### Seminar 4

#### Special relativity

#### Will Barker<sup>12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cavendish Laboratory University of Cambridge <sup>2</sup>Kavli Institute for Cosmology University of Cambridge







• Most importanty the **regime**  $v \sim c$ 







- Most importanty the **regime**  $v \sim c$
- This regime tends to **break** classical laws of phyics:





- Most importanty the **regime**  $v \sim c$
- This regime tends to **break** classical laws of phyics:





- Most importanty the **regime**  $v \sim c$
- This regime tends to break classical laws of phyics:
  - quantum mechanics → quantum field theory
  - classical mechanics → relativistic mechanics





- Most importanty the **regime**  $v \sim c$
- This regime tends to break classical laws of phyics:
  - quantum mechanics → quantum field theory
  - classical mechanics → relativistic mechanics
- Three space dimensions and one time dimension are aspects of a connected whole known as spacetime





• What is the difference between **special** and **general** relativity?





- What is the difference between **special** and **general** relativity?
- General relativity is needed when there is enough mass, energy, momentum or stress (or when the densities of these are high enough) that there is some gravity involved





- What is the difference between **special** and **general** relativity?
- General relativity is needed when there is enough mass, energy, momentum or stress (or when the densities of these are high enough) that there is some gravity involved
- What does that mean about the **shape** of spacetime?





- What is the difference between **special** and **general** relativity?
- General relativity is needed when there is enough mass, energy, momentum or stress (or when the densities of these are high enough) that there is some gravity involved
- What does that mean about the shape of spacetime?
  - $\bullet \ \, \textbf{Special relativity} \! \to \textbf{flat spacetime}$





- What is the difference between **special** and **general** relativity?
- General relativity is needed when there is enough mass, energy, momentum or stress (or when the densities of these are high enough) that there is some gravity involved
- What does that mean about the shape of spacetime?
  - Special relativity→ flat spacetime
  - General relativity→ curved spacetime





 The three dimensional space we all live in is known as Euclidean space





- The three dimensional space we all live in is known as Euclidean space
- Euclidean space has some properties you are all very familiar with, but which we need to describe mathematically in order to compare with non-Euclidean space (i.e. four dimensional spacetime):





- The three dimensional space we all live in is known as Euclidean space
- **Euclidean** space has some properties you are all very **familiar** with, but which we need to describe **mathematically** in order to compare with **non-Euclidean** space (i.e. **four** dimensional spacetime):
  - We can rotate the space





- The three dimensional space we all live in is known as Euclidean space
- Euclidean space has some properties you are all very familiar with, but which we need to describe mathematically in order to compare with non-Euclidean space (i.e. four dimensional spacetime):
  - We can **rotate** the space
  - We can define **distances** which don't change when we **rotate** the space





## We want everything simple. . .

 We will only deal with two dimensions at a time, otherwise everything will become very complicated!





• Start with **two** ordinary space dimensions and coordinates x and y, what is a **vector** which describes a **position** in that space?





- Start with **two** ordinary space dimensions and coordinates x and y, what is a **vector** which describes a **position** in that space?
- Should have:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$





Now we need to introduce matrices!







- Now we need to introduce matrices!
- Just a **table** of numbers:

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$





• Now we multiply a vector by a matrix!







- Now we multiply a vector by a matrix!
- Here is the rule for doing this:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax + by \\ cx + dy \end{bmatrix}$$







- Now we multiply a vector by a matrix!
- Here is the **rule** for doing this:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax + by \\ cx + dy \end{bmatrix}$$

WRITE THIS DOWN, YOU WILL NEED IT





• Over to you: find x' and y' if:

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$





• Over to you: find x' and y' if:

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Emre:  $\theta=10^\circ$ , Mason:  $\theta=360^\circ$ , Ali Goktug:  $\theta=45^\circ$ , Claudia:  $\theta=70^\circ$ , Federico:  $\theta=180^\circ$ , Beltran:  $\theta=270^\circ$ 







• **Still over to you**: Now find  $\sqrt{x'^2 + y'^2}$  for these new vectors!





• We should have found the following **exciting** things:







- We should have found the following exciting things:
  - The matrix **R** just **rotates** the position in space through the **angle**







- We should have found the following exciting things:
  - The matrix **R** just **rotates** the position in space through the **angle**
  - This leaves the distance from the origin unchanged





• So we can say this about **Euclidean** space:





- So we can say this about **Euclidean** space:
  - **Rotations** are done with **trigonometric** functions  $sin(\theta)$  and  $cos(\theta)$







- So we can say this about **Euclidean** space:
  - **Rotations** are done with **trigonometric** functions  $sin(\theta)$  and  $cos(\theta)$
  - **Distances** are done like this:  $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$







 This was rather boring actually, it gets more interesting if we have one space dimension and one time dimension





- This was rather boring actually, it gets more interesting if we have one space dimension and one time dimension
- It would be nice if we could set up this two dimensional space with coordinates which have the same units





- This was rather boring actually, it gets more interesting if we have one space dimension and one time dimension
- It would be nice if we could set up this two dimensional space with coordinates which have the same units
- Let's say **space** is just given by x, what would be a good coordinate for time?





- This was rather boring actually, it gets more interesting if we have one space dimension and one time dimension
- It would be nice if we could set up this two dimensional space with coordinates which have the same units
- Let's say **space** is just given by x, what would be a good coordinate for time?
- So our new **position vector** is:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} ct \\ x \end{bmatrix}$$





• We've just started to put together the idea of **spacetime**!





- We've just started to put together the idea of spacetime!
- Some important points:
  - In space positions are usually known as points
  - In spacetime the positions are known as events





- We've just started to put together the idea of spacetime!
- Some important points:
  - In space positions are usually known as points
  - In spacetime the positions are known as events
- Why?





• Now things begin getting complicated...





- Now things begin getting complicated...
- Let's say we start moving along the x direction at **constant** velocity v (couldn't think of a simpler motion really...)





- Now things begin getting complicated...
- Let's say we start moving along the x direction at **constant** velocity v (couldn't think of a simpler motion really...)
- At t=0, we were at x=0





- Now things begin getting complicated...
- Let's say we start moving along the x direction at **constant** velocity v (couldn't think of a simpler motion really...)
- At t=0, we were at x=0
- What is our x at general t?





• Now things begin getting complicated...





- Now things begin getting complicated...
- Let's say we start moving along the x direction at **constant** velocity v (couldn't think of a simpler motion really...)







- Now things begin getting complicated...
- Let's say we start moving along the x direction at **constant** velocity v (couldn't think of a simpler motion really...)
- At t=0, we were at x=0







- Now things begin getting complicated...
- Let's say we start moving along the x direction at **constant** velocity v (couldn't think of a simpler motion really...)
- At t=0, we were at x=0
- What is our x at general t?
- What is our t at general t (trick question!)?







• With this in mind, how will we measure t' and x' of some **event**:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} ct \\ x \end{bmatrix}$$





• With this in mind, how will we measure t' and x' of some **event**:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} ct \\ x \end{bmatrix}$$

 Just using common sense, we should end up with these very simple formulae:

$$t' = t,$$
$$x' = x - vt$$







• These are the formulae for **Galilean** transformations:

$$t' = t,$$
$$x' = x - vt$$







• These are the formulae for **Galilean** transformations:

$$t' = t,$$
$$x' = x - vt$$

• You all already **knew these**: they just say that if you **move**, the **time** you observe an event is **the same**, but the position of the event **moves toward you** (or away if  $v \to -v$ )





• These are the formulae for **Galilean** transformations:

$$t' = t,$$
$$x' = x - vt$$

- You all already **knew these**: they just say that if you **move**, the **time** you observe an event is **the same**, but the position of the event **moves toward you** (or away if  $v \to -v$ )
- Does anyone disagree?







• These are the formulae for **Galilean** transformations:

$$t' = t,$$
$$x' = x - vt$$

- You all already knew these: they just say that if you move, the time
  you observe an event is the same, but the position of the event
  moves toward you (or away if v → -v)
- Does anyone disagree?
- These formulae are completely and utterly wrong, but nobody noticed until 1905!





• Note that I used the word **transformations** for  $t \to t'$  and  $x \to x'$ , but **rotations** for  $x \to x'$  and  $y \to y'$ 





- Note that I used the word **transformations** for  $t \to t'$  and  $x \to x'$ , but **rotations** for  $x \to x'$  and  $y \to y'$
- That is because the idea of **rotating space** makes **perfect sense**, but **rotating space and time** sounds like **nonsense**. . .





- Note that I used the word **transformations** for  $t \to t'$  and  $x \to x'$ , but **rotations** for  $x \to x'$  and  $y \to y'$
- That is because the idea of **rotating space** makes **perfect sense**, but **rotating space and time** sounds like **nonsense**. . .
- · Let's do it anyway!







• Over to you again: find t' and x' if:

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} & \frac{-v/c}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} \\ \frac{-v/c}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} ct \\ x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$







• Over to you again: find t' and x' if:

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} & \frac{-v/c}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} \\ \frac{-v/c}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} ct \\ x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Emre: v=c, Mason: v=2c, Ali Goktug: v=0, Claudia: v=0.5c, Federico: v=0.2c, Beltran: v=0.1c







• Next task: find  $\sqrt{c^2t'^2 - x'^2}$  for your vectors!







Now find this:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{-v/c}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}\right)^2$$







Now find this:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{-v/c}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}\right)^2$$

• Finally who can remember what this is for any  $\psi$ :

$$\cosh(\psi)^2 - \sinh(\psi)^2$$







Now find this:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{-v/c}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}\right)^2$$

• Finally who can remember what this is for any  $\psi$ :

$$\cosh(\psi)^2 - \sinh(\psi)^2$$

• Claudia:  $\psi$  is another Greek letter pronounced 'psi';)





• So it turns out we can just write that horrible matrix in a simple form:

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} & \frac{-v/c}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} \\ \frac{-v/c}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cosh(\psi) & \sinh(\psi) \\ \sinh(\psi) & \cosh(\psi) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{\Lambda}$$







• So it turns out we can just write that horrible matrix in a simple form:

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} & \frac{-v/c}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} \\ \frac{-v/c}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cosh(\psi) & \sinh(\psi) \\ \sinh(\psi) & \cosh(\psi) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{\Lambda}$$

• Claudia:  $\Lambda$  is uppercase Greek letter 'lambda', lowercase is  $\lambda$ ;)





 So unlike last time, the things we get now should actually be interesting:





- So unlike last time, the things we get now should actually be interesting:
  - When we move, space and time **rotate**, but instead of **trigonometric** rotations with  $\sin(\theta)$  and  $\cos(\theta)$  for some angle  $\theta$  we have **hyperbolic** rotations with **hyperbolic** functions  $\sinh(\psi)$  and  $\cosh(\psi)$  don't bother with what  $\psi$  actually is, there is a formula for it in terms of v/c (Mason, find  $\psi(v/c)$ )





- So unlike last time, the things we get now should actually be interesting:
  - When we move, space and time **rotate**, but instead of **trigonometric** rotations with  $\sin(\theta)$  and  $\cos(\theta)$  for some angle  $\theta$  we have **hyperbolic** rotations with **hyperbolic** functions  $\sinh(\psi)$  and  $\cosh(\psi)$  don't bother with what  $\psi$  actually is, there is a formula for it in terms of v/c (Mason, find  $\psi(v/c)$ )
  - The **distance** in spacetime is just  $\sqrt{c^2t^2-x^2-y^2-z^2}$





• Some long words:







- Some long words:
  - **Distance** in spacetime is known as the **interval** in the same sense that the **point** is known as an **event**





- Some long words:
  - **Distance** in spacetime is known as the **interval** in the same sense that the **point** is known as an **event**
  - We did trigonometric rotations in Euclidean space the space we live in – these hyperbolic rotations are in Minkowskian spacetime





- Some long words:
  - **Distance** in spacetime is known as the **interval** in the same sense that the **point** is known as an **event**
  - We did trigonometric rotations in Euclidean space the space we live in – these hyperbolic rotations are in Minkowskian spacetime
  - Minkowskian spacetime is flat, but has a negative metric signature

     tomorrow (Seminar 5) we will look at general relativity, in which the
     metric signature is the same, but because there is gravity the
     spacetime is curved





- Some long words:
  - **Distance** in spacetime is known as the **interval** in the same sense that the **point** is known as an **event**
  - We did trigonometric rotations in Euclidean space the space we live in – these hyperbolic rotations are in Minkowskian spacetime
  - Minkowskian spacetime is flat, but has a negative metric signature

     tomorrow (Seminar 5) we will look at general relativity, in which the
     metric signature is the same, but because there is gravity the
     spacetime is curved
  - You might want to look up some of these terms, but we don't have time (or the methematical development) to go into them





• Note to self: find some Lorentz transformations on Youtube





 But I didn't go into why any of this hyperbolic/Lorentz rotation stuff is true...







- But I didn't go into why any of this hyperbolic/Lorentz rotation stuff is true...
- In fact, we were happy with the simpler Galilean transformations before weren't we?





- But I didn't go into why any of this hyperbolic/Lorentz rotation stuff is true...
- In fact, we were happy with the simpler Galilean transformations before weren't we?
- Go back to t and x (i.e. **two dimensional case**) what if the **event** was a photon being **emitted** in the past at t<0 and some position x, and detected by us (v=0) at the **origin** of spacetime  $(t_0=0)$  and t=0 Find the interval for the photon:

$$\sqrt{c^2t^2-x^2}$$





• We should find:

$$\sqrt{c^2t^2 - x^2} = 0$$







• We should find:

$$\sqrt{c^2t^2 - x^2} = 0$$

• This relies on the photon moving at *c*, right?







• We should find:

$$\sqrt{c^2t^2 - x^2} = 0$$

- This relies on the photon moving at c, right?
- And even if we start moving at v we just spent ages proving that the **interval** of the photon's motion remains **unchanged**:

$$\sqrt{c^2 t'^2 - x'^2} = 0$$





• We should find:

$$\sqrt{c^2t^2 - x^2} = 0$$

- This relies on the photon moving at c, right?
- And even if we start moving at v we just spent ages proving that the interval of the photon's motion remains unchanged:

$$\sqrt{c^2 t'^2 - x'^2} = 0$$

• So when we are moving at v, what is the speed of the photon?







We should find:

$$\sqrt{c^2t^2 - x^2} = 0$$

- This relies on the photon moving at c, right?
- And even if we start moving at v we just spent ages proving that the interval of the photon's motion remains unchanged:

$$\sqrt{c^2 t'^2 - x'^2} = 0$$

- So when we are moving at v, what is the speed of the photon?
- So all this hyperbolic rotation stuff just ensures that the speed of light is the same, no matter how fast you are moving





• Finally, we **Lorentz** rotations:

$$ct' = \frac{ct}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} - \frac{vx/c}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}},$$
$$x' = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} - \frac{vt}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}},$$





• Finally, we **Lorentz** rotations:

$$ct' = \frac{ct}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} - \frac{vx/c}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}},$$
$$x' = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} - \frac{vt}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}},$$

And Galilean transformations:

$$t' = t,$$
  
$$x' = x - vt,$$





Finally, we **Lorentz** rotations:

$$ct' = \frac{ct}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} - \frac{vx/c}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}},$$
$$x' = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} - \frac{vt}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}},$$

And Galilean transformations:

$$t' = t,$$
  
$$x' = x - vt,$$





• We also have **relativistic** momentum:

$$p = \frac{mv}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}$$





• We also have **relativistic** momentum:

$$p = \frac{mv}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}$$

• And **non-relativistic** momentum:

$$p = mv$$





• We also have **relativistic** momentum:

$$p = \frac{mv}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}$$

And non-relativistic momentum:

$$p = mv$$

• Explain why they agree at  $v \ll c$ 





• Finally we have **relativistic** energy:

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}$$





• Finally we have **relativistic** energy:

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}$$

• What happens at  $v \ll c$ ?







• Finally we have **relativistic** energy:

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}$$

- What happens at  $v \ll c$ ?
- Should have **non-relativistic** energy:

$$\mathcal{E} = mc^2 + \frac{1}{2}mv^2$$







• Should have **non-relativistic** energy:

$$\mathcal{E} = mc^2 + \frac{1}{2}mv^2$$







• Should have **non-relativistic** energy:

$$\mathcal{E} = mc^2 + \frac{1}{2}mv^2$$

• What happens if the particle is standing still?

$$\mathcal{E} = mc^2$$







• Should have **non-relativistic** energy:

$$\mathcal{E} = mc^2 + \frac{1}{2}mv^2$$

• What happens if the particle is standing still?

$$\mathcal{E} = mc^2$$

• The end.





# Astrophysical phenomena

```
"""einstein".jpg
```



