

### **Names and More Names:**

Linnaeus used the tools available to him to mostly update the regional taxonomy (northern Europe)

- Simplification and standardization was applied to the system in use.

The system, more or less at the time used “names” that were paragraph long description, diagnosis and identification tool written in Latin. Common and well known “kinds” were already frequently referred to by the genus name, e.g., *Crocus*, *Iris*, *Narcissus*. Particular kinds were sometimes referred to using a binomial, e.g., *Iris sylvestris*.

Linnaeus used binomial “nicknames” uniformly and still provided the more protracted “name”. The binomial shortcut caught on fast and names of that form proliferated.

Technology and Discovery. More foreign material began to flow into Europe and better microscopes and lenses allowed people to look at finer detail and at small organisms.

All of this coupled with the steam-powered printing press that had led to ability to link one author to one publication and broadly distribute works, thus making authorship “valuable”, resulted in a lot of naming and “renaming” species not previously given binomials by different authors in different ways and a the result was chaos.

### **Codes and More Codes:**

Various rules were proposed, even by Linnaeus, none were applied generally.

- The first general use code was the Strickland Code (1842). It was hoped that a single code could be developed for plants and animals.
- Split between Zoologist and Botanists and in 1867 Candolle and others developed a separate set of rules.
- Dall (1877) combined code.
- International Congress of Geology (1881) code for fossils
- American Ornithologists Union (1886) code for birds
- International Code of Nomenclature of Prokaryotes (formerly International Code of Nomenclature of Bacteria ICNB, 1947 (subsequently dropped), previously covered by ICBN, reformed in 1980 as ICNB)

International code of Botanical Nomenclature (ICBN): Stems from the Candolle code but was first created in 1905. (Americans kept using N.L.Britton’s code for about 25 years). Current edition published in 2012.

International code of Zoological Nomenclature (“The Code” often as ICZN, but this acronym formally is for the commission not the code, I use it below for brevity.): Draws on the Strickland code but officially dates from 1889 International congress of Zoology, subsequently published in 1905. Current code is amended version of 4<sup>th</sup> code dated 2000.

### **Some shared features of ICBN/ICZN:**

- Purpose is to ensure a unique, stable scientific name for every taxon.
- Provides rules for publication, validation, documentation and typification of names.
- Allow assignment and changes in names without interfering with scientific freedom.
- Commissions provide an administrative system to oversee and interpret rules, but not based on “Case law”. Previous cases that are not involved in a case currently under consideration have no bearing on a case under consideration.

### **Some notable differences between the Codes:**

Codes are independent, names are not required to be unique, e.g., *Pieris* -butterfly; *Pieris* -heath. It is strongly recommended to avoid this when naming supraspecific taxa.

Suprageneric name endings and Italicization- similar levels in the hierarchies have different endings or the same endings may refer to different levels. ICZN italics applied to genus & species ranks only and the ICBN encouraged for all ranks but not mandatory.

### Ranks covered-

ZOOLOGICAL CODE	BOTANICAL CODE
(Kingdom)	Kingdom
(Phylum)	Division or Phylum
(Class)	Class
(Order)	Order
Family	Family
Tribe	Tribe
Genus	Genus
-	Section
-	Series
Species	Species
-	Variety
-	Form
[plus sub-categories of all & super-categories above Genus]	[plus subcategories of all]
[“( )”= not regulated by the code]	

Infraspecific connecting terms- Not used by ICZN. In the ICBN, e.g. *Saxifraga aizoon* var. *aizoon* subvar. *brevifolia* f. *multicaulis* subf. *surculosa* Engl. & Irmsch.

Principle of Coordination & autonyms- In the ICZN the author & date goes with the name even if rank is subsequently changed, in the ICBN priority is established at each rank. For the ICBN autonyms are established by subdivision by creation of subsidiary ranks. Not explicit in the ICZN and confusing for both. Really only applies to the type specimens.

### Different terminologies-

ZOOLOGICAL CODE	BOTANICAL CODE Junior
homonym	Later homonym
Objective synonym	Nomenclatural synonym
Subjective synonym	Taxonomic synonym
Available	Validly published
Valid name	Correct name
Specific name	Specific epithet
Binomen, name of a species	Specific name

### Type specimens-

Types: Designation of a type specimen was made mandatory in 1958 in the ICBN, but not until 1999 in the ICZN (it remained a recommendation for many years).

The “type” is the name bearing specimen associated by description and publication given the rules of nomenclature.

- Acts as an objective basis for the nomenclature linking nomenclature to taxonomy
- voucher (or “supervoucher”) of our research
- a single datum that provides a fixed reference point for our character descriptions
- not necessarily average or typical in the sense of being the common form
- can’t represent variation
- only makes sense in the post-Linnaeus, non-typological context

### Some of the kinds of types-

- Type series. All specimens on which a description is based (may be only one).
- Syntypes- Two or more specimens included in the type series
- Primary types, these have nomenclature status:
  - Holotype- Single specimen chosen as the nomenclature type.

Lectotype- A single specimen subsequently chosen from the syntypes to act as nomenclature type.

Neotype- A single specimen subsequently chosen to act as nomenclature type when all syntypes have been destroyed.

Isotype- A duplicate holotype (botany).

Hapantype- An ontogenetic series that acts as nomenclature type (protists, ICZN)

-Secondary types, have no “legal” status

Paratype- non-holotype syntypes. Usually distributed as vouchers.

Allotype- paratype selected to represent the opposite sex from the holotype.

Other unregulated and less useful “types” include Homotype, topotype, plesiotype, hypotype, heautotype, onomatype, morphotype, metatype, ideotype. . . .

#### Priority/Availability/Validity:

Mostly, these concepts were needed post-Linnaeus to deal with the chaos created by 100 years of unregulated names and to deal with subsequent naming.

Priority- first published name is the correct one to use. (except when it is not)

Availability- a properly published name is “available” (known as “validly published” in ICBN)

Validity- the correct name to use (known as “correct name” in ICBN)

Recombining author- ICBN, the concept of priority includes a particular binomial combination *Cucumis chrysocomus* Shumacher, (1827) when moved to a different genus it becomes *Rhaphiodiocystis chrysocoma* (Shumacher) C. Jeffrey (1962). ICZN, species authorship is unchanged. *Bothynoproctus portai* Straneo, 1941 --- *Neotalis portai* (Straneo, 1941)

Tautonyms- ICBN, no tautonyms. ICZN, tautonyms allowed. *Bison (Bison) bison bison* is an available name.

Recent vs date for priority- ICBN, names based on a Recent type specimen have priority over names based on a fossil type, while in the ICZN the first valid publication in all cases (but with exceptions).

#### **Names in conflict:**

Primary Homonyms. Same name used for two species.

*Carabus limbatus* Fabricius, 1776 [senior homonym]

*Carabus limbatus* Say, 1823 [junior homonym (=later homonym in ICBN)]

Secondary Homonyms. Classification change causes conflict.

*Feronia strenua* Panzer, 1779 and *Pterostichus strenuus* LeConte, 1852 were put in *Pterostichus* by Csiki (1930), so *P. strenuus* becomes a secondary junior homonym and must have new name. [*Pterostichus substrennus* Csiki 1930]

Objective synonyms. (nomenclatural synonyms ICBN).

- spelling mistakes, unjustified emendations, e.g., *Abaris* vs. *Abarys* or *Rabdotus* vs. *Rhabdotus*.

Priority prevails. Oldest name/form in most cases (common usage may override). Even if misspelled (usually).

Subjective synonyms (taxonomic synonyms ICBN).

- Conflicting species definitions and hypotheses. Subjective, but hopefully analysis-based, decision that two described forms constitute one species.

- *Abaris darlingtoni* Straneo 1939 = *Abaris aenea* Dejean 1831.

- Priority determines valid name. However, both names are still available.

Special cases can preserve a newer, more commonly used name. “Prevailing usage” is new to ICZN. - If the senior name has not been used in 50 years and the junior name occurs in 25 “works” published by 10 authors covering a 10 year span within the last 50 years.

**Preamble ICBN:** *“Biology requires a precise and simple system of nomenclature that is used in all countries, dealing on the one hand with the terms that denote the ranks of taxonomic groups or units, and on the other hand with the scientific names that are applied to the individual taxonomic groups. The purpose of giving a name to a taxonomic group is not to indicate its characters or history, but to supply a means of referring to it and to indicate its taxonomic rank. This Code aims at the provision of a stable method of naming taxonomic groups, avoiding and rejecting the use of names that may cause error or ambiguity or throw science into confusion. Next in importance is the avoidance of the useless creation of names. Other considerations, such as absolute grammatical correctness, regularity or euphony of names, more or less prevailing custom, regard for persons, etc., notwithstanding their undeniable importance, are relatively accessory.”*

**Preamble ICZN:** *“The objects of the Code are to promote stability and universality in the scientific names of animals and to ensure that the name of each taxon is unique and distinct. All its provisions and recommendations are subservient to those ends and none restricts the freedom of taxonomic thought or actions.*

*Priority of publication is a basic principle of zoological nomenclature; however, under conditions prescribed in the Code its application may be modified to conserve a long-accepted name in its accustomed meaning. When stability of nomenclature is threatened in an individual case, the strict application of the Code may under specified conditions be suspended by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature.”*