## Final exam

William Mann, Emory University, Fall 2023

## Please print your name and student ID number on the line below:

· ·
ancidor Zovi
allswel key
<del></del>

- This exam has 30 questions. You have 90 minutes to take it.
- There are 20 multiple choice questions. These have **only one answer**. Mark your answer clearly in the box next to the question.
- There are 10 computation questions. For these, the answer is **always a number**. Write it in the line under the question. I will not check your work.
- You can bring one page of notes, front and back.
- You should bring a calculator, but it should not have wireless capability.

## **I. Short sales and dollar-neutral strategies**

You leave the also deposit	Suppose you short one share of a stock that is currently trading for \$100. The proceeds from the sale as cash collateral for your short position, and you an additional \$95 in cash collateral. What is the highest the stock price can you violating the 130% maintenance margin requirement of Regulation T?  \$150
	In the prior question, suppose the stock price goes to \$50, and you then ur position. What is the dollar profit you have made from this trade?
position if the □ A) □ B) □ C)	In question 1, what is the most you could theoretically lose from your ne stock price moves against you? \$95 \$100 \$195 More than \$195
position in t  □ A)  □ B)	Suppose a stock pays a dividend of \$5 while you have an open short hat stock. How will the dividend impact you as the short-seller? You will receive \$5 from your broker on the ex-dividend date.  You will pay \$5 to your broker on the ex-dividend date.  You will have to pay \$5 more when you acquire the stock at a later date. There will be no impact on you.
	Which of the following is a common source of profit for brokers who ort selling, and is implicitly a fee charged to the short seller?  Keeping some or all of the interest earned on your cash collateral.  Keeping dividend payments on stocks that you have sold short.  Keeping dividend payments on stocks that you have pledged as collateral.

Seizing your collateral when you cannot meet margin requirements.

□ D)

-	Most equity funds try to outperform a stock index like the S&P 500. ral equity funds only aim to outperform the risk-free rate. Why? It is impossible for any fund to outperform the S&P 500 in the modern era. Investors should put all of their money into a market-neutral fund. Market-neutral funds exhibit almost no volatility, making them risk-free. The risk-free rate is the CAPM hurdle rate for a market-neutral fund.
receives the	We have assumed in most of our examples that a dollar-neutral fund risk-free rate of return on any cash that it pledges as collateral for short that would change if the fund instead received <b>zero</b> return on this cash? The fund's <b>raw return</b> would have a positive market beta. The fund's <b>excess return</b> would have a positive market beta. The fund's <b>raw return</b> would equal the difference of the return on its long positions, minus the return on its short positions $(r_H - r_L)$ . The fund's <b>excess return</b> would equal the difference of the return on its long positions, minus the return on its short positions $(r_H - r_L)$ .
average exce	Suppose that over a long time horizon, a market-neutral fund generates an ess return of 5% per year, while the market excess return is 8% per year, and rate is 2% per year. What is the alpha of the fund during this time? $\frac{5\%}{6}$
growth ETF implement to A)  B) C) D)	In Homework 4 you studied a dollar-neutral strategy that used both a and a value ETF. It was important to use ETFs, because you could <i>not</i> his dollar-neutral strategy with growth and value mutual funds. Why not? Because mutual funds always have a positive market beta. Because mutual funds must always hold the entire stock market. Because mutual funds are not allowed to short-sell stocks. Because investors can short-sell ETFs but cannot short-sell mutual funds.
but only three   \( \subseteq A \) \( \subseteq B \)	All four of the statements below are possible for a market-neutral strategy, see are guaranteed to be true. Which one is <i>not</i> guaranteed to be true? It will have positive volatility.  It will have a higher Sharpe ratio than the market portfolio.  It will have a market beta of approximately zero.  Its CAPM alpha will be approximately equal to its average excess return.

In questions 11 through 15, imagine that investors give you \$1 million to set up a dollar-neutral fund, following the setup that we have described in class. That is: You use your investors' capital to purchase long positions, then open an equal amount of short positions, and pledge cash collateral equal to the value of your short positions.

	1: How will the fund's short positions and collateral appear on its balance diately after you initially set up the fund?  A liability of \$1m (short position) and an asset of \$1m (collateral).  An asset of \$1m (short position) and a liability of \$1m (collateral).  Two assets, each worth \$1m (short position and collateral).  Two liabilities, each worth \$1m (short position and collateral)
<b>Question 1</b> 2 setup descri	2: What is the gross leverage ratio of the strategy as long as you maintain the bed above?
	<u> </u>
investors, w	3: If your broker allowed you to distribute \$200,000 of cash to your while pledging additional stock as collateral, what would your gross leverage or making this distribution?  2.5
<ul><li>Suppose that</li><li>the st</li><li>the st</li><li>the fu</li></ul>	4: Ignore the prior question and return to the setup at the top of this page. It, during the year after you set up the fund, tocks that you purchased long earn a total return of 11%; tocks that you sold short earn a total return of 2%; and receives interest income of 1% on its cash collateral. In oother expenses, what will be the fund's net assets at the end of the year?  \$1.1m
Ouestion 1	5: Suppose the the long and short positions are each value-weighted

**Question 15:** Suppose the long and short positions are each value-weighted portfolios of US stocks, with half of all stocks in the long position and the other half in the short position. Then, what will be (approximately) the market beta of your fund?

- $\Box$  A)  $\beta = 2$
- $\Box$  B)  $\beta = 1$
- $\Box$  C)  $\beta = 0$
- $\Box$  D)  $\beta$  = -1

## II. Factor models

For q	uestions	16 through	18, use	the table	below.	It lists i	returns	in a spec	cific mo	onth on	l
the p	ortfolios	that Fama	and Frei	nch create	e to calc	culate th	eir mor	nentum	factor (	(UMD)	١.

S/D = 1%

S/M = 4%

S/U = 5%

B/D = 3%

B/M = 3%

B/U = 3%

**Question 16:** What is the value of UMD during this month?

2%

**Question 17:** Which of the following *could* be the market return during this month?

- □ A) 1%
- □ B) 4%
- □ C) 6%
- □ D) 8%

**Question 18:** If there are 3000 public stocks in existence when this table is formed, approximately how many of them are used in the calculation of UMD?

1800

**Question 19:** To calculate HML, we build a similar table to the one above, but there are some important differences. Which of the following is *not* a difference between the two tables? That is, which of the following is true when building *both* UMD and HML?

- $\square$  A) The six portfolios are reconstructed every month.
- $\ \square$  B) The six portfolios are reconstructed only at the end of each June.
- $\Box$  C) The columns are sorted on a number that is six months old.
- $\Box$  D) The rows are sorted on a number from the most recent available month.

**Question 20:** Which of the following would *not* be surprising to find in the data?

- $\square$  A strong negative correlation between SMB and the market excess return.
- $\square$  B) A strong negative correlation between HML and the market excess return.
- □ C) A strong negative correlation between HML and SMB.
- □ D) A strong negative correlation between HML and UMD.

For questions 21 through 25, use the table below. It reports the results of a factor model regression for the annual excess returns on a specific mutual fund from 1975–2020:

Intercept	$oxed{eta_{\scriptscriptstyle M}}$	$oldsymbol{eta_{SMB}}$	$oldsymbol{eta_{ ext{ iny HML}}}$	$oldsymbol{eta_{UMD}}$
0%	1.0	0	0	0.5

Also use the following data on the average values of each factor in the regression:

$r_{M-}r_f$	SMB	HML	UMD
8.8%	2.8%	2.4%	6.8%

Question 21: What is the most likely description of this fund's strategy?         □ A)       A long-only momentum fund.         □ B)       A market-neutral momentum fund.         □ C)       A long-only value fund.         □ D)       A market-neutral value fund.         Question 22: Why would it not be important for us to add RMW into this regression?         □ A)       RMW does not measure the returns to an investable strategy.         □ B)       RMW has a high correlation with the SMB factor.         □ C)       RMW has a high correlation with the SMB factor.         □ D)       We have already fully explained this fund's performance without RMW.         Question 23: What was the fund's average excess return from 1975-2020?       12.2%         Question 24: What was the fund's CAPM alpha during 1975-2020?       3.4%         Question 25: Now suppose that α in the regression had come out to be -2.0% (note that this is a negative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean?         □ A)       The fund's average excess return is above the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.         □ C)       The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.         □ D)       The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, and also better than we would expect given its momentum factor loading.		
<ul> <li>A) A long-only momentum fund.</li> <li>B) A market-neutral momentum fund.</li> <li>C) A long-only value fund.</li> <li>D) A market-neutral value fund.</li> </ul> Question 22: Why would it not be important for us to add RMW into this regression? <ul> <li>A) RMW does not measure the returns to an investable strategy.</li> <li>B) RMW has a high correlation with the market excess return.</li> <li>C) RMW has a high correlation with the SMB factor.</li> <li>D) We have already fully explained this fund's performance without RMW.</li> </ul> Question 23: What was the fund's average excess return from 1975-2020? <ul> <li>12,2%</li> </ul> Question 24: What was the fund's CAPM alpha during 1975-2020? <ul> <li>3,4%</li> </ul> Question 25: Now suppose that α in the regression had come out to be -2.0% (note that this is a negative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean? <ul> <li>A) The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return.</li> <li>B) The fund's average excess return is above the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.</li> <li>C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li> </ul>	Question 2	21: What is the most likely description of this fund's strategy?
<ul> <li>□ B) A market-neutral momentum fund.</li> <li>□ C) A long-only value fund.</li> <li>□ D) A market-neutral value fund.</li> <li>Question 22: Why would it not be important for us to add RMW into this regression?</li> <li>□ A) RMW does not measure the returns to an investable strategy.</li> <li>□ B) RMW has a high correlation with the market excess return.</li> <li>□ C) RMW has a high correlation with the SMB factor.</li> <li>□ D) We have already fully explained this fund's performance without RMW.</li> <li>Question 23: What was the fund's average excess return from 1975-2020?</li> <li>12.2%</li> <li>Question 24: What was the fund's CAPM alpha during 1975-2020?</li> <li>3.4%</li> <li>Question 25: Now suppose that α in the regression had come out to be -2.0% (note that this is a negative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean?</li> <li>□ A) The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.</li> <li>□ C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>□ D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li> </ul>	_	
<ul> <li>□ C) A long-only value fund.</li> <li>□ D) A market-neutral value fund.</li> <li>Question 22: Why would it not be important for us to add RMW into this regression?</li> <li>□ A) RMW does not measure the returns to an investable strategy.</li> <li>□ B) RMW has a high correlation with the market excess return.</li> <li>□ C) RMW has a high correlation with the SMB factor.</li> <li>□ D) We have already fully explained this fund's performance without RMW.</li> <li>Question 23: What was the fund's average excess return from 1975-2020?</li> <li>12.2%</li> <li>Question 24: What was the fund's CAPM alpha during 1975-2020?</li> <li>3.4%</li> <li>Question 25: Now suppose that α in the regression had come out to be -2.0% (note that this is a negative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean?</li> <li>□ A) The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.</li> <li>□ B) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>□ D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li> </ul>		
□ D) A market-neutral value fund.  Question 22: Why would it not be important for us to add RMW into this regression? □ A) RMW does not measure the returns to an investable strategy. □ B) RMW has a high correlation with the market excess return. □ C) RMW has a high correlation with the SMB factor. □ D) We have already fully explained this fund's performance without RMW.  Question 23: What was the fund's average excess return from 1975-2020?	•	
<ul> <li>Question 22: Why would it not be important for us to add RMW into this regression? <ul> <li>A) RMW does not measure the returns to an investable strategy.</li> <li>B) RMW has a high correlation with the market excess return.</li> <li>C) RMW has a high correlation with the SMB factor.</li> <li>D) We have already fully explained this fund's performance without RMW.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question 23: What was the fund's average excess return from 1975-2020? <ul> <li>12.2%</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question 24: What was the fund's CAPM alpha during 1975-2020? <ul> <li>3.4%</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question 25: Now suppose that α in the regression had come out to be -2.0% (note that this is a negative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean? <ul> <li>A) The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.</li> <li>C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	•	
<ul> <li>A) RMW does not measure the returns to an investable strategy.</li> <li>B) RMW has a high correlation with the market excess return.</li> <li>C) RMW has a high correlation with the SMB factor.</li> <li>D) We have already fully explained this fund's performance without RMW.</li> <li>Question 23: What was the fund's average excess return from 1975-2020?</li> <li>12.2%</li> <li>Question 24: What was the fund's CAPM alpha during 1975-2020?</li> <li>3.4%</li> <li>Question 25: Now suppose that α in the regression had come out to be -2.0% (note that this is a negative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean?</li> <li>A) The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return.</li> <li>B) The fund's average excess return is above the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.</li> <li>C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li> </ul>	ט ט)	A market-neutral value fund.
<ul> <li>B) RMW has a high correlation with the market excess return.</li> <li>C) RMW has a high correlation with the SMB factor.</li> <li>D) We have already fully explained this fund's performance without RMW.</li> <li>Question 23: What was the fund's average excess return from 1975-2020?</li> <li>12.2%</li> <li>Question 24: What was the fund's CAPM alpha during 1975-2020?</li> <li>3.4%</li> <li>Question 25: Now suppose that α in the regression had come out to be -2.0% (note that this is a negative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean?</li> <li>A) The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return.</li> <li>B) The fund's average excess return is above the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.</li> <li>C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li> </ul>	Question 2	<b>22:</b> Why would it not be important for us to add RMW into this regression?
<ul> <li>B) RMW has a high correlation with the market excess return.</li> <li>C) RMW has a high correlation with the SMB factor.</li> <li>D) We have already fully explained this fund's performance without RMW.</li> <li>Question 23: What was the fund's average excess return from 1975-2020?</li> <li>12.2%</li> <li>Question 24: What was the fund's CAPM alpha during 1975-2020?</li> <li>3.4%</li> <li>Question 25: Now suppose that α in the regression had come out to be -2.0% (note that this is a negative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean?</li> <li>A) The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return.</li> <li>B) The fund's average excess return is above the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.</li> <li>C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li> </ul>	□ A)	RMW does not measure the returns to an investable strategy.
<ul> <li>C) RMW has a high correlation with the SMB factor.</li> <li>D) We have already fully explained this fund's performance without RMW.</li> <li>Question 23: What was the fund's average excess return from 1975-2020?</li> <li>12.2%</li> <li>Question 24: What was the fund's CAPM alpha during 1975-2020?</li> <li>3.4%</li> <li>Question 25: Now suppose that α in the regression had come out to be -2.0% (note that this is a negative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean?</li> <li>A) The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return.</li> <li>B) The fund's average excess return is above the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.</li> <li>C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li> </ul>	□ B)	
<ul> <li>D) We have already fully explained this fund's performance without RMW.</li> <li>Question 23: What was the fund's average excess return from 1975-2020?</li> <li>12.2%</li> <li>Question 24: What was the fund's CAPM alpha during 1975-2020?</li> <li>3.4%</li> <li>Question 25: Now suppose that α in the regression had come out to be -2.0% (note that this is a negative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean?</li> <li>A) The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return.</li> <li>B) The fund's average excess return is above the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.</li> <li>C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li> </ul>	□ C)	•
Question 23: What was the fund's average excess return from 1975-2020?  12.2%  Question 24: What was the fund's CAPM alpha during 1975-2020?  3.4%  Question 25: Now suppose that α in the regression had come out to be -2.0% (note that this is a negative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean?  A) The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return.  B) The fund's average excess return is above the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.  C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.  D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,	•	•
<ul> <li>Question 24: What was the fund's CAPM alpha during 1975-2020?</li> <li>Question 25: Now suppose that α in the regression had come out to be -2.0% (note that this is a negative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean?</li> <li>□ A) The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return.</li> <li>□ B) The fund's average excess return is above the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.</li> <li>□ C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>□ D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li> </ul>	,	
<ul> <li>Question 24: What was the fund's CAPM alpha during 1975-2020?</li> <li>Question 25: Now suppose that α in the regression had come out to be -2.0% (note that this is a negative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean?</li> <li>□ A) The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return.</li> <li>□ B) The fund's average excess return is above the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.</li> <li>□ C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>□ D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li> </ul>	Question 2	23: What was the fund's average excess return from 1975-2020?
<ul> <li>Question 24: What was the fund's CAPM alpha during 1975-2020?</li> <li>3.4%</li> <li>Question 25: Now suppose that α in the regression had come out to be -2.0% (note that this is a negative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean?</li> <li>□ A) The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return.</li> <li>□ B) The fund's average excess return is above the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.</li> <li>□ C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>□ D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li> </ul>	_	_
<ul> <li>Question 25: Now suppose that α in the regression had come out to be -2.0% (note that this is a negative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean?</li> <li>□ A) The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return.</li> <li>□ B) The fund's average excess return is above the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.</li> <li>□ C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>□ D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li> </ul>		<u> </u>
<ul> <li>Question 25: Now suppose that α in the regression had come out to be -2.0% (note that this is a negative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean?</li> <li>□ A) The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return.</li> <li>□ B) The fund's average excess return is above the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.</li> <li>□ C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>□ D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li> </ul>	Ouestion 2	24. What was the fund's CAPM alpha during 1975-2020?
<ul> <li>Question 25: Now suppose that α in the regression had come out to be -2.0% (note that this is a negative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean?</li> <li>□ A) The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return.</li> <li>□ B) The fund's average excess return is above the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.</li> <li>□ C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>□ D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li> </ul>	Question 2	•
this is a negative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean?  □ A) The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return.  □ B) The fund's average excess return is above the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.  □ C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.  □ D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,		<u>3.4%</u>
this is a negative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean?  □ A) The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return.  □ B) The fund's average excess return is above the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.  □ C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.  □ D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,		
<ul> <li>□ A) The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return.</li> <li>□ B) The fund's average excess return is above the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.</li> <li>□ C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>□ D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li> </ul>	<b>Question 2</b>	<b>25:</b> Now suppose that $\alpha$ in the regression had come out to be -2.0% (note that
<ul> <li>□ B) The fund's average excess return is above the market average excess return, but below the CAPM required return.</li> <li>□ C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>□ D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li> </ul>	this is a neg	gative number), but everything else was the same. What would this mean?
<ul> <li>but below the CAPM required return.</li> <li>□ C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>□ D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li> </ul>	□ A)	The fund's average excess return is below the market average excess return.
<ul> <li>but below the CAPM required return.</li> <li>□ C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>□ D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li> </ul>	□ B)	The fund's average excess return is above the market average excess return,
<ul> <li>□ C) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return, but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li> <li>□ D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li> </ul>	,	
<ul><li>but below what we would expect given its momentum factor loading.</li><li>□ D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,</li></ul>	□ C)	•
$\Box$ D) The fund's average excess return is above the CAPM required return,	,	•
	□ D)	
and and better than we would expect Erven its intomentally factor fourther.	— <b>—</b> )	and also better than we would expect given its momentum factor loading.

Question 20	<b>6:</b> In class, we examined the idea of equal-weighting the S&P 500. What did
we conclude	e about the historical returns to such a strategy? It has delivered
□ A)	higher returns than value-weighting, by holding more value stocks.
□ B)	higher returns than value-weighting, by holding more growth stocks
□ C)	lower returns than value-weighting.
□ D)	similar returns to value-weighting, with lower volatility.
Question 2	7: A popular strategy is to invest in stocks with high profitability. We looked
	rent approaches to implement that idea. The first was to invest in stocks with
	ow / price multiples. What did we find about this approach?
□ A)	It has delivered returns that are below the market average.
□ B)	It has delivered returns that are about equal to the CAPM hurdle rate.
□ <b>C</b> )	It has delivered returns that are about equal to what we would expect,
,	based on its factor loadings on size and value strategies.
□ D)	It has delivered that are better than what we would expect,
,	based on its factor loadings on size and value strategies.
<b>Ouestion 28</b>	<b>8:</b> Continuing question 28, the second approach was to invest in stocks with
	of operating profits to book equity. What did we find about this approach?
□ A)	It has delivered returns that are below the market average.
$\Box$ $\stackrel{\frown}{\mathrm{B}}$	It has delivered returns that are about equal to the CAPM hurdle rate.
□ C)	It has delivered returns that are about equal to what we would expect,
,	based on its factor loadings on size and value strategies.
□ D)	It has delivered that are better than what we would expect,
,	based on its factor loadings on size and value strategies.
Question 29	9: We saw a paper that analyzed Warren Buffett's investments through a
	l. The main regression showed an intercept close to zero, and strong loadings
	cific factors. What does this tell us about Buffett's performance?
□ A) <sup>1</sup>	He has underperformed the CAPM required rate of return.
□ <b>B</b> )	He has exactly matched the CAPM required rate of return.
□ <b>C</b> )	He has outperformed the CAPM through successful bets on three big ideas.
□ D)	He has outperformed the CAPM by holding a high-beta portfolio.
Question 30	<b>0:</b> The asset manager AQR maintains a "quality minus junk" strategy (QMJ)
that buys "q	uality" stocks (those with high earnings and profits, per dollar of book
value) and s	short-sells "junk" stocks (the rest of the market). If you regress their QMJ on
all the facto	rs we have seen, which factor loading would you expect to be the strongest?
□ A)	SMB.
□ B)	HML.
□ C)	UMD.
$\square$ D)	RMW.