot} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \alpha_{\cdot} - \alpha_{\cdot} k^{2} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}, (0) \right\}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{\alpha_{.}+\alpha_{.}} \left( \frac{1}{1-\frac{3\alpha_{.}}{\alpha_{.}-3\alpha_{.}+\alpha_{.}k^{2}}} \right) & \frac{\sqrt{3}\alpha_{.}}{\alpha_{.}} \left( \frac{\alpha_{.}-4\alpha_{.}}{2} \right) + \alpha_{.}\left( \frac{\alpha_{.}-\alpha_{.}}{2} \right) k^{2} \\
\sqrt{3}\alpha_{.} & & & \\
\frac{1}{\alpha_{.}\left( \frac{\alpha_{.}-4\alpha_{.}}{2} \right) + \alpha_{.}\left( \frac{\alpha_{.}-\alpha_{.}}{2} \right) k^{2}} & \frac{1}{\frac{\alpha_{.}\left( \frac{\alpha_{.}-4\alpha_{.}}{2} \right)}{\alpha_{.}-\alpha_{.}} + \alpha_{.}k^{2}} \\
\frac{\alpha_{.}\left( \frac{\alpha_{.}-4\alpha_{.}}{2} \right) + \alpha_{.}\left( \frac{\alpha_{.}-\alpha_{.}}{2} \right) k^{2}}{\frac{\alpha_{.}\left( \frac{\alpha_{.}-4\alpha_{.}}{2} \right)}{\alpha_{.}-\alpha_{.}} + \alpha_{.}k^{2}} & , (0), (0), (0), (0), (0), (0), (0) \\
\end{array} \right\}$$

Square masses:

$$\left\{\left\{-\frac{\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{2}-4\alpha_{3}\right)}{\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, \left\{\frac{2\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}}\right\}, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{ \left\{ \frac{\alpha_{2}^{2} - 2\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3} + 4\alpha_{3}^{2}}{\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{3}^{2}\right)^{2}} \right\}, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, \left\{ -\frac{2}{\alpha_{1}} \right\}, \emptyset \right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

False

The consequence is seen in the positive-parity scalar sector, which develops a massive pole. This is the Boulware-Deser ghost, which always spoils the unitarity of the theory.

Now we set up the general Lagrangian:

$$-\lambda \cdot \mathcal{R}^{ij}_{ij} + \left(\frac{r_{i}}{3} + \frac{r_{i}}{6}\right) \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \left(\frac{2r_{i}}{3} - \frac{2r_{i}}{3}\right) \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \left(\frac{r_{i}}{3} + \frac{r_{i}}{6}\right) \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \left(\frac{r_{i}}{3} + \frac{r_{i}}{6}\right) \mathcal{R}^{ihj}_{ijl} \mathcal{R}^{ihj}_{h} + \left(\frac{r_{i}}{4} - r_{i}\right) \mathcal{R}^{ihj}_{h} \mathcal{R}^{i}_{jil} + \left(\frac{r_{i}}{3} + \frac{r_{i}}{6} - r_{i}\right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \left(\frac{\lambda}{4} + \frac{t_{i}}{3} + \frac{t_{i}}{2}\right) \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{t_{i}}{3} + \frac{t_{i}}{6}\right) \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jhi} + \left(-\lambda \cdot - \frac{t_{i}}{3} + \frac{2t_{i}}{3}\right) \mathcal{T}^{ji}_{h} \mathcal{T}^{jh}_{h}$$

We also knock up some simple tools to linearise the Lagrangian:

\*\* DefConstantSymbol: Defining constant symbol PerturbativeParameter.

Now we would like to check the basic

Einstein-Cartan theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian:

$$t_{i} \mathcal{R}^{ij}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\frac{t_{1}}{1} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha j \, i} \mathcal{A}^{\alpha \, i \, j} + \underbrace{t_{1}}{1} \mathcal{A}^{\alpha \, i}_{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{i \, j}^{j} + 2 \underbrace{t_{1}}{1} f^{\alpha \, i}_{\alpha} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha \, j}^{j} - 2 \underbrace{t_{1}}{1} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{\alpha \, i}_{\alpha} - 2 \underbrace{t_{1}}_{1} f^{\alpha \, i}_{\alpha} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha \, i}^{j}$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ \stackrel{0^+}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == \stackrel{0^+}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp}, \ -2 \ \textit{i} \ \textit{k} \ \stackrel{1^-}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp}{}^{\alpha} \ == \ \stackrel{1^-}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp}{}^{\alpha} \ , \ \stackrel{1^-}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha} \ == \ 0 \ , \ -\textit{i} \ \textit{k} \ \stackrel{1^+}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp}{}^{\alpha \, b} \ == \ \stackrel{1^+}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha \, b} \right\}$$

{{\}}, {\}}, {\}}, {\}}

Massive pole residues:

{{\bar{\}}, {\bar{\}}, {\bar{\}}, {\bar{\}}, {\bar{\}}

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{-\frac{9 p^2}{t_i}, -\frac{9 p^2}{t_i}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$(p < 0 \&\& t < 0) || (p > 0 \&\& t < 0)$$

Okay, so that is the end of the PSALTer output for Einstein-Cartan gravity. What we find are no propagating massive modes, but instead two degrees of freedom in the massive sector. The no-ghost conditions on these massless d.o.f restrict the sign in front of the Einstein-Hilbert term to be negative (which is what we expect for our conventions).

Using Karananas' coefficients, it is particularly easy to also look at GR, instead of Einstein-Cartan theory. The difference here is that the quadratic torsion coefficients are manually removed. Here is the nonlinear Lagrangian:

$$-\frac{\lambda}{2} \cdot \mathcal{R}^{ij}_{ij} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\lambda}{2} \cdot \mathcal{T}_{ijk} \mathcal{T}^{ijk} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda}{2} \cdot \mathcal{T}^{ijk} \mathcal{T}_{jik} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \cdot \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}^{k}_{jk}$$

Here is the linearised theory:

$$-2 \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{b \ i}^{i} \partial_{\alpha} f^{\alpha b} - 2 \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \cdot f^{\alpha b} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{a \ i}^{i} + 2 \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \cdot \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}^{\alpha b}_{a} + 2 \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{b \ i}^{i} \partial^{b} f^{\alpha}_{a} - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{i}_{i} \partial^{b} f^{\alpha}_{a} + 2 \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \cdot f^{\alpha b} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \ b}^{i} - \lambda \cdot \partial_{\alpha} f^{\alpha b} \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + 2 \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{\alpha}_{a} \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + 2 \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{b \ i \ a}^{i} \partial^{i} f^{\alpha b} - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \cdot \partial_{\alpha} f^{\alpha b} \partial_{i} f^{\alpha b} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \cdot \partial_{\alpha} f^{\alpha b} \partial^{i} f^{\alpha b} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \cdot \partial_{b} f_{\alpha i} \partial^{i} f^{\alpha b} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \cdot \partial_{i} f_{\alpha b} \partial^{i} f^{\alpha b} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \cdot \partial_{i} f_{\alpha b} \partial^{i} f^{\alpha b}$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 0^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \tau^\perp ^\alpha \; + \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \tau^\perp ^\alpha \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^\alpha \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^\alpha ^b \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 2^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 2^+ \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\parallel ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^{\alpha \, b \, c} \; ==0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix} \sigma^\perp ^{\alpha \,$$

{{\bar{\}}, {\bar{\}}, {\bar{\}}, {\bar{\}}, {\bar{\}}

Massive pole residues:

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{\frac{p^2}{\lambda_{\cdot}}, \frac{p^2}{\lambda_{\cdot}}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$\left(p < 0 \&\& \lambda : > 0\right) || \left(p > 0 \&\& \lambda : > 0\right)$$

Thus, the conclusions are the same, as expected.

We are now ready to check that PSALTer is getting the physics right by running it on the 58 theories in arXiv:1910.14197.

# Performing the survey

#### Case 1

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 1 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{6} r_{2} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} r_{2} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \left(\frac{r_{3}}{2} + r_{5}\right) \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{jhl}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{r_{2} - 6 r_{3}}{3}\right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{r_{3} - 2 r_{5}}{3}\right) \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{hjl}^{ij} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{t_{2}}{2} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t_{2}}{2} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} + r \right) \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial_{f}^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial_{f}^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{bia} \partial_{f}^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{a} f_{bi} \partial_{f}^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{a} f_{ib} \partial_{f}^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial_{f}^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{i} f_{ab} \partial_{f}^{ab} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( r + 2 r \right) \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}^{$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} k^2 \left( \frac{r}{3} + 2 \frac{r}{5} \right) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\begin{cases} \overset{0}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0 \,, \, \overset{0}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0 \,, \, \overset{0}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} == 0 \,, \, \, \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp^{\alpha}} == 0 \,, \, \, \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == 0 \,, \\ \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha}} == 0 \,, \, \, \tilde{i} \, k \, \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha b}} == \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} \,, \, \, \overset{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha b c}} == 0 \,, \, \, \overset{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{t}{r}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{-\frac{45 r.^{2} + 20 r. r. + 4 r.^{2}}{r. (2 r. + r.) (r. + 2 r.)}, -\frac{45 r.^{2} + 20 r. r. + 4 r.^{2}}{r. (2 r. + r.) (r. + 2 r.)}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$\left( \frac{r}{2} < 0 \&\& \frac{r}{3} < 0 \&\& \frac{r}{5} < -\frac{\frac{r}{3}}{2} \&\& \frac{t}{2} > 0 \right) ||$$

$$\left( \frac{r}{2} < 0 & \frac{1}{3} < 0 & \frac{1}{3} < 0 & \frac{1}{3} < \frac{r}{3} > -2 & \frac{r}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{2} > 0 \right) \left\| \left( \frac{r}{2} < 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} > 0 & \frac{1}{3} < \frac{r}{3} < \frac{r}$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

#### Case 2

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 2 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{6} \frac{r}{2} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r}{2} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \left(\frac{r}{3} + r\right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{jhl} + \frac{1}{6} \left(r\right) - 6 \frac{r}{3} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \frac{1}{2} \left(r\right) - 2 \frac{r}{5} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{3} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}_{jh} + \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{T}_{jh} + \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{T}_{jh} + \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{T}_{jh} + \frac{1}{3$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{3} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{bi} + \frac{4}{3} \frac{t}{3} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{bi} \partial_{a} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{t}{3} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{bi} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{3} \partial_{b} f^{ab}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{ab}_{b} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{t}{3} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} + \left( -\frac{r_{3}}{3} + r_{5} \right) \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}^{i}_{ij} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} + \left( -\frac{r_{3}}{3} - r_{5} \right) \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{bj} \partial_{a} \partial_{b} f^{ab}_{a} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{3} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{t}{3} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} \partial_{b} \partial_{b}$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} \left( 4 \, k^2 \left( 2 \, r_{3} + r_{5} \right) + \frac{8t_{5}}{2} \right) & \frac{\frac{2}{3} \left( -k^2 \, r_{5} + t_{5} \right) + \frac{2}{3} \left( k^2 \, r_{5} + t_{5} \right)}{2 \, \sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{3} \, i \, \sqrt{2} \, k \, t_{5} & 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \\ & \frac{\frac{2}{3} \left( -k^2 \, r_{5} + t_{5} \right) + \frac{2}{3} \left( k^2 \, r_{5} + t_{5} \right)}{2 \, \sqrt{2}} & \frac{t_{5}}{2} & -\frac{1}{3} \, i \, k \, t_{5} & 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \\ & \frac{1}{3} \, i \, \sqrt{2} \, k \, t_{5} & \frac{i \, k \, t_{5}}{2} & \frac{i \, k \, t_{5}}{3} & \frac{k^2 \, t_{5}}{3} & 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ \stackrel{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0 \;,\; 2 \; k \; \stackrel{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} + i \; \stackrel{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0 \;,\; \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp}{}^{\alpha} \; == 0 \;,\; -2 \; i \; k \; \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp}{}^{\alpha} \; == \; \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha} \;,\; i \; k \; \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp}{}^{\alpha b} \; == \; \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} \;,\; \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} \; == 0 \;,\; \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} \; == 0 \;,\; \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp}{}^{\alpha b} \; == 0 \;,\; \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp}{}^{\alpha b} \; == 0 \;,\; \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp}{}^{\alpha b} \; ==$$

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{t}{r}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{-\frac{403r.^{2}+172r.r.+28r.^{2}}{6r.\left(2r.+r.\right)\left(r.+2r.\right)}, -\frac{403r.^{2}+172r.r.+28r.^{2}}{6r.\left(2r.+r.\right)\left(r.+2r.\right)}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

#### Case 3

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 3 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{6} \frac{r}{2} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r}{2} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{r}{5} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{jhl} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{r}{2} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} - \frac{r}{5} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{t}{1} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{t}{1} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{1} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} & t_{1} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{a \, i \, b} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{1}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{a \, b}_{a} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{i}_{b \, i} - \frac{2}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{i}_{b \, i} \cdot \partial_{a} f^{a \, b} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{b \, i}_{b \, i} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{i}_{i} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{i}_{i} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{i}_{i} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \partial_{a} f^{a \, b} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{i}_{a} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{i}_{a} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\perp} == 0 \;,\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel} == 0 \;,\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\parallel} == 0 \;,\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\perp}{}^{\alpha} \; == 0 \;,\; -2\,i\,k\,\, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\perp}{}^{\alpha} == \, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha}{}^{b} \; == \, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha}{}^{b} \;,\; 2\,i\,k\,\, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha}{}^{b} == \, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha}{}^{b} \right\}$$

$$\left\{0, \left\{\frac{t_{i}}{r_{i}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{-\frac{7t_{.}^{2}+2r_{.}t_{.}p^{2}+4r_{.}^{2}p^{4}}{2r_{.}t_{.}^{2}t_{.}^{2}},-\frac{7t_{.}^{2}+2r_{.}t_{.}p^{2}+4r_{.}^{2}p^{4}}{2r_{.}t_{.}^{2}}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$p \in \mathbb{R} \&\&r. < 0 \&\&r. < 0 \&\&t. < 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

#### Case 4

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 4 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{3} r_{1} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3} r_{1} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + r_{5} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{jhl} - \frac{2}{3} r_{1} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} - \frac{1}{5} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{4} t_{1} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}_{jh}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} & \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \, \mathcal{A}_{a \, i \, b} \, \, \, \, \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} \, + \frac{1}{3} \, \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \, \, \mathcal{A}^{a \, b}_{a} \, \, \, \, \, \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} \, - \frac{2}{3} \, \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \, \, \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} \, \, \, \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b} + \frac{2}{3} \, \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \, \, \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \, - \frac{1}{3} \, \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \, \partial_{b} f^{i}_{b} \, \, \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \, - \frac{2}{3} \, \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \, \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \, \, \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + r_{5} \, \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{i \, j}^{i} \, \, \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b}_{a} \, - r_{5} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{b \, j}^{j} \, \, \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b}_{a} + 2 \, \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \, \mathcal{A}_{b \, i \, a} \, \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{a} \, \partial_{i} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \underbrace{t}_{1} \, \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\parallel} == 0\,,\,\, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel} == 0\,,\,\, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\perp} == 0\,,\,\, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\perp}}^{\alpha} == 0\,,\,\, -2\,i\,k\,\, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\perp}}^{\alpha} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha}\,,\,\,i\,k\,\, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\perp}}^{\alpha} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha}\,,\,\, 2\,i\,k\,\, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha}\,,\,\, -2\,i\,k\,\, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\perp}}^{\alpha} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha}\,,\,\, -2\,i\,k\,\, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\perp}}^{\alpha} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha}\,,\,\, -2\,i\,k\,\, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\perp}}^{\alpha} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha}\,,\,\, -2\,i\,k\,\, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\perp}}^{\alpha} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha}\,,\,\, -2\,i\,k\,\, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\perp}{\sigma}^{\perp}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{2}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & -\frac{2i\sqrt{2}k}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & 0 \\
\frac{2i\sqrt{2}k}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & \frac{4k^2}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2}{2k^2 t_1 + t_1}
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left\{\emptyset,\,\emptyset,\,\emptyset,\,\emptyset,\,\emptyset,\,\left\{-\frac{t_{i}}{2r_{i}}\right\}\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_i}\right\}\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{-\frac{7t_{1}^{2}+2r_{1}t_{1}p^{2}+2r_{5}t_{1}p^{2}+4r_{1}^{2}p^{4}+8r_{1}r_{5}p^{4}+4r_{5}^{2}p^{4}}{2\left(r_{1}+r_{5}\right)t_{1}^{2}},\right.$$

$$\left.-\frac{7t_{1}^{2}+2r_{1}t_{1}p^{2}+2r_{5}t_{1}p^{2}+4r_{1}^{2}p^{4}+8r_{1}r_{5}p^{4}+4r_{5}^{2}p^{4}}{2\left(r_{1}+r_{5}\right)t_{1}^{2}}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$p \in \mathbb{R} \&\&r. < 0 \&\&r. < -r. \&\&t. > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r < 0 && r < -r && t > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

#### Case 5

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 5 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{3}r_{i}\mathcal{R}_{ijhl}\mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3}r_{i}\mathcal{R}_{ihjl}\mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + r_{5}\mathcal{R}^{ijhl}\mathcal{R}_{jhl} - \frac{2}{3}r_{i}\mathcal{R}^{ijhl}\mathcal{R}_{hlij} - r_{5}\mathcal{R}^{ijh}\mathcal{R}_{jhl} + \frac{1}{3}t_{i}\mathcal{T}_{ijh}\mathcal{R}_{hlij} + r_{5}\mathcal{R}^{ijhl}\mathcal{R}_{jhl} + r_{5}\mathcal{R}^{ijhl}\mathcal{R}_{jhl} + r_{5}\mathcal{R}^{ijhl}\mathcal{R}_{jhl} + r_{5}\mathcal{R}^{ijhl}\mathcal{R}_{jhl} + r_{5}\mathcal{R}^{ijhl}\mathcal{R}_{hlij} + r_{5}\mathcal{R}_{hlij} + r_{5}\mathcal{R}_{hl$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + t_{1} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} - 2 t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \partial_{a} f^{ab} + 2 t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - t_{1} \partial_{a} f^{ab} \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + 2 t_{1} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + r_{5} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{i} \partial^{b} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - r_{5} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{i} \partial^{b} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - r_{5} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{i} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - r_{5} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{i} \partial^{b} f^{ab}_{a} - r_{5} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abi}^{i} \partial^{b} f^{ab}_{a} - r_{5} \partial^{b} \mathcal{A}_{abi}^{i} \partial^{b} f^{ab}_{a} - r_{5} \partial^{a} \mathcal{A}_{abi}^{i} \partial^{b} f^{ab}_{a} - r_{5} \partial^{a} \mathcal{A}_{abi}^{i} \partial^{b} f^{ab}_{a} - r_{5} \partial^{a} \mathcal{A}_{abi}^{i} \partial^{a} f^{abi}_{a} - r_{5} \partial^{a} \mathcal{A}_{abi}^{i} \partial^{a} f^{abi}_{a} - r_{5} \partial^{a} f^{ab}_{a} \partial^{a} f^{abi}_{a} - r_{5$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(2 k^{2} \left(r_{1} + r_{5}\right) - t_{1}\right) & \frac{t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & i k t_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -i k t_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{t_{1}}{2} & -\frac{i k t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
\frac{i k t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\begin{cases} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} == 0, \ \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0, \ 2 k \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} + i \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0, \ \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} \overset{\circ}{} == 0, \\ -2 i k \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp} \overset{\circ}{} == \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} \overset{\circ}{}, \ i k \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp} \overset{\circ}{} == \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} \overset{\circ}{} , \ 2 i k \overset{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} \overset{\circ}{} == \overset{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} \overset{\circ}{} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{t_1}{2r_1}\right\}\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_i}\right\}\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{\frac{9t^{2} + 4r^{2}t^{2}p^{2} + 2r^{2}t^{2}p^{2} + 8r^{2}p^{4} + 8r^{2}r^{2}p^{4} + 2r^{2}p^{4}}{\left(2r^{2} + r^{2}\right)t^{2}}, \\ \frac{9t^{2} + 4r^{2}t^{2}p^{4} + 8r^{2}r^{2}p^{4} + 8r^{2}r^{2}p^{4} + 8r^{2}r^{2}p^{4} + 8r^{2}r^{2}p^{4} + 8r^{2}r^{2}p^{4} + 8r^{2}r^{2}p^{4} + 2r^{2}p^{4}}{\left(2r^{2} + r^{2}\right)t^{2}}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$p \in \mathbb{R} \&\&r_1 < 0 \&\&r_5 > -2r_1 \&\&t_1 > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r_1 < 0 && r_2 > -2 r_1 && t_2 > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

#### Case 6

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 6 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{6} \frac{r_{2}}{c} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r_{2}}{c} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \left(2 \frac{r_{3}}{c} + \frac{r_{5}}{c}\right) \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{jhl} + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{r_{2}}{c} - 6 \frac{r_{3}}{c}\right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \left(2 \frac{r_{3}}{c} - \frac{r_{5}}{c}\right) \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{t_{1}}{c} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{t_{1}}{c} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{t_{1}}{c} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}_{jh} + \frac{1}{3$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} & t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{a \, i \, b} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b}_{a} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} - \frac{2}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} \partial_{a} f^{a \, b} + \frac{2}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \partial_{a} f^{a \, b} \partial_{f}^{a \, b} \partial_{f}^{i}_{b} + \frac{2}{3} t_{1} \partial_{b}^{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{f}^{i}_{b} + \left(-2 r_{3} + r_{5}\right) \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{i \, j}^{i} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b}_{a} + \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \partial_{a} f^{a \, b} \partial_{f}^{a \, b} \partial_{$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\perp} == 0 \;,\;\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\perp}}^{\alpha} == 0 \;,\;\; - 2 \, i \, k \, \, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\perp}}^{\alpha} == \, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau}}^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha} \;,\; i \, k \, \, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\perp}}^{\alpha b} == \, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}}^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}}^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} == \, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}}^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} \right\}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{2}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & -\frac{2i\sqrt{2}k}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & 0 \\
\frac{2i\sqrt{2}k}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & \frac{4k^2}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2}{t_1}
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left\{0, \left\{\frac{t_{i}}{r_{i}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{-\frac{7t_{1}^{2}+4r_{3}t_{1}p^{2}+2r_{5}t_{1}p^{2}+16r_{3}^{2}p^{4}+16r_{3}r_{5}p^{4}+4r_{5}^{2}p^{4}}{2\left(2r_{3}+r_{5}\right)t_{1}^{2}},\right.$$

$$\left.-\frac{7t_{1}^{2}+4r_{3}t_{1}p^{2}+2r_{5}t_{1}p^{2}+16r_{3}^{2}p^{4}+16r_{3}r_{5}p^{4}+4r_{5}^{2}p^{4}}{2\left(2r_{3}+r_{5}\right)t_{1}^{2}}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$(p \mid r) \in \mathbb{R} \& r < 0 \& r < -2 r \& t < 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r. \in \mathbb{R} \&\&r. < 0 \&\&r. < -2r. \&\&t. < 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

#### Case 7

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 7 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \left(-2 r_{i} + 2 r_{3} + r_{5}\right) \mathcal{R}^{ij} \mathcal{R}_{j} \mathcal{R}_{hl} + \frac{1}{3} \left(r_{i} - 3 r_{3}\right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \left(-2 r_{i} + 2 r_{3} - r_{5}\right) \mathcal{R}^{ij} \mathcal{R}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{4} t_{i} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{2} t_{i} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{1}{3} t_{i} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}_{jh}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} & t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{a \, i \, b} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b}_{a} \mathcal{A}^{i}_{b \, i} - \frac{2}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{A}^{i}_{b \, i} \partial_{a} f^{a \, b} + \frac{2}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{A}^{i}_{b \, i} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{i}_{a} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{i}_{a} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{i}_{a} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{a} f^{a}_{b} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{a} f^{a}_{b} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{a} f^{a}_{b} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{a} f^{a}_{b} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{a} f^{a}_{b} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{a} f^{a}_{b} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{a} f^{a}_{b} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{a} f^{a}_{b} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{a} f^{a}_{b} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{a} f^{a}_{b} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial^{b} f^{a}_$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{t}{2} & -\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & k^2 \frac{t}{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(2 k^2 \frac{r}{1} + t \frac{t}{1}\right)
\end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle 0^+}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp} == 0 \,, \, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle 1^-}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\scriptscriptstyle \parallel} == 0 \,, \, -2\,i\,k\, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle 1^-}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle 1^-}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\scriptscriptstyle \parallel}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \,, \, i\,k\, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle 1^+}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle 1^+}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\scriptscriptstyle \parallel}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \,, \, 2\,i\,k\, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle 2^+}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\scriptscriptstyle \parallel}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle 0^+}{=} = {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle 2^+}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\scriptscriptstyle \parallel}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \right\}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{2}{(1+2\,k^2)^2 t_1} & -\frac{2\,i\,\sqrt{2}\,k}{(1+2\,k^2)^2 t_1} & 0 \\
\frac{2\,i\,\sqrt{2}\,k}{(1+2\,k^2)^2 t_1} & \frac{4\,k^2}{(1+2\,k^2)^2 t_1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2}{2\,k^2 t_1 t_1^2 t_1^2}
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{t_i}{2r_i}\right\}\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_i}\right\}\right\}$$

$$\left\{\frac{7\,t_{.}^{\,2}-2\,r_{.}\,t_{.}\,p^{2}+4\,r_{.}\,t_{.}\,p^{2}+2\,r_{.}\,t_{.}\,p^{2}+4\,r_{.}^{\,2}\,p^{4}-16\,r_{.}\,r_{.}\,p^{4}+16\,r_{.}^{\,2}\,p^{4}-8\,r_{.}\,r_{.}\,p^{4}+16\,r_{.}\,r_{.}\,p^{4}+4\,r_{.}^{\,2}\,p^{4}}{2\left(r_{1}-2\,r_{.}-r_{.}\right)t_{.}^{\,2}},\right.$$

$$\left.\frac{7\,t_{.}^{\,2}-2\,r_{.}\,t_{.}\,p^{2}+4\,r_{.}\,t_{.}\,p^{2}+2\,r_{.}\,t_{.}\,p^{2}+4\,r_{.}^{\,2}\,p^{4}-16\,r_{.}\,r_{.}\,p^{4}+16\,r_{.}^{\,2}\,p^{4}-8\,r_{.}\,r_{.}\,p^{4}+16\,r_{.}\,r_{.}\,p^{4}+4\,r_{.}^{\,2}\,p^{4}}{2\left(r_{1}-2\,r_{.}-r_{.}\right)t_{.}^{\,2}}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$(p \mid r) \in \mathbb{R} \&\&r < 0 \&\&r < r - 2r \&\&t > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r. \in \mathbb{R} \&\&r. < 0 \&\&r. < r. - 2r. \&\&t. > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

### Case 8

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 8 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + r_{5} \mathcal{R}^{ij} \mathcal{R}^{h} \mathcal{R}_{jhl} - \frac{2}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} - r_{5} \mathcal{R}^{ij} \mathcal{R}_{hjl} - \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$-\frac{2}{3} t_{3} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \mathcal{A}^{b}_{bi} + \frac{4}{3} t_{3} \mathcal{A}^{b}_{bi} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{b} - \frac{4}{3} t_{3} \mathcal{A}^{b}_{bi} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \partial_{b} f^{i}_{b} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{b} \partial_{i} f^{b}_{b} - \frac{4}{3} t_{3} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} \partial_{$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(2 k^2 \left(r_{1} + r_{2}\right) + \frac{4t_{3}}{3}\right) & -\frac{\sqrt{2} t_{2}}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} i k t_{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2} t_{3}}{3} & \frac{t_{3}}{3} & \frac{1}{3} i \sqrt{2} k t_{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2ikt_{3}}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} i \sqrt{2} k t_{3} & \frac{2k^{2} t_{3}}{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\begin{cases} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} == 0 , & \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0 , 2 k \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} + i \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0 , & \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} == 0 , \\ -2 i k \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} == \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} , & \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} == 0 , & \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} == 0 , & \overset{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} == 0 , & \overset{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} & == 0 \end{cases}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{(1+2\,k^2)^2\,t_3} & -\frac{i\,\sqrt{2}\,k}{(1+2\,k^2)^2\,t_3} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{i\,\sqrt{2}\,k}{(1+2\,k^2)^2\,t_3} & \frac{2\,k^2}{(1+2\,k^2)^2\,t_3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{k^2\left(2\,r_1+r_2\right)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},$$

Square masses:

Massive pole residues:

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{\frac{-5r.^{2}-4r.r.-3r.^{2}}{r.(r.+r.)(2r.+r.)}, \frac{-5r.^{2}-4r.r.-3r.^{2}}{r.(r.+r.)(2r.+r.)}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$(r_1 < 0 \&\& (r_2 < -r_1 || r_2 > -2 r_1)) || (r_1 > 0 \&\& -2 r_1 < r_2 < -r_1)$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$\left(r_{i} < 0 \&\& \left(r_{5} < -r_{i} || r_{5} > -2 r_{i}\right)\right) || \left(r_{i} > 0 \&\& -2 r_{i} < r_{5} < -r_{i}\right)$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

### Case 9

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 9 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{3} \frac{r}{i} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{r}{i} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{r}{5} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{jhl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r}{i} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} - \frac{r}{5} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{hjl}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} & r_{5} \, \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{i \ j}^{\ j} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{\ a} - r_{5} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{b \ j}^{\ ab} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{\ a} - r_{5} \, \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{b \ i}^{\ j} + 2 \, r_{5} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{\ a} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{b \ i}^{\ j} + \\ & r_{5} \, \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{i \ b}^{\ j} - 2 \, r_{5} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{\ a} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{i \ b}^{\ j} - \frac{4}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aij} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aji} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \\ & \frac{8}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ija} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abj} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{1} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\begin{cases} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} == 0 , \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0 , \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0 , \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} == 0 , \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp^{\alpha}} == 0 , \\ \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == 0 , \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha}} == 0 , \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == 0 , \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp^{\alpha b}} == 0 , \overset{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == 0 , \overset{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == 0 \end{cases}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Square masses:

Massive pole residues:

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{\frac{-4r.^{2}-4r.r.-3r.^{2}}{\frac{1}{r.} \left(r.+r.\right) \left(2r.+r.\right)}, \frac{-4r.^{2}-4r.r.-3r.^{2}}{\frac{1}{r.} \left(r.+r.\right) \left(2r.+r.\right)}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions

$$\left(r_{1} < 0 \&\&\left(r_{5} < -r_{1} || r_{5} > -2 r_{1}\right)\right) ||\left(r_{1} > 0 \&\& -2 r_{1} < r_{5} < -r_{1}\right)\right)$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$\left(r_{1} < 0 \&\& \left(r_{5} < -r_{1} || r_{5} > -2 r_{1}\right)\right) || \left(r_{1} > 0 \&\& -2 r_{1} < r_{5} < -r_{1}\right)$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

## Case 10

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 10 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\left(\frac{\frac{r_3}{3} + r_5}{2} + \frac{r_5}{5}\right) \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}^{l}_{jhl} - \frac{r_3}{3} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{r_3 - 2r_5}{3}\right) \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}^{l}_{hjl}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\left(-\frac{r_{3}}{2} + r_{5}\right) \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} + \left(-\frac{r_{3}}{2} - r_{5}\right) \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} + \left(-\frac{r_{3}}{2} - r_{5}\right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} + \left(-\frac{r_{3}}{2} + r_{5}\right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{ab}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} + \left(-\frac{r_{3}}{2} + r_{5}\right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{ib}^{j} + \left(r_{3} - 2r_{5}\right) \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{ib}^{j} - 4r_{3} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ija} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{ija}^{abi}$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} k^2 \begin{pmatrix} r_{.} + 2 r_{.} \\ 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\begin{cases} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} == 0 , \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0 , \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0 , \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} == 0 , \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp^{\alpha}} == 0 , \\ \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == 0 , \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha}} == 0 , \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == 0 , \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha b}} == 0 , \overset{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha b c}} == 0 , \overset{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == 0 \end{cases}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Square masses:

{{\}}, {\}}, {\}}, {\}}

Massive pole residues:

{{\}}, {\}}, {\}}, {\}}

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{-\frac{33r_{3}^{2}+20r_{3}r_{5}+4r_{5}^{2}}{r_{3}\left(2r_{3}+r_{5}\right)\left(r_{3}+2r_{5}\right)},-\frac{33r_{3}^{2}+20r_{3}r_{5}+4r_{5}^{2}}{r_{3}\left(2r_{3}+r_{5}\right)\left(r_{3}+2r_{5}\right)}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$\left(r_{.3} < 0 \&\& \left(r_{.5} < -\frac{r_{.3}}{3} || r_{.5} > -2 r_{.3}\right)\right) || \left(r_{.3} > 0 \&\& -2 r_{.3} < r_{.5} < -\frac{r_{.3}}{3}\right)$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$\left( \frac{r}{3} < 0 \&\& \left( \frac{r}{5} < -\frac{\frac{3}{3}}{2} \| \frac{r}{5} > -2 \frac{r}{3} \right) \right) \| \left( \frac{r}{3} > 0 \&\& -2 \frac{r}{3} < \frac{r}{5} < -\frac{\frac{7}{3}}{2} \right)$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

### Case 11

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 11 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} \frac{r_{.}}{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r_{.}}{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, + \\ &\left(\frac{r_{.}}{3} + r_{.}\right) \, \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{jhl}^{l} + \frac{1}{6} \left(r_{.} - 6 \frac{r_{.}}{3}\right) \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \frac{1}{2} \left(r_{.} - 2 \frac{r_{.}}{3}\right) \, \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{hjl}^{l} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\left(-\frac{r_{.3}}{2} + r_{.5}\right) \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{i \ j}^{\ j} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} + \left(-\frac{r_{.3}}{2} - r_{.5}\right) \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{b \ j}^{\ j} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} + \left(-\frac{r_{.3}}{2} - r_{.5}\right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{b \ i}^{\ j} + \left(r_{.3} + 2 r_{.5}\right) \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{b \ i}^{\ j} + \left(-\frac{r_{.3}}{2} - r_{.5}\right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{b \ i}^{\ j} + \left(r_{.3} + 2 r_{.5}\right) \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{b \ i}^{\ j} + \left(-\frac{r_{.3}}{2} - r_{.5}\right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{b \ i}^{\ j} + \left(-\frac{r_{.3}}{2} - r_{.5}\right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{a}^{\ abi}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{a}^{\ ab}_{a} \partial$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&k^2\frac{r}{2}\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}k^2\left(2\frac{r}{3}+r\frac{r}{5}\right)&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}\right\}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\begin{cases} \overset{0^{+}}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0, & \overset{0^{+}}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0, & \overset{0^{+}}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} == 0, & \overset{1^{-}}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} & == 0, & \overset{1^{-}}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} & == 0, \\ \overset{1^{-}}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp} & == 0, & \overset{1^{+}}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} & \overset{ab}{\cdot} & == 0, & \overset{2^{-}}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} & \overset{ab}{\cdot} & == 0, & \overset{2^{+}}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} & \overset{ab}{\cdot} & == 0 \end{cases}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Massive pole residues:

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{-\frac{33r.^{2}+20r.r.+4r.^{2}}{r.(2r.+r.)(r.+2r.)}, -\frac{33r.^{2}+20r.r.+4r.^{2}}{r.(2r.+r.)(r.+2r.)}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions

$$\left( \frac{r}{3} < 0 & & \left( \frac{r}{5} < -\frac{\frac{3}{3}}{2} \| r \cdot s > -2 r \cdot s \right) \right) \| \left( \frac{r}{3} > 0 & & -2 r \cdot s < \frac{r}{3} < \frac{r}{5} < -\frac{\frac{3}{3}}{2} \right)$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$\left(\frac{r_{\cdot}}{3} < 0 \&\& \left(\frac{r_{\cdot}}{5} < -\frac{\frac{3}{2}}{2} \| \frac{r_{\cdot}}{5} > -2 \frac{r_{\cdot}}{3}\right)\right) \| \left(\frac{r_{\cdot}}{3} > 0 \&\& -2 \frac{r_{\cdot}}{3} < \frac{r_{\cdot}}{5} < -\frac{\frac{3}{2}}{2}\right)$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

# Case 12

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 12 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\left( \frac{\frac{r_{.}}{3}}{2} + r_{.} \right) \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}^{l}_{jhl} - \frac{r_{.}}{3} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \frac{1}{2} \left( r_{.} - 2 r_{.} \right) \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}^{l}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{t_{.}}{2} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t_{.}}{2} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} \mathcal{T}_{jih}$$

$$\frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} + r \right) \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{bia} \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{a} f_{bi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} + \left( -\frac{r}{3} - r \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{a} \partial_{b} \partial_{a} \partial_{a} \partial_{b} \partial_{a} \partial_{a} \partial_{b} \partial_{a} \partial_{a} \partial_{b} \partial_{a} \partial_{$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} k^2 \begin{pmatrix} r_{.} + 2 r_{.} \\ 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\begin{cases} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} == 0 \,, \, \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0 \,, \, \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0 \,, \, \, \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp^{\alpha}} == 0 \,, \, \, \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == 0 \,, \\ \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha}} == 0 \,, \, \, \tilde{\imath} \, k \, \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha b}} == \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} \,, \, \, \overset{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha b c}} == 0 \,, \, \, \overset{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == 0 \end{cases}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Massive pole residues:

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{-\frac{45 r.^{2} + 20 r. r. + 4 r.^{2}}{r. \left(2 r. + r.\right) \left(r. + 2 r.\right)}, -\frac{45 r.^{2} + 20 r. r. + 4 r.^{2}}{r. \left(2 r. + r.\right) \left(r. + 2 r.\right)}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$\left( \frac{r}{3} < 0 \&\& \left( \frac{r}{5} < -\frac{\frac{3}{3}}{2} || \frac{r}{5} > -2 \frac{r}{3} \right) \right) || \left( \frac{r}{3} > 0 \&\& -2 \frac{r}{3} < \frac{r}{5} < -\frac{\frac{3}{3}}{2} \right)$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$\left(\frac{r_{\cdot}}{3} < 0 \&\& \left(\frac{r_{\cdot}}{5} < -\frac{\frac{r_{\cdot}}{3}}{2} || r_{\cdot} > -2 r_{\cdot} \right)\right) || \left(\frac{r_{\cdot}}{3} > 0 \&\& -2 r_{\cdot} < \frac{r_{\cdot}}{3} < \frac{r_{\cdot}}{5} < -\frac{\frac{r_{\cdot}}{3}}{2}\right)$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

# Case 13

Case 13 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} r_{1} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3} r_{1} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \left(-2 r_{1} + 2 r_{3} + r_{5}\right) \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{jhl} + \\ &\frac{1}{3} \left(r_{1} - 3 r_{3}\right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \left(-2 r_{1} + 2 r_{3} - r_{5}\right) \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{hjl} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\begin{cases} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} == 0 , \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0 , \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0 , \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp^{\alpha}} == 0 , \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == 0 , \\ \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha}} == 0 , \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == 0 , \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha b}} == 0 , \overset{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == 0 , \overset{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == 0 \end{cases}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Massive pole residues:

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{\frac{8r.^{2}-16r.r.+12r.^{2}-8r.r.+12r.r.+3r.^{2}}{r.\left(r.-2r.-r.\right)\left(2r.+r.\right)}, \frac{8r.^{2}-16r.r.+12r.^{2}-8r.r.+12r.r.+3r.^{2}}{r.\left(r.-2r.-r.\right)\left(2r.+r.\right)}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions

$$r_3 \in \mathbb{R} \&\& \left( \left| r_1 < 0 \&\& \left| r_5 < r_1 - 2r_3 \right| \right| \right| \left| r_5 > -2r_3 \right| \right) || \left| \left| r_1 > 0 \&\& -2r_3 < r_5 < r_1 - 2r_3 \right| \right|$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r_{3} \in \mathbb{R} \&\& \left(\left(r_{1} < 0 \&\& \left(r_{5} < r_{1} - 2r_{3} || r_{5} > -2r_{3}\right)\right) || \left(r_{1} > 0 \&\& -2r_{3} < r_{5} < r_{1} - 2r_{3}\right)\right) ||$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

## Case 14

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 14 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\left( \frac{\frac{r_{3}}{3} + r_{5}}{2} \right) \mathcal{R}^{ij}_{i}^{k} \mathcal{R}^{l}_{jkl} - \frac{r_{3}}{3} \mathcal{R}^{ijkl} \mathcal{R}_{klij} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{r_{3} - 2r_{5}}{3} \right) \mathcal{R}^{ij}_{i}^{k} \mathcal{R}^{l}_{kjl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t_{3}}{3} \mathcal{T}^{i}_{i}^{j} \mathcal{T}^{k}_{jkl}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$-\frac{2}{3} t_{3} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \mathcal{A}^{b}_{bi} + \frac{4}{3} t_{3} \mathcal{A}^{i}_{bi} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{bi} - \frac{4}{3} t_{3} \mathcal{A}^{i}_{bi} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \partial_{b} f^{i}_{b} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{bi} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} - \frac{4}{3} t_{3} \mathcal{A}^{b}_{bi} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \partial_{b} f^{i}_{bi} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{bi} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{bi} - \frac{4}{3} t_{3} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{bi} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{bi$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\begin{cases} {\overset{\circ}{\cdot}} \sigma^{\parallel} == 0 , \ {\overset{\circ}{\cdot}} \tau^{\perp} == 0 , \ 2 k {\overset{\circ}{\cdot}} \sigma^{\parallel} + i {\overset{\circ}{\cdot}} \tau^{\parallel} == 0 , \ {\overset{1}{\cdot}} \tau^{\perp} {\overset{\circ}{\cdot}} == 0 , \\ -2 i k {\overset{1}{\cdot}} {\overset{\circ}{\cdot}} \sigma^{\perp} {\overset{\circ}{\cdot}} == {\overset{1}{\cdot}} \tau^{\parallel} {\overset{\circ}{\cdot}} , \ {\overset{1}{\cdot}} \tau^{\parallel} {\overset{\circ}{\cdot}} == 0 , \ {\overset{1}{\cdot}} \sigma^{\perp} {\overset{\circ}{\cdot}} == 0 , \ {\overset{2}{\cdot}} \sigma^{\parallel} {\overset{\circ}{\cdot}} {\overset{\circ}{\cdot}} == 0 , \ {\overset{2}{\cdot}} \tau^{\parallel} {\overset{\circ}{\cdot}} {\overset{\circ}{\cdot}} == 0 \end{cases}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Massive pole residues:

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{\frac{\frac{-445\,r.^2-268\,r.\,r.-52\,r.^2}{3}}{12\,r.\,\left(2\,r.\,+r.\right)\left(r.\,+2\,r.\right)},\,\frac{\frac{-445\,r.^2-268\,r.\,r.-52\,r.^2}{3}}{12\,r.\,\left(2\,r.\,+r.\right)\left(r.\,+2\,r.\right)}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$\left( \frac{r}{3} < 0 & & \left( \frac{r}{5} < -\frac{\frac{r}{3}}{2} || r_{5} > -2 r_{3} \right) \right) || \left( \frac{r}{3} > 0 & & -2 r_{3} < \frac{r}{5} < -\frac{\frac{r}{3}}{2} \right)$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$\left(r_{3} < 0 \&\& \left(r_{5} < -\frac{r_{3}}{2} || r_{5} > -2 r_{3}\right)\right) || \left(r_{3} > 0 \&\& -2 r_{3} < r_{5} < -\frac{r_{3}}{2}\right)$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

# Case 15

$$\frac{\binom{r_{3}}{3} + r_{5}}{2} \Re_{i}^{ij} \Re_{j}^{h} \Re_{j}^{l} - r_{3} \Re_{j}^{ijhl} \Re_{hlij} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{r_{3} - 2r_{5}}{3} \Re_{i}^{ij} \Re_{hjl} + \frac{1}{12} t_{2} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{ijh}^{ijh} - \frac{1}{6} t_{2} \mathcal{T}_{ijh}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih}^{ijh} - \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \mathcal{T}_{i}^{ij} \mathcal{T}_{jh}^{h}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{3} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{bi} + \frac{4}{3} \frac{t}{3} \mathcal{A}^{i}_{bi} \partial_{a} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{t}{3} \mathcal{A}^{i}_{bi} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{3} \partial_{a} f^{ab} \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{t}{3} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + \left( -\frac{r_{3}}{2} + r_{5} \right) \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}^{i}_{ij} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{3} \partial_{a} f^{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{t}{3} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{3} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{a} \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{3} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{a} \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{t}{3} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{a} \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{3} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{a} \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{3} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{a} \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{3} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{a} \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{3} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{a} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{3} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{a} \partial^{i} f$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\perp} == 0\;,\; 2\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\parallel} + i\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel} == 0\;,\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\perp}^{\alpha} \; == 0\;,\; -2\;i\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\perp}^{\alpha} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}^{\alpha} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}^{\alpha} \; b\; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}^{\alpha} \; b\; ==\; 0\;,\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}^{\alpha} \; b\; =\; 0\;,\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

{{\bar{\}}, {\bar{\}}, {\bar{\}}, {\bar{\}}, {\bar{\}}

Massive pole residues:

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{-\frac{\frac{403r.^{2}+172r.r.+28r.^{2}}{355}}{\frac{6r.}{3}\left(2r.+r.\right)\left(r.+2r.\right)}{\left(3r.+r.\right)\left(r.+2r.\right)}, -\frac{\frac{403r.^{2}+172r.r.+28r.^{2}}{355}}{\frac{6r.}{3}\left(2r.+r.\right)\left(r.+2r.\right)}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$\left( \frac{r}{3} < 0 \&\& \left( \frac{r}{5} < -\frac{\frac{3}{3}}{2} \| \frac{r}{5} > -2 \frac{r}{3} \right) \right) \| \left( \frac{r}{3} > 0 \&\& -2 \frac{r}{3} < \frac{r}{5} < -\frac{\frac{r}{3}}{2} \right)$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$\left(r_{3} < 0 \&\& \left(r_{5} < -\frac{r_{3}}{2} || r_{5} > -2 r_{3}\right)\right) || \left(r_{3} > 0 \&\& -2 r_{3} < r_{5} < -\frac{r_{3}}{2}\right)$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

### Case 16

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 16 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{6} \frac{r}{2} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r}{2} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \left(\frac{r}{3} + r\right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{jhl}^{ij} + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{r}{2} - 6r\right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \frac{1}{2} \left(r\right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hjl}^{ij} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{3} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jhl}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$-\frac{2}{3} t_{3} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \mathcal{A}^{b}_{i} + \frac{4}{3} t_{3} \mathcal{A}^{i}_{b} \partial_{a} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} t_{3} \mathcal{A}^{i}_{b} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \partial_{b} f^{i}_{b} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{4}{3} t_{3} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{4}{3} t_{3} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} \partial_{b} f^{a}$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\begin{cases} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0, \ 2 \ k \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} + i \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0, \ 1 \cdot \tau^{\perp^{\alpha}} == 0, \\ -2 \ i \ k & 1 \cdot \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha}} == \frac{1}{\cdot \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}}}, \ 1 \cdot \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == 0, \ 1 \cdot \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha b}} == 0, \ 2 \cdot \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha b c}} == 0, \ 2 \cdot \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == 0 \end{cases}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Square masses:

Massive pole residues:

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{\frac{-445 r.^{2} - 268 r. r. - 52 r.^{2}}{12 r. \left(2 r. + r.\right) \left(r. + 2 r.\right)}, \frac{-445 r.^{2} - 268 r. r. - 52 r.^{2}}{12 r. \left(2 r. + r.\right) \left(r. + 2 r.\right)}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$\left( r_{.} < 0 \&\& \left( r_{.} < -\frac{3}{2} || r_{.} > -2 r_{.} \right) \right) || \left( r_{.} > 0 \&\& -2 r_{.} < r_{.} < \frac{r_{.}}{2} \right)$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$\left(r_{3} < 0 \&\& \left(r_{5} < -\frac{r_{3}}{2} || r_{5} > -2 r_{3}\right)\right) || \left(r_{3} > 0 \&\& -2 r_{3} < r_{5} < -\frac{r_{3}}{2}\right)$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

#### Case 17

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 17 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$r_{5} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}^{l}_{jhl} - r_{5} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}^{l}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{4} t_{1} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jih}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} & t_{1} \, \, \mathcal{A}_{a\,i\,b} \, \, \, \mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,i} \, + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1} \, \, \mathcal{A}^{a\,b}_{\quad a} \, \, \, \mathcal{A}_{b\,\,i}^{\quad i} \, - \frac{2}{3} \, t_{1} \, \, \mathcal{A}_{b\,\,i}^{\quad i} \, \, \, \partial_{0} f^{a\,b} \, + \frac{2}{3} \, t_{1} \, \, \mathcal{A}_{b\,\,i}^{\quad i} \, \, \partial^{b} f^{a}_{\quad a} \, - \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{b} f^{i}_{\quad i} \, \, \partial^{b} f^{a}_{\quad a} \, - \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{b} f^{i}_{\quad i} \, \, \partial^{b} f^{a}_{\quad a} \, - \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{b} f^{a}_{\quad b} \, \partial_{i} f^{i}_{\quad b} \, + \frac{2}{3} \, t_{1} \, \partial^{b} f^{a}_{\quad a} \, \partial_{i} f^{i}_{\quad b} \, + r_{5} \, \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{i\,\,j}^{\quad j} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{a\,b}_{\quad a} \, - r_{5} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{b\,\,j}^{\quad j} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{a\,b}_{\quad a} \, + 2 \, t_{1} \, \, \mathcal{A}_{b\,i\,a} \, \partial^{i} f^{a\,b} \, - \\ & t_{1} \, \partial_{a} f_{\,b\,\,i} \, \partial^{i} f^{a\,b} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a} f_{\,i\,b} \, \partial^{i} f^{a\,b} \, - \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{b} f_{\,a\,\,i} \, \partial^{i} f^{a\,b} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{i} f_{\,a\,b} \, \partial^{i} f^{a\,b} \, - \\ & t_{1} \, \partial_{a} f_{\,b\,\,i} \, \partial^{i} f^{a\,b} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a} f_{\,i\,b} \, \partial^{i} f^{a\,b} \, - \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a} f_{\,a\,\,i} \, \partial^{i} f^{a\,b} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a} f_{\,a\,\,b} \, \partial^{i} f^{a\,b} \, - \\ & r_{5} \, \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,\,i} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{b\,\,i}^{\,j} \, + 2 \, r_{5} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{a\,b}_{\,\,a} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{b\,\,i}^{\,j} \, + r_{5} \, \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,\,i} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a\,\,b}^{\,i} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a\,\,b}^$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \sigma \end{smallmatrix} \right\} = 0 , \quad \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \tau \end{smallmatrix} = 0 , \quad \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \tau \end{smallmatrix} = 0 , \quad \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \\ \tau \end{smallmatrix} = 0 , \quad -2 \, i \, k \, \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \sigma \end{smallmatrix} = \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \\ \tau \end{smallmatrix} \right]^{\alpha} , \quad i \, k \, \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \sigma \end{smallmatrix} = \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \\ \tau \end{smallmatrix} \right]^{\alpha} b , \quad 2 \, i \, k \, \begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \sigma \end{smallmatrix} = \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \\ \tau \end{smallmatrix} \right]^{\alpha} b$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Square masses:

{{\bar{\}}, {\bar{\}}, {\bar{\}}, {\bar{\}}, {\bar{\}}

Massive pole residues:

Massless eigenvalues

$$\left\{-\frac{7t.^{2}+2r.t.p^{2}+4r.^{2}p^{4}}{2r.t.^{2}}, -\frac{7t.^{2}+2r.t.p^{2}+4r.^{2}p^{4}}{2r.t.^{2}}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$p \in \mathbb{R} \&\&r_{\frac{1}{5}} < 0 \&\&\left(\frac{t_{\frac{1}{5}}}{1} < 0 \mid| \frac{t_{\frac{1}{5}}}{1} > 0\right)$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$t_1 \neq 0 \&\& r_1 < 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

#### Case 18

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 18 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$r_{.5} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}^{l}_{jhl} - r_{.5} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}^{l}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{3} t_{.i} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{3} t_{.i} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{jih} + \frac{t}{1} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}^{h}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
-t_{1} & i\sqrt{2} & kt_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
-i\sqrt{2} & kt_{1} & -2k^{2}t_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{6} \left(6 & k^{2} & r_{1} + t_{1}\right) & -\frac{t_{1}}{3} & \frac{ikt_{1}}{3\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{t_{1}}{3\sqrt{2}} & \frac{t_{1}}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & ikt_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{ikt_{1}}{3\sqrt{2}} & \frac{ikt_{1}}{3} & \frac{k^{2}t_{1}}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \right\}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(2 k^2 r_{5} - t_{1}\right) & \frac{t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & i k t_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -i k t_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
t_{1} & -i k t_{1} & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
\frac{i k t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & k^2 t_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\begin{cases} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} == 0 , & \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0 , 2 k \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} + i \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0 , & \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} == 0 , \\ -2 i k \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} == & \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} , i k \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} == & \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} , 2 i k \overset{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} == & \overset{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \end{cases}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Square masses:

Massive pole residues:

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{\frac{9t_{1}^{2}+2r_{1}t_{5}p_{1}^{2}+2r_{5}^{2}p_{4}^{4}}{r_{5}t_{5}^{2}}, \frac{9t_{1}^{2}+2r_{1}t_{5}p_{1}^{2}+2r_{1}^{2}p_{4}^{4}}{r_{5}t_{1}^{2}}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$p \in \mathbb{R} \&\& r_{.} > 0 \&\& \left(t_{.} < 0 \mid |t_{.} > 0\right)$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$t_1 \neq 0 \&\& r_1 > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

#### Case 19

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 19 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\perp}} == 0 \;,\;\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{-}}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\perp}}}^{\alpha} == 0 \;,\;\; - 2 \, i \, k \; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{-}}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\perp}}}^{\alpha} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{-}}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}}}^{\alpha} \;,\; i \, k \; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\perp}}}^{\alpha \, b} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}}}^{\alpha \, b} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\parallel}}}^{\alpha \, b} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}}}^{\alpha \, b} \right\}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{2}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & -\frac{2i\sqrt{2}k}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & 0 \\
\frac{2i\sqrt{2}k}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & \frac{4k^2}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2}{t_1}
\end{pmatrix}$$

Massive pole residues:

Massless eigenvalues:

$$\left\{-\frac{7t_{.1}^{2}+4r_{.1}t_{.3}p^{2}+2r_{.5}t_{.1}p^{2}+16r_{.3}^{2}p^{4}+16r_{.3}r_{.5}p^{4}+4r_{.5}^{2}p^{4}}{2\left(2r_{.3}+r_{.5}\right)t_{.1}^{2}},\right.$$

$$\left.-\frac{7t_{.1}^{2}+4r_{.5}t_{.1}p^{2}+2r_{.5}t_{.1}p^{2}+16r_{.3}^{2}p^{4}+16r_{.3}r_{.5}p^{4}+4r_{.5}^{2}p^{4}}{2\left(2r_{.3}+r_{.5}\right)t_{.1}^{2}}\right\}$$

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$(p \mid r) \in \mathbb{R} \&\&r < -2r \&\&(t) < 0 \mid |t| > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r \in \mathbb{R} \&\&t = 0 \&\&r < -2r$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

#### Case 20

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 20 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} \frac{r_{.}}{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r_{.}}{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{r_{.}}{2} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \\ &\frac{1}{12} \left( 4 \frac{t_{.}}{1} + \frac{t_{.}}{2} \right) \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 \frac{t_{.}}{1} - \frac{t_{.}}{2} \right) \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{t_{.}}{1} - 2 \frac{t_{.}}{3} \right) \mathcal{T}^{ij} \, \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \quad \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} - 2t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \quad \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} - 2t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \quad \mathcal{A}^{b}_{bi} - \\ &\frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} - 2t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \quad \partial_{o} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} - 2t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \quad \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -t_{.} + 2t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{b} f^{i}_{i} \quad \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} + \\ &\frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -t_{.} + 2t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{o} f^{ab} \quad \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} - 2t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \quad \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} - \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \\ &\frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2t_{.} - t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{bia} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -2t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{o} f_{bi} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 2t_{.} - t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{o} f_{ib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} -4t_{.} - t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{b} f_{ai} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \\ &\frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 4t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{i} f_{ab} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 2t_{.} - t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{i} f_{ab} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{4}{3} r_{.} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aij} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} r_{.} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aji} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \\ &\frac{2}{3} r_{.} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ija} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{1}{3} r_{.} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abj} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} r_{.} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} r_{.} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} r_{.} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \\ &\frac{2}{3} r_{.} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ija} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{1}{3} r_{.} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abj} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} r_{.} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} r_{.} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \\ &\frac{2}{3} r_{.} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ija} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{1}{3} r_{.} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abj} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} r_{.} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} r_{.} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} r_{.} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \\ &\frac{2}{3} r_{.} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} r_{.} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \\ &\frac{2}{3} r_{.} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} r_{.} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \\ &\frac{2}{3} r_{.} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} r_{.} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \\ &$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\perp}} == 0\;,\; 2\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\parallel}} + i\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}} == 0\;,\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; == 0\;,\; -2\;i\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\perp}}^{\alpha} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha}\;,\; i\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\perp}}^{\alpha b} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha b}\;,\; 2\;i\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\parallel}}^{\alpha b} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha b} \right\}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{t}{r}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{\dot{0}}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r < 0 \&\& t > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r_{2} < 0 \&\& t_{2} > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

### Case 21

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for

Case 21 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} \frac{r_{2}}{c} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r_{2}}{c} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{r_{2}}{c} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \\ &\frac{1}{4} \frac{t_{1}}{c} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{t_{1}}{c} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{t_{1}}{c} - 2 \frac{t_{2}}{c} \right) \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} & t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{a \, i \, b} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{1}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2 \, t_{3} \right) \mathcal{A}^{a \, b}_{a} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} - \frac{2}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2 \, t_{3} \right) \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} \partial_{a} f^{a \, b} + \\ & \frac{2}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2 \, t_{3} \right) \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{1}{3} \left( - t_{1} + 2 \, t_{3} \right) \partial_{b} f^{i}_{i} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{1}{3} \left( - t_{1} + 2 \, t_{3} \right) \partial_{a} f^{a \, b} \partial_{i} f^{a \, b} + \\ & \frac{2}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2 \, t_{3} \right) \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + 2 \, t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i \, a} \partial_{i} f^{a \, b} - t_{1} \partial_{a} f_{b \, i} \partial_{i} f^{a \, b} + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \partial_{a} f_{i \, b} \partial_{i} f^{a \, b} - \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \partial_{b} f_{a \, i} \partial_{i} f^{a \, b} + \\ & \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \partial_{i} f_{a \, b} \partial_{i} f^{a \, b} + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \partial_{i} f_{b \, a} \partial_{i} f^{a \, b} + \frac{4}{3} \, r_{2} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{a \, i \, j} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} - \frac{2}{3} \, r_{2} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{a \, j \, i} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \\ & \frac{2}{3} \, r_{2} \, \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{i \, j \, a} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} - \frac{1}{3} \, r_{2} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, j} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{1}{3} \, r_{2} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} - \frac{2}{3} \, r_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{2} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} - \frac{2}{3} \, r_{2} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{2} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} - \frac{2}{3} \, r_{2} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{2} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{2} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{2} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{2} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} \partial_{i} \mathcal$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ \stackrel{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0 \;,\; 2 \; k \; \stackrel{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} + i \; \stackrel{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0 \;,\;\; \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp}{}^{\alpha} \; == 0 \;,\; -2 \; i \; k \; \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp}{}^{\alpha} \; == \; \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha} \;,\; i \; k \; \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp}{}^{\alpha b} \; == \; \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} \;,\; 2 \; i \; k \; \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} \; == \; \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} \; ==$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Square masses:

$$\left\{0, \left\{\frac{t_{1}}{r_{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r. < 0 \&\& t. < 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r. < 0 \&\& t. < 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

### Case 22

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 22 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} \frac{r_{.}}{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r_{.}}{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{lhjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{r_{.}}{2} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \\ &\frac{1}{12} \left( 4 \frac{t_{.}}{1} + \frac{t_{.}}{2} \right) \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 \frac{t_{.}}{1} - \frac{t_{.}}{2} \right) \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{t_{.}}{1} \, \mathcal{T}^{ij} \, \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \quad \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} - 2t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \quad \mathcal{A}^{abi} + t_{.} \quad \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \quad \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} - 2t_{.} \quad \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \quad \partial_{a} f^{ab} + 2t_{.} \quad \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \quad \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - t_{.} \\ &\frac{1}{3} \partial_{b} f^{i}_{b} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} - t_{.} \partial_{a} f^{ab} \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + 2t_{.} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} - \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 2t_{.} - t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 2t_{.} - t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{4}{3} f_{.} \partial_{a} f_{ai} \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} f_{.} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} f_{.} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} f_{.} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} f_{.} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} f_{.} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} f_{.} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} f_{.} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} f_{.} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} f_{.} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} f_{.} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} f_{.} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} f_{.} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} f_{.} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} f_{.} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} f_{.} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab} \partial^$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
-t & i & \sqrt{2} & kt & 0 & 0 \\
-i & \sqrt{2} & kt & -2 & k^2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & k^2 & t & t \\
0 & 0 & 0 & k^2 & t & t
\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{t}{2} & -\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & k^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{t}{2}
\end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ \stackrel{0^{+}}{\circ}\tau^{\perp} == 0 \;,\; 2 \; k \; \stackrel{0^{+}}{\circ}\sigma^{\parallel} + i \; \stackrel{0^{+}}{\circ}\tau^{\parallel} == 0 \;,\; \stackrel{1^{-}}{\circ}\tau^{\perp} \; == 0 \;,\; -2 \; i \; k \; \stackrel{1^{-}}{\circ}\sigma^{\perp} \; == \; \stackrel{1^{-}}{\circ}\tau^{\parallel} \; ,\; i \; k \; \stackrel{1^{+}}{\circ}\sigma^{\perp} \; \stackrel{\alpha \; b}{=} \; \stackrel{1^{+}}{\circ}\tau^{\parallel} \; \stackrel{\alpha \; b}{=} \; ,\; 2 \; i \; k \; \stackrel{2^{+}}{\circ}\sigma^{\parallel} \; \stackrel{\alpha \; b}{=} \; \stackrel{2^{+}}{\circ}\tau^{\parallel} \; \stackrel{\alpha \; b}{=} \; \stackrel{\alpha \; b}{$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{t_{\cdot}^{2}}{r_{\cdot}^{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r. < 0 \&\& t. > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r. < 0 \&\& t. > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

## Case 23

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 23 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} \frac{r_{.}}{2} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r_{.}}{2} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \\ &\frac{1}{6} \frac{r_{.}}{2} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{t_{i}}{1} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{t_{i}}{1} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{t_{i}}{1} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} & t_{1} \, \, \mathcal{A}_{a\,i\,b} \, \, \, \mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,i} \, + t_{1} \, \, \mathcal{A}^{a\,b}_{a} \, \, \, \, \mathcal{A}_{b\,i}^{i} \, - 2 \, t_{1} \, \, \mathcal{A}_{b\,i}^{i} \, \, \, \, \partial_{b}^{a\,b} \, + 2 \, t_{1} \, \, \mathcal{A}_{b\,i}^{i} \, \, \, \partial_{b}^{b} f^{a}_{a} \, - t_{1} \, \, \partial_{b} f^{i}_{a} \, \, \partial_{b}^{f\,a}_{a} \, - t_{1} \, \, \partial_{b}^{f\,a}_{a} \, \, \partial_{b}^{f\,a}_{a} \, - t_{1} \, \, \partial_{b}^{f\,a}_{a} \, \, \partial_{b}^{f\,a}_{b} \, + 2 \, t_{1} \, \, \partial_{b}^{f\,a}_{a} \, \, \partial_{b}^{f\,a}_{b} \, - t_{1} \, \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \, \partial_{b}^{f\,a}_{b} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b} \, \partial_{b}^{f\,a}_{b} \, - t_{1} \, \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \, \partial_{b}^{f\,a}_{b} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{b}^{f\,a}_{b} \, - t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{b}^{f\,a}_{b} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{b}^{f\,a}_{b} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{b}^{f\,a}_{b} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{b}^{f\,a}_{b} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{a}^{f\,a}_{b\,i} \, \partial_{a}^{f$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ \stackrel{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0 \;,\; 2 \; k \; \stackrel{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} + i \; \stackrel{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0 \;,\; \stackrel{1^{-}}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} \; \stackrel{\circ}{=} \; 0 \;,\; -2 \; i \; k \; \stackrel{1^{-}}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp} \; \stackrel{\circ}{=} \; \stackrel{1^{-}}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} \; \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \;,\; i \; k \; \stackrel{1^{+}}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp} \; \stackrel{\circ}{=} \; \stackrel{1^{+}}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} \; \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \;,\; 2 \; i \; k \; \stackrel{2^{+}}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} \; \stackrel{\circ}{=} \; \stackrel{1^{-}}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} \; \stackrel{\circ}{=} \; \stackrel{1^{-}}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} \; \stackrel{\circ}{=} \; \stackrel{1^{-}}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} \; \stackrel{\circ}{=} \; \stackrel{\circ}{=} \; \stackrel{1^{-}}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} \; \stackrel{\circ}{=} \; \stackrel{\circ}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{0, \left\{\frac{t_{1}}{r_{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r. < 0 \&\&t. < 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r_{\cdot} < 0 \&\& t_{\cdot} < 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 24 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} r_{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} r_{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + r_{5} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{jhl}^{l} + \frac{1}{6} r_{2} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij} - \\ &r_{5} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{hjl}^{l} + \frac{1}{12} t_{2} \, \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} - \frac{1}{6} t_{2} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}_{jih} - \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{T}^{ij} \, \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{3} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{bi} + \frac{4}{3} \frac{t}{3} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{bi} \partial_{a} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{t}{3} \mathcal{A}^{i}_{bi} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{3} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} \partial_{b} \partial_{$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
t & -i & \sqrt{2} & kt & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\
i & \sqrt{2} & kt & 2 & k^2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & k^2 & r & + t & 2
\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} \left( 4 \, k^2 \, r_{,\, +} \, \frac{8 \, t_{,\, 2}}{3} \right) & \frac{\frac{2}{3} \left( -k^2 \, r_{,\, +} \, t_{,\, 2} \right) + \frac{2}{3} \left( k^2 \, r_{,\, +} \, t_{,\, 2}}{2 \, \sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{3} \, i \, \sqrt{2} \, k \, t_{,\, 2} & 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \\ \frac{\frac{2}{3} \left( -k^2 \, r_{,\, +} \, t_{,\, 2} \right) + \frac{2}{3} \left( k^2 \, r_{,\, +} \, t_{,\, 2}}{2 \, \sqrt{2}} \right) & \frac{t_{,\, 2}}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \, i \, k \, t_{,\, 2} & 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} \, i \, \sqrt{2} \, k \, t_{,\, 2} & \frac{i \, k \, t_{,\, 2}}{3} & \frac{k^2 \, t_{,\, 2}}{3} & 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \, 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(2 k^2 r_{.} + \frac{4t_{.}}{3}\right) & -\frac{\sqrt{2} t_{.}}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} i k t_{.} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2} t_{.}}{3} & \frac{t_{.}}{3} & \frac{1}{3} i \sqrt{2} k t_{.} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2ikt_{.}}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} i \sqrt{2} k t_{.} & \frac{2k^2 t_{.}}{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
Gauge constraints on source currents:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\begin{cases} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0 , \ 2 \, k \, \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} + i \, \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0 , \quad \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp^{\alpha}} == 0 , \quad -2 \, i \, k \, \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha}} == \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} , \\ i \, k \, \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha b}} == \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} , \quad \overset{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha b c}} == 0 , \quad \overset{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == 0 , \quad \overset{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{\frac{t}{2}}{r_{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r_{\cdot} < 0 \&\& t_{\cdot} > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 25 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} r_{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} r_{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{1}{6} r_{2} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \\ &\frac{1}{12} t_{2} \, \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} - \frac{1}{6} t_{2} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}_{jih} - \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{T}^{ij} \, \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t_{3}}_{3} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{bi} + \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{t_{3}}_{3} \mathcal{A}^{i}_{bi} \partial_{a} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{t_{3}}_{3} \mathcal{A}^{i}_{bi} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t_{3}}_{3} \partial_{b} f^{i}_{a} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t_{3}}_{3} \partial_{a} f^{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{t_{3}}_{3} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{i} f^{a}_{b} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{3} \partial_{a} f^{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{t_{3}}_{3} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{i} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \partial_{a} f_{bi} \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aij} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aji} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
t_{\cdot} & -i \sqrt{2} & kt_{\cdot} & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & k^{2}r_{\cdot} + t_{\cdot} \\
2 & 2
\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2t}{3} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}t}{3} & -\frac{2}{3}ikt & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{3} & \frac{1}{3}i\sqrt{2}kt & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}t}{3} & \frac{t}{3} & \frac{1}{3}i\sqrt{2}kt & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2ikt}{3} & -\frac{1}{3}i\sqrt{2}kt & \frac{2k^2t}{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{t_{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ -\frac{1}{r} \right\} \right\}, \left\{ \left\{ \right\}, \left\{ \left\{ \right\}, \left\{ \right\} \right\} \right\} \right\} \right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r. < 0 \&\&t. > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r_{\cdot} < 0 \&\& t_{\cdot} > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 26 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{6} \frac{r}{2} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r}{2} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{r}{2} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \, \mathcal{A}_{abi} \, \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \, \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \, \mathcal{A}_{abi} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \, \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \\ &\frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \, \mathcal{A}_{bia} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \, \partial_{a} f_{bi} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \underbrace{t}_{2} \, \partial_{a} f_{ib} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \underbrace{t}_{2} \, \partial_{b} f_{ai} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \\ &\frac{1}{6} \underbrace{t}_{2} \, \partial_{i} f_{ab} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \underbrace{t}_{2} \, \partial_{i} f_{ba} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aij} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aji} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \\ &\frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ija} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{1}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abj} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2}$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{t_{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r. < 0 \&\& t. > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r < 0 \&\&t > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

# Case 27

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 27 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} \mathop{r_{.}}^{r} \mathop{\mathcal{R}_{ijhl}}^{t} \mathop{\mathcal{R}^{ijhl}}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \mathop{r_{.}}^{r} \mathop{\mathcal{R}_{ihjl}}^{t} \mathop{\mathcal{R}^{ijhl}}^{+\frac{1}{6}} \left( \mathop{r_{.}}^{r} - 6 \mathop{r_{.}}^{r} \right) \mathop{\mathcal{R}^{ijhl}}^{t} \mathop{\mathcal{R}_{hlij}}^{+} + \\ & \mathop{r_{.}}^{r} \mathop{\mathcal{R}^{ijh}}^{t} \mathop{\mathcal{R}_{hjl}}^{t} + \frac{1}{12} \mathop{t_{.}}^{t} \mathop{\mathcal{T}_{ijh}}^{t} \mathop{\mathcal{T}^{ijh}}^{-\frac{1}{6}} - \frac{1}{6} \mathop{t_{.}}^{t} \mathop{\mathcal{T}^{ijh}}^{tjh} \mathop{\mathcal{T}_{jih}}^{t} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{r}{3} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial_{b}^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial_{b}^{ab} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{ab}^{ab} \partial_{b}^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{ab}^{ab} \partial_{a}^{b} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{ab}^{ab} \partial_{a}^{b} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{ab}^{ab} \partial_{a}^{b} \partial_{a}^{b} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{ab}^{ab} \partial_{a}^{b} \partial_{a$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\begin{cases} \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\perp} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\perp}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \\ \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \tau^{\parallel}^{\alpha} &== 0 \;, \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot$$

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{t}{r}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

Overall unitarity conditions:

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

# Case 28

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 28 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} \frac{r_{.}}{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r_{.}}{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{r_{.}}{5} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{jhl}^{l} + \\ &\frac{1}{6} \frac{r_{.}}{2} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij} - \frac{r_{.}}{5} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{hjl}^{l} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{t_{.}}{2} \, \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t_{.}}{2} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}_{jih} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{abi} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{aib} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{abi} + r_{5} \cdot \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{i \ j}^{\ j} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{\ a} - r_{5} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{b \ j}^{\ j} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{\ a} - \\ &\frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{abi} \cdot \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{aib} \cdot \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{bia} \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \partial_{a} f_{bi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \underbrace{t}_{2} \partial_{a} f_{bi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \underbrace{t}_{2} \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \underbrace{t}_{2} \partial_{a} f_{ba} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - r_{5} \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{b \ i}^{\ j} + 2 \underbrace{r}_{5} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{\ a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{b \ i}^{\ j} + \\ &r_{5} \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{i \ b}^{\ j} - 2 \underbrace{r}_{5} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{\ a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{i \ b}^{\ j} + \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aij} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aji} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \\ &\frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ija} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{1}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abj} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \\ &\frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ija} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{1}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abj} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \\ &\frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ija} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{1}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abj} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \\ &\frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{1}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abj} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\begin{cases} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0 \,, \, \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0 \,, \, \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} == 0 \,, \, \, \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp}{}^{\alpha} == 0 \,, \, \, \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha} == 0 \,, \\ \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp}{}^{\alpha} == 0 \,, \, \, \tilde{\imath} \, k \, \, \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp}{}^{\alpha b} == \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} \,, \, \, \overset{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b c} == 0 \,, \, \, \overset{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} == 0 \,, \, \, \overset{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} == 0 \,, \, \, \overset{2$$

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{\frac{t}{2}}{r}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r < 0 \&\& t > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r < 0 \&\& t > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

# Case 29

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 29 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} \left(2 r_{1}^{\cdot} + r_{2}^{\cdot}\right) \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3} \left(r_{1}^{\cdot} - r_{2}^{\cdot}\right) \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - 2 r_{1}^{\cdot} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{jhl} + \frac{1}{6} \left(-4 r_{1}^{\cdot} + r_{2}^{\cdot}\right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \\ &2 r_{1}^{\cdot} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{12} t_{2}^{\cdot} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} - \frac{1}{6} t_{2}^{\cdot} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} - \frac{2}{3} t_{3}^{\cdot} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} t_{2} \, \mathcal{A}_{abi} \, \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} t_{2} \, \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \, \mathcal{A}^{b}_{bi} + \frac{4}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}^{i}_{bi} \, \partial_{b} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}^{b}_{bi} \, \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \partial_{b} f^{i}_{b} \, \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} \, \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} - \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} \, \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \partial_{b} f^{ab}_{b} - \frac{4}{3} t_{3} \, \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \, \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} - 2 r_{i} \, \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}^{i}_{ij} \, \partial^{b} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} \, \partial_{b} f^{ab}_{b} - \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \, \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} - \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \, \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} - \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}_{bia} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{b} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{b} - \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}_{bia} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{b} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} - \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}_{bia} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{b} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} - \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}_{bia} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} - \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}_{bia} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} - \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}_{bia} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} - \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}_{bia} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} - \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} - \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} - \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \partial^{i} f^{a}_{a} \, \partial^{i} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \partial^{i} f^{a}_{a} \, \partial^{i} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \partial^{i} f^{a}_{a} \, \partial^{i} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \partial^{i} f^{a}_{a} \, \partial^{i} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} t_{3} \, \partial^{i} f^{a}_{$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
t_{.} & -i \sqrt{2} & kt_{.} & 0 & 0 \\
i \sqrt{2} & kt_{.} & 2 & k^{2} & t_{.} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & k^{2} & r_{.} + t_{.} \\
2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(-2 k^2 r_1 + \frac{4 t_3}{3}\right) & \frac{2 k^2 r_1 - \frac{3}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \left(3 k^2 r_1 + t_3\right)}{2 \sqrt{2}} & -\frac{2}{3} \tilde{i} k t_3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2 k^2 r_1 - \frac{3}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \left(3 k^2 r_1 + t_3\right)}{2 \sqrt{2}} & \frac{t_3}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \tilde{i} \sqrt{2} k t_3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2 i k t_3}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \tilde{i} \sqrt{2} k t_3 & \frac{2 k^2 t_3}{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k^2 r_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\begin{cases} {\overset{\circ}{\circ}}{,}{\tau^{\perp}} == 0 \;,\; 2 \; k \; {\overset{\circ}{\circ}}{,}{\sigma^{\parallel}} + i \; {\overset{\circ}{\circ}}{,}{\tau^{\parallel}} == 0 \;,\; {\overset{1}{\circ}}{,}{\tau^{\perp}}{^{\alpha}} \; == 0 \;,\; -2 \; i \; k \; {\overset{1}{\circ}}{,}{\sigma^{\perp}}{^{\alpha}} \; == \; {\overset{1}{\circ}}{,}{\tau^{\parallel}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}} \;,\; \\ i \; k \; {\overset{1}{\circ}}{,}{\sigma^{\parallel}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}} \; == \; {\overset{1}{\circ}}{,}{\tau^{\parallel}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}} \;,\; {\overset{1}{\circ}}{,}{\tau^{\parallel}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}} \; == \; 0 \;,\; {\overset{2}{\circ}}{,}{\tau^{\parallel}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}} \; == \; 0 \;,\; {\overset{2}{\circ}}{,}{\tau^{\parallel}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}} \; == 0 \;,\; {\overset{2}{\circ}}{,}{\tau^{\parallel}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}} \; == 0 \;,\; {\overset{2}{\circ}}{,}{\tau^{\parallel}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}} \; == 0 \;,\; {\overset{2}{\circ}}{,}{\tau^{\parallel}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}} \; == 0 \;,\; {\overset{2}{\circ}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}} \; == 0 \;,\; {\overset{2}{\circ}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}} \; == 0 \;,\; {\overset{2}{\circ}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}} \; == 0 \;,\; {\overset{2}{\circ}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}}{^{\alpha}} \; == 0 \;,\; {\overset{2}{\circ}}{^{\alpha}}{$$

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{t}{r}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{0}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r_{\cdot} < 0 \&\& t_{\cdot} > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r < 0 \&\& t > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 30 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - 2 \frac{r}{i} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} + 2 \frac{r}{i} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \\ &\frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{bia} \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{a} f_{bi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{a} f_{ib} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{b} f_{ai} \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \\ &\frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{i} f_{ab} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{i} f_{ba} \partial^{j} f^{ab} + 2 \frac{r}{i} \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} - 4 \frac{r}{i} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{j} - 2 \frac{r}{i} \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{ib}^{j} + \\ &4 \frac{r}{i} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{ib}^{j} - \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{r}{i} - \frac{r}{2} \right) \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aij} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{r}{i} - \frac{r}{2} \right) \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aji} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{r}{i} - \frac{r}{2} \right) \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aji} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{r}{i} - \frac{r}{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{r}{i} - \frac{r}{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{r}{i} - \frac{r}{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{r}{i} - \frac{r}{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{r}{i} - \frac{r}{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{r}{i} - \frac{r}{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{r}{i} - \frac{r}{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{r}{i} - \frac{r}{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{r}{i} - \frac{r}{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{r}{i} - \frac{r}{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{r}{i} - \frac{r}{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{r}{i} - \frac{r}{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{r}{i} - \frac{r}{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{r}{i} - \frac{r}{2} \right) \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{r}{i} - \frac{r}{2} \right) \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{r}{i} - \frac{r}{i} \right) \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3}$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Square masses:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{t_{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{ \left\{ \left\{ -\frac{1}{r} \right\} \right\}, \left\{ \left\{ \right\}, \left\{ \right\} \right\} \right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r_{\cdot} < 0 \&\& t_{\cdot} > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r_{2} < 0 \&\&t_{2} > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

#### Case 31

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 31 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} r_{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} r_{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{1}{6} \left( r_{2} - 6 r_{3} \right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \\ &4 r_{3} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{12} t_{2} \, \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} - \frac{1}{6} t_{2} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}_{jih} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\frac{1}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - 4 \underbrace{r}_{3} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \partial_{a} f_{abi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \underbrace{t}_{2} \partial_{a} f_{abi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \underbrace{t}_{2} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \partial^{j} f^{ab} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \underbrace{t}_{2} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \partial^{j} f^{ab} \partial^$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\begin{cases} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0 \,, \,\, \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0 \,, \,\,\, \overset{1^{-}}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp^{\alpha}} == 0 \,, \,\,\, \overset{1^{-}}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == 0 \,, \,\,\, \overset{1^{-}}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha}} == 0 \,, \,\,\, \overset{1^{-}}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == 0 \,, \\ & \quad i \, k \,\, \overset{1^{+}}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == \,\, \overset{1^{+}}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} \,, \,\,\, \overset{1^{+}}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == \,\, \overset{1^{+}}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha b}} \,, \,\,\, \overset{2^{-}}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha b c}} == 0 \,, \,\,\, \overset{2^{+}}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == 0 \,, \,\, \overset{2^{+}}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == 0 \,, \,\, \overset{2$$

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{t_{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r. < 0 \&\& t. > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r < 0 \&\& t > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

# Case 32

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 32 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} \frac{r}{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r}{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{r}{2} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \\ &\frac{1}{12} \left( 4 \frac{t}{1} + \frac{t}{2} \right) \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 \frac{t}{1} - \frac{t}{2} \right) \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{1} \, \mathcal{T}^{ij} \, \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} \left( t_{1}^{\cdot} + t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \mathcal{A}_{abi} \quad \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \left( t_{1}^{\cdot} - 2 t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \mathcal{A}_{aib} \quad \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} t_{1}^{\cdot} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \quad \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} - \frac{2}{3} t_{1}^{\cdot} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \partial_{a} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} t_{1}^{i} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} t_{1}^{i} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} t_{1}^{i} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} t_{1}^{i} \partial_{a} f^{ab} \partial_{i} f^{a}_{b} + \frac{2}{3} t_{1}^{i} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{i} f^{a}_{b} - \frac{2}{3} \left( t_{1}^{\cdot} + t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \mathcal{A}_{bia} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \left( -2 t_{1}^{\cdot} + t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{bi} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ib} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} f_{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} \partial_{i}$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{t}{2} & -\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & k^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{t}{2}
\end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{t_{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r. < 0 \&\& t. > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r. < 0 \&\& t. > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 33 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} r_{2} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} r_{2} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{1}{6} r_{2} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \\ &\frac{1}{4} t_{1} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}_{jh} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{array}{l} t_{1} \, \, \mathcal{A}_{a\,i\,b} \, \, \, \mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,i} \, + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1} \, \, \mathcal{A}^{a\,b}_{a} \, \, \, \mathcal{A}_{b\,i}^{i} \, - \frac{2}{3} \, t_{1} \, \, \mathcal{A}_{b\,i}^{i} \, \, \, \partial_{o}f^{a\,b} \, + \frac{2}{3} \, t_{1} \, \, \mathcal{A}_{b\,i}^{i} \, \, \partial_{b}f^{a}_{a} \, - \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{b}f^{i}_{i} \, \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, - \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{b}f^{i}_{i} \, \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, - \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{b}f^{i}_{i} \, \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, - \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{b}f^{i}_{a} \, \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, - \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{b}f^{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, - \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{b}f^{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{b} \, - \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{b}f^{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{b} \, - \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{b}f^{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{b} \, - \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{b}f^{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{b} \, - \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{b}f^{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{b} \, - \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{b}f^{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{b} \, - \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{b}f^{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{b} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{b}f^{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{b} \, + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \, \partial_{b}f^{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{b} \, + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{2} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{b} \, + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{2} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{b} \, - \frac{2}{3} \, r_{2} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, - \frac{1}{3} \, t_{2} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{b} \, + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{2} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{b} \, + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{2} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{2} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{2} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{2} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{2} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{2} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{2} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{2} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{2} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{2} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{2} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{2} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{2} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a}_{a} \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, + \frac{1}{3} \,$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{t_{1}}{6} & \frac{t_{1}}{3\sqrt{2}} & \frac{ikt_{1}}{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{t_{1}}{3\sqrt{2}} & \frac{t_{1}}{3} & \frac{1}{3}i\sqrt{2}kt_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3}ikt_{1} & -\frac{1}{3}i\sqrt{2}kt_{1} & \frac{2k^{2}t_{1}}{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Square masses:

$$\left\{0, \left\{\frac{t_{1}}{r_{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{0}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r. < 0 \&\&t. < 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r. < 0 \&\&t. < 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

#### Case 34

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 34 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} \frac{r_{2}}{c} \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r_{2}}{c} \, \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + r_{3} \, \mathcal{R}^{ij}_{i} \, \mathcal{R}_{j}_{hl} + \\ &\frac{1}{6} \left( r_{2} - 6 r_{3} \right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + 3 r_{3} \, \mathcal{R}^{ij}_{i} \, \mathcal{R}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{t_{2}}{c} \, \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t_{2}}{c} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}_{jih} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - 3 \underbrace{r_{3}}_{3} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - r_{3}^{2} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - \\ &\frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{bia} \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \partial_{a} f_{bi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - r_{3}^{2} \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} + 2 \underbrace{r_{3}}_{3} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} - \\ &\frac{1}{6} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{3} \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} + 6 \underbrace{r_{3}}_{3} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{ab} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}_{ib}^{j} + \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aij} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aji} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \\ &\frac{2}{3} \underbrace{\left(r_{2} - 6 r_{3}\right)} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ija} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{1}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abj} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} -$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & \uparrow & \tau^{\perp} & == & 0 \\ \cdot & \uparrow & \tau^{\perp} & == & 0 \\ \cdot & \downarrow & \uparrow & \tau^{\perp} \\ \cdot & \uparrow & \sigma^{\perp} \\$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Square masses:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{\frac{t}{2}}{r}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r_{\cdot} < 0 \&\& t_{\cdot} > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r_{\cdot} < 0 \&\& t_{\cdot} > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for

Case 35 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{.2}}{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{.2}}{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{.3}}{2} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{jhl}^{jhl} + \\ &\frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{\mathbf{r}_{.2}}{2} - 6 \, \frac{\mathbf{r}_{.3}}{3} \right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \frac{5}{2} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{.3}}{3} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{hjl}^{j} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{\mathbf{t}_{.2}}{2} \, \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{\mathbf{t}_{.2}}{2} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}_{jih} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{5}{2} \underbrace{r_{3}}_{3} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} + \frac{3}{2} \underbrace{r_{3}}_{3} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - \\ &\frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{bia} \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \partial_{o} f_{bi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \partial_{o} f_{ib} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \partial_{i} f_{ab} \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \frac{3}{2} \underbrace{r_{3}}_{3} \partial_{o} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} - 3 \underbrace{r_{3}}_{3} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{ab} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} - \frac{1}{6} \underbrace{t_{2}}_{2} \partial_{i} f_{ab} \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aij} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aji} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{o} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aji} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aij} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aji} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abj} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{r_{2}}_{2} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{abi$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3 k^2 r_{.3}}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Square masses:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{t_{\cdot}^{2}}{r_{\cdot}^{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r < 0 \&\& t > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 36 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} \left( 2 \, r_{1} + r_{2} \right) \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3} \left( r_{1} - r_{2} \right) \, \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - 2 \, r_{1} \, \mathcal{R}^{ij}_{i}^{h} \, \mathcal{R}_{j}^{l}_{hl} + \\ &\frac{1}{6} \left( 2 \, r_{1} + r_{2} - 6 \, r_{3} \right) \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \left( -2 \, r_{1} + 4 \, r_{3} \right) \, \mathcal{R}^{ij}_{i}^{h} \, \mathcal{R}_{hjl}^{l} + \frac{1}{12} \, t_{2} \, \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} - \frac{1}{6} \, t_{2} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}_{jih} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{2} \, \mathcal{A}_{abi} \, \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \, \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \mathcal{A}^{abi} + 2 \left( r_{1} - 2 r_{3} \right) \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{\ j} \, \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{\ a} + 2 r_{1} \, \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{b}^{\ j} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{\ a} - \\ &\frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \, \mathcal{A}_{abi} \, \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \, \mathcal{A}_{aib} \, \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \, \mathcal{A}_{bia} \, \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{2} \, \partial_{a} f_{bi} \, \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \, \partial_{a} f_{ib} \, \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \, \partial_{a} f_{ab} \, \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \, \partial_{a} f_{ba} \, \partial^{j} f^{ab} + 2 r_{1} \, \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{\ j} - \\ &\frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \, \partial_{b} f_{ai} \, \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \, \partial_{i} f_{ab} \, \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \, \partial_{i} f_{ba} \, \partial^{j} f^{ab} + 2 r_{1} \, \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{\ j} - \\ &4 r_{1} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{\ a} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{\ j} + 2 \left( r_{1} - 2 r_{1} \right) \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{abi} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{ib}^{\ j} + \left( -4 r_{1} + 8 r_{1} \right) \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{\ a} \, \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{ib}^{\ j} - \\ &\frac{4}{3} \left( r_{1} - r_{2} \right) \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aij} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( r_{1} - r_{2} \right) \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{aji} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( 2 r_{1} + r_{2} - 6 r_{3} \right) \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ija} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \\ &\frac{1}{3} \left( -2 r_{1} - r_{2} \right) \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{abj} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \left( 2 r_{1} + r_{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( r_{1} - r_{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( r_{1} - r_{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( r_{1} - r_{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( r_{1} - r_{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( r_{1} - r_{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( r_{1} - r_{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( r_{1} - r_{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( r_{1} - r_{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( r_{1} - r_{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( r_{1} - r_{2} \right) \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \, \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{2}{3} \left( r_{1} - r_{2}$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Square masses:

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{ \left\{ \left\{ -\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\} \right\} ,\left\{ \right\} ,\left\{ \right\} ,\left\{ \right\} \right\} \right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r_{\cdot} < 0 \&\&t_{\cdot} > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

#### Case 37

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 37 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{6} \frac{r}{2} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r}{2} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{r}{3} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{r}{2} - 6 \frac{r}{3} \right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \frac{5}{2} \frac{r}{3} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{3} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{3} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{bi} + \frac{4}{3} \frac{t}{3} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{bi} \partial_{a} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{t}{3} \mathcal{A}^{b}_{bi} \partial_{a} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{t}{3} \mathcal{A}^{b}_{bi} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{3} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{a} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{b} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{3} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{a} \partial_{a} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{b} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{3} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{a} \partial_{a} \partial$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{t_{\cdot}^{2}}{r_{\cdot}^{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{0}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r_{\cdot} < 0 \&\& t_{\cdot} > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 38 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} \frac{r}{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r}{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{r}{2} - 6 \frac{r}{3} \right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \\ &4 \frac{r}{3} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{12} \left( 4 \frac{t}{1} + \frac{t}{2} \right) \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 \frac{t}{1} - \frac{t}{2} \right) \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{1} \, \mathcal{T}^{ij} \, \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} \left( t_{1}^{\cdot} + t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \mathcal{A}_{abi} \quad \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \left( t_{1}^{\cdot} - 2 \, t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \mathcal{A}_{aib} \quad \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1}^{\cdot} \, \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \quad \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} - \frac{2}{3} \, t_{1}^{\cdot} \, \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \, \partial_{a} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \, t_{1}^{i} \, \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1}^{i} \, \partial_{a} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1}^{i} \, \partial_{a} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} \, t_{1}^{i} \, \partial_{a} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{t}{2} & -\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & k^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{t}{2}
\end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\perp}} == 0 \;,\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}} == 0 \;,\; -2\,i\,k\, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha} \;,\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\perp}}{}^{\alpha} \;,\; i\,k\, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\perp}}{}^{\alpha} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{=}\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha} \;,\; 2\,i\,k\, {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}$$

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{t_{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r. < 0 \&\& t. > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r. < 0 \&\& t. > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

# Case 39

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 39 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} \frac{r}{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r}{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{r}{2} - 6 \frac{r}{3} \right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \\ &4 \frac{r}{3} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{t}{i} \, \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{t}{i} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{i} \, \mathcal{T}^{ij} \, \mathcal{T}_{jh} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} & t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{a \, i \, b} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b}_{a} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} - \frac{2}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} \partial_{a} f^{a \, b} + \frac{2}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{b} + \frac{2}{3} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{b} - 4 t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i \, i} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} + 2 t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i \, a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \partial_{a} f^{a \, b}_{b \, b} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{b} - \frac{2}{3} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{b} - 4 t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a \, i \, b} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{b} - 4 t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} + 2 t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{b} - 4 t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a \, b} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a \, b} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} - 4 t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a \, b} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a \, b} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} - 4 t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} - 4 t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} - \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{b} f^$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ \stackrel{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0 \;,\; \stackrel{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0 \;,\; \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp^{\alpha}} == 0 \;,\; -2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha}} \;,\; i \, k \, \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 1 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha}} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp} \;,\; 2 \, i \, k \, \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp} == \stackrel{$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Square masses:

$$\left\{0, \left\{\frac{t_{1}}{r_{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r. < 0 \&\&t. < 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 40 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{6} r_{2} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} r_{2} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{1}{6} \left( r_{2} - 6 r_{3} \right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \frac{2}{4} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{12} t_{2} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} - \frac{1}{6} t_{2} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \mathcal{A}^{abi} - 2 \frac{r}{4} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t}{2} \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{j} f^{ab} - 2 \frac{t}{4} \partial_{a} f^{abi} \partial^{j} f^{ab} \partial^{j} f^{a$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{4} \left( 2 k^2 \left( 4 r_{ \cdot \cdot} - 2 r_{ \cdot \cdot} \right) + \frac{8 t_{ \cdot \cdot}}{3} \right) & \frac{\frac{2}{3} \left( -k^2 r_{ \cdot \cdot} + t_{ \cdot} \right) + \frac{2}{3} \left( k^2 r_{ \cdot \cdot} + t_{ \cdot} \right)}{2 \sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{3} i \sqrt{2} k t_{ \cdot \cdot} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\frac{2}{3} \left( -k^2 r_{ \cdot \cdot} + t_{ \cdot} \right) + \frac{2}{3} \left( k^2 r_{ \cdot \cdot} + t_{ \cdot} \right)}{2 \sqrt{2}} & \frac{t_{ \cdot \cdot}}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} i k t_{ \cdot \cdot} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{3} i \sqrt{2} k t_{ \cdot \cdot} & \frac{i k t_{ \cdot \cdot}}{3} & \frac{k^2 t_{ \cdot \cdot}}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
k^2 \left(-2 \, r \cdot + r \cdot\right) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\begin{cases} \overset{0}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0 \,, \,\, \overset{0}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0 \,, \,\, \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp}{}^{\alpha} == 0 \,, \,\, \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha} == 0 \,, \,\, \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp}{}^{\alpha} == 0 \,, \\ \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha} == 0 \,, \,\, \tilde{i} \, k \,\, \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp}{}^{\alpha b} == \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} \,, \,\, \overset{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b c} == 0 \,, \,\, \overset{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} == 0 \end{cases}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Square masses:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{t_{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r_{\cdot} < 0 \&\& t_{\cdot} > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r < 0 \&\& t > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

## Case 41

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 41 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} r_{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} r_{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{1}{6} \left( r_{2} - 6 r_{3} \right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \\ &r_{3} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{hjl}^{l} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{t_{2}}{2} \, \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{t_{2}}{2} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}_{jih}^{ijh} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{t_{3}}{3} \, \mathcal{T}^{ij} \, \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{abi} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{2} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{aib} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{abi} - \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{3} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{bi} + \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{t}_{3} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{i}_{bi} \cdot \partial_{a} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{t}_{3} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{i}_{bi} \cdot \partial_{a} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{t}_{3} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{3} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{t}_{3} \cdot \partial_{b} f^{ab} \cdot \partial_{i} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{t}_{3} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial_{f} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{t}_{3} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial_{f} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{t}_{3} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial_{f} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{t}_{3} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial_{f} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{t}_{3} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial_{f} f^{ab} - \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{t}_{3} \cdot \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial_{f} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{t}_{3} \cdot \partial_{a} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial_{f} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{t}_{3} \cdot \partial_{a} f^{a}_{a} \cdot \partial_{f} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{t}_{3} \cdot \partial_{a} f^{a}$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
t & -i \sqrt{2} & kt & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\
i \sqrt{2} & kt & 2 & k^2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & k^2 & r & + t & 2
\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2t_{.}}{3} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}t_{.}}{3} & -\frac{2}{3}ikt_{.} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}t_{.}}{3} & \frac{t_{.}}{3} & \frac{1}{3}i\sqrt{2}kt_{.} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2ikt_{.}}{3} & -\frac{1}{3}i\sqrt{2}kt_{.} & \frac{2k^{2}t_{.}}{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} {\overset{\circ}{\cdot}}{}^{\tau^{\perp}} == 0 \;,\; 2 \; k \; {\overset{\circ}{\cdot}}{}^{\sigma}{}^{\parallel} + i \; {\overset{\circ}{\cdot}}{}^{\tau}{}^{\parallel} == 0 \;,\; {\overset{1}{\cdot}}{}_{\tau^{\perp}}{}^{\alpha} \; == 0 \;,\; i \; k \; {\overset{1}{\cdot}}{}_{\sigma^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha} \; == \; {\overset{1}{\cdot}}{}_{\tau^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha} \;,\\ {\overset{1}{\cdot}}{}_{\sigma^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha} \; + 2 \; {\overset{1}{\cdot}}{}_{\sigma^{\perp}}{}^{\alpha} \; == 0 \;,\; i \; k \; {\overset{1}{\cdot}}{}_{\sigma^{\perp}}{}^{\alpha b} \; == \; {\overset{1}{\cdot}}{}_{\tau^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha b} \;,\; {\overset{2}{\cdot}}{}_{\sigma^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha b \; c} \; == 0 \;,\; {\overset{2}{\cdot}}{}_{\tau^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha b} \; == 0 \end{cases}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{6}{(3+2k^2)^2 t} & -\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{(3+2k^2)^2 t} & -\frac{6ik}{(3+2k^2)^2 t} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{(3+2k^2)^2 t} & \frac{3}{(3+2k^2)^2 t} & \frac{3i\sqrt{2}k}{(3+2k^2)^2 t} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{6ik}{(3+2k^2)^2 t} & -\frac{3i\sqrt{2}k}{(3+2k^2)^2 t} & \frac{6k^2}{(3+2k^2)^2 t} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{t}{r}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r < 0 \&\& t > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

### Case 42

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 42 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} r_{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} r_{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + r_{5} \, \mathcal{R}^{ij}_{i} \, \mathcal{R}_{j}_{jhl} + \frac{1}{6} r_{2} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij} - \\ &r_{5} \, \mathcal{R}^{ij}_{i} \, \mathcal{R}_{h}_{jl} + \frac{1}{4} t_{1} \, \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{1}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2 t_{3} \right) \mathcal{T}^{i}_{i} \, \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} & t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{a \, i \, b} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{1}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2 \, t_{3} \right) \mathcal{A}^{a \, b}_{a} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} - \frac{2}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2 \, t_{3} \right) \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} \partial_{o} f^{a \, b} + \frac{2}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2 \, t_{3} \right) \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{1}{3} \left( -t_{1} + 2 \, t_{3} \right) \partial_{o} f^{a \, b} \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + \frac{2}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2 \, t_{3} \right) \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + \frac{1}{3} \left( -t_{1} + 2 \, t_{3} \right) \partial_{o} f^{a \, b} \partial_{i} f^{a \, b$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{t}{2} & -\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & 0\\ \frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & k^2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{t}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left\{ ^{0^{+}}\tau^{\perp} == 0 \text{ , } 2\,k^{\,0^{+}}\sigma^{\parallel} + i^{\,0^{+}}\tau^{\parallel} == 0 \text{ , } 1^{-}\tau^{\,\alpha} \\ == 0 \text{ , } -2\,i\,k^{\,1^{-}}\sigma^{\,\alpha} \\ == 1^{-}\tau^{\parallel}^{\,\alpha} \text{ , } i\,k^{\,1^{+}}\sigma^{\,\alpha} \\ == 1^{+}\tau^{\parallel}^{\,\alpha} \text{ , } 2\,i\,k^{\,2^{+}}\sigma^{\parallel}^{\,\alpha} \\ == 2^{+}\tau^{\parallel}^{\,\alpha} \text{ ) } \right\}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\left(1+2\,k^2\right)^2\,t_{.\,\,1}} & -\frac{2\,i\,\sqrt{2}\,k}{\left(1+2\,k^2\right)^2\,t_{.\,\,1}} & 0\\ \frac{2\,i\,\sqrt{2}\,k}{\left(1+2\,k^2\right)^2\,t_{.\,\,1}} & \frac{4\,k^2}{\left(1+2\,k^2\right)^2\,t_{.\,\,1}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{t_{.\,\,1}} \end{pmatrix} \}$$

$$\left\{\emptyset, \left\{\frac{\frac{t}{1}}{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}, \emptyset, \left\{-\frac{\frac{3t}{1} \frac{t}{3}}{\frac{2r}{5} \frac{t}{1} + \frac{2r}{5} \frac{t}{3}}\right\}, \emptyset, \emptyset\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{\underline{i}}}\right\}, 0, \left\{\frac{6t_{\underline{i}}t_{\underline{3}}\left(t_{\underline{i}}+t_{\underline{3}}\right)-3r_{\underline{5}}\left(t_{\underline{i}}^{2}+2t_{\underline{3}}^{2}\right)}{2r_{\underline{5}}\left(t_{\underline{i}}+t_{\underline{3}}\right)\left(-3t_{\underline{i}}t_{\underline{3}}+r_{\underline{5}}\left(t_{\underline{i}}+t_{\underline{3}}\right)\right)}\right\}, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r. < 0 && r. < 0 && t. < 0 && 0 < t. < -t.$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

## Case 43

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 43 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} r_{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} r_{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + r_{5} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{jhl}^{l} + \frac{1}{6} r_{2} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij}^{l} - \\ &r_{5} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{hjl}^{l} + \frac{1}{12} \left( 4 t_{1} + t_{2} \right) \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1} - t_{2} \right) \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}_{jih} + t_{1} \, \mathcal{T}^{ij} \, \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \quad \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} - 2t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \quad \mathcal{A}^{abi} + t_{.} \quad \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \quad \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} - 2t_{.} \quad \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \quad \partial_{a} f^{ab} + 2t_{.} \quad \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \quad \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - t_{.} \quad \partial_{a} f^{ab} \quad \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + 2t_{.} \quad \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \quad \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + r_{.} \quad \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - r_{.} \quad \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - t_{.} \quad \partial_{a} f^{ab} \quad \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + 2t_{.} \quad \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \quad \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + r_{.} \quad \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - r_{.} \quad \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - r_{.} \quad \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{a} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - r_{.} \quad \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{ab}^{ab}_{a} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - r_{.} \quad \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{ab}^{a}_{a} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - r_{.} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}_{ab}^{$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
-t & i \sqrt{2} & kt & 0 & 0 \\
-i \sqrt{2} & kt & -2 & k^2 & t & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & k^2 & r & +t & r
\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{t}{2} & -\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & k^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{t}{2}
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left\{ {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\circ}}{\tau}^{\perp} == 0\;,\; 2\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\circ}}{\sigma}^{\parallel} + i\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\circ}}{\tau}^{\parallel} == 0\;,\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\circ}}{\tau}^{\perp} \; == 0\;,\; -2\;i\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\circ}}{\sigma}^{\perp} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\circ}}{\tau}^{\parallel} \; ,\; i\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\circ}}{\sigma}^{\perp} \; \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{\alpha}^{\,b}}{=}\; =\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\circ}}{\tau}^{\parallel} \; \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{\alpha}^{\,b}}{=}\; =\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{\alpha}^{\,+}}{\circ}}{\tau}^{\parallel} \; \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{\alpha}^{\,b$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{1}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & \frac{i\sqrt{2}k}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{i\sqrt{2}k}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & -\frac{2k^2}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{k^2 t_1^2 t_2^2}
\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\left\{\emptyset, \left\{-\frac{t}{r}\right\}, \left\{-\frac{3t}{2r}, t + 2r, t\right\}\right\}, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\}, \left\{\frac{-3t_{\frac{1}{2}}t_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(t_{\frac{1}{2}}+t_{\frac{1}{2}}\right)+3r_{\frac{1}{5}}\left(t_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2}+2t_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2}\right)}{r_{\frac{1}{5}}\left(t_{\frac{1}{2}}+t_{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(-3t_{\frac{1}{2}}t_{\frac{1}{2}}+2r_{\frac{1}{5}}\left(t_{\frac{1}{2}}+t_{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)}\right\}, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r. < 0 && r. > 0 && t. < 0 && t. > -t.$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r. < 0 && r. > 0 && t. < 0 && t. > -t.$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

### Case 44

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 44 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + r_{5} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{jhl} - \frac{2}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} - \frac{1}{5} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{4} t_{i} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{2} t_{i} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{1}{3} \left( t_{i} - 2 t_{3} \right) \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}_{jh} \mathcal{T}_{jh}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} & t_{1} \, \, \mathcal{A}_{a\,i\,b} \, \, \, \mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,i} \, + \frac{1}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2\,t_{3} \right) \, \mathcal{A}^{a\,b}_{a} \, \, \, \mathcal{A}_{b\,i}^{i} \, - \frac{2}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2\,t_{3} \right) \, \mathcal{A}_{b\,i}^{i} \, \, \partial_{o}f^{a\,b} \, + \frac{2}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2\,t_{3} \right) \, \mathcal{A}_{b\,i}^{i} \, \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, + \\ & \frac{1}{3} \left( -t_{1} + 2\,t_{3} \right) \partial_{b}f^{i}_{i} \, \, \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, + \frac{1}{3} \left( -t_{1} + 2\,t_{3} \right) \partial_{o}f^{a\,b} \, \, \partial_{f}^{i\,b} \, + \frac{2}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2\,t_{3} \right) \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} \, \, \partial_{i}f^{i}_{b} \, + \\ & r_{5} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{i\,j}^{i\,j} \, \, \partial^{i}\mathcal{A}^{a\,b}_{a} \, - r_{5} \, \partial_{i}\mathcal{A}_{b\,j}^{j} \, \, \partial^{i}\mathcal{A}^{a\,b}_{a} \, + 2\,t_{1} \, \, \mathcal{A}_{b\,i\,a} \, \, \partial^{i}f^{a\,b} \, - t_{1} \, \partial_{o}f_{b\,i} \, \, \partial^{i}f^{a\,b} \, + \frac{1}{2}\,t_{1} \, \partial_{o}f_{i\,b} \, \partial^{i}f^{a\,b} \, - \\ & -\frac{1}{2}\,t_{1} \, \partial_{b}f_{a\,i} \, \partial^{i}f^{a\,b} \, + \frac{1}{2}\,t_{1} \, \partial_{i}f_{a\,b} \, \partial^{i}f^{a\,b} \, + \frac{1}{2}\,t_{1} \, \partial_{i}f_{b\,a} \, \partial^{i}f^{a\,b} \, - r_{5} \, \partial_{o}\mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,i} \, \partial_{j}\mathcal{A}_{b\,i}^{j} \, + 2\,r_{5} \, \partial^{i}\mathcal{A}^{a\,b}_{a} \, \partial_{j}\mathcal{A}_{b\,i}^{j} \, + \\ & r_{5} \, \partial_{o}\mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,i} \, \partial_{j}\mathcal{A}_{i\,b}^{j} \, \partial_{j}\mathcal{A}_{a\,b\,i}^{j} \, \partial^{i}\mathcal{A}^{a\,b}_{a} \, \partial_{j}\mathcal{A}_{i\,b}^{j} \, \partial_{i}\mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,i} \, + \frac{2}{3}\,r_{1} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a\,i\,j} \, \partial^{i}\mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,i} \, + \frac{2}{3}\,r_{1} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{a\,j\,i} \, \partial^{i}\mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,i} \, - \\ & \frac{8}{3}\,r_{1} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{i\,j\,a} \, \partial^{j}\mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,i} \, - \frac{2}{3}\,r_{1} \, \partial_{i}\mathcal{A}_{a\,b\,j} \, \partial^{j}\mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,i} \, + \frac{2}{3}\,r_{1} \, \partial_{j}\mathcal{A}_{a\,b\,i} \, \partial^{j}\mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,i} \, + \frac{2}{3}\,r_{1} \, \partial_{j}\mathcal{A}_{a\,b\,i} \, \partial^{j}\mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,i} \, - \\ & \frac{8}{3}\,r_{1} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{i\,j\,a} \, \partial^{j}\mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,i} \, - \frac{2}{3}\,r_{1} \, \partial_{i}\mathcal{A}_{a\,b\,j} \, \partial^{j}\mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,i} \, + \frac{2}{3}\,r_{1} \, \partial_{j}\mathcal{A}_{a\,b\,i} \, \partial^{j}\mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,i} \, + \frac{2}{3}\,r_{1} \, \partial_{j}\mathcal{A}_{a\,b\,i} \, \partial^{j}\mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,i} \, - \\ & \frac{8}{3}\,r_{1} \, \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{i\,j\,a} \, \partial^{j}\mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,i} \, - \frac{2}{3}\,r_{1} \, \partial_{i}\mathcal{A}_{a\,b\,j} \, \partial^{j}\mathcal{A}^{a\,b\,i} \, + \frac{2}{3}\,r_{1} \, \partial_{j}\mathcal{A}_{a\,b\,i} \, \partial^{j}\mathcal$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{t}{2} & -\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & k^{2}t & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\left(2k^{2}r_{1} + t_{1}\right)
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left\{ ^{0^{+}}\tau^{\perp} == 0 \;,\; 2\,k^{\,\,0^{+}}\sigma^{\parallel} + i\,^{\,\,0^{+}}\tau^{\parallel} == 0 \;,\;\; \mathbf{1}^{-}\tau^{\perp} \\ == 0 \;,\; -2\,i\,k^{\,\,\,\mathbf{1}^{-}}\sigma^{\perp} \\ == \mathbf{1}^{-}\tau^{\parallel} \\ ^{\alpha} \;,\; i\,k^{\,\,\,\mathbf{1}^{+}}\sigma^{\perp} \\ == \mathbf{1}^{+}\tau^{\parallel} \\ ^{\alpha} \;,\; 2\,i\,k^{\,\,\,2^{+}}\sigma^{\parallel} \\ == \mathbf{1}^{+}\tau^{\parallel} \\ ^{\alpha} \;,\; 1\,k^{\,\,\,\mathbf{1}^{+}}\sigma^{\perp} \\ == \mathbf{1}^{+}\tau^{\parallel} \\ ^{\alpha} \;,\; 1\,k^{\,\,\mathbf{1}^{+}}\sigma^{\perp} \\ == \mathbf{1}^{+}\tau^{\parallel} \\ == \mathbf{1}^{+}\tau^{\parallel}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\left(1+2\,k^2\right)^2\,\boldsymbol{t}_{.\,\,i}} & -\frac{2\,i\,\sqrt{2}\,k}{\left(1+2\,k^2\right)^2\,\boldsymbol{t}_{.\,\,i}} & 0\\ \frac{2\,i\,\sqrt{2}\,k}{\left(1+2\,k^2\right)^2\,\boldsymbol{t}_{.\,\,i}} & \frac{4\,k^2}{\left(1+2\,k^2\right)^2\,\boldsymbol{t}_{.\,\,i}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{2\,k^2\,\boldsymbol{r}_{.\,\,i}^{\,\,i+\ell}\,\boldsymbol{t}_{.\,\,i}} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \{0, \{0, \{0, \{0, \{0, \{1, \frac{3t \cdot t}{1 \cdot 3}\}\}, \{0, \{-\frac{t \cdot 1}{2r \cdot 1}\}\}\} \right\} \right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{3\left(-2t_{1}t_{3}\left(t_{1}+t_{3}\right)+r_{1}\left(t_{1}^{2}+2t_{3}^{2}\right)+r_{5}\left(t_{1}^{2}+2t_{3}^{2}\right)\right)}{2\left(r_{1}+r_{5}\right)\left(t_{1}+t_{3}\right)\left(-3t_{1}t_{3}+r_{1}\left(t_{1}+t_{3}\right)+r_{5}\left(t_{1}+t_{3}\right)\right)}\right\}, 0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{1}}\right\}\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r < 0 & r < -r & t > 0 & t < -t & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t < 0 & t$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$\left(r_{1} < 0 \&\&r_{1} < -r_{1} \&\&t_{1} > 0 \&\&t_{1} < -t_{1}\right) \|\left(r_{1} < 0 \&\&r_{5} < -r_{1} \&\&t_{1} > 0 \&\&t_{3} > 0\right)$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

### Case 45

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 45 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} r_{1} \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3} r_{1} \, \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + r_{5} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{jhl}^{l} - \frac{2}{3} r_{1} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij} - \\ &r_{5} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{hjl}^{l} + \frac{1}{12} \left( 4 \, t_{1} + t_{2} \right) \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 \, t_{1} - t_{2} \right) \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}_{jih} + t_{1} \, \mathcal{T}^{ij} \, \mathcal{T}^{hjh}_{jh} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \\ \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{abi} & \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} - 2t_{.} \\ \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{aib} & \mathcal{A}^{abi} + t_{.} & \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} & \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} - 2t_{.} & \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} & \partial_{a}f^{ab} + 2t_{.} & \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} & \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} - t_{.} & \partial_{a}f^{ab} & \partial_{i}f^{i}_{b} + 2t_{.} & \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} & \partial_{i}f^{i}_{b} + r_{.} & \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} & \partial^{i}\mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - r_{.} & \partial_{i}\mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} & \partial^{i}\mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - t_{.} & \partial_{a}f^{ab} & \partial_{i}f^{i}_{b} + 2t_{.} & \partial^{b}f^{a}_{a} & \partial_{i}f^{i}_{b} + r_{.} & \partial_{b}\mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} & \partial^{i}\mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - r_{.} & \partial_{i}\mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} & \partial^{i}\mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - r_{.} & \partial_{i}\mathcal{A}_{abj}^{j} & \partial_{i}\mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - r_{.} & \partial_{i}\mathcal{A}_{abj}^{j} & \partial_$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{t}{2} & -\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & k^2 t & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(2 k^2 r_1 + t_1\right)
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left\{ {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\perp} == 0\;,\; 2\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\circ}}{\sigma}^{\parallel} + i\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\circ}}{\tau}^{\parallel} == 0\;,\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; == 0\;,\; -2\;i\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}}^{\alpha}\;,\; i\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\perp}}^{\alpha b} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}}^{\alpha b}\;,\; 2\;i\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\circ}}{\sigma}^{\parallel}}^{\alpha b} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}}^{\alpha b} \right\}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ 
\begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{1}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & \frac{i\sqrt{2}k}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{i\sqrt{2}k}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & -\frac{2k^2}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{t_2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\left\{0, 0, \left\{-\frac{3t \cdot t}{2\left(2r_{1}+r_{5}\right)\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)}\right\}, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{t_{1}}{2r_{1}}\right\}\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0,0,\left\{\frac{-3t_{1}t_{2}\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)+6r_{1}\left(t_{1}^{2}+2t_{2}^{2}\right)+3r_{5}\left(t_{1}^{2}+2t_{2}^{2}\right)}{\left(2r_{1}+r_{5}\right)\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)\left(-3t_{1}t_{2}+4r_{1}\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)+2r_{5}\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)\right)}\right\},0,0,\left\{-\frac{1}{r_{1}}\right\}\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r. < 0 &&r. > -2 r. &&t. > 0 &&-t. < t. < 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r. < 0 && r. > -2 r. && t. > 0 && -t. < t. < 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

### Case 46

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 46 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{6} \left( 2 r_{1} + r_{2} \right) \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3} \left( r_{1} - r_{2} \right) \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - 2 r_{1} \mathcal{R}^{ij} \mathcal{R}_{j} \mathcal{R}_{hl} + \frac{1}{6} \left( -4 r_{1} + r_{2} \right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + 2 r_{1} \mathcal{R}^{ij} \mathcal{R}_{hjl} \mathcal{R}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1} - t_{2} \right) \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{jih} + t_{1} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}_{jh} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + 2 r_{1} \mathcal{R}^{ij} \mathcal{R}_{hjl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1} - t_{2} \right) \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} + t_{1} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}_{jh} \mathcal{T}_{jh} \mathcal{T}_{hlij} + 2 r_{1} \mathcal{T}_{hlij} \mathcal{T}_{hlij} \mathcal{T}_{hlij} \mathcal{T}_{hlij} + 2 r_{1} \mathcal{T}_{hlij} \mathcal$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} \left( t_{1}^{\cdot} + t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \mathcal{A}_{abi} \quad \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \left( t_{1}^{\cdot} - 2 t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \mathcal{A}_{aib} \quad \mathcal{A}^{abi} + t_{1}^{\cdot} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \quad \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} - 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \partial_{a} f^{ab} + 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - t_{1}^{i} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{bi} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} + 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{i}_{b} - 2 r_{1}^{\cdot} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} + 2 r_{1}^{\cdot} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - t_{1}^{i} \partial_{a} f^{ab}_{bj} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{b} + 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} - 2 r_{1}^{\cdot} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} + 2 r_{1}^{\cdot} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - \frac{2}{3} \left( t_{1}^{\cdot} + t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \mathcal{A}_{abi} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{b} + \frac{2}{3} \left( t_{1}^{\cdot} + t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \mathcal{A}_{aib} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{2}{3} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \mathcal{A}_{bia} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{1}{3} \left( -2 t_{1}^{\cdot} + t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{bi} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{bi} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1}^{\cdot} - t_{2}^{\cdot} \right) \partial_{a} f_{ab} \partial^{i} f^{ab}_{a}$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{t}{2} & -\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & k^2 t & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(2 k^2 r_1 + t_1\right)
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left\{ \stackrel{0^{+}}{\circ}\tau^{\perp} == 0 \;,\; 2 \; k \; \stackrel{0^{+}}{\circ}\sigma^{\parallel} + i \; \stackrel{0^{+}}{\circ}\tau^{\parallel} == 0 \;,\; \stackrel{1^{-}}{\circ}\tau^{\perp} \; == 0 \;,\; -2 \; i \; k \; \stackrel{1^{-}}{\circ}\sigma^{\perp} \; == \; \stackrel{1^{-}}{\circ}\tau^{\parallel} \; ,\; i \; k \; \stackrel{1^{+}}{\circ}\sigma^{\perp} \; \stackrel{\alpha \; b}{=} \; \stackrel{1^{+}}{\circ}\tau^{\parallel} \; \stackrel{\alpha \; b}{=} \; ,\; 2 \; i \; k \; \stackrel{2^{+}}{\circ}\sigma^{\parallel} \; \stackrel{\alpha \; b}{=} \; \stackrel{2^{+}}{\circ}\tau^{\parallel} \; \stackrel{\alpha \; b}{=} \; \stackrel{\alpha \; b}{$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{t_{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{t_{\frac{1}{2}}}{2r_{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\}\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{i}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{i}}\right\}\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

# Case 47

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 47 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$r_{.5} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}^{l}_{jhl} - r_{.5} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}^{l}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{4} t_{.1} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{2} t_{.1} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{1}{3} \left( t_{.1} - 2 t_{.3} \right) \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} & t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{a \mid b} \mathcal{A}^{ab \mid i} + \frac{1}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2 t_{3} \right) \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \mathcal{A}_{b \mid i}^{i} - \frac{2}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2 t_{3} \right) \mathcal{A}_{b \mid i}^{i} \partial_{a} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2 t_{3} \right) \mathcal{A}_{b \mid i}^{i} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + \\ & \frac{1}{3} \left( -t_{1} + 2 t_{3} \right) \partial_{b} f^{i}_{i} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{1}{3} \left( -t_{1} + 2 t_{3} \right) \partial_{o} f^{ab} \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + \frac{2}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2 t_{3} \right) \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{i}_{b} + r_{5} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{i \mid j}^{j} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - \\ & r_{5} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{b \mid j}^{j} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} + 2 t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{b \mid a} \partial^{i} f^{ab} - t_{1} \partial_{a} f_{b \mid i} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{a} f_{i \mid b} \partial^{i} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{b} f_{a \mid i} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{i} f_{a \mid b} \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \\ & \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \partial_{i} f_{b \mid a} \partial^{i} f^{ab} - r_{5} \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{ab \mid i} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{b \mid i}^{j} + 2 r_{5} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{b \mid i}^{j} + r_{5} \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}^{ab \mid i} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{i \mid b}^{j} - 2 r_{5} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{i \mid b}^{j} \end{split}$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{t}{2} & -\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & k^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{t}{2}
\end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 0 \;,\; 2 \; k \; \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \sigma^\parallel + i \; \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\parallel & = & 0 \;,\; & 1^- \tau^\perp \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \tau^\perp & = & 0 \;,\; -2 \; i \; k \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \sigma^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 0 \;,\; -2 \; i \; k \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1^- \sigma^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\parallel \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\perp \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\perp \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0^+ \tau^\perp & = & 1^- \tau^\perp \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right]$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{2}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_{\cdot 1}} & -\frac{2i\sqrt{2}k}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_{\cdot 1}} & 0 \\
\frac{2i\sqrt{2}k}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_{\cdot 1}} & \frac{4k^2}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_{\cdot 1}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2}{t_{\cdot 1}}
\end{pmatrix}$$

Square masses:

$$\left\{\emptyset, \, \emptyset, \, \emptyset, \, \left\{-\frac{3t. \, t.}{\frac{1}{1} \, \frac{3}{3}}\right\}, \, \emptyset, \, \emptyset\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, \left\{\frac{6t_1t_3\left(t_1+t_3\right)-3r_5\left(t_1^2+2t_3^2\right)}{2r_5\left(t_1+t_3\right)\left(-3t_1t_3+r_5\left(t_1+t_3\right)\right)}\right\}, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r. < 0 && ((t. < 0 && 0 < t. < -t.)) || (t. > 0 && (t. < -t.) || (t. > 0) & (t. < -t.) || (t. > 0)))$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$\left(r. < 0 \&\&t. < 0 \&\&t. < 0 \&\&t. < 0 \&\&t. > 0 \&\&t. < -t.\right) ||\left(r. < 0 \&\&t. < -t.\right) ||\left(r. < 0 \&\&t. > 0 \&\&t. > 0\right)$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

### Case 48

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 48 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{r_{.}}{5} \mathcal{R}^{ij} \stackrel{h}{=} \mathcal{R}^{l}_{j} \stackrel{h}{=} -\frac{r_{.}}{5} \mathcal{R}^{ij} \stackrel{h}{=} \mathcal{R}^{l}_{h} \stackrel{l}{=} l + \frac{1}{12} \left(4\frac{t_{.}}{1} + \frac{t_{.}}{2}\right) \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{6} \left(2\frac{t_{.}}{1} - \frac{t_{.}}{2}\right) \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{t_{.}}{1} \mathcal{T}^{i} \stackrel{j}{=} \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh} + \frac{t_{.}}{1} \mathcal{T}^{i} \stackrel{j}{=} \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh} + \frac{t_{.}}{1} \mathcal{T}^{i} \stackrel{j}{=} \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh} + \frac{t_{.}}{1} \mathcal{T}^{h}_{ijh} + \frac{t_{.}}{1} \mathcal{T}^{h}_{$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \quad \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} - 2t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \quad \mathcal{A}^{abi} + t_{.} \quad \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \quad \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} - 2t_{.} \quad \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \quad \partial_{b} f^{ab} + \\ &2t_{.} \quad \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \quad \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - t_{.} \quad \partial_{b} f^{i}_{i} \quad \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - t_{.} \quad \partial_{a} f^{ab} \quad \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + 2t_{.} \quad \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \quad \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + r_{.} \quad \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - \\ &r_{.} \quad \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} \quad \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} - \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{bia} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{bia} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{bia} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{bia} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} t_{.} + t_{.} \end{pmatrix} \partial_{a} f_{aib} \quad \partial^{i} f^{ab} + \frac{1}$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{t}{2} & -\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & 0\\ \frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & k^2 \frac{t}{1} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{t}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left\{ {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\perp}} == 0\;,\; 2\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0^{+}}}{\circ}}{\sigma^{\parallel}} + i\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}} == 0\;,\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{-}}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\perp}}{}^{\alpha} \; == 0\;,\; -2\;i\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{-}}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\perp}}{}^{\alpha} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{-}}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha}\;,\; i\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\perp}}{}^{\alpha} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha}\;,\; 2\;i\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha}\;,\; 1\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\perp}}{}^{\alpha} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha}\;,\; 1\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\perp}}{}^{\alpha} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha}\;,\; 1\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\perp}}{}^{\alpha} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\tau^{\parallel}}{}^{\alpha}\;,\; 1\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\perp}}{}^{\alpha}\;,\; 1\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\perp}}{}^{\alpha}\;,\; 1\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\perp}}{\sigma^{\perp}}{}^{\alpha}\;,\; 1\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\cdot}}{\sigma^{\perp}}{}^{\alpha}\;,\; 1\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{1}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & \frac{i\sqrt{2}k}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{i\sqrt{2}k}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & -\frac{2k^2}{(1+2k^2)^2 t_1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{t_2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \right.$$

$$\left\{0, 0, \left\{-\frac{3t_1t_2}{2r_1t_1+2r_1t_2}\right\}, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r_{.5} > 0 \&\& \left( \left( \frac{t_{.}}{1} < 0 \&\& \left( \frac{t_{.}}{2} < 0 \parallel \frac{t_{.}}{2} > -\frac{t_{.}}{1} \right) \right) \parallel \left( \frac{t_{.}}{1} > 0 \&\& -\frac{t_{.}}{2} < 0 \right) \right)$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r_{.5} > 0 \&\& ((t_{.1} < 0 \&\& (t_{.2} < 0 || t_{.2} > -t_{.1})) || (t_{.1} > 0 \&\& -t_{.1} < t_{.2} < 0))$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

### Case 49

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 49 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} \frac{r_{.}}{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r_{.}}{2} \, \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{r_{.}}{5} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{jhl}^{l} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{r_{.}}{2} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij} - \\ &\frac{r_{.}}{5} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{hjl}^{l} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{t_{i}}{1} \, \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{t_{i}}{1} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}_{jih} + t_{.} \, \mathcal{T}^{ij} \, \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} & t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{a \, i \, b} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + t_{1} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b}_{a} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} - 2 \, t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} \partial_{o} f^{a \, b} + 2 \, t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} - t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{i}_{i} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - t_{1} \partial_{o} f^{a \, b} \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + \\ & 2 \, t_{1} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + r_{5} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{i \, j}^{j} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b}_{a} - r_{5} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{b \, j}^{j} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b}_{a} + 2 \, t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i \, a}^{i} \partial^{j} f^{a \, b} - t_{1} \partial_{o} f_{b \, i} \partial^{i} f^{a \, b} + \\ & \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \partial_{o} f_{i \, b} \partial^{i} f^{a \, b} - \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \partial_{b} f_{a \, i} \partial^{i} f^{a \, b} + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \partial_{i} f_{a \, b} \partial^{i} f^{a \, b} + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{1} \partial_{i} f_{a \, b} \partial^{i} f^{a \, b} - r_{5} \partial_{o} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{j} + \\ & 2 \, r_{5} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{j} + r_{5} \partial_{o} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{i \, b}^{j} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{1}{2} \, r_{5} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b}_{a} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{j} + \frac{4}{3} \, r_{5} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{a \, i \, j} \partial^{i} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} - \frac{2}{3} \, r_{5} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{a \, j \, i} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \\ & \frac{2}{3} \, r_{5} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{i \, j \, a} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} - \frac{1}{3} \, r_{5} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, j} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{1}{3} \, r_{5} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} - \frac{2}{3} \, r_{5} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, j} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{1}{3} \, r_{5} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} - \frac{2}{3} \, r_{5} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, j} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{1}{3} \, r_{5} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} - \frac{2}{3} \, r_{5} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{1}{3} \, r_{5} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{1}{3} \, r_{5} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} - \frac{2}{3} \, r_{5} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{1}{3} \, r_{5} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{5} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} - \frac{2}{3} \, r_{5} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{2}{3} \, r_{5} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{a \, b \, i} \partial^{j} \mathcal{A}^{a$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(2 k^2 r_{5} - t_{1}\right) & \frac{t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & i k t_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(2 k^2 r_{5} - t_{1}\right) & \frac{t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & i k t_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -i k t_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{t_{1}}{2} & -\frac{i k t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
\frac{i k t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{t_{1}}{2}
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left\{ {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\circ}}{\tau}^{\perp} == 0 \;,\; 2\,k\,{\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\circ}}{\sigma}^{\parallel} + i\,{\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\circ}}{\tau}^{\parallel} == 0 \;,\;\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\circ}}{\tau}^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; == 0 \;,\; -2\,i\,k\,{\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\circ}}{\tau}^{\perp}{}^{\alpha} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\circ}}{\tau}^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha} \;,\; i\,k\,{\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\circ}}{\tau}^{\perp}{}^{\alpha}{}^{b} \; == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\circ}}{\tau}^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha}{}^{b} \;,\; 2\,i\,k\,{\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\circ}}{\sigma}^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha}{}^{b} == {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\circ}}{\tau}^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha}{}^{b} \right\}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Square masses:

$$\left\{0, \left\{\frac{t_{1}}{r_{2}}\right\}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{ \left\{ \left\{ -\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\} \right\} ,\left\{ \right\} ,\left\{ \right\} ,\left\{ \right\} \right\} \right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r_{\cdot} < 0 \&\&t_{\cdot} < 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

## Case 50

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 50 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - r_{i} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{jhl} - \frac{2}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + r_{i} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{4} t_{i} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{2} t_{i} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{1}{3} \left( t_{i} - 2 t_{3} \right) \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}^{h}_{jh}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} & t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{a \, i \, b} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{1}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2 \, t_{3} \right) \mathcal{A}^{a \, b}_{a} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} - \frac{2}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2 \, t_{3} \right) \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} \partial_{a} f^{a \, b} + \frac{2}{3} \left( t_{1} - 2 \, t_{3} \right) \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + \frac{1}{3} \left( -t_{1} + 2 \, t_{3} \right) \partial_{a} f^{a \, b} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} \partial_{a} \partial_{b} \partial_{b} \partial_{b} \partial_{b} \partial_{b} \partial_{a} \partial_{b} \partial$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{t}{2} & -\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & k^2 t & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(2 k^2 r + t \right)
\end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \cdot \\ \tau^{\perp} \end{smallmatrix} = 0 \;,\; 2 \; k \; \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \sigma^{\parallel} \end{smallmatrix} + \tilde{\imath} \; \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \tau^{\parallel} \end{smallmatrix} = 0 \;,\; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \\ \tau^{\perp} \end{smallmatrix} ^{\alpha} \; = = 0 \;,\; -2 \; \tilde{\imath} \; k \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \sigma^{\perp} \end{smallmatrix} ^{\alpha} \; = = \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \\ \tau^{\parallel} \end{smallmatrix} ^{\alpha} \;,\; \tilde{\imath} \; k \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \sigma^{\perp} \end{smallmatrix} ^{\alpha b} \; = \; \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \\ \tau^{\parallel} \end{smallmatrix} ^{\alpha b} \;,\; 2 \; \tilde{\imath} \; k \; \begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \sigma^{\parallel} \end{smallmatrix} ^{\alpha b} = = \; \begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ \cdot \\ \tau^{\parallel} \end{smallmatrix} ^{\alpha b} \;$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{t_{i}}{2r_{i}}\right\}\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_i}\right\}\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r < 0 \&\& t > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r < 0 \&\& t > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

# Case 51

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 51 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} r_{1} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3} r_{1} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - 2 r_{1} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{jhl} - \frac{2}{3} r_{1} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \\ &2 r_{1} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{12} \left( 4 t_{1} + t_{2} \right) \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{6} \left( 2 t_{1} - t_{2} \right) \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} + t_{1} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}_{jh} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} \left( t_{1}^{i} + t_{2}^{i} \right) \mathcal{A}_{abi} \quad \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \left( t_{1}^{i} - 2 t_{2}^{i} \right) \mathcal{A}_{aib} \quad \mathcal{A}^{abi} + t_{1}^{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \quad \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} - 2 t_{1}^{i} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \partial_{a} f^{ab} + 2 t_{1}^{i} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} - t_{1}^{i} \partial_{a} f^{ab} \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} + 2 t_{1}^{i} \partial_{b}^{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} - 2 r_{1}^{i} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} \partial_{a}^{b} \mathcal{A}_{a}^{ab} + 2 r_{1}^{i} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} \partial_{a}^{b} \mathcal{A}_{ab}^{ab} - t_{1}^{i} \partial_{a} f^{ab} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + 2 t_{1}^{i} \partial_{b}^{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{i} f^{ab} + 2 r_{1}^{i} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} \partial_{a}^{b} \mathcal{A}_{ab}^{b} - 2 r_{1}^{i} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{j} \partial_{a}^{b} \mathcal{A}_{ab}^{ab} + 2 r_{1}^{i} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{j} \partial_{a}^{b} \mathcal{A}_{ab}^{ab} - 2 r_{1}^{i} \partial_{a} \mathcal{A}_{ab}^{b} \partial_{a}^{b} \partial_{a}^{$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{t}{2} & -\frac{ikt}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{ikt}{\sqrt{2}} & k^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(2 k^{2} r_{1} + t_{1}\right)
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left\{ {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\perp} == 0\;,\; 2\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\circ}}{\sigma}^{\parallel} + i\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0}^{+}}{\circ}}{\tau}^{\parallel} == 0\;,\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; == 0\;,\; -2\;i\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{-}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}}^{\alpha}\;,\; i\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\sigma}^{\perp}}^{\alpha b} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}}^{\alpha b}\;,\; 2\;i\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\circ}}{\sigma}^{\parallel}}^{\alpha b} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{2}^{+}}{\cdot}}{\tau}^{\parallel}}^{\alpha b} \right\}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{t_{i}}{2r_{i}}\right\}\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{i}}\right\}\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r < 0 \&\& t > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

# Case 52

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 52 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{3}r_{i} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3}r_{i} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + r_{5} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{jhl} - \frac{2}{3}r_{i} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} - r_{5} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{4}t_{i} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{2}t_{i} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} + t_{i} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}_{jh} + t_{i} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}_{jh}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} & t_{\mathbf{i}} \,\, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}\,\mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{b}} \,\,\, \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{a}\,\mathbf{b}\,\mathbf{i}} \,+ t_{\mathbf{i}} \,\,\, \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{a}\,\mathbf{b}}_{\phantom{a}\,\mathbf{a}} \,\,\, \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{b}\,\mathbf{i}}^{\phantom{b}\,\mathbf{i}} \,\,- 2\,t_{\mathbf{i}} \,\,\,\, \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{b}\,\mathbf{i}}^{\phantom{b}\,\mathbf{i}} \,\,\, \partial_{0}f^{\,\mathbf{a}\,\mathbf{b}}^{\phantom{a}\,\mathbf{b}} \,+ 2\,t_{\mathbf{i}} \,\,\,\, \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{b}\,\mathbf{i}}^{\phantom{b}\,\mathbf{i}} \,\,\, \partial_{\mathbf{b}}f^{\,\mathbf{a}}_{\phantom{a}\,\mathbf{a}} \,- t_{\mathbf{i}} \,\, \partial_{\mathbf{b}}f^{\,\mathbf{a}}^{\phantom{a}\,\mathbf{b}} \,\,\, \partial_{\mathbf{f}\,\mathbf{a}}^{\phantom{a}\,\mathbf{b}} \,\,\, \partial_{\mathbf{f$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
-t_{1} & i\sqrt{2} & kt_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
-i\sqrt{2} & kt_{1} & -2k^{2}t_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -t_{1}
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{4} \left(4 k^{2} \left(2 r_{1} + r_{5}\right) - 2 t_{1}\right) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{i k_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(2 k^{2} \left(r_{1} + r_{5}\right) - t_{1}\right) & \frac{t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & i k t_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -i k t_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
t_{1} & -i k t_{1} & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{i k t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
\frac{i k t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & k^{2} t_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(2 k^{2} r_{1} + t_{1}\right)
\end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ ^{0^{+}}\tau^{\perp} == 0\;,\; 2\;k^{\;0^{+}}\sigma^{\parallel} + i^{\;0^{+}}\tau^{\parallel} == 0\;,\;\; \mathbf{1}^{-}\tau^{\perp}{}^{\alpha} \;== 0\;,\; -2\;i\!\!\!/\; k\;\; \mathbf{1}^{-}\sigma^{\perp}{}^{\alpha} \;== \;\mathbf{1}^{-}\tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha}\;,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\;\; \mathbf{1}^{+}\sigma^{\perp}{}^{\alpha b} \;== \;\mathbf{1}^{+}\tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b}\;,\;\; 2\;i\!\!\!/\; k\;\; \mathbf{2}^{+}\sigma^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} \;== \;\mathbf{2}^{+}\tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b}\;$$

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{t_1}{2r_1}\right\}\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_i}\right\}\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r_{1} < 0 \&\& t_{1} > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r_{1} < 0 \&\& t_{1} > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 53 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - r_{i} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{jhl} - \frac{2}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + r_{i} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{4} t_{i} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{2} t_{i} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} + t_{i} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}_{jh}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
-t_{\cdot i} & i \sqrt{2} & kt_{\cdot i} & 0 & 0 \\
-i \sqrt{2} & kt_{\cdot i} & -2 & k^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -t_{\cdot i}
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{4} \left(4 & k^{2} & r_{\cdot i} - 2 & t_{\cdot i} \right) & -\frac{t_{\cdot i}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{i k t_{\cdot i}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{t_{\cdot i}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \right\}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{t}{\sqrt{2}} & i k t & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{t}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -i k t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{t}{2} & -\frac{i k t}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
\frac{i k t}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{i k t}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
\frac{i k t}{\sqrt{2}} & k^2 t & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(2 k^2 r_1 + t_1\right)
\end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}} == 0\;,\; 2\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0^{+}}}{\circ}}{\sigma^{\parallel}} + i\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}} == 0\;,\;\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{-}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; == 0\;,\; -2\;i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{-}}}{\circ}}{\sigma^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{-}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}$$

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{t_i}{2r_i}\right\}\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_i}\right\}\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r_{1} < 0 \&\& t_{1} > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r < 0 \&\& t > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 54 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - 2 r_{i} \mathcal{R}^{ij} \mathcal{R}_{j} \mathcal{R}_{hl} - \frac{2}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + 2 r_{i} \mathcal{R}^{ij} \mathcal{R}_{j} \mathcal{R}_{hl} + \frac{1}{4} t_{i} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{2} t_{i} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} + t_{i} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}_{jh}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
-t_{1} & i\sqrt{2} & kt_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
-i\sqrt{2} & kt_{1} & -2k^{2}t_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -t_{1}
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{t_{1}}{2} & -\frac{t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{ikt_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},$$

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}} == 0\;,\; 2\;k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0^{+}}}{\circ}}{\sigma^{\parallel}} + i\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{0^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}} == 0\;,\;\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{-}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; == 0\;,\; -2\;i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{-}}}{\circ}}{\sigma^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{-}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\parallel}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} ==\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}}{\circ}}{\tau^{\perp}}^{\alpha} \; ,\;\; i\!\!\!/\; k\; {\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{1^{+}}$$

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{t_i}{2r_i}\right\}\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{i}}\right\}\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r < 0 \&\& t > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 55 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3}r_{i} \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3}r_{i} \, \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - r_{i} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{jhl} - \frac{2}{3}r_{i} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \\ &r_{i} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{hjl} + \frac{1}{4}t_{i} \, \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{2}t_{i} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{1}{3}t_{i} \, \mathcal{T}^{ij} \, \mathcal{T}_{jh} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\begin{cases} {\overset{\circ}{\bullet}}{}^{,}\sigma^{\parallel} == 0 , {\overset{\circ}{\bullet}}{}^{,}\tau^{\parallel} == 0 , {\overset{\circ}{\bullet}}{}^{,}\tau^{\perp} == 0 , {\overset{1}{\bullet}}{}^{,}\tau^{\perp}{}^{\alpha} == 0 , -2 \, i \, k \, {\overset{1}{\bullet}}{}^{,}\sigma^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha} == {\overset{1}{\bullet}}{}^{,}\tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha} , \\ {\overset{1}{\bullet}}{}^{,}\sigma^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha} == {\overset{1}{\bullet}}{}^{,}\sigma^{\perp}{}^{\alpha}{}^{,} \, i \, k \, {\overset{1}{\bullet}}{}^{,}\sigma^{\perp}{}^{\alpha}{}^{b} == {\overset{1}{\bullet}}{}^{,}\tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha}{}^{b} , \, 2 \, i \, k \, {\overset{2}{\bullet}}{}^{,}\sigma^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha}{}^{b} == {\overset{2}{\bullet}}{}^{,}\tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha}{}^{b} \end{cases}$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Square masses:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{t_{i}}{2r_{i}}\right\}\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{i}}\right\}\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r < 0 \&\& t > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

#### Case 56

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 56 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\frac{1}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - 2 r_{i} \mathcal{R}^{ij} \mathcal{R}_{j} \mathcal{R}^{l} - \frac{2}{3} r_{i} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + 2 r_{i} \mathcal{R}^{ij} \mathcal{R}_{j} \mathcal{R}^{l} + \frac{1}{3} t_{i} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{3} t_{i} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih} + t_{i} \mathcal{T}^{i} \mathcal{T}_{j} \mathcal{T}_{jh}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{i} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{t}{i} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{t}{i} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} - 2 \frac{t}{i} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \partial_{a} f^{ab} + 2 \frac{t}{i} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{t}{i} \partial_{a} f^{ab} \partial_{a} f^{i}_{b} + 2 \frac{t}{i} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{i} f^{i}_{b} - 2 \frac{t}{i} \partial_{b} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^{ij} \partial^{b} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} + 2 \frac{t}{i} \partial_{i} \mathcal{A}_{bj}^{ij} \partial^{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{t}{i} \partial_{a} f^{ab} \partial_{a} \partial_{a} \partial_{a} \partial_{a} f^{ab} \partial_{a} \partial_{a}$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\begin{cases} \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} == 0 \,, \,\, \overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0 \,, \,\, 2 \,k \,\overset{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} + i \,\overset{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0 \,, \,\, \overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp^{\alpha}} == 0 \,, \,\, -2 \,i \,k \,\, \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha}} == \,\overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha}} \,, \\ -2 \,i \,k \,\, \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == \,\overset{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} \,, \,\, 2 \,\, \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} + \overset{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp^{\alpha b}} == 0 \,, \,\, 2 \,i \,k \,\, \overset{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} == \,\overset{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel^{\alpha b}} \, \right\}$$

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{t_i}{2r_i}\right\}\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_i}\right\}\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r < 0 \&\& t > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

$$r < 0 \&\& t > 0$$

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

## Case 57

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 57 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} \left(2 r_{1} + r_{2} \right) \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3} \left(r_{1} - r_{2} \right) \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - 2 r_{1} \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{jhl}^{ijhl} + \\ &\frac{1}{6} \left(-4 r_{1} + r_{2} \right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + 2 r_{1} \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \mathcal{R}_{hjl}^{l} + \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \mathcal{T}_{jih}^{ijh} + t_{1} \mathcal{T}^{ij} \mathcal{T}_{jh}^{h} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\frac{1}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{abi} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{aib} \mathcal{A}^{abi} + t_{1} \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{a} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} - 2 t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \partial_{a} f^{ab} + 2 t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{bi}^{i} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} - t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{i}_{i} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} - t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{i}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} - t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{i}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} - t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} - t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} + t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
-t & i \sqrt{2} & kt & 0 & 0 \\
-i \sqrt{2} & kt & -2 & k^2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & k^2 & k^$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(-2 k^2 r_{1} - t_{1}\right) & \frac{t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & i k t_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -i k t_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{t_{1}}{2} & -\frac{i k t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
\frac{i k t_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & k^{2} t_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(2 k^{2} r_{1} + t_{1}\right)
\end{pmatrix}$$
Gauge constraints on source currents:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ \stackrel{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp} == 0 , \ 2 \, k \stackrel{\circ}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel} + i \stackrel{\circ}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel} == 0 , \quad \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\perp}{}^{\alpha} == 0 , \quad -2 \, i \, k \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp}{}^{\alpha} == \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha} , \right.$$

$$\left. -2 \, i \, k \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} == \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} , \quad 2 \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} + \stackrel{1}{\cdot} \sigma^{\perp}{}^{\alpha b} == 0 , \quad 2 \, i \, k \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \sigma^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} == \stackrel{2}{\cdot} \tau^{\parallel}{}^{\alpha b} \right\}$$

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{t_i}{2r_i}\right\}\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_{i}}\right\}\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

Now for a new theory. Here is the full nonlinear Lagrangian for Case 58 as defined by the second column of TABLE V. in arXiv:1910.14197:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{3} r_{i} \, \mathcal{R}_{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} + \frac{2}{3} r_{i} \, \mathcal{R}_{ihjl} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} - r_{i} \, \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{jhl}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{3} \left( r_{i} - 3 \, r_{3} \right) \mathcal{R}^{ijhl} \, \mathcal{R}_{hlij} + \\ &\left( - 3 \, r_{i} + 4 \, r_{3} \right) \mathcal{R}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{R}_{hjl}^{ij} + \frac{1}{4} \, t_{i} \, \mathcal{T}_{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} + \frac{1}{2} \, t_{i} \, \mathcal{T}^{ijh} \, \mathcal{T}_{jih} + \frac{1}{3} \, t_{i} \, \mathcal{T}^{ij} \, \mathcal{T}_{jh} \end{split}$$

To use PSALTer, you have to first linearise

this Lagrangian to second order around the desired vacuum:

$$\begin{split} & t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{a \, i \, b} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b \, i} + \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{A}^{a \, b}_{a} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} - \frac{2}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} \partial_{a} f^{a \, b} + \frac{2}{3} t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{b \, i}^{i} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{i}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} - \frac{1}{3} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{i}_{b} + \frac{2}{3} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{b} + \frac{2}{3} t_{1} \partial_{b} f^{a}_{a} \partial_{b} f^{a}_$$

Now we pass this theory into the PSALTer package, which computes the particle spectrum:

The (possibly singular) a-matrices associated with

the Lagrangian, as defined below Eq. (18) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Gauge constraints on source currents:

$$\left\{ {{{}^{0}}^{+}}{{\tau }^{\bot }}==0\;,\;\; {{{}^{0}}^{+}}{{\tau }^{\parallel }}==0\;,\;\; {{1}^{\bot }}{{\tau }^{\bot }}{{{}^{\alpha }}}\;==0\;,\;\; -2\;i\;k\;\; {{{1}^{\bot }}\sigma }{{{\parallel }}^{\alpha }}\;==\;\; {{{1}^{\bot }}\sigma }{{{\parallel }}^{\alpha }}\;,\;\; {{{1}^{\bot }}\sigma }{{{\parallel }}^{\alpha }}\;==\;\; {{{1}^{\bot }}\sigma }{{{1}^{\bot }}\sigma }{{{1}^{\bot }}\sigma }^{\alpha }\;==\;\; {{{1}^{\bot }}\tau }{{{\parallel }}^{\alpha }}\;,\;\; 2\;i\;k\;\; {{{2}^{\bot }}\sigma }{{{\parallel }}^{\alpha }}\;==\;\; {{{2}^{\bot }}\tau }{{{\parallel }}^{\alpha }}\;$$

The Drazin (Moore-Penrose) inverses of these a-matrices, which are functionally analogous to the inverse b-matrices described below Eq. (21) of arXiv:1812.02675:

Square masses:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{t_{1}}{2r_{1}}\right\}\right\}$$

Massive pole residues:

$$\left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \left\{-\frac{1}{r_i}\right\}\right\}$$

Massless eigenvalues:

{}

Overall unitarity conditions:

$$r < 0 \&\& t > 0$$

So, that's the end of the PSALTer output for this theory. You can check the particle content against TABLE IV. in arXiv:1910.14197. If you take the overall unitarity conditions from the final column in TABLE V., and decompose them using Mathematica's Reduce function, you get the following (to be compared with the PSALTer conditions above):

```
r < 0 \&\& t > 0
```

Okay, that concludes the analysis of this theory.

# How long did this take?

Okay, that's all the cases. You can see from the timing below (in seconds) that each theory takes about a minute to process:

```
{{92.1374, Null}, {98.1453, Null}, {54.4218, Null}, {59.357, Null},
 {66.8149, Null}, {60.0101, Null}, {63.2163, Null}, {57.1171, Null},
 {42.2161, Null}, {77.1143, Null}, {80.5285, Null}, {93.1574, Null}, {46.1903, Null},
 {92.4798, Null}, {95.7047, Null}, {93.8252, Null}, {54.7288, Null}, {58.7826, Null},
 {61.1988, Null}, {66.9871, Null}, {59.4025, Null}, {56.447, Null}, {52.5883, Null},
 {88.5279, Null}, {92.0953, Null}, {90.0405, Null}, {88.9863, Null}, {90.204, Null},
 {59.9909, Null}, {57.6135, Null}, {96.4987, Null}, {66.0617, Null}, {57.9214, Null},
 {88.4865, Null}, {96.5469, Null}, {55.6742, Null}, {98.9106, Null}, {60.418, Null},
 {59.8335, Null}, {84.612, Null}, {93.2852, Null}, {70.4258, Null}, {75.5948, Null},
 {81.9868, Null}, {87.2756, Null}, {69.2704, Null}, {69.9995, Null}, {67.9166, Null},
 {60.7403, Null}, {66.4032, Null}, {70.3836, Null}, {65.3556, Null}, {63.3094, Null},
 {66.3903, Null}, {69.2684, Null}, {72.3791, Null}, {75.9235, Null}, {67.7605, Null}}
```

··· Throw: Uncaught Throw Hold my beer! returned to top level. 🕡

Out[1]= Hold Throw Hold my beer!