Topics: Mind, Markets, and Technology

Business / Engineering / Liberal Arts and Science

Bill Foote – Spring / Summer / Fall 2025 2025-03-27

Contents

Description	1
Audience	2
Some initial questions to spur our interest	2
Pre-requisites	2
Topical course schedule	3
Readings	4
Format and assessment	6
Instructional format	6
Grading rubric for essays	6
Essay effort guidelines	7
Other matters	7
Assignment formatting	7
Course specific policies	8
Academic integrity	8
Students with disabilities	8
References consulted	9

Description

This grouping of topics examines technology's role in human society from three points of view: the economics of AI hindsight, insight, and foresight systems; the foundations of AI computational platforms in the logic and algebra of George Boole with Google Brain's Generative Pre-trained Transformers (built by the class); and the linkage of mind, body, tools, and polity as the basis for the ethics of technology, with the exemplary case of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and its use in energy and associated commodity markets. These perspectives combine into implications for humanistic economic and management policy and practice especially in complex organizations, polities, and cultures.

Audience

This course of topics may be tailored to the specific curricular needs of advanced undergraduate and graduate students in programs of liberal arts and sciences, management, and engineering. Any one of these topics could take a life of their own as a course or a program.

Instructors who, for example, incorporate ethics and policy topics into their course work might find relevant resources, connections, and readings to enrich their offerings as well as collaboration with colleagues.

Cross-disciplinary programs might find potential topics, materials, and projects for their capstone courses and degree offerings, as well as opportunities for the team-teaching of course and program content.

Some initial questions to spur our interest

Have the finite, yet expanding, bounds of technology become the anthropocene horizon? Within this horizon can an AI system think and be conscious of their thinking and thus be the ultimate good for humanity? If so, do AI-assisted/run markets and decision-making platforms think and are conscious and thus should we conform to the workings of markets and their platforms? Does consciousness even matter, ultimately, to markets, to technology, to the common good?

Is technology just a collection of techniques or an as-if organism which prioritizes human choice? Is humanity just a brain inside an organic machine so that the mind stands to the brain as a software stands to hardware? How can creatures like ourselves think thoughts that are "about" things and reason about our reasoning (and doing, making)? How does our enchantment with technology save us? Is AI really going to be able to replace human intelligence (or has it effectively done so already)?

Should we want any of this? Why do we need \$500 billion (and counting) up front and concentrations of power and energy (physical and political) to underwrite such pervasive technology? Is that allocation of resource to technology as effective horizon yet another totalitarianism? What is the good of order, the value, sought by humans making machines? What have humans implicitly and explicitly delegated to machines?

Pre-requisites

The minimal requirements as we walk into these topics include:

- A willingness to reflect on, question, and participate in substantive discussions about alternative, and sometimes competing and even disturbing, views about our minds, bodies, society, the decisions we make, the tools we use, including the technologies of economics, growth, progress, decline, power and authority.
- Professional writing standards, including, bibliographic, organizational logic, use of English grammar, syntax, semantics. Imagine that our essays will be published in our favorite media outlets and we do not want the editor to revise or otherwise delay publication of our fine work for anything but clarity of content.
- 5th grade arithmetic, some 9th grade algebra, and the ability to use a pencil and paper (or their equivalents) to write and figure out the little bit of arithmetic (multiplication and addition) and algebra (elementary polynomial expressions) to understand AI and its deeper viscera. Along the way we will be able to give hermeneutical expression to otherwise vacuously literal propositions. With these tools we also will build a simple Generative Pre-Trained Transformer in our notebooks (and in a spreadsheet).

Topical course schedule

[The several names below will be referenced in online video lectures and in the readings.]

Topic	Lecture Precis	Notes	Readings
Topic 1 - May we argue a bit?	Nature of syllogistic reasoning. Ponens, Tollens, fallacies. Dialectics and the horns of dilemma. Algebra might "satisfy" a Robot. Quaestiones Disputatae emerge.	Reasoning from classical Socrates and Striker and others (2009), Boole (1847), Peirce (1870), and quantum Birkhoff and Von Neumann (1987) Shannon (1938), Heelan (1970), with Jaynes (2003), MacIntyre (1994), with a model from Toulmin (2003), 117	Read: Foote, Logic; Agrawal, Gans, and Goldfarb (2022), ch. 1; Guardini (1994), ch. 1, Barba-Kay (2023), ch. 1
Topic 2 - Can an AI think?	What is technology? Can we build a simple AI? Are AI-run markets thinking about something? Is the AI the value proposition itself? The Test. The Remainder. A fork in the road. Consciousness. A technocratic paradigm emerges and countered. Build we must.	Sentient organic roboti with Čapek (1923), Turing (1936) (Entscheindungsproblem), Poole and Mackworth (2023), Turing (1950) (intelligence, too), but Searle (1990) says NAY!, and also Aquinas by way of Rousselot (1999), Lonergan (1992), and W Norris Clarke (1962)	Read: Agrawal, Gans, and Goldfarb (2022), ch.2, 3; Guardini (1994), ch. 2, 3; Barba-Kay (2023), ch. 2,3
Topic 3 - What is the mind?	Is the mind an immaterial thing? Or is the mind the brain? Or does the mind stand to the brain as a computer program stands to the hardware? Will technology save us? What comes first Power or Decisions? Spirit, matter, and odd ducks. Inversions and des-cartes before de-horse.	Analogy of being with Pryzwara (2014) and Hirschfeld (2018), or reductionism with analytical philosophy and utilitarian rational choice with Harsanyi (1978) and Mill (2015) countered by Nussbaum (2001), (Amelie) Rorty (1976) and Frankfurt (2018) and Lonergan (1992)	Read: Agrawal, Gans, and Goldfarb (2022), ch. 4, 5, 6; Guardini (1994), ch. 4; Čapek (1923), Act I
Topic 4 - What is knowledge?	Can we give some formal account of "knowledge" able to accommodate people learning new things without leaving their armchairs? Does AI change our views on the "theory of knowledge"? Love, gift, and logic. Consciousness and good and value. Making, knowing, being. AI memory, agency, text-to-action	Logical omniscience with most economists, and some discussion with some philosophers, Potter (2020) and Lonergan (1963), Bacon from Potter (1993), a physicist, Jaynes (2003), technologists, Poole and Mackworth (2023), Schrage et al. (2021) and Schmidt, Kissinger, and Huttenlocher (2021)	Read: Agrawal, Gans, and Goldfarb (2022), ch. 7, 8, 9; Guardini (1994), ch. 5

Topic	Lecture Precis	Notes	Readings
Topic 5 - Who decides what?	Can we construct decision alternatives consistent with data? If we can do we act on them? How can AI replace this capability? Decision tradeoffs, Intelligence and rationality, knowing, making and doing	The classic two state - two decision model with Zellner (1971), Poole and Mackworth (2023), and Agrawal, Gans, and Goldfarb (2022), while Guardini (1994) and Francis (2015) chime in	Read: Agrawal, Gans, and Goldfarb (2022), ch. 6, 7; Guardini (1994), ch. 6; Čapek (1923), Act II
Topic 6 - Can we infer – deeply?	How can we possibly infer the uncertainty and predictability of market decision tradeoffs with machines? Does our simple AI align with our inference machine and with thinking at all?	An inference machine with Watanabe (2013), Vaswani (2017), Searle (1986). Hirschfeld (2006) and Guardini (1994) might have something to say here	Read: Agrawal, Gans, and Goldfarb (2022), ch. 14, 15; Guardini (1994), ch. 7, 8
Topic 7 - Are technology markets sustainable?	Enchanting markets, learning, and technocratic decision ethics. Markets for technology. Enchantment or magic. Back to mind, robots, humans, connectedness.	Decision inference in a behavioral model with Agrawal, Gans, and Goldfarb (2022), Sterman (2010), and Guardini (1994). AI sustainability and corporate social responsibility with Niet et al. (2024) and Zavyalova et al. (2023).	Read: Agrawal, Gans, and Goldfarb (2022), ch.16, 17; Guardini (1994), ch. 9; Čapek (1923), Act III
From Prelude to Prologue	How do we reconcile mind, markets, and technology? How do we propose to incorporate our findings in management education, practices, and policies? Final essay preparation and presentation	A trolley ride with (Philipa) Foot by way of Cushman, Young, and Hauser (2006), Livne-Tarandach et al. (2021) and Foote (2024) when Illich (2005) is on board while Poole and Mackworth (2023) observe.	Read: Agrawal, Gans, and Goldfarb (2022), ch.18; Guardini (1994), "Machine and Humanity"; Čapek (1923), Epilogue

Readings

- 1. Agrawal, A., Gans, J., and Goldfarb, A. (2022). Power and prediction: The disruptive economics of artificial intelligence. Harvard Business Press. The authors examine the most basic unit of analysis: the decision whose key ingredients are prediction and judgment, both performed (so the authors believe) together in our minds. The rise of AI is shifting prediction from humans to machines, relieving people from this cognitive load while increasing the speed and accuracy of decisions. This has profound implications for system-level innovation. When these new systems emerge, they can be disruptive on a global scale. [According to the authors] decision-making confers power. In industry, power confers profits; in society, power confers control. Do we agree with any of this? We will draw some challenges with excerpts from Hirschfeld, M. L. (2018). Aquinas and the market: Toward a humane economy. Harvard University Press and McCarraher, E. (2019). The enchantments of mammon: how capitalism became the religion of modernity. Harvard University Press.
- 2. Guardini, R. (1994). Letters from Lake Como: explorations on technology and the human race. Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing. This book collects a series of letters written by Italian-German theologian-

philosopher Romano Guardini in the mid-1920s in which he works out his sense of the challenges of humanity in a culture increasingly dominated by the machine. In the Letters he physically confronts what disquieted him in contemporary civilization, the dominance of technology. Technique has created an alternative universe, self sufficient and almost independent of given nature. Only recently, with our spectacular achievements reaching an unanticipated apex, have we come to understand Heidegger's assertion that technology, more than being our supreme accomplishment, has become a destiny that subjugates its human creators as much as their creations. With prophetic clarity and unsettling farsightedness, Guardini (1994)'s letters poignantly capture the personal implications and social challenges of living in the technological age — concerns that have now come to fruition a hundred years after he first raised them. To gain clarity and challenge we will read excerpts from Pope Francis, Encyclical Letter, Laudato si': On Care for Our Common Home (2015). and Stango, M. (2023). A Modern Genealogy of the Metaphysics of Information Communio: International Catholic Review, 50(3), 553-586.

- 3. Čapek, K. (1922, 2020). RUR, or Rostrum's Universal Robots. The Theatre Guild Library edition, tr. Paul Selver. New York: Doubleday, Page, and Co. R.U.R. is a 1920 science fiction play by the Czech writer Karel Čapek. "R.U.R." stands for Rossumovi Univerzální Roboti (Rossum's Universal Robots, a phrase that has been used as a subtitle in English versions). The play premiered in 1921 and introduced the word "robot" to the English language and to science fiction. The robota are the laboring serfs who are made of synthetic organic material Rossum, the company, is a play on the Czech word rozum which can variously mean wisdom, reason, even common sense. Yes, the world becomes a robot-economy, but I won't spoil the ending. We will experience love and utility, soul and machine, ultimate moral dilemmas, and by the way, it all comes to a head in the year 2000. The edition contains the producer's very helpful notes and is the text of the play presented in New York City at the Garrick Theatre premiering on October 9, 1922 for 184 curtains. The story continues with Christopher Murray and Nick Johnson's comic book adaptation from the University of Dundee in 2022.
- 4. Foote, W.G. (2024). Notes on Computational Foundations for Technological Platforms: An Algebra of Probability as Binary Logic. We explore the logic and algebra of George Boole (not Boolean algebra) and expository, and simple, examples of three pre-trained transformers all in paper and pencil, and for some on spreadsheets if you wish, and the dialectical process of Socratic logic. We use GF(2) and GF(3) arithmetic and algebra to prove Bayes Rule, resolvent and dialectical methods, and modus tollens / ponens syllogisms. In the process we confront the testing of hypotheses, how data and hypotheses, and learning interact in AI, and the logic and simple practice of an AI machine from Google brain, yes, using paper and pencil. We will indeed endeavor to grow in learning to argue constructively with one another with these tools. We will rely on some facility with 5th grade arithmetic and some little bit of 9th grade algebra. We will call on excerpts (which we will simplify) from Vaswani, A. (2017). Attention is all you need. 31st Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS 2017), Long Beach, CA, USA and exposure to (vintage) Ed Eusebi and James A. Brown. 1986. APL2 and AI: a study of search. SIGAPL APL Quote Quad 16, 4 (1986), 295–300, with Fordyce, K., Alfonseca, M., Brown, J., and Sullivan, G. (1992). Solving two-state logic problems with Boolean arrays: an approach unique to APL. ACM SIGAPL APL Quote Quad, 22(4), 10-11, all to gain some perspective and solve a puzzle.
- 5. Foote, W. G. (2024). Neither a Beast Nor a God: A Philosophical Anthropology of Humanistic Management. Humanistic Management Journal, 1–45. I wrote this article to house my ongoing notes for understanding the reasons for the reasons we have when we manage, decide, organize, essentially giving ourselves to, for, with one another. The mindset (and heart-set too) here is the underpinning of a unity of mind, body, and society with technology and human dignity. Two poignant examples (the lidmen who cook coal for steel production, and the families debt-enslaved by warlords to mine rare earths to enable especially our digital technologies) goaded me into writing this essay. It continues to put my preconceptions in dire peril. We will use the categories and analytical process in this article to challenge ourselves, our interlocutors (including this article, an example of recursive thinking), and our way forward as we use technology in decision making and the setting of society priorities.

6. Barba-Kay, A. (2023). A Web of Our Own Making: The Nature of Digital Formation. Cambridge University Press. According to Barba-Kay, and perhaps anyone surfing the web, using the internet refutes our criticism of this technology. We happily, and some despairingly, exercise, vent, and bemoan the transformation of attention spans, the neural development of our youth, and society wrought by social media on social media – a deeply ironic retorquendo. Empowered with deep learning algorithms, we laze around with the very technology we might even despise yet wholly dispose ourselves to its use in running even our refrigerators, cars, calendars, even sleep patterns. In the depths of our suband un-consciousness we yield our very desires, hopes, dreams to digital technologies that even write our own entrance and application essays to educational and employers (with attribution, of course). Barba-Kay argues that digital technology is an intuitively "natural technology" capable of insinuating and mimicking seemingly every aspect of our consciousness and dispositive habits. In so doing he demonstrates how, and reveals why, this technology configures experience, understanding, judgment and decisions throughout our culture, politics, aesthetics, and even how we think about a power greater than ourselves, theology.

Format and assessment

Instructional format

The course will be conducted online and asynchronously with respect to lectures and assignments, along with opportunities to participate frequently in live sessions on Zoom. Four 5 page essays (70%), a final essay of 7 pages (20%), and participation in class discussion boards (10%) are required.

The class will be run in a tutorial style (see Gilbert Highet, The Art of Teaching, p. 121). This means at the least each student, or team of students, meets regularly with the instructor and together collaborates on the architecture of the emphasis, frameworks, content, and exposition of each essay. By emphasis is meant the economics, data analytical, and philosophical perspectives, or, in some cases two of the three, or all three. The combinations boggle the mind.

Each essay will attend to literal (phenomenological, experiential, descriptive), allegorical (explanatory, normative, reasonable), tropical (moral, responsible), anagogical (what is most important to us, the good we seek) considerations. All considerations must tie back to the literal interpretation. We are not here to conform reality to our vagaries and animadversions. Or are we? This instructor would dearly love that debate!

In the millenia-old tradition, the teacher is a kind, generous, but firm and persistent goad to push the seemingly timid into action, and the overtly enthusiastic into focus. Our work together follows the age old exhortation to encourage one another daily, while it is still today. Encouragement here is to "irrigate the deserts" in each of us and do so together, "not to cut down the jungles" (C.S. Lewis, The Abolition of Man, p. 9).

Grading rubric for essays

All papers must be neatly typed, double-spaced, in an easily readable font (Times Roman or Arial 12pt, for example). Be sure to include your name, the date, and the assignment number on your paper. Please number your pages at the bottom right of the page in the footer and include a word count at the end and any sources consulted (not part of the 5 page requirement). Papers should be turned in at the time and date indicated on the assignments section of the course website. ALL PAPERS MUST BE SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY IN PORTABLE DOCUMENT FORMAT (PDF). The instructor reserves the right to view your notes, drafts, and hard copy of your work.

• 0: not submitted

- 1-4:—incomplete essay (much less than 5 pages, e.g., 3 1/2 pages *might* indicate this condition; however, succinctness is still a virtue). No evidence of comprehension of reading materials, only restates a few facts
- 5-6: shows some evidence of comprehending and reflecting on the reading materials, but thesis not clearly stated upfront.
- 7-8: shows strong evidence of comprehending and reflecting on the reading materials, and thesis clearly stated upfront. They may show some degree of originality in their thesis, or opinions.
- 9-10: shows strong evidence of comprehending and reflecting on the reading materials, and thesis is clearly stated upfront. The essay contains a high degree of originality, well-expressed opinions, and shows a clear, well-read understanding and mastery of the topic.

Essay effort guidelines

[The number of points out of 100 points indicate the degree of effort.]

- Thesis. Does the essay have a clear (at least complete if-then sentence(s)) central thesis with a clear (structured based on the terms of the thesis) introduction providing a road map to the reader (seciont by section)? (20)
- Organization. Does the essay have a clear (easy to recognize based on the thesis) overall structure? Are arguments and evidence logically sequenced and organized hierarchically? Is each paragraph in the body of the essay organized around a main idea? Are connections drawn among the key points? Does the essay present a coherent defense of your thesis? (25)
- Content and use of evidence. Does the introduction provide adequate (sufficient to develop the argument) background about the topic and the related controversies? Are your arguments well developed, with evidence from all the source materials, including class readings and discussions, personal observations and experience? Are counterarguments considered (quaestiones disputatae)? Does the conclusion draw the essay to a close, highlighting the central points in your argument? Are sources properly cited? (30)
- Expression. Are ideas and information articulated in clear (predominantly active voice), fluent prose? (15)
- **Mechanics.** Is the essay free of grammatical, punctuation, and spelling errors? Is the essay of the required length? (10)

We will work in teams and submit individual assignments and posts. Individual final grades are A-F (integer ranges): A (>95), A- (90-94), B+ (85-89), B (80-84), C+ (75-79), C (70-74), D (65-69), F (<65).

Other matters

Assignment formatting

All assignments must be turned in electronically, through the learning management system, by each student. All assignments will involve writing a combination of code and actual prose. You must submit your assignment in a format which allows for the combination of the two, and the automatic execution of all your code. The easiest way to do this is to use R Markdown. R Markdown also allows the use of interactive modeling through Shiny applications.

Work submitted as Word files, unformatted plain text, etc., are not acceptable at any time during the course. Each assignment will require the submission of a **pdf** or **html** file. Managing the data base of submitted assignments throughout the course will be aided by standards including file name construction for

assignment submission. To this end, every file submitted must have a file name which includes the student's name, course identifier, and clearly indicates the type of assignment (project) and its number (week). Here is the format we will use:

yourName_courseidentifier_Assignment#.ext, where # is the essay number and ext is the file name extension.

For example W.G. Foote would submit a **pdf** file with this filename:

• wgfoote_MBA6XX_Essay1.pdf

Course specific policies

Students are expected to behave in a professional and courteous manner at all times when interacting with all members of the course learning community. Respect for others is demonstrated through attendance, meaningful participation, and punctuality. Every effort should be made to be present for each session, if not feasible, view the recording of each session, especially since weekly assignments will be made conditional on content in live sessions.

All essays must be completed and submitted by the due dates and times set out. This will allow the entire class to review and revise submissions in a timely fashion. Submissions to lms.manhattan.edu are based on Eastern Time (UTC +5).

- Late submissions will result in student inability to accumulate the knowledge needed to advance to the next week's coverage of course topics.
- Late submission will also delay necessary instructor feedback to the student in a timely fashion.
- As the course continues to layer on more skills and capabilities, a late submission with inaccurate or incomplete requirements will only deprecate the student's ability to successfully complete future assignments, let alone the final essay.

So, don't be late. Due dates are posted in the LMS (Learning Management System) to help you pace your progress through the very quickly rolling out of the several weeks of the course term. Due dates are not deadlines. However, there is one deadline from the Registrar: all grades (including Incompletes) must be posted within 48 hours of the end of the course term.

Academic integrity

The Manhattan University Academic Integrity Policy holds students accountable for the integrity of the work they submit. Students should be familiar with the Policy and know that it is their responsibility to learn about instructor and general academic expectations with regard to proper collection, usage, and citation of sources in written work. The policy also governs the integrity of work submitted in exams and assignments as well as the veracity of signatures on attendance sheets and other verification of participation in class activities. For more information and the complete policy, see the Manhattan University Catalog.

Students with disabilities

If you need academic accommodations due to a disability, then you should immediately register with the Director of the Specialized Resource Center (SRC). The SRC at Manhattan University authorizes special accommodations for students with disabilities. If you have a documented disability and you wish to discuss academic accommodations, please contact the SRC within the time frame of the first week of class.

References consulted

Agrawal, Ajay, Joshua Gans, and Avi Goldfarb. 2022. Power and Prediction: The Disruptive Economics of Artificial Intelligence. Harvard Business Press.

Barba-Kay, Antón. 2023. A Web of Our Own Making: The Nature of Digital Formation. Cambridge University Press.

Birkhoff, Garrett, and John Von Neumann. 1987. "The Logic of Quantum Mechanics." Selected Papers on Algebra and Topology 37 (4): 67.

Boole, George. 1847. The Mathematical Analysis of Logic. CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform.

Cushman, Fiery, Liane Young, and Marc Hauser. 2006. "The Role of Conscious Reasoning and Intuition in Moral Judgment: Testing Three Principles of Harm." *Psychological Science* 17 (12): 1082–9.

Čapek, Karel. 1923. RUR (Rossum's Universal Robots): A Fantastic Melodrama. Garden City, NY: Doubleday, Page.

Foote, William G. 2024. "Neither a Beast nor a God: A Philosophical Anthropology of Humanistic Management." *Humanistic Management Journal*, 1–45.

Francis, Pope. 2015. Laudato Si: On Care for Our Common Home. https://www.vatican.va/content/francesco/en/encyclicals/documents/papa-francesco 20150524 enciclica-laudato-si.pdf.

Frankfurt, Harry. 2018. "Freedom of the Will and the Concept of a Person." In Agency and Responsibility, 77–91. Routledge.

Guardini, Romano. 1994. Letters from Lake Como: Explorations on Technology and the Human Race. Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing.

Harsanyi, John C. 1978. "Bayesian Decision Theory and Utilitarian Ethics." *The American Economic Review* 68 (2): 223–28.

Heelan, Patrick. 1970. "Quantum and Classical Logic: Their Respective Roles." Synthese 21 (1): 2–33. http://www.jstor.org/stable/20114709.

Hirschfeld, Mary. 2006. "Standard of Living and Economic Virtue: Forging a Link Between St. Thomas Aquinas and the Twenty-First Century." Journal of the Society of Christian Ethics, 61–77.

Hirschfeld, Mary L. 2018. Aquinas and the Market: Toward a Humane Economy. Harvard University Press.

Illich, Ivan. 2005. The Rivers North of the Future: The Testament of Ivan Illich. House of Anansi.

Jaynes, Edwin T. 2003. Probability Theory: The Logic of Science. Cambridge University Press.

Livne-Tarandach, Reut, Erica Steckler, Jennifer Leigh, and Sara Wheeler-Smith. 2021. "Cultivating Organizations as Healing Spaces: A Typology for Responding to Suffering and Advancing Social Justice." *Humanistic Management Journal* 6 (3): 373–404.

Lonergan, Bernard. 1963. "Metaphysics as Horizon." Gregorianum 44 (2): 307–18.

——. 1992. Insight, Volume 3. Vol. 3. University of Toronto Press.

MacIntyre, Alasdair. 1994. Three Rival Versions of Moral Enquiry: Encyclopaedia, Genealogy, and Tradition. University of Notre Dame Pess.

Mill, John Stuart. 2015. On Liberty, Utilitarianism, and Other Essays. Oxford University Press, USA.

Niet, Irene, Mignon Hagemeijer, Anne Marte Gardenier, and Rinie van Est. 2024. "Framing the (Un) Sustainability of Ai." Digital Technologies for Sustainable Futures: Promises and Pitfalls.

Nussbaum, MC. 2001. "The Costs of Tragedy: Some Moral Limits of Cost Benefit Analysis in Cost Benefit Analysis: Legal, Economic, and Philosophical Perspectives (Adlerm Md, Posner, Ea, Eds)." Adler MD.

Peirce, Charles Sanders. 1870. Description of a Notation for the Logic of Relatives, Resulting from an Amplification of the Conceptions of Boole's Calculus of Logic. Welch, Bigelow.

Poole, David L, and Alan K Mackworth. 2023. Artificial Intelligence: Foundations of Computational Agents, 3rd Edition. Cambridge University Press.

Potter, Vincent G. 1993. Readings in Epistemology. Fordham University Press.

——. 2020. On Understanding Understanding: Philosophy of Knowledge. Fordham University Press.

Pryzwara, Erich. 2014. Analogia Entis: Metaphysics: Original Structure and Universal Rhythm. Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing.

Rorty, Amélie Oksenberg. 1976. "A Literary Postscript: Characters, Persons, Selves, Individuals." The Identities of Persons, 301–23.

Rousselot, Pierre. 1999. Intelligence. Translated by Andrew Tallon. Milwaukee: Marquette University Press.

Schmidt, Eric, HA Kissinger, and Daniel Huttenlocher. 2021. "The Challenge of Being Human in the Age of Ai." Wall Street Journal 1: 2021.

Schrage, Michael, Benjamin Pring, David Kiron, and Desmond Dickerson. 2021. "Leadership's Digital Transformation."

Searle, John R. 1986. Minds, Brains and Science. Harvard University Press.

——. 1990. "Is the Brain's Mind a Computer Program?" Scientific American 262 (1): 25–31.

Shannon, Claude E. 1938. "A Symbolic Analysis of Relay and Switching Circuits." *Electrical Engineering* 57 (12): 713–23.

Sterman, John. 2010. Business Dynamics. Irwin/McGraw-Hill c2000...

Striker, Gisela, and others. 2009. Aristotle's Prior Analytics Book I: Translated with an Introduction and Commentary. Oxford University Press.

Toulmin, Stephen E. 2003. The Uses of Argument, 2nd Edition. Cambridge University Press.

Turing, Alan. 1936. ""On Computable Numbers, with an Application to the Entscheidungsproblem." *Proc London Math Soc* 242: 230–65.

Turing, Alan Mathison. 1950. "I.-Computing Machinery and Intelligence." Mind 59 (236): 433–60.

Vaswani, A. 2017. "Attention Is All You Need." Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems.

Watanabe, Sumio. 2013. "A Widely Applicable Bayesian Information Criterion." The Journal of Machine Learning Research 14 (1): 867–97.

W Norris Clarke, SJ. 1962. "Technology and Man: A Christian Vision." Technology and Culture, 422–42.

Zavyalova, Elena B, Vera A Volokhina, Marija A Troyanskaya, and Yulia I Dubova. 2023. "A Humanistic Model of Corporate Social Responsibility in E-Commerce with High-Tech Support in the Artificial Intelligence Economy." *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications* 10 (1): 1–10.

Zellner, Arthur. 1971. An Introduction to Bayesian Inference in Econometrics. Wiley.