

## Chapter 7. Correlated Errors

In this chapter, we examine the case where

$$E(\varepsilon\varepsilon') = \sigma^2 \Omega \text{ could be non-diagonal.}$$

i.e. Some  $E(\varepsilon_j \varepsilon_i)$  may be non-zero even when

$j \neq i$ .

$$(1) E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta$$

under Gauss-Markov,  
 $\text{cov}(y) = \sigma^2 I$ .

$$(2) \text{cov}(\hat{\beta}) = (X'X)^{-1} X' \underbrace{\text{cov}(y)}_{\sigma^2 I} X (X'X)^{-1}$$

$$= \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} X' \Omega X (X'X)^{-1}.$$

$$\neq \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1}.$$

### Generalized Least Squares: Case when $\Omega$ is known

Consider the usual regression model

$$y = X\beta + \varepsilon. \quad E(\varepsilon) = 0. \quad E(\varepsilon\varepsilon') = \sigma^2 \Omega$$

- $y$  is the response vector of  $n$  observations.
- $X$  is an  $n \times (k+1)$  matrix of known constants
- $\beta$  is the  $(k+1)$  vector of unknown regression parameters

- $\Sigma$  is a known symmetric, positive definite matrix of order  $n$ .

Under these conditions, a preferred estimate of  $\beta$  is the generalized least squares estimator:

$$\hat{\beta}_{GLS} = (X' \Sigma^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \Sigma^{-1} y$$

$$y^{(\Sigma)} = \Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} y. \quad X^{(\Sigma)} = \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} X. \quad \varepsilon^{(\Sigma)} = \Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon.$$

$$y^{(\Sigma)} = X^{(\Sigma)} \beta + \varepsilon^{(\Sigma)}; \quad E(\varepsilon^{(\Sigma)}) = 0; \quad \text{cov}(\varepsilon^{(\Sigma)}) = \sigma^2 I.$$

### Estimate of parameters

$$y = X\beta + \varepsilon. \quad E(\varepsilon) = 0. \quad \text{cov}(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2 \Sigma.$$

$$(1) \quad \hat{\beta}_{GLS} = (X' \Sigma^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \Sigma^{-1} y = \beta + A\varepsilon, \\ A = (X' \Sigma^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \Sigma^{-1}.$$

$$(2) \quad \text{cov}(\hat{\beta}_{GLS}) = \sigma^2 (X' \Sigma^{-1} X)^{-1}. \quad E(\hat{\beta}_{GLS}) = \beta.$$

$$(3) \quad S^2 = \frac{\varepsilon^{(\Sigma)'} \varepsilon^{(\Sigma)}}{n-k-1}.$$

where:  $e^{(s2)} = [I - X^{(s2)} \left( X^{(s2)'} X^{(s2)} \right)^{-1} X^{(s2)'} ] y^{(s2)}$

proof:

$$(1) S = (y^{(s2)} - X^{(s2)}\beta)' (y^{(s2)} - X^{(s2)}\beta)$$

$$= y^{(s2)} y^{(s2)} - 2(X^{(s2)} y^{(s2)})' \beta + 2\beta' (X^{(s2)} X^{(s2)}) \beta$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta} = -2(X^{(s2)} y^{(s2)}) + 2(X^{(s2)} X^{(s2)}) \beta$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta} \Big|_{\beta = \hat{\beta}_{GLS}} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_{GLS} = (X' \Sigma^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \Sigma^{-1} y$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad \text{cov}(\hat{\beta}_{GLS}) &= (X' \Sigma^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \Sigma^{-1} \underbrace{\sigma^2 \Sigma}_{\text{cov}(y)} \Sigma^{-1} X (X' \Sigma^{-1} X)^{-1} \\
 &= (X' \Sigma^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \Sigma^{-1} \sigma^2 \Sigma \Sigma^{-1} X (X' \Sigma^{-1} X)^{-1} \\
 &= \sigma^2 (X' \Sigma^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \underbrace{\Sigma^{-1} \Sigma}_{I} \Sigma^{-1} X [(X' \Sigma^{-1} X)^{-1}]' \\
 &= \sigma^2 (X' \Sigma^{-1} X)^{-1} \underbrace{X' \Sigma^{-1} X}_{I} (X' \Sigma^{-1} X)^{-1} \\
 &= \sigma^2 (X' \Sigma^{-1} X)^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(3) E(\epsilon^{(S)} \epsilon^{(S)}) = E(y^{(S)} M^{(S)} M^{(S)} y^{(S)})$$

ori  $\epsilon^{(S)} = y^{(S)} - \hat{y}^{(S)} = [I_h - X^{(S)} (X^{(S)} X^{(S)})^{-1} X^{(S)}] y^{(S)}$

$$= M^{(S)} y^{(S)}$$

$$\Rightarrow E(\epsilon^{(S)} \epsilon^{(S)}) = E(y^{(S)} M^{(S)} y^{(S)}) \quad \text{car } M^{(S)} M^{(S)} = M^{(S)}$$

$$= \text{tr}[M^{(S)} E(y^{(S)} y^{(S)})] \quad E(\epsilon^{(S)} \epsilon^{(S)}) = \sigma^2 I_n$$

$$= \text{tr}[M^{(S)} \sigma^2 I_n] = \sigma^2 (n-k-1)$$

$$\Rightarrow E(S^2) = E\left(\frac{\epsilon^{(S)} \epsilon^{(S)}}{n-k-1}\right) = \frac{1}{n-k-1} E(\epsilon^{(S)} \epsilon^{(S)}) =$$

$$\frac{1}{n-k-1} \sigma^2 (n-k-1) = \sigma^2$$

Theorem: Distribution of estimators.

$$y = X\beta + \varepsilon. \quad E(\varepsilon) = 0. \quad \text{Cov}(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2 S_2$$

$$(1) K = \frac{(n-k-1) S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-k-1}^2.$$

(2)  $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$  and  $S^2$  are independant.

$$(3) F = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_{GLS} - \beta)' (X' S^{-1} X) (\hat{\beta}_{GLS} - \beta)}{S^2} \sim F_{k+1, n-k-1}$$

If we wish to test the hypothesis :

$$H_0: c\beta - d = 0 \quad \text{vs} \quad H_A: c\beta - d \neq 0.$$

$H_0$  is rejected if

$$F = \frac{1}{mS^2} (c\hat{\beta}_{GLS} - d)' [c(X' S^{-1} X)^{-1} c']^{-1} (c\hat{\beta}_{GLS} - d) \geq F_{m, n-k-1; \alpha}$$

$$S^2 = \frac{\ell^{(n)}' \ell^{(n)}}{n-k-1} = (n-k-1) [y' S^{-1} y - \hat{\beta}_{GLS}' X' S^{-1} y].$$

Proof:

$$(1) \quad S^2 = \frac{\ell^{(n)} \ell^{(n)'}}{n-k-1} \quad \text{on } \ell^{(n)} = M^{(n)} \varepsilon^{(n)}$$

$$\varepsilon^{(n)} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$$

$$k = \frac{(n-k-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{\varepsilon^{(n)' M' (n)} M^{(n)} \varepsilon^{(n)}}{\sigma^2} = \frac{\varepsilon^{(n)' M^{(n)} \varepsilon^{(n)}}}{\sigma^2}$$

$\sim \chi^2_{\text{tr}(M^{(S)})}$  où  $\text{tr}(M^{(S)}) = n - (k+1)$

$$(3) \quad \hat{\beta}_{\text{GLS}} \sim N_{k+1}(\beta, \sigma^2 V) \quad V = (X' S^{-1} X)^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow Z = \frac{V^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\hat{\beta}_{\text{GLS}} - \beta)}{\sigma} \sim N_{k+1}(0, I_{k+1})$$

$$\text{Let } k_1 = Z' Z = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_{\text{GLS}} - \beta)' V^{-1} (\hat{\beta}_{\text{GLS}} - \beta)}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{k+1}$$

$$k_2 = \frac{(n-k-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{n-k-1}$$

$k_1, k_2$  indépendant,

$$F = \frac{k_1 / (k+1)}{k_2 / (n-k-1)} = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_{\text{GLS}} - \beta)' X' S^{-1} X (\hat{\beta}_{\text{GLS}} - \beta)}{(k+1)S^2} \sim F_{k+1, n-k-1}$$

ou

$$F = \frac{(C \hat{\beta}_{\text{GLS}} - \beta)' X' S^{-1} X (\hat{\beta}_{\text{GLS}} - \beta)}{(k+1)S^2} \sim F_{k+1, n-k-1}$$

avec  $C = I_{k+1}$ .  $C$  dim  $m \times (k+1)$

$$C\hat{\beta}_{GLS} \sim N_m | C\beta, \sigma^2 C(X' S^{-1} X)^{-1} C')$$

$$\Rightarrow C\hat{\beta}_{GLS} - C\beta \sim N_m | 0, \sigma^2 G), \quad G = C(X' S^{-1} X)^{-1} C'$$

$$\Rightarrow Z = \frac{G^{-\frac{1}{2}}(C\hat{\beta}_{GLS} - C\beta)}{\sigma} \sim N_m | 0, I_m)$$

$$\text{Let } k_1 = Z' Z = \frac{(C\hat{\beta}_{GLS} - C\beta)' G^{-1} (C\hat{\beta}_{GLS} - C\beta)}{\sigma^2}$$

$$\sim \chi_m^2$$

$$\Rightarrow F = \frac{k_1 | m}{k_2 | (n-k-1)} = \frac{(C\hat{\beta}_{GLS} - C\beta)' [C(X' S^{-1} X)^{-1} C]^{-1} (C\hat{\beta}_{GLS} - C\beta)}{m s^2}$$

$$\sim F_{m, n-k-1}.$$

$$H_0: C\beta = d.$$

$$F = \frac{(C\hat{\beta}_{GLS} - d)' [C(X' S^{-1} X)^{-1} C]^{-1} (C\hat{\beta}_{GLS} - d)}{m s^2} \sim F_{m, n-k-1; \alpha}$$

## Estimated Generalized Least Squares: Unknown $\Sigma$ .

The matrix is not usually known and needs to be estimated. If  $\hat{\Sigma}$  is an estimate of  $\Sigma$ , then

$$\hat{\beta}_{EGLS} = (\mathbf{X}' \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{y}$$

has been called an estimated generalized least squares estimate.

General asymptotic properties are available:

(1)  $\hat{\beta}_{GLS}$  and  $\hat{\beta}_{EGLS}$  are both consistent and have the same asymptotic distribution.

(2) Both estimates are asymptotically normal with mean  $\beta$  and covariance matrix

$$\frac{\sigma^2 \phi^{-1}}{n}$$

$$(3) \quad \frac{\hat{\beta}_{GLS} - \hat{\beta}_{EGLS}}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

(4) Under some further conditions,

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{(y - \hat{X}\hat{\beta}_{EGLS})' \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} (y - \hat{X}\hat{\beta}_{EGLS})}{n-k-1} \xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2$$

special case: error variance unequal and unknown

A special case where we need to consider empirical estimation of  $\Sigma$  occurs when the non-diagonal elements of  $\Sigma$  are zero and the diagonal elements are unknown.

i.e.  $\sigma^2 \Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \dots, \sigma_n^2)$

and the  $\sigma^2$  are unknown.

This is case of heteroscedasticity with unknown variances.

## Estimation procedure

- Suppose we apply ordinary least squares and obtain the vector  $e$  of residuals  $e_i$ .

It is reasonable to base any estimation of  $\sigma_i^2$  on these residuals.  $e = y - \hat{X}\hat{\beta}$

- A standard method consists of considering

$$e^{(2)} = (\hat{e}_1^2, \hat{e}_2^2, \dots, \hat{e}_n^2)'$$

Since  $e = M\varepsilon$ ,  $M = I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'$ ,

$$e_i = \sum_{j=1}^n m_{ij} \varepsilon_j, E[\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j] = \sigma_j^2 \delta_{ij}$$

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

$$E[e_i^2] = \sum_{j=1}^n m_{ij} \sigma_j^2$$

Let  $M^{(2)} = (m_{ij}^2)$  and  $\sigma^{(2)} = (\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \dots, \sigma_n^2)'$

$$E[e^{(2)}] = M^{(2)} \sigma^{(2)}$$

Replacing  $E\ell^{(2)}$  by its estimates  $\ell^{(2)}$  and  $\sigma^{(2)}$ , by its estimates  $\hat{\sigma}^{(2)} = (\hat{\sigma}_1^2, \hat{\sigma}_2^2, \dots, \hat{\sigma}_n^2)$

$$\text{We get } \ell^{(2)} = M^{(2)} \cdot \hat{\sigma}^{(2)}$$

We can solve this set of equations to get the required estimates  $\hat{\sigma}_i^2$ .

These estimates are also known to be MINQUE.

④ A major difficulty with these estimates is that some  $\hat{\sigma}_i^2$  can turn out to be negative.

Although, it is not desirable to estimate individual  $\sigma_i^2$  in this way, the method can be useful if there is a relationship among the  $\sigma_i^2$ 's

Assume that  $\sigma_i^2 = z_i' \alpha$ ,  $\sigma^2 S = \text{diag}(z_1' \alpha, \dots, z_n' \alpha)$

$z_i$  is an  $m$ -dimensional known vector

$\alpha$  is a vector of parameters.

Let  $\underline{Z}' = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$ ,  $E(Ze^{(2)}) = M^{(2)} Z \alpha$ ,

which prompts the estimation of  $\alpha$  as

$$\hat{\alpha} = (Z' M^{(2)'} M^{(2)} Z)^{-1} Z' M^{(2)} e^{(2)}$$

which in turn can be used to estimate  $\sigma_i^2$ 's

Since  $(M^{(2)})^{-1} E(Ze^{(2)}) = Z\alpha$ .

another estimate of  $\alpha$  is

$$\hat{\alpha} = (Z' Z)^{-1} Z' (M^{(2)})^{-1} e^{(2)}$$

Yet another alternative (MINQUE, Froehlich, 1973).

$$\hat{\alpha} = (Z' M^{(2)} Z)^{-1} Z' e^{(2)}$$

ex:  $y_i = \beta_0 + (\beta_1 + \gamma_i) x_i + \varepsilon_i$

$$\Rightarrow y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \gamma_i x_i + \varepsilon_i$$

Suppose that  $\gamma_i$  i.i.d  $E(\gamma_i) = 0$ ,  $\text{var}(\gamma_i) = \phi^2$

$\varepsilon_i$  i.i.d  $E(\varepsilon_i) = 0$ ,  $\text{var}(\varepsilon_i) = \sigma^2$

$\varepsilon$  and  $\gamma$  are independant.

$$\hat{\sigma}_i^2 = \hat{z}_i' \hat{\alpha}$$

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i, \quad E(\varepsilon_i) = 0, \quad \text{var}(\varepsilon_i) = \phi^2 x_i^2 + \sigma^2$$

$$= \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_i^2 \end{bmatrix}}_{z_i^\top} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 \\ \phi^2 \end{bmatrix}}_{\alpha}$$

obtain  $\hat{\alpha} \rightarrow \text{OLS} \rightarrow w_i = \frac{1}{\text{var}(\varepsilon_i)} = \frac{1}{z_i^\top \hat{\alpha}}$

$\rightarrow \hat{\beta}$  EGLS

Serial Correlation.\*

Frequently when the observations  $y_i$  are taken over successive time intervals, the  $\varepsilon_i$ 's are correlated.

This type of correlation is called serial correlation.  
We consider the particular case when the  $\varepsilon_i$ 's follow a first order autoregressive process:

$$\varepsilon_t = \rho \varepsilon_{t-1} + \eta_t.$$

$$y = X\beta + \varepsilon. \quad E(\varepsilon) = 0. \quad \text{Cov}(\varepsilon^2) = \sigma^2 \Omega$$

where  $|\rho| < 1$  and  $\forall t=1, \dots, n$ .  $\eta_t$ 's are independent and identically distributed with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ .  $\text{Cov}(\eta_i, \eta_j) = \sigma^2 \delta_{ij}$

$$E(\varepsilon_t) = 0, \quad \text{var}(\varepsilon_t) = \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\rho^2}$$

$$\sigma^2 \hat{\Sigma} = \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\rho^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho & \rho^2 & \cdots & \rho^{n-1} \\ \rho & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \rho^{n-1} & \cdots & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{\sum_{t=2}^n \ell_t \ell_{t-1}}{\sum_{t=2}^n \ell_{t-1}^2} \rightarrow \hat{\Sigma}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{EGLS}} = (\mathbf{x}' \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x})^{-1} \mathbf{x}' \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{y}.$$

## 7.5 The Growth Curve Model

When nothing is known of the form of  $\Omega$ , it is still possible to estimate it, if the experiment can be replicated an adequate number of times, i.e., if we have the model

$$\mathbf{y}_t = X\beta + \epsilon_t$$

where  $X$  is an  $m \times p$  matrix,  $t = 1, 2, \dots, M$ ,  $E(\epsilon_t) = \mathbf{o}$  and  $\text{cov}(\epsilon_t) = \Omega$ . Here  $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_M$  are independent  $m$  dimensional vectors and  $\sigma^2$  has been absorbed in  $\Omega$ .

Let  $\bar{\mathbf{y}} = M^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^M \mathbf{y}_t$  and  $\bar{\epsilon} = M^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^M \epsilon_t$ . Then

$$\bar{\mathbf{y}} = X\beta + \bar{\epsilon}$$

where  $E(\bar{\epsilon}) = \mathbf{o}$  and  $\text{cov}(\bar{\mathbf{y}}) = \text{cov}(\bar{\epsilon}) = M^{-1}\Omega$ . Hence, the generalized least squares estimate of  $\beta$  is given by

$$\mathbf{b}_{GLS} = (X'\Omega^{-1}X)^{-1}X'\Omega^{-1}\bar{\mathbf{y}}.$$

However,  $\Omega$  is not known. But since  $E(\mathbf{y}_t - \bar{\mathbf{y}}) = \mathbf{0}$ , for all  $t = 1, \dots, M$ , an unbiased estimator of  $\Omega$  is given by

$$\hat{\Omega} = (M-1)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^M (\mathbf{y}_t - \bar{\mathbf{y}})(\mathbf{y}_t - \bar{\mathbf{y}})'.$$

Therefore, an estimated generalized least squares estimate of  $\beta$  is

$$\mathbf{b}_{EGLS} = (X'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}X)^{-1}X'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}\bar{\mathbf{y}}.$$

Under the assumption that  $\epsilon_t$  is multivariate normal, it can be shown that this  $\mathbf{b}_{EGLS}$  is an unbiased estimator (see Exercise 7.3).

Under normality, the hypothesis  $H : C\beta = 0$  against  $A : C\beta \neq 0$  (where  $C$  is  $r \times p$  dimensional with  $r \leq p$ ) is rejected if

$$\frac{M-r-m+p}{(M-1)r} \frac{\mathbf{b}'_{EGLS} C' (CCEC')^{-1} C \mathbf{b}_{EGLS}}{1 + (M-1)^{-1} T^2} > F_{r, M-r-m+p, \alpha}$$

where  $E = (X'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}X)^{-1}$ ,  $T^2 = M\bar{\mathbf{y}}'G'(G\hat{\Omega}G')^{-1}G\bar{\mathbf{y}}$  and  $G : (m-p) \times m$  is such that  $GX = \mathbf{0}$ . Alternatively, if it is inconvenient to find a suitable  $G$ , one could use  $T^2 = M\bar{\mathbf{y}}'[\hat{\Omega}^{-1} - \hat{\Omega}^{-1}X(X'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}X)^{-1}X'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}]\bar{\mathbf{y}}$ . (This result is obtained with the help of Lemma A.1, p. 279, of Appendix A).

### Example 7.1

Exhibit 7.1 shows dental measurements for girls from 8 to 14 years old. Each measurement is the distance, in millimeters, from the center of the pituitary to the ptery-maxillary fissure. Suppose we wish to relate these measurements to age and write our model as

$$y_{ts} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{t1} + \epsilon_{ts},$$

where  $x_{t1} = \text{age} - 11$ . Then

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -3 & -1 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}'.$$

Clearly, for the same subject  $s$  the  $m = 4$  measurements  $y_t$  are not independent and

$$\text{cov}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_s) = \Omega, \text{ where } \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_s = (\epsilon_{1s}, \dots, \epsilon_{4s})'.$$

However, we have  $M = 11$  replications of the experiment.

Age in Years	Subjects										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
8	21.0	21.0	20.5	23.5	21.5	20.0	21.5	23.0	20.0	16.5	24.5
10	20.0	21.5	24.0	24.5	23.0	21.0	22.5	23.0	21.0	19.0	25.0
12	21.5	24.0	24.5	25.0	22.5	21.0	23.0	23.5	22.0	19.0	28.0
14	23.0	25.5	26.0	26.5	23.5	22.5	25.0	24.0	21.5	19.5	28.0

EXHIBIT 7.1: Data on Dental Measurements

SOURCE: Pothoff and Roy (1964). Reproduced from *Biometrika* with the permission of Biometrika Trustees.

Since  $\bar{\mathbf{y}} = (21.2, 22.2, 23.1, 24.1)'$ , an estimate of  $\Omega$  is given by  $\hat{\Omega} = \sum_{i=1}^{11} (\mathbf{y}_i - \bar{\mathbf{y}})(\mathbf{y}_i - \bar{\mathbf{y}})' / 10$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 4.51 & 3.36 & 4.43 & 4.36 \\ 3.36 & 3.62 & 4.02 & 4.08 \\ 4.33 & 4.03 & 5.59 & 5.47 \\ 4.36 & 4.08 & 5.47 & 5.94 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\mathbf{b}_{EGLS} = (X' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{y}} = \begin{pmatrix} 22.70 \\ 0.482 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose we wish to test the hypothesis that the linear term is zero. That is,  $H : \beta_1 = 0$  against  $A : \beta_1 \neq 0$ . In this case,  $C = (0, 1)$ ,  $r = 1$ ,  $p = 2$  and

$$E = (X' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} X)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 3.807 & 0.160 \\ -0.160 & 0.160 \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $C E C' = 0.045$ . The matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 3 & -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is such that  $G X = 0$ . Therefore,  $T^2 = 11 \bar{\mathbf{y}}' G' (G \hat{\Omega} G')^{-1} G \bar{\mathbf{y}} = 0.11$  and

$$F = \frac{M - r - m + p}{(M - 1)r} \frac{\mathbf{b}'_{EGLS} C' (C E C')^{-1} C \mathbf{b}_{EGLS}}{1 + T^2 / 10} = 45.94.$$

Therefore, at 1 and 8 degrees of freedom, we reject the hypothesis at a 5 per cent level. ■