## VIII. SCALE-INVARIANT VARIABLES: CODE IMPLEMENTATION

First, we note that  $\mathcal{T}^{\dagger}$  is equivalent in form when A is replaced by  $A^{\dagger}$  (equation 20 of Lin's draft):

$$\mathcal{T}^{\dagger A}_{BC} = \mathcal{T}^{\dagger A}_{BC} + \frac{1}{3} (\delta^A_B \mathcal{T}^{\dagger}_C - \delta^A_C \mathcal{T}^{\dagger}_B), \tag{52}$$

where  $\mathcal{T}^{\natural}$  is given by (draft eqn. 18)

$$\mathcal{T}^{\dagger A}_{\mu\nu} \equiv 2(\partial_{[\mu}b^{A}_{\nu]} + A^{\dagger A}_{E[\mu}b^{E}_{\nu]}). \tag{53}$$

It is clear that for the  $\mathcal{R}^{\dagger}$  and  $\mathcal{T}^{\dagger}$  terms in the eWGT Lagrangian, using  $A^{\dagger}$  instead as the variable does not lead to change in form of the Lagrangian.

Second, there is a degeneracy in the Weyl vector in eWGT (equation 19 of draft)

$$\mathcal{T}_B^{\dagger} \equiv \mathcal{T}_{BA}^{\dagger A} = \mathcal{T}_B - 3B_B, \tag{54}$$

with the quantity  $\mathcal{T}_B - 3B_B$  appearing in the expressions for  $\mathcal{H}^{\dagger}_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_A$  (draft eqns. 10, 13):

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^{\dagger} = \partial_{\mu}(B_{\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{T}_{\nu}) - \partial_{\nu}(B_{\mu} - \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{T}_{\mu}), \tag{55}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A^{\dagger} = \partial_A \phi - (B_A - \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{T}_A) \phi. \tag{56}$$

We can choose to make a variable redefinition  $B_A - \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{T}_A \to B'_A$ , preserving Weyl vector B' as a variable (WGT style). Alternatively, we can choose to eliminate B as a variable (after choosing  $A^{\dagger}$ ) via (54), i.e.  $B_A - \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{T}_A \to -\frac{1}{3}\mathcal{T}_A^{\dagger}$  (PGT style). (It seems to me the ability to choose the PGT/WGT style Lagrangians to reflect a gauge fixing of the 'TVG' symmetry?) File . . . /WeylGaugeTheoryExtended/LagrangianWGTEScaleInvariantRescaling.m, section "Setting the rescaling", implements the scale-invariant variables (removing compensator  $\phi$ ) of Lasenby and Hobson [9, eqns. 199a-d] for the WGT-style Lagrangian:

$$\hat{h}_{A}^{\ \mu} \equiv \left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{-1} h_{A}^{\ \mu}, \quad \hat{b}_{\ \mu}^{A} \equiv \left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_{0}}\right) b_{\ \mu}^{A}, \quad \hat{h}^{-1} = \left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{4} h^{-1}, \tag{57}$$

$$\hat{A}^{\dagger A}_{\mu\nu} \equiv A^{\dagger A}_{\mu\nu}, \quad \hat{B}_{\mu} \equiv B_{\mu} - \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{T}_{\mu} - \partial_{\mu} \ln \frac{\phi}{\phi_{0}}. \tag{58}$$

The general eWGT Lagrangian expressed with these variables, in the WGT-style with  $\hat{B}$ , is kept in NonlinearLagrangianWGTEScaleInvariantRescaling. Section "WGT Lagrangian: removing  $\hat{B}$  to get a PGT Lagrangian" implements the PGT-style Lagrangian by setting  $\hat{B}_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{3}\hat{T}^{\dagger}_{\mu}$  [9, sec. III.M], storing it in NonlinearLagrangianWGTEScaleInvariantRescalingPGT. (It seems to me the scale-invariant variables account to a Weyl-symmetry gauge choice, but not too sure about  $\phi$  itself.)

## A. Overview of cases analysed

See Table I for an overview of the cases analysed. The cases are contained in the following files and are called up by .../WeylGaugeTheoryExtended.m in the following order:

- 1. .../WeylGaugeTheoryExtended/WGTESimpleTestCases.m
- 2. .../WeylGaugeTheoryExtended/WGTEGeneralCase.m
- 3. .../WeylGaugeTheoryExtended/WGTETestCasesScaleInvariantRescaling.m
- $4. \ldots / {\tt WeylGaugeTheoryExtended/WGTEGeneralCaseScaleInvariantRescaling.m}$

Case (indices suppressed)	File 1	File 2	File 3	File 4
Test 1: $\phi^2 \mathcal{R}^{\dagger}$	EP	-	-	-
Test 2: $\phi^2 \mathcal{R}^{\dagger} + (\mathcal{D}^{\dagger} \phi)^2$	EP	-	-	-
Test 3: $\phi^2 \mathcal{R}^{\dagger} + (\mathcal{D}^{\dagger} \phi)^2 + \mathcal{H}^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}^{\dagger} + \mathcal{R}^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}^{\dagger}$	EP	-	WGT	-
Test 4: $(\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}\phi)^2 + \mathcal{H}^{\dagger}\mathcal{H}^{\dagger}$	EP	-	PGT	-
General eWGT, draft eqn. 13	_	EP	-	WGT, PGT

Table I: Overview of the cases analysed with respect to how the compensator  $\phi$  is handled; the file numbers refer to the order they are called on for analysis, see section VIII A. Dashes - case not analysed; EP - perturbations around Einstein gauge  $\phi \to \phi_0(1 + \phi)$ ; WGT(PGT) - scale-invariant variables keeping(removing)  $\hat{B}$ .

[1] L. Buoninfante, Ghost and singularity free theories of gravity (2016), arXiv:1610.08744 [gr-qc].

<sup>[2]</sup> M. Blagojević, *Gravitation and gauge symmetries*, Series in high energy physics, cosmology and gravitation (Inst. of Physics Publ, Bristol, 2002).

<sup>[3]</sup> Y.-C. Lin, M. P. Hobson, and A. N. Lasenby, Physical Review D **99**, 064001 (2019), publisher: American Physical Society.

<sup>[4]</sup> Y.-C. Lin, M. P. Hobson, and A. N. Lasenby, Physical Review D 101, 064038 (2020), publisher: American Physical Society.

<sup>[5]</sup> Y.-C. Lin, M. P. Hobson, and A. N. Lasenby, Physical Review D 104, 024034 (2021), publisher: American Physical Society.

<sup>[6]</sup> M. E. Peskinand D. V. Schroeder, An Introduction to quantum field theory (Addison-Wesley, Reading, USA, 1995).

<sup>[7]</sup> S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields: Volume 1: Foundations*, Vol. 1 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).

<sup>[8]</sup> A. Zee, Einstein gravity in a nutshell, In a nutshell (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2013).

<sup>[9]</sup> A. N. Lasenbyand M. P. Hobson, Journal of Mathematical Physics 57, 092505 (2016), publisher: American Institute of Physics.

<sup>[10]</sup> J. B. Jimenez, L. Heisenberg, and T. S. Koivisto, The Geometrical Trinity of Gravity (2019), arXiv:1903.06830 [gr-qc, physics:hep-th].

<sup>[11]</sup> W. E. V. Barker, Supercomputers against strong coupling in gravity with curvature and torsion (2022), arXiv:2206.00658 [gr-qc, physics:physics].